

15 March 1962

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Security

SUBJECT : Security Organization of DDR

IG  
pull  
EPD  
8246  
#1 Agent

1. The decision has not been reached as yet as to what Agency components will be included in the new organizational unit, DDR, under Dr. Scoville. Advance indications are that most of DPD and TSD will be included. In any event, consideration must be given to the management aspects of the security programs which probably will be included under the DDR. These problems highlight certain other procedural and control problems within the Office of Security.

2. There are attached three Tabs setting forth the security organizations of DPD, TSD and OSI. It should be noted that these involve large numbers of Security personnel with extensive [redacted] involving [redacted]. Of considerable importance is the fact that [redacted] organizations have classified intelligence contractors scattered throughout the United States involving some thousand contractor employees and requiring periodic inspection of the contractor facilities and programs. The following are some aspects of organization that should be considered.

STATINT

25X1A6a

(a) Should the Deputy Director for Research have a senior Security Officer coordinating on his behalf, the Security interest of the proposed three separate security components.

(b) Should the three security components operate as they do today on a command-policy basis as operating units concerned with separate problems and programs.

DOC	1	REV DATE	28-4-81	BY	006121
ORIG COMP	5	OPI	31	TYPE	01
ORIG CLASS	22	PAGES	7	REV CLASS	S
		NEXT REV	2011	AUTH	HR 19-2

(c) Should the inspection of intelligence contractor programs and facilities be consolidated for maximum efficiency in the use of manpower, travel and time or should they continue to be separately monitored by the security components with apparent duplication of time and travel in the course of inspection. In this regard,

25X9A


(d) Should the inspection of all Agency contract programs and facilities be consolidated into one unit within the Office of Security. This would cover all contracts let by any component of the Agency and would include Logistics, TSD, NPIC, DPD, etc. This would promote efficiency, monetary savings in time and travel, more professionalism in inspections and, I believe, all cover difficulties would be overcome.

(e) Should the responsibility for security clearances of intelligence contractors be consolidated within the Office of Security into a single unit, such as PSD, or should the function continue to remain separated, as presently is the case, with both PSD and the Investigations Division of IOS sharing responsibilities. Under present procedures, TSD and OSI contractor clearances flow to the PSD and the DPD contractor clearances would flow to IOS. I anticipate that the use of intelligence contractors under DDR will tend to meld because of the joint research interest and because a certain number of companies are jointly used but under different contracts by DPD, TSD and OSI.

(f) It is anticipated that the number of operational intelligence collection programs which are compartmented under project designations will increase. This will magnify the problems of providing security

~~SECRET~~

operational support and will further drain our resources in the form of personnel, man hours for couriers, escorts and special activities. An additional factor will be maintaining general control over these and similar activities and keeping the Director of Security and the appropriate office elements advised of problems and developments.



25X1C4c

3. I recommend that consideration be given to these problems, particularly in view of current Agency reorganization which presents an opportunity to effect some changes if we deem them to be necessary.

SIGNED

25X1A9a

  
Deputy Director of Security

~~SECRET~~