

TAB

Page Denied

S E C R E T

19 July 1961

TOPICS FOR SARD SEMINAR

I. Pertinence of R&D Program

25X1

- TW
- A. Is R&D Program generally on target?
 - B. Should R&D Program reflect mainly specific requests from operators? If not, how should it reflect operational needs?
 - C. Would it help to design and develop a specific operation related to each project or program?
 - D. How many such operations could be labeled "Urgent"?

II. Relations with Operating Components

25X1

Treichler

- TW
- A. Usefulness of TRB as an approach.
 - B. Overseas operational tours for selected R&D personnel.
 - C. Other methods of input for operational side and vice versa.

III. Fragmentation of R&D Program

25X1

- TW
- A. Too many projects?
 - B. How effectively can we combine projects into programs?
 - C. Are there too many marginal (in operational pertinence) projects?

IV. Reports and Communication

25X1

- TW
- A. What information should come from the Branches to the Chief/R&D?
 - B. What information should come the other way?

S E C R E T

S E C R E T

- C. What organized (formal) information on the R&D Program should go to non-R&D TSD components (Tech. Aids, Auth., TSD overseas installations)?
- D. What information on the R&D Program should go outside TSD?
- E. Other communication problems.

V. Internal Organization of R&D

- A. Is the concept of different units doing the research, development and engineering parts of a job organizationally sound?
- B. Are we actually running our program this way? Should we be?
- C. Should we have some research done "in-house"? How much? What kind?
- D. Are lines of command and direction in R&D adequately defined?
- E. How much basic research should we be doing? More? Less?

25X1

VI. Support Activities in TSD

- A. What changes in intra-TSD budget and fiscal procedures would expedite carrying out the R&D mission?
- B. What changes in extra TSD budget and fiscal procedures would expedite carrying out the R&D mission?
- C. Do we make proper use of PTS, Security, Personnel, Logistics? How much of this kind of work do we unnecessarily do ourselves?

25X1

VII. Evaluation of Contractors

- A. Are we really evaluating our contractors?
- B. Do we try to get our money's worth?
- C. Do we scout new contractors frequently enough? Or should we stick mostly with a "proven" smaller group of contractors?

25X1

S E C R E T

S E C R E T

D. Any other contractor problems ?

VIII. Personnel Policies in R&D

Lashbrook

[Redacted]

25X1

- A. Are our salary scales adequate ? How do they compare with other components of the DDP and the DDI (especially OSI) ?
- B. Are promotions frequent enough ? What is the present promotion policy ? Is there one ?
- C. Other benefits . Do we make enough use of educational assists ? Awards ? Are there other fringe benefits that we are not using ?
- D. Are our methods of hiring new personnel adequate and effective ?

M

IX. Boards and Consultants.

[Redacted]

25X1

- A. Is there a place for a research board ?
- B. Where do consultants fit in ? (As contrasted to contractors).
- C. Are there any people that we use as real consultants ? Who are they ? Have they proved useful ? Should we do more of this ?

TW

(X) ~~An Operator's View of (SI) (Ad)~~
 - 150 - R&D Program [Redacted] Views

ILLEGIB

S E C R E T

SARD SEMINAR

DAY
SESSION

MONDAY

TUESDAY

WEDNESDAY

<p><u>Morning</u> 0-1230</p>	<p>V. Internal Organization of R&D [Redacted] 25X1</p>	<p>IX. Boards and Consultants [Redacted] IV. Reports and Communication [Redacted]</p>	<p>III. Fragmentation of R&D Program [Redacted] 25X1 25X1</p>
<p><u>Afternoon</u> 1530-1800</p>	<p>VIII. Personnel Policies <u>Dr. Lashbrook</u> VI. Support Activities [Redacted] 25X1</p>	<p>I. Pertinence of R&D Program [Redacted] 25X1</p>	<p>X. TSD's R&D Program - Operator's View [Redacted] 25X1</p>
<p><u>Evening</u> 2000-2200</p>	<p>VII. Evaluation of Contractors [Redacted] 25X1</p>	<p>II. Relation with Operating Components [Redacted]</p>	<p>Final Roundup 25X1</p>

Page Denied

Next 2 Page(s) In Document Denied