

Executive Registry
74-5020/3

DD/M&S 74-2440

26 June 1974

DA QA/QC:
02/20/01. SY

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

THROUGH: Deputy Director for Science and Technology
Deputy Director for Operations
Deputy Director for Management and Services

SUBJECT: Letter from M [redacted] attached

25X1A

25X1A

1. [redacted] may have a complaint.

2. As an aftermath of the attached letter, we were able to piece together a series of events and information which confirms a rather pitiful lapse of communication within the Office of Technical Service.

25X1A

3. During a meeting which I held with [redacted] and [redacted] on Tuesday, 25 June (which parenthetically was scheduled because I did not see [redacted] on Friday, the 21st, and not because of the knowledge of his letter), I ascertained that Mr. [redacted] did advise [redacted] that, based on the various approvals noted in the attachments to [redacted] letter, the course would be extended one more year, i.e., through August 1975. No mention was made as to contract, how often the course would be run, dollar value, but some continuing relationship with [redacted] was acknowledged. Ignorant of DCI approval, the Director of OTS held a series of discussions with Mr. Brownman, [redacted] and Dr. Tietjen. At a meeting with Dr. Tietjen on 14 June, Dr. Tietjen expressed his opposition based on medical reasons to such a "sensitivity" course, and he proffered a proposed memorandum to the DCI objecting to OTS continuation of the course. I indicated to Dr. Tietjen that I would not contest his recommendation since I feel that as Chief Medical Officer of the Agency he remains responsible for the physical and mental well-being of our employees, and if he was against such a course, I would not argue for its continuation. I arrived at this decision based on:

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

a. My unawareness that the DCI had already approved its continuation;

E2 IMPDET
CL BY 059687

CONFIDENTIAL

25X1A

SUBJECT: Letter from [REDACTED], attached

b. My own questioning as to why OTS should be in the business of conducting a course such as this which I feel is more appropriate for OTR or OMS to conduct; and

c. The fact that I was aware of some DDO reservations regarding its continuation.

I suggested to Dr. Tietjen that it would not be necessary to forward his objections to the DCI, but rather I would advise the DDO and recommend that we forego the course.

25X1A

4. Shortly after my discussion with Dr. Tietjen I contacted the ADDO, [REDACTED] and advised him of Dr. Tietjen's objections and, if I can remember Dave's instructions, he said, in effect, "arrange a contract which would permit us to run a course on an as-needed basis provided students were available, as opposed to scheduling a prescribed number."

25X1A

5. When we informed [REDACTED] that his contract would not be renewed per se, it was my intent that the details of any continuation would have to be worked out with Dr. Tietjen, the DDO, and ourselves.

25X1A

6. I will not attest to the veracity of all the statements in [REDACTED] letter--in fact, some are incorrect, but I do say the thrust of it is fairly factual. What remains rhetorically is:

25X1A

a. In light of Dr. Tietjen's concerns, does the DCI still desire continuation of [REDACTED] specific course?

25X1A

b. If he doesn't, what are our legal and moral obligations to [REDACTED]? He is well aware that no specific contractual arrangements were discussed. His present contract expires August 1974.

c. If the course is continued, is it appropriate for OTS to run it, or should it be done in collaboration with OMS, or deferred completely to OMS or OTR?

7. It is recommended that OTS undertake in collaboration with OMS, OTR, and the DDO, the structuring of a course for case officers which would be acceptable to the Medical Staff. Specifics of who and how that course would be run would be deferred pending such structuring. Further, if it is determined that [REDACTED] cannot play a

25X1A

SUBJECT: Letter from [REDACTED], attached

25X1A

constructive role in the newly-structured course, that his contract be allowed to expire and not be renewed in August 1974.

25X1A

8. We are also concerned about the security implications in that [REDACTED] although a former Contract A employee but now an independent contractor, has access to internal CIA memoranda which he provided as attachments to his letter. I understand from him directly that he took the documents out of the course file located in South Building, and took them to Headquarters where the letter which was addressed to you was prepared.

9. We cannot speculate as to what additional steps [REDACTED] may take if his contract is not renewed. In addition to our objective to improve our communications, we also intend to improve our security.

25X1A

*Original Signed by
JOHN N. McMAHON*

John N. McMahon
Director
Office of Technical Service

Enclosure:

25X1A

[REDACTED] ltr dtd
21Jun74 w/att

APPROVED: _____

for W. E. Colby

29 JUN 1974

DISAPPROVED: _____

DD/M&S Distribution:

- 1 - DD/M&S Subject, w/Encl
- 1 - DTR, w/Encl
- 1 - DMS, w/Encl ✓

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

TRANSMITTAL SLIP		DATE 1 JUL 1974
TO: Director of Medical Services		
ROOM NO. 1D4060	BUILDING Hqs	
REMARKS:		
FROM: ADD/M&S		
ROOM NO. 7D18	BUILDING Hqs	EXTENSION

FORM NO. 241
1 FEB 55

REPLACES FORM 36-8
WHICH MAY BE USED.

(47)