

CONFIDENTIAL

~~SECRET~~

TO: Inspection Branch/PD/OL

DATE: 16 September 1960

CONTRACT NO.: 602, T.O. 1

FROM: Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

REPORT NO.: 11 - FINAL
(If final, so state)

Contractor 25X1

Address
Commodity/Service Design + Fabrication ~~Contract-SECRET; Agency-STERIL~~
Recorders CB-12, CB-13, CB-14 Classification Equipment - UNCLASSIFIED

No. of Prototypes 1 each type Contract Completion Date 15 September 1959

The Contractor is on schedule NA Yes No

The Contractor will remain within his allocated funds Yes No

An extension of time will be necessary Yes No

All phases of the technical progress are satisfactory Yes No

The overall performance of this contractor is: Satisfactory
 Subject to Improvement 25X1
 Unsatisfactory

NOTE: If unsatisfactory or subject to improvement, indicate reasons under comments

Comments R+D Laboratory evaluation has shown all three equipments unsuitable for field use. Operation of the CB-12 and CB-13 is still not satisfactory after re-work by the contractor. Evaluation of the CB-14 could not be completed because of equipment malfunction. It is planned to have the additional work required done by some other contractor.
Recommended Action Task settlement.

Date of Visit to Contractor's Plant 25 August 1960

APPROVED: Inspected by: 25X1

Division: OC-E/R+D-EP

Chief, Engineering Staff, OC Extension: 25X1

~~SECRET~~
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

~~**SECRET**~~

TO: Inspection Branch/PD/OL

DATE: 18 July 1960

CONTRACT NO.: 602, T.O. 1

FROM: Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

REPORT NO.: 10
(If final, so state)

25X1

Contractor

[Redacted Contractor Name]

Address

Commodity/Service Design + Fabrication Contract-SECRET; Agency-SECRET
Recorders CB-12, CB-13, CB-14 Equipment-UNCLASSIFIED

No. of Prototypes 1 each type Contract Completion Date 15 September 1959

The Contractor is on schedule NA Yes NA No

The Contractor will remain within his allocated funds NA Yes NA No

An extension of time will be necessary NA Yes NA No

All phases of the technical progress are satisfactory NA Yes NA No

The overall performance of this contractor is: Satisfactory
 Subject to Improvement
 Unsatisfactory

NOTE: If unsatisfactory or subject to improvement, indicate reasons under comments

Comments The equipment is under study to determine whether to return it to this contractor or assign the task of rework to another company.

Recommended Action Keep task open for possible amendment.

Date of Visit to Contractor's Plant 30 June 1960

APPROVED:

Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

Inspected by: _____
Division: _____
Extension: _____

[Redacted Signature Box]

25X1

~~**SECRET**~~
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

TO: Inspection Branch/PD/OL

DATE: 9 March 1960

FROM: Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

CONTRACT NO: 602, T.O. 1

REPORT NO: 9
(If final, so state)

Contractor [Redacted]

25X1

Addressee [Redacted]

Commodity/Service Design + Fabrication Contract-SECRET:Agency-STERILE
Recorders CB-12, CB-13, CB-14 Classification Equipment-UNCLASSIFIED

No. of Prototypes 1 of each type Contract Completion Date 15 Sept. 1959

The Contractor is on schedule _____ Yes x No

The Contractor will remain within his allocated funds _____ Yes x No

An extension of time will be necessary x Yes _____ No

All phases of the technical progress are satisfactory _____ Yes x No

The overall performance of this contractor is : Satisfactory
 Subject to Improvement
 Unsatisfactory

NOTE: If unsatisfactory or subject to improvement, indicate reasons under comments.

Comments A time extension and cost overrun has been received and will be processed. All equipments have been received and are under test and evaluation at the R+D Laboratory. The CB-12 has been tested and several deficiencies remain.

Recommended Action Process cost overrun per contract obligation - Keep task open for possible amendment when laboratory evaluation has been completed.

Date of Visit to Contractor's Plant 17 February 1960

APPROVED:

Inspected by: [Redacted]

25X1

Division: _____

Extension: _____

Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

~~SECRET~~
CONFIDENTIAL

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

TO: Inspection Branch/PD/OL

DATE: 23 December 1959

CONTRACT NO.: 602, T.O. 1

FROM: Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

REPORT NO.: 8
(If final, so state)

Contractor _____
Addressee _____

25X1

Commodity/Service Design and Fabrication Contract: ~~SECRET~~-Agency: ~~STERILE~~
Recorders CB-12, CB-13, CB-14 Classification Equipment: UNCLASS.
No. of Prototypes 1 of each type Contract Completion Date 15 Sept. 1959

The Contractor is on schedule _____ Yes _____ No

The Contractor will remain within his allocated funds _____ Yes _____ No

An extension of time will be necessary _____ Yes _____ No

All phases of the technical progress are satisfactory _____ Yes _____ No

The overall performance of this Contractor is : Satisfactory
 Subject to Improvement
 Unsatisfactory

NOTE: If unsatisfactory or subject to improvement, indicate reasons under comments.

Comments There was no progress made on the repair of the CB-12, CB-13 (contractor's numbers MR-1 and MR-2) during the period. Contractor has been asked to request time extension.

Recommended Action Continued technical monitoring.

Date of Visit to Contractor's Plant 11 December 1959

APPROVED:

Inspected by: _____
Division: _____
Extension: _____

25X1

Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

~~SECRET~~
CONFIDENTIAL

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

TO: Inspection Branch/PD/OL

DATE: 10 November 1959

FROM: Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

CONTRACT NO: 602, T.O. 1

REPORT NO: 7
(If final, so state)

Contractor _____

25X1

Addressee _____

Commodity/Service Design and fabrication of ~~Contract-SECRET, Agency-STERILE~~
CB-12, CB-13, CB-14 Classification Equipment-UNCLASSIFIED

No. of Prototypes 1 of each type Contract Completion Date 31 October 1959

The Contractor is on schedule _____ Yes _____ No

The Contractor will remain within his allocated funds _____ Yes No

An extension of time will be necessary _____ Yes _____ No

All phases of the technical progress are satisfactory _____ Yes No

The overall performance of this contractor is: Satisfactory

Subject to Improvement

Unsatisfactory

NOTE: If unsatisfactory or subject to improvement, indicate reasons under comments.

Comments Equipment received to date under this contract has failed and has been returned to the contractor for repair. The CB-12 has been resubmitted for acceptance and has motor trouble for the second time.

Recommended Action Payment recommended - continued technical monitoring.

Date of Visit to Contractor's Plant 23 October 1959

APPROVED:

Inspected by:

Division: _____

Extension: _____

Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

~~SECRET~~

CONFIDENTIAL

25X1

~~SECRET~~

CONFIDENTIAL

TO: Inspection Branch/PD/CL

DATE: 6 August 1959

FROM: Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

CONTRACT NO.: 602, T.O. 1

REPORT NO.: 6
(If final, so state)

Contractor _____

25X1

Address _____

Commodity/Service Design and Fabricate CB-12, CB-13, CB-14 Contract-~~SECRET~~, Agency-~~STERILE~~
Classification Equipment-UNCLASSIFIED

No. of Prototypes 1 each of 3 types Contract Completion Date 30 June 1959

The Contractor is on schedule Yes No

The Contractor will remain within his allocated funds Yes No

An extension of time will be necessary Yes No

All phases of the technical progress are satisfactory Yes No

The overall performance of this contractor is: Satisfactory

Subject to Improvement

Unsatisfactory

NOTE: If unsatisfactory or subject to improvement, indicate reasons under comments.

Comments Sufficient progress was made during period to justify satisfactory rating.

Recommended Action Continued technical monitoring.

Date of Visit to Contractor's Plant 8 July 1959

APPROVED:

Inspected by: _____

Division: _____

Extension: _____

25X1

Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

CONFIDENTIAL

~~SECRET~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
~~SECRET~~

TO: Inspection Branch/PD/OL
FROM: Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

DATE: 28 May 1959
CONTRACT NO.: DD-602, T.O. 1
REPORT NO.: 5
(If final, so state)

Contractor _____
Address _____

25X1

Commodity/Service Design and Fabrication ~~CB-12, CB-13, CB-14~~ Classification ~~Contract-SECRET, Agency Sterile, Equipment-UNCLASSIFIED~~
No. of Prototypes 1 ea of 3 recorders Contract Completion Date 30 June 1959

The Contractor is on schedule. Yes x No
The Contractor will remain within his allocated funds. Yes x No
An extension of time will be necessary. x Yes No
All phases of the technical progress are satisfactory. Yes x No
The overall performance of this contractor is: Satisfactory
 Subject to Improvement
 Unsatisfactory

NOTE: If unsatisfactory or subject to improvement, indicate reasons under comments.

Comments It appears that this contractor continually underestimates time and costs for development work. It also seemed that there was a lack of coordination of project plans within the engineering group.

Recommended Action Continued technical monitoring

Date of Visit to Contractor's Plant 14 May 1959

APPROVED:

Inspected by: _____
Division: _____
Extension: _____

25X1

Chief, Engineering Staff, OC

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
~~SECRET~~