PAMPHLET T-7 March 1972

# EMPLOYEE TRAING

INTHE

# FEDERAL SERVICE

FISCAL YEAR 1971



U.S. CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION
BUREAU OF TRAINING

# EMPLOYEE TRAINING

IN THE

# FEDERAL SERVICE

FISCAL YEAR 1971



U.S. CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION
BUREAU OF TRAINING

	TABLE OF CONTENTS	Page
ī	INTRODUCTION	. <b>i</b>
	Improved Training Management	. 1
	Government-Wide Programs	ii
II.	AGENCY TRAINING ACTIVITIES	- 1
	Agency Participation	. 2
	Training by Type	_ 3
	Training by Source	_ 7
	Training by Grade Group and Pay System	_ 8
	Discussion of Trends	
	Agency Training Centers	_ 14 _ 16
	Off-Campus Study Centers	
	Training Expenditures	_
	Distribution of Full-Time Training Personnel	_ 10
III.	. TRAINING ACTIVITIES OF THE CIVIL SERVICE	
	COMMISSION	_ 22
	Interagency Training	_ 22
	Intergovernmental Training Programs	_ 25
	Consultation, Leadership, and Assistance	_ 25
TX/	. ACCOMPLISHMENTS THROUGH TRAINING	29
1 4	Training to Improve Performance of Current Dutles	_ 40
	Training in Anticipation of Future Programs and Staffing Needs	_ 50
	Training as a Result of New Missions or Programs	_ 31
	Training to Keen Employees Abreast of Technological Advances	
	and to Maintain Proficiency in Specialization	_ 32
	Training to Develop Unavailable Skills	32
<b>%</b> 7	TOTAL DEVIEW OF EDAINING	

published annually by the United States Civil Service Commission. This is the fifth year the report has appeared in this form. It serves two basic purposes. First, it meets the reporting requirements established by Congress in the Government Employees Training Act. Second, it serves the agencies of the Government, aiding them in the management of the Federal training program.

The report contains five sections. Section I discusses developments in several major areas of interest during 1971. Among these were an increased emphasis placed on improved management of training, and the continuation of two major Presidential programs, executive development and upward mobility, focusing attention on training for the upper and lower grades of the Federal service. This section also highlights labor relations training, which emerged as a significant area of concern during the year.

Section II displays and analyzes data submitted by agencies in connection with their FY 1971 training activities. Section III shows the training activities of the Civil Service Commission, including the Commission's developmental work on a training cost model, which is now available for agency use, and the joint agency-Commission evaluation of long-term training in non-Government facilities conducted during the 1960's. Section IV describes significant training programs which helped to improve agency operations during the year. Section V contains summary data tables on agency training activities.

#### IMPROVED TRAINING MANAGEMENT

Two major documents were issued during calendar year 1971 in connection with the management of training. The first came from the Comptroller General of the United States on May 25. This was Report B-70896,, "Improvements Needed in Management of Training Under the Government Employees Training Act." Following a review of the management of training programs at 14 Department of Defense installations, the General Accounting Office concluded that better cost accounting systems were needed for training, and that record-keeping, evaluation, and audit and inspection procedures should be improved. While the General Accounting Office study

I INTRODUCTION

Approved For Release 2000/05/08: CfA-RDB 78el062 15A000200070004-6 ced by

Employee Training in the Federal Service is

training officers in all Federal agencies in managwas concerned only with the Department of Detraining officers in all Federal agencies in managing the training function. A basic issue the General Accounting Office report illuminates is the absence of accurate training cost data, data necessary not only for reporting purposes, but also for planning and assessing the training function. The Commission agreed with these findings, and stated that it was designing a methodology which could lead to a uniform cost estimation system for training. This was the training cost model, which is described in Section III of this report. The cost model allows estimates of course costs to be made, given certain assumptions concerning its length. location, format, and instructor and participant mix.

> While the cost model should meet the General Accounting Office requirements for keeping accurate training cost records, it can also assist in meeting the requirements of the second major document on training management to appear, the Office of Management and Budget Circular A-48 (revised), "Responsibilities for Planning Training Investments and Regulations Governing Reductions in Payments to Employees," dated September 23, 1971. It stated that "In connection with budgetary responsibilities, the Office of Management and Budget will review agency training plans and resource allocations to assure that:

- "1) A systematic planning process is being employed by the agency;
- "2) The agency is systematically identifying its training targets;
- "3) Adequate dollar and manpower resources to accomplish the training effort have been planned for and assigned; and
- "4) Adequate provisions have been made to evaluate and measure benefits of training in relation to the investment made."

The Civil Service Commission was cited as being responsible for assisting agencies to develop sound programs and financial plans for training. The cost model in particular can be a basic element in a training planning system by integrating training plans with the agency budget cycle and by giving agency management the opportunity to select among training options by costing out different programs and comparing them.

Section II, Agency Training Activities, can also be useful in taking the steps toward better training management required by OMB Circular A-48. It provides a detailed summary and analysis of the training agencies gave during FY 1971, as well as trends over the last five years. This report was first published in FY 1967, and now five years of experience are available to begin to discuss trends in a meaningful way. In particular, the concept of training ratios is more fully developed than last year, when it was first introduced in discussing the amount of training given by grade group and pay system. It is believed that these ratios can play an important part in the planning, evaluation, and control of training, since they refine gross data to show the proportionate amount of time employees spend in training. Section II includes a subpart which shows agencies how these training ratios can be used, as well as certain precautions which must be taken in interpreting the data. Section II is supplemented by Section V, the Statistical Review of Training. This section contains the data tables on which the analysis was based, including detailed summaries of training ratios and trends for 31 of the reporting agencies.

Any management system requires accurate, relevant data, and both the cost model and the training ratio tables should be able to provide this in the areas of cost projection and the estimation of level of program activity. The long-term training study described in Section III is also a step toward better training management through evaluation and feedback into existing policy for the purpose of future planning.

#### GOVERNMENT-WIDE PROGRAMS

The remainder of the report focuses on programs designed to achieve specific training goals. Among these goals is the establishment of agency executive development programs. For several years, executive development has been a matter of significant concern. On October 8, 1971, the Civil Service Commission issued "Guidelines for Executive Development in the Federal Service," requiring for the first time that agencies establish specific programs with high levels of organizational commitment, development plans for each midmanager of high potential as well as each incumbent executive, improved mobility programs, more effective training resource utilization, and improved program evaluation.

The guidelines present ways agencies may use to achieve the policy goals for executive development set forth in the Memorandum for the

Heads of Benartments and deprior of the Office of Management and Budget on September 14, 1971. The guidelines are an extension of past Commission interest in management training, reflected in such programs as the establishment of the Federal Executive Institute. the Executive Seminar Centers, numerous shorter interagency courses for supervisors, managers, and executives, as well as regulations requiring that each new first-line supervisor receive a specified amount of training. In addition, the Commission has undertaken a study of current management training needs throughout the Government, as well as an assessment and proposal of possible systems for meeting those needs. At the present time, most Government managers and executives are primarily specialists who have been promoted to supervisory and policy-making levels. Whether it is believed that administration should be a separate profession, or whether it ought to be practiced only by those who have come up through the specialist ranks, the fact remains that serious questions have been raised concerning management performance both within Government, as well as by the public at large. The present emphasis on executive development attempts to face some of these problems.

Labor relations training also assumed special importance last year. The Commission's new Labor Relations Training Center became officially and fiscally operative on July 1, 1971, although courses were being conducted at Washington, D.C., and the Regions before that date. Labor relations training is one of the most urgent management training needs in the Federal Government at the present time. Many agencies, however, are not making themselves ready to deal with labor organizations in a bilateral, collective bargaining sense. This is despite the fact that during the next several years at least 3,000 new agreements will have to be negotiated with exclusive bargaining units. Facing this challenge will provide a stimulating test for both Commission and agency training operations.

Upward Mobility training for lower-level employees continues to be a high-priority program, in addition to those programs which have been established in connection with Equal Employment Opportunity. Section III of this report describes some recent steps of the Commission. These include: (1) establishment of the Southwest Inter-

governmental Training Center in San Antonio, Texas; and proved For Reflets e 2000/05/08: CFA REP78-06215A000200070004-6 the Commission's central office. In addition, the Other areas of training also received Public Service Careers program completed its first full year of operation, and on January 26, 1971, the Commission issued an FPM Letter (410-9) reminding agencies of the availability of non-Government facilities as a training resource for those in the lower grades.

Section VI, Accomplishments Through Training, contains descriptions of some of the agency programs which are directed along these lines. One such program, conducted by the Department of Commerce through Public Service Careers funding, provided orientation counseling and skills training to entry employees, as well as upgrade training for employees in such areas as clerical and stenographic occupations, computer technology, printing, and trades. Supervisors of the worker-trainees received training in special skills essential to supervising low-skilled em-

Other areas of training also received special emphasis during FY 1971. Of significant interest was the growth in intergovernmental training programs. When funds are allocated to state and local governments under the provisions of the Intergovernmental Personnel Act, more activity can be expected. Next year's training report will contain a special section discussing FY 1972 data to be reported by agencies on training of this type.

#### SUMMARY

This report attempts to present an outline of the FY 1971 training experience. It is hoped that the report will be useful, not only to the Congress in assessing the state of employee training, but also to agency management and training personnel at all organizational levels.

# II AGENCY TRAINING ACTIVITIES Technical training was the most widely Approved For Release 2000/05/08: CIA-RDS-78-06215A000200070004-6r 38%

Last year training participation rose from 907,969 to 967,619, an increase of 6.6% over FY 1970. Participation has increased by 3.7% since FY 1967, the first year of this report. This section describes these and other changes through data on training participation, the cost of training, and the numbers and distribution of training personnel. Conclusions regarding the meaning of the data are drawn whenever possible and ways are given to use the data in managing the training program. Summary data show the following highlights:


- Internal training continued to be the most widely used source of training, accounting for 73.7% of all training participants. Total internal participation increased by 7.8%.
- Interagency training grew by 10.2%. There was no change in non-Government short-term training. Non-Government long-term training decreased by 20 cases, from 1662 to 1642. This was the third consecutive year this type of training declined.

<sup>1</sup> Training participation comprises all *instances* of attendance at formal classroom training courses of eight hours duration or longer. 
<sup>2</sup> Because the U.S. Postal Service is no longer required to report training data to the Civil Service Commission, all Post Office data from

previous years will also be excluded.

- of training participants and 49% of training man-hours. Professional, Medical, Scientific, and Engineering training grew by 11.4%, showing the greatest increase for any type.
- The average length of training programs Government-wide was 48 hours. Taken as a group, Federal employees spent 1.11% of their total working time in training.
- Training rates for employees in grades 1-4 increased by 4.9%. The increase for those in grades 5-8 and 9-12 was 6.9% and 10.9%, respectively. Training rates for those in grades 13-15, 16-18, and Wage Systems all declined. The decline was 1.2%, 21.2%, and 9.8%, respectively.
- 127,621 Federal employees were trained in 83 training centers operated by 15 agencies. This accounted for 13.2% of all participants.
- Reported training expenditures increased from \$171,266,470 to a total of \$200,271,-208. This was an increase of 17.2%. The average expenditure per employee trained was \$207. The average expenditure per employee on the rolls was \$96.

Chart 1 - TRAINING PARTICIPATION (in thousands)



#### AGENAPPROVED FOR Release 2000/05/08: CIAGROPTO - 062% 540002000 0004 - 6ith 1,000

Table 1 shows changes in participation by agency and fiscal year. Total participation increased by 3.7% from FY 1967 to FY 1971. This increase has not occurred uniformly in all agencies. In general, training by the Department of Defense has gone down, while training in the other agencies has gone up. Chart 1 illustrates this fact.

In 1967, Defense participation accounted for 56.5% of all trainees. In 1971, the corresponding

or more participants in FY 1971, only three outside Defense have decreased their participation since FY 1967. These are AEC (-64.1%), NASA (-31.6%), and Small Business Administration (-66.0%). All other agencies in this group have grown in participation.

In 1967, each of the Defense departments—Army, Navy, and Air Force—trained more than 140,000 participants. In that year, only two other agencies trained more than 50,000. These were

Table 1: PARTICIPATION BY AGENCY AND FISCAL YEAR

						07	~
AGENCY	FY 1967	FY 1968	FY 1969	FY 1970	FY 1971	CHANGE BETWEEN FY 70-71	CHANGE BETWEEN FY 67-71
TOTAL	933 ,457	924 , $294$	931,971	907,969	967,619	+6.6	+3.7
	406 , $153$	402,784	413,520	447 , $046$	548,572	+22.7	+35.0
Agriculture	57,996	62,955	66,736	83 ,438	91,663	+9.9	+58.1
Commerce	9,974	11,277	14,601	15,680	21,314	+35.9	+113.7
	527 , $304$	521 , $510$	518,451	460,923	419,047	-9.1	-20.5
Army (2		(172,773)	(192,603)	(175, 164)	(186, 165)	+6.2	-9.0
Navy (1		(172,821)	(163,372)	(136,675)	(95,966)	-29.8	-31.9
Air Force(1	145,069)	(122,688)	(112,800)	(104,059)	(93,452)	-10.2	-35.6
	(36,760)	(53,228)	(49,676)	(45,025)	(43,464)	-3.6	+18.2
	37, $955$	72,553	35,760	41,456	49,698	+19.9	+30.9
HUD	4,311	8,311	3,400	3,629	7,090	+95.3	+64.5
Interior	48,327	47,168	43,060	36,142	48,288	+33.6	-0.1
Justice	13,327	15,324	15,914	21,048	29,899	+42.1	+210.1
Labor	4,590	8,858	6,006	6,628	8,264	+24.7	+80.0
State	2 , $694$	1,566	5,271	3,296	5,017	+52.2	+86.2
(Including AID)					•	•	
Transportation	36,053	33 , $107$	23,931	32,864	39,880	+21.3	+10.6
Treasury	66,231	38,813	73,465	71,291	97,957	+37.4	+47.9
AEC	9,485	3,673	3,387	2,904	3,404	+17.2	-64.1
CSC	1,352	987	1,084	1,770	2,944	+66.3	+117.8
DC Govt	8,322	16,807	16,454	21,493	25,806	+20.1	+310.1
EPA				,	1,311		_
GAO	1,281	2,374	2,165	2,031	4,501	+121.6	+251.4
GPO		930	698	872	1,395	+60.0	
GSA	8,216	12,409	11,828	17,848	20,295	+13.7	+147.0
Lib of Congress	504	756	935	1,105	1,538	+38.2	+205.2
NASA	32,629	23,192	21 , $635$	23,217	22,322	-3.9	-31.6
OEO	<del></del>		_	· —	2,335		
Panama Canal	12,112	7,868	6,472	5,498	5,050	-8.1	-58.3
Selective Service	1,651	3,977	5,707	4,461	2,062	-53.8	+24.9
SBA	4,051	2,970	2,420	1,816	1,378	-24.1	-66.0
	40,189	38,605	45,338	41,785	49,847	-19.3	+24.0
All Others*	4,135	6,854	7,618	7,646	5,314	-30.5	+28.5

<sup>\*18</sup> Other agencies, each reporting fewer than 1,000 participants in FY 71 Data for these agencies are included in the Summary tables in Section VI

Agriculture and Treasury, By 1971, Treasury had Agriculture was close behind. Chart 2 illustrates the changes for these five.

Five agencies last year trained 30-50,000 participants. These were VA, HEW, Interior, Other Defense, and Transportation. Chart 3 shows the changes in these organizations during the five year period.


Five other agencies trained 20-30,000. These


were Justice, D.C. Government, NASA, Comtrained Approved by Arelease 2000/05/08: CIA-RD R78 06216A000200070004-6vn rapid growth in recent years. In 1967, this group, excluding NASA, trained 39,839 participants. By 1971 the number had grown to 97,314, an increase of 144.3%. Chart 4 shows the changes in all five agencies.

#### TRAINING BY TYPE

Training activity is reported in four categories by type: professional, medical, scientific,

Chart 2 - TRAINING PARTICIPATION (in thousands)






and engineering; technical; administrative, management, and supervisory; and "other." Table 2 shows the change in participation from FY 1970. Charts 5 and 6 show the percentage of participants and man-hours devoted to each type.

Professional training is reported for the medical, scientific, engineering, legal, and related

fields, including the social sciences, education, and library science. Professional training grew by 11.4% over FY 1970. Although the total number trained has not yet reached the previous high of 183,891 reported in 1969, an overall growth of 67.8% since 1967 has been reported. Only 19% of all participants went to this type of training, al-

Table 2: PARTICIPATION BY TYPE AN	D FISCAL YI	EAR	
TOTAL Professional, Medical, Scientific, Engineering Technical Administrative, Management, Supervisory Other	163,034 354,248	FY 1971 967,619 181,695 367,903 199,964 218,057	% CHANGE +6.5% +11.4% +3.9% +3.8% +9.9%

### Shartved-Forkelease 2000/05/08: CIA-RDP78-06215A000200070004-6



though they received 23% of the total training man-hours. The average session lasted 62 hours, compared to the average for all courses of 50 hours.

As shown in Table 3, 31.6% of professional training last year was given in non-Government facilities on a short-term basis, primarily at colleges and universities. 62.0% was given in-house.

Technical training includes courses in trades and crafts, in facilities and services (such as materials handling and building and grounds maintenance), and in administrative techniques and skills (such as automatic data processing, personnel, finance and budget, and supply).

In addition, much of this training is given for jobs which do not have counterparts in industry or which are difficult to fill through ordinary recruiting channels. These jobs are found in such areas as Federal criminal law enforcement, food inspection, internal revenue collection, and in the military and space agencies. The Department of Transportation operates an extensive technical training operation for air flight controllers, and the entire Federal personnel, budgeting, supply, and grant administration staffs must be trained in procedures peculiar to government. Four agencies accounted for 81% of all technical training last year. These were Agriculture, Defense, Transportation, and Treasury. Technical training data show these additional highlights:

- Technical training rose by 3.9% last year.
- More employees were trained in this cate-

# Approved For Release 2000/05/08: CIA-RDP78-06215A000200070004-6 Chart 5 - PERCENTAGE OF TRAINING PARTICIPANTS BY TYPE





Chart 6 - PERCENTAGE OF TRAINING MAN-HOURS BY TYPE



# Approved For Release 2000/05/08: CIA-RDP78-06215-000-200270004-6

Source	e of Training		Non-Gov	ernment	
	Internal	Interagency			Total
Professional, Medical, Scientific, Engineering	62.0	5.7	31.6	0.7	100.0
Technical		5.5	13.3		<b>100</b> .0
Administrative, Management, Supervisory	67.9	16.2	15.8	0.1	100.0
Other	70 5	10.5	13.0		100.0
All Types	73.7	8.9	17.2	0.2	100.0

gory than in any other. 38% of all participants fell into this category, as well as 49% of all training man-hours.

- Technical training averaged 65 hours per instance of participation, compared to the average for all courses of 50.
- 81.2% of technical training was given inhouse.

Training in administration, management, and supervision (A/M/S) grew 3.8% last year and shows these additional highlights:

- A/M/S training accounted for 21% of all participants, but only 14% of participant man-hours.
- The average length of a session was 33 hours, considerably lower than the average for all courses of 50.
- 67.9% of A/M/S training was given inhouse. 16.2% consisted of interagency training, and 15.8% was given in non-Government facilities on a short-term basis. There were 292 A/M/S trainees in non-Government long-term training compared to 249 in FY 1970.

From 1967 to 1969, this type of training fell steadily, from 183,654 participants to 168,876. Data for FY 1970 reflected the implementation of Civil Service Commission regulations requiring that new first-line supervisors receive 80 hours of classroom training within two years of promotion. As a result, A/M/S training increased by 43.6% in 1970 over the previous year. This level was maintained last year despite the general decline in training rates among employees in grades 13–15, 16–18, and Wage Systems supervisors.

Participation in other types of training programs increased by 9.9%. The bulk of this training is secretarial and clerical, although other

types of training are included, such as apprentice training, fire prevention, orientation, safety, communications skills, summer employee training, medical self-help, etc. The average length of training sessions was 30 hours, considerably below the average. 76.5% of this training was given inhouse.

#### TRAINING BY SOURCE

For FY 1971, 967,619 instances of training were reported in four different categories by source of training. The sources were internal, interagency, non-Government long-term, and non-Government short-term. The number of employees trained and the percentage trained by each source were as follows:

Internal Interagency Non-Govt. Long-Term Non-Govt. Short-Term	713,803 85,934 1,642 166,240	73.7% $8.9%$ $0.2%$ $17.2%$
Total	967,619	100.0%

FY 1970 internal training participation was 661,945. Last year's growth amounted to 7.8%. As Table 4 indicates, in-house training occurred primarily in the area of technical training. "Other" types of training, such as training in office skills, is the second largest area of in-house activity.

Interagency training showed another year of growth, increasing by 10.2%. Instances of interagency training have increased each year since this report was first published for FY 1967. The increase from 1967 to 1971 has been 72%. It is expected that this source of training will continue to grow in the future, as increased emphasis is

# Approved For Release 2000/05/08: CIA-RDP/8-06215A000200070004-8 Table 4: PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL PARTICIPATION BY SOURCE AND TYPE—FY 1971

Source of	of Training				
D. 4. 1. 1. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	Internal	Interagency	Non-Gov Short-Term	ernment Long Term	All Sources
Professional, Medical, Scientific Engineering Technical	15.8 41.8	12.1 $23.6$	$\frac{34.6}{29.4}$	74.0 7.5	18.8
Administrative, Management, and Supervisory	19.0 23.4	37.6 26.7	19.0	17.8	$\frac{38.0}{20.7}$
Total			17.0	0.7	22.5
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

placed on interagency and intergovernmental cooperation.

Administrative, supervisory, and management training was the predominant type conducted on an interagency basis. 37.6% of all interagency participants took courses of this type. "Other" and technical training were next in importance. Relatively little interagency training was given in the professional fields. Nevertheless, the 10,402 trainees in professionally oriented interagency courses represented a 31% increase over FY 1970.

For the third year in a row, non-Government long-term training declined.1 The decrease was small, however, amounting to only 20 instances, a decrease of 1.2%. This type of training grew rapidly from FY 1960 to FY 1968, but has declined in recent years. Almost three-fourths of non-Government long-term training was given in the professional fields. A distant second was administrative, management, and supervisory training, which accounted for 17.8% of the long-term training instances. Despite the relatively low number of training instances, A/M/S non-Government long-term training increased by 14.7% over FY 1970, growing from 249 to 292 instances. Non-Government short-term training fell by less than one percent. Training provided by this source was dominated by professional training (34.6%) and technical training (29.4%).

Charts 7-10 show changes in these types of training over the last five years.

### TRAINING BY GRADE GROUP AND PAY SYSTEM

Because of the flux in agency population distribution, gross figures of numbers of employees trained by grade group and pay system do not present the most accurate picture of the rate of

training, or of the change in the rate. For that reason, this analysis of training by grade group and pay system is based on the computation of training participant ratios and training manhour ratios.

To determine the participant ratio for any group of employees, the number of training participants is divided by the population for that category. Thus, a group of 100 employees with 50 instances of training will have a participant ratio of 50%.

Similarly, the training man-hour ratio is determined by dividing the number of hours spent in training by the total number of hours worked by the employee group. If the employee group worked for one million man-hours during the course of a year and spent ten thousand of these man-hours in training, the man-hour ratio would be 1.00%.

This year, as in the past, the number of hours worked by a full-time employee is taken to be 1816 hours per year. This is 8 hours a day for 227 days (260 days less 8 paid holidays, 20 days annual leave, and 5 days sick leave).

The analysis was based on data for 31 Federal agencies.<sup>2</sup> Those Cabinet departments and large agencies (over 10,000 employees) were included where over 50% of the employees were either General Schedule or Wage Systems employees. These agencies were Agriculture, Commerce, Army, Navy, Air Force, Other Defense, GSA, HEW, HUD, Interior, Justice, Labor, NASA, Transportation, Treasury, and VA. In addition, 15 small agencies were included. These were CAB, Civil Service Commission, EEOC, FCC, Federal Home Loan Bank Board, FPC,

8

Long-term training is training through non-Government facilities in courses over 120 days in duration.

<sup>2</sup> Data for all agencies is included, however, in the discussion of training rates for GS 16-18 executives.

Chart 7 - PARTICIPATION IN INTERNAL TRAINING 750,000 Approved For Release 2000/05/08 : CIA-RDP78-06215-A000200070004-6 375,000 FY 67 FY 69 FY 70 FY 71





Chart 9 - PARTICIPATION IN NON-GOVERNMENT SHORT-TERM TRAINING 200,000 100,000 FY 67 FY 68 FY 69 FY 70 FY 71




FTC, IApproved For Release 2000/05/08 Relations Board, OMB, Railroad Retirement Board, SEC, Small Business Administration, and the Smithsonian Institution. Population data was that of June 30, 1970. The 31 agencies employ 98% of all General Schedule employees.

For the 31 agencies studied, the training participant ratio was 42.1%. The training man-hour ratio was 1.11%. This latter figure meant that on the average, employees spent 20.2 hours in training during the course of the year. Gross participation increased by 1.5% over FY 1970, but participant man-hours decreased by 2.8%. The average length of training sessions per participant was 48 hours in FY 1971. The average length in 1970 was 50 hours.

Data for individual grade groups yielded the

Chart 11 - AVERAGE TIME SPENT BY EMPLOYEES IN TRAINING BY PAY GROUP AND SYSTEM



# FTC, IApproved For Release 2000/05/08: CIA-RDP78-06215A00020PQ79004-60UR Relations Board, OMB, Railroad Retirement RATIOS BY GRADE GROUP

Grade Group	Participant Ratio	Man-hour Ratio
GS 1-4	33.3%	0.64%
GS 5–8	47.8%	1.39%
GS 9-12	75.6%	2.03%
GS-13-15	71.7%	1.67%
GS 16–18	48.2%	1.30%
Wage Systems	17.0%	0.55%
Other Systems	$\boldsymbol{23.1\%}$	0.46%
	to an exception of me	\$4 + 1 - 4 - 1 - 1 - 1

participant ratios and man-hour ratios shown in Table 5. Chart 11 shows the corresponding figures for the average time spent in training by each employee in the grade group.

Comparisons with data from FY 1970 in Table 6 show changes in the grade group populations, numbers of training participants, numbers of training man-hours, participant ratios, manhour ratios, and the numbers of hours of training per participant. This table will be the basis for the discussion which follows.

#### DISCUSSION OF TRENDS

GS 1-4

The number of lower level employees in the Federal service is steadily declining and has been for several years. Last year the number dropped by 8.3% from the previous year in the 31 agencies studied. Total training instances at this level also decreased. Despite the net increase in training instances, the proportion of time spent in training to total time worked increased for this group. The increase was accounted for by training programs in two agencies. GSA instituted an accelerated guard training program, and the Department of Transportation operated Project 150, where future Air Flight Controllers in grades 3 and 4 each spent 150 days in training to prepare for future positions. If the data from these two agencies are excluded, then the training ratios for lower level employees did not change to any appreciable extent.

Summary data show the following highlights for grades 1-4:

• The training participant ratio increased by 4.7% and the man-hour ratio by 4.9%.

-

1

#### Approved\_Fgr\_Relgase\_200000508ATCIASRDR78-06215A000200070004-6

Grade Group	Population	Participants	Man-Hours	Part-Ratio	MH-Ratio	Hrs/Part
GS 1-4	-8.3 $-3.7$ $-3.4$ $+5.7$ $+5.1$ $-10.3$	$\begin{array}{c} -4.0 \\ +5.5 \\ +7.4 \\ +19.2 \\ -4.2 \\ -19.7 \\ -18.0 \\ +1.5 \end{array}$	-3.8 +2.8 +7.2 +4.2 -17.7 -18.6 -55.2 -2.8	+4.7 +9.4 +11.2 +12.7 -8.9 -10.5	+4.9 $+6.9$ $+10.9$ $-1.2$ $-21.2$ $-9.8$	0.0 $-1.8$ $0.0$ $-12.5$ $-14.0$ $0.0$ $-41.9$ $-4.0$
All Agencies		,				

- Nine large agencies increased training by 25% or more. These were Agriculture (+26.5%), Commerce (+37.8%), Army (+26.1%), Other Defense (+25.8%), HEW (+83.3%), Interior (+49.1%), Labor (+43.5%), Transportation (+138.9%), and GSA (+200.0%). The 15 small agencies increased training by 34.1%.
- Five large agencies cut back training by 21% or more. These were Air Force (-25.0%), Navy (-36.1%), HUD (-44.4%), Treasury (-27.3%), and NASA (-21.8%).
- Employees in this group made up 15.8% of the total population and 12.5% of all training participants. They received 9.1% of the training man-hours.
- The average length of a training session was 35 hours, or a little more than four days. There was no change in this figure from FY 1970.

#### GS 5-8

The category of employees in grades 5-8 contains a preponderance of nonprofessional employees and a smaller number of entry-level professionals. During FY 1971, the population of this group decreased by 3.7%, but they received more training than the year before. Data show the following highlights:

- Participants among this group increased by 5.5% and training man-hours by 2.8%.
- Because fewer employees received more training, the participant ratio rose by 9.4% and the man-hour ratio by 6.9%.

- The fifteen small agencies increased training by nearly a third, or 32.8%.
- Three large agencies showed an increase of 96% or more. These were HEW (+96.2%), HUD (+575.0%), and Justice (+130.8%).
- Two cut back training by more than 45%. These were Navy (-45.8%), and Transportation (-55.8%).
- Employees in grades 5-8 made up 18.4% of the total population and 20.9% of the training participants. They received 23.0% of the training man-hours.
- The average length of a training session for these employees was 53 hours, or almost seven days. This was roughly the same figure as the year before.

#### GS 9-12

Grades 9-12 are the most populous of the GS categories. They are composed of three groups: higher-level non-professionals, entry-level and pre-journeyman professionals, and journeyman professionals. Although their numbers decreased by 3.4% last year, participation increased by 7.4% and the number of training man-hours by 7.2%. Again, fewer employees received more training. The following highlights appear in the data:

- The participant ratio increased by 11.2% and the man-hour ratio by 10.9%. These were the largest increases for any group.
- Four large agencies increased training by more than 52%. These were HUD (+880.0%), Interior (+66.3%), Labor (+72.6%), and Treasury (+52.2%).
- The greatest decrease occurred in Navy (-33.1%).

- Approved For Refease 2600 65/08 of CIA-RDP78 6245A000200070004 6 fraining retotal population and 37.8% of the training participants. They received 38.3% of the training man-hours.
- The average length of a training session was 49 hours, or about six days. There was no change in this figure from last year.

GS 13-15

Grades 13-15 are composed primarily of journeyman professionals and middle managers. The population of this group increased last year by 5.7%, the largest increase for any group. Training participation increased by 19.2% and training man-hours by 4.2%. Data show these additional highlights:

- The average length of training sessions decreased from 48 to 42 hours. Thus while the participant ratio increased by 12.7%, the man-hour ratio decreased by 1.2%. The amount of training given to these employees did not keep pace with their growth in numbers.
- Three large agencies increased training by at least 45%. These were HUD (+45.9%), Transportation (+46.7%), and Treasury (+84.4%).
- Four cut back training by at least 20%. These were Navy (-27.1%), Interior (-20.2%), Justice (-44.1%), and NASA (-22.6%).
- Employees in these grades made up 7.6% of the total population and accounted for 13.0% of the training participants. They received 11.4% of the training man-hours.

GS 16-18

The population of GS 16-18 executives also increased last year. The amount of increase was 5.1%, yet the number of training participants decreased by 4.2% and the participant man-hours, by 17.7%. In addition, the participant ratio fell by 8.9% and the man-hour ratio by 21.2%. The difference in the rate of change of the two ratios was caused by a decrease in the average length of training sessions of 14.0%. Data for all agencies taken together show the following highlights:

 The number of participants fell by 4.9% and the number of training man-hours by 20.2%.

- 7876827378000200700004f braining received by employees in these grades did not occur uniformly in all categories of training. Internal participation declined by 6.3%. Interagency training increased by 7.6%. Last year there were three long-term trainees in non-Government facilities, compared to eleven in FY 1970. This was a decrease of 72.7%. Non-Government short-term training decreased by 10.3%.
- Greater changes occurred in participant man-hours. Internal training declined by 18.4%. Interagency training increased by 8.6%. Non-Government long-term training decreased by 78.2%. And non-Government short-term training decreased by 34.2%.
- There was also change in the average length of training sessions. In 1970, the average internal session lasted 36.3 hours. In 1971, the average length was 32.7 hours, a decrease of 9.9%. Interagency sessions were slightly longer, growing from 86.7 hours to 88.2 hours, an increase of 1.7%. The average length of long-term non-Government programs decreased from 1704.7 hours to 1365.3 hours, or 19.9%. This was a decrease of eight and one-half weeks, dropping from 42.6 weeks to 34.1 weeks. The average length of non-Government short-term sessions fell even more, from 42.1 hours to 30.9 hours, a decline of 26.6%.

To summarize, training for employees in grades 16–18 decreased last year in three areas, internal training, non-Government long-term training, and non-Government short-term training. Interagency training was the only type of training to grow. Although participation at the Federal Executive Institute decreased by twelve, or 7%, this small decline was more than made up by the growth of other interagency programs.

Among the 31 agencies studied, the following highlights appear:

- Seven large agencies cut back training by 29% or more. These were Army (-45.2%), Air Force (-29.9%), Navy (-51.3%), Other Defense (-35.6%), Justice (-58.5%), Treasury (-56.5%), and NASA (-34.6%).
- Four increased training by 23% or more. These were HUD (+23.1%), Interior

 $(+44.2\%),\ Labor\ (+35.2\%),\ and\ VA$  training participants. They received 2.0% (Approved Release 2000/05/08 : CIA-RDP78-96215A00200070004-6 creased training by 163.3%.

• Employees in grades 16-18 made up 0.2% of the agency population and accounted for 0.2% of the training participants. They received 0.3% of the training man-hours.

#### Wage Systems

The Wage Systems employee population showed a major decrease last year of 10.3%. Because 85% of the Wage Systems employees worked for the Department of Defense, the bulk of the decline occurred there. The rate of decline was approximately equal in both Defense and the other agencies, 10% and 11%, respectively. Training data show the following highlights:

- Wage Systems participation fell by 19.7%. Training man-hours declined by 18.6%. The participant ratio fell by 10.5% and the man-hour ratio by 9.8%.
- Four large agencies increased training by at least 100%. These were Commerce (+180.0%), HEW (+291.7%), Treasury (+181.0%), and VA (+100.0%).
- Five showed a decrease of at least 22%. These were Navy (-28.0%), Other Defense (-22.5%), Justice (-100.0%), Transportation (-23.3%), and NASA (-42.7%).
- The average length of a Wage Systems training session was 59 hours. This was the same as for FY 1970.
- Wage Systems employees made up 32.1% of the total population and 12.9% of the training participants. They received 16.0% of the training man-hours.

#### Other Pay Systems

Other pay systems include VA's Department of Medicine and Surgery System, the AD, ST, and YV systems, etc. Participation for employees in other pay systems fell by 18.6% and the number of training man-hours fell by 55.2%. Participant and man-hour ratios were not derived because population data was not included for this group in last year's report. Highlights include these items:

 Employees in other pay systems made up 4.9% of the total population and 2.7% of the

• The average length of a training session was 36 hours. During FY 1970 it was 62 hours. The FY 1971 figure represented a decline of 41.9%.

#### Summary of Trends

With data for two large single-agency programs excluded (GSA and Transportation), grades 1-4 received the same amount of training as they did in FY 1970. Those in grades 5-8 and 9-12 received more. Training rates for employees in grades 13–15, 16–18, Wage Systems, and other pay systems declined. Trends in population and training show that the Federal workforce is becoming smaller, while some employees are being more intensively trained.

#### Using the Study

A summary table for each of the 31 agencies included in this analysis appears in Section V of the report. Assuming the accuracy of the reported data, each table presents a complete picture of trends in training for grades groups and pay systems. Great care should be taken interpreting this data, however. As previously indicated, fluctuations in the distribution of training within agencies are sometimes profound. This report makes no attempt to explain the causes of these changes, but merely shows what changes occurred. Alone, the figures do'not constitute a basis for justification or criticism of an agency's training activities. Nevertheless, the summary tables can be useful in the management of training. Accurate dataand the methodology to convert that data into useful information—is essential to sound management decisions. These same elements are also the basis for the planning, programming, and budgeting called for by E.O. 11348 and revised OMB Circular A-48. The participant and manhour ratios discussed in the present section and shown on an agency-by-agency basis in Section V are one such method.

Similar information can be derived by agencies as reports are received from constituent units which compile training and population data. This can be compared with data on other agencies with similar manpower and mission characteristics on a Government-wide basis through the Commission's annual training report.

Foarbroved for release 200 075/08: ance deficiencies in the work of one of its subunits. It could consult the training data to determine how much training the employees of the sub-unit are receiving proportionate to the amount of time they work. If the figure is low, compared to other organizations within or outside the agency, an indication may appear that the performance of the sub-unit could be improved through training. If the training ratio is average or high, the agency might then reach one of three conclusions: the training received is not appropriate to the unit's problem, the training is not being effectively delivered or, that in this instance, there is not a training problem and they should look elsewhere for an explanation of performance deficiencies. If additional training is undertaken, the agency could, at year-end, compute a new manhour ratio to determine how much training was given and compare this figure with any changes which have been observed in the sub-unit's performance.

Observing its man-hour ratios, an agency may also conclude that in certain places a large amount of training is being given which has never been evaluated. It may wish to find out why a training ratio is very high compared to those in other sub-units or in other agencies. In some cases, special training programs may suggest obvious answers. But even where a high man-hour ratio can be tracted to a particular program, the agency may determine that the program consumes such a large share of its total training resources that it should be examined carefully to determine its value. In the case of a high man-hour ratio for a particular group of employees, the agency may also wish to study other man-hour ratios to determine whether the emphasis on training for one group has led to other groups being undertrained. Such information can be most useful in planning and budget preparation.

In the case of Presidential programs, such as Upward Mobility and Executive Development, the training data can readily show to what extent an agency is participating in the program. An agency may conclude that its man-hour ratio for lower-level employees is too low for advancement to occur and may then take corrective action. On the other hand, it may determine that the training rate is adequate and take no further steps in the absence of further indications that a training

Clare blam exists 1153 also possible for an agency to have a well-publicized training program which does nothing to change the rate of training for an employee group or which may only withdraw resources from other programs which still need support.

Obviously, training ratios can never be the only items of information which lead to training decisions. The figures are program indicators, giving an approximate idea of the status of the training program, offering clues about where to look to locate training problems, and providing means for monitoring the effects of training decisions.

Used for these purposes, the training ratios are more valuable than gross figures of numbers of employees trained or of training expenditures. Properly conceived and applied, ratios of this type will become more useful to management in the planning, evaluation, and control of training.

The remainder of this section consists of three data tables showing figures for the agencies included in this study. The 16 large agencies are listed separately. The 15 small agencies are shown together. The tables show Changes in Man-Hour Ratios, FY 1970-FY 1971 (Table 7), Average Time Spent in Training by All Employees, FY 1971 (Table 8), and Average Length of Training Session per Participant (Table 9). These tables supplement the narrative in this section as well as the agency tables in the statistical section (Section V).

#### AGENCY TRAINING CENTERS

127,621 Federal employees attended agency training centers in FY 1971, accounting for 13.2% of all training participants. In addition, 20,198 non-Federal employees attended courses at the centers. Table 10 illustrates the participant composition at all centers.

Table 10: AGENCY TRAINING CENTER PARTICIPATION, FY 1971

	Participants	Percentage
Agency Employees	94,945	64.3
Employees of Other Agencies	32,676	22.1
Total Federal	127,621	86.4
Non-Federal	20 ,148	13.6
Total	147,769	100.0

<b>ARBIGOY.E.G. FIG. R. RELEAS &amp; AROLLO SILO BLANCE AROLLO BLANCE AROLLO</b>	6
--	---

Agency	GS 1-4	GS 5-8	GS 9-12	GS 13-15	GS 16–18	Wage Systems
Agriculture	+26.5	+1.6	+4.5	+5.5	-14.1	+42.1
Commerce	+37.8	-13.5	+7.9	-1.2	-7.1	+180.0
• Army	+26.1	+11.8	+22.4	+10.1	-45.2	-8.8
Air Force	-25.0	-3.9	-14.6	-16.8	-29.9	+1.7
Navy	-36.1	-45.8	-33.1	-27.1	-51.3	-28.0
Other Defense	+25.8	-7.8	-6.6	-17.6	-35.6	-22.5
HEW	+83.3	+96.2	-8.1	-11.0	-11.4	+291.7
HUD	-44.4	+575.0	+880.0	+45.9	+23.1	_
Interior	+49.1	+14.3	+66.3	-20.2	+44.2	-18.0
Justice	-14.9	+130.8	+8.6	-44.1	-58.5	-100.0
Labor	+43.5	+13.3	+72.6	-16.3	+35.2	
Transportation	+138.9	-55.8	+20.1	+46.7	-9.2	-23.3
Treasury	-27.3	-14.1	+52.2	+84.4	-56.5	+181.0
GSA	+200.0	+26.6	-3.7	+9.7	-8.3	+2.7
VA	-14.9	+11.4	+4.5	+2.3	+73.1	+100.0
NASA	-21.8	+8.4	-21.0	-22.6	-34.6	-42.7
15 Small Agencies	+34.1	+32.8	-1.4	0.0	+163.3	+94.4
All Agencies	+4.9	+6.9	+10.9	-1.2	-21.2	-9.8
*Other Pay Systems and Agency Totals not available						

Table Q.	AVED ACE TIME SPET	NT IN TRAINING BY A	ALL EMPLOYEES	EV 1971 (IN HOURS)
rame a:	A V Para Autra i Livilia de 19.			

Agency	GS 1-4	GS 5–8	GS 9–12	GS 13–15	GS 16–18	Wage Systems	Other	All Groups
Agriculture	7.8	23.2	37.6	31.4	30.9	4.9	28.7	${f 24}$ , ${f 3}$
Commerce	45.0	16.3	34.9	28.9	26.2	2.5	10.5	24.5
Army	5.3	15.4	33.8	37.6	29.2	5.6	6.4	14.0
Air Force	3.3	17.8	25.4	31.4	30.7	11.1	<b>6</b> , $5$	14.7
Navy	4.2	9.4	20.9	${f 23}$ . ${f 4}$	24.7	13.1	0.4	13.3
Other Defense	7.1	27.8	<b>54</b> . $3$	56.1	43.9	${f 5}$ . ${f 6}$	1.5	31.6
HEW	12.0	<b>46.7</b>	${f 24}$ . ${f 7}$	24.9	26.9	17.1	0.0	25.6
HUD	10.0	19.6	17.8	<b>26</b> .0	27.1	7.1	2.2	18.0
Interior	14.9	20.3	49.2	18.7	11.3	7.4	20.7	25 . $6$
Justice	73.9	59.9	<b>98.4</b>	10.4	8.0	0.0	2.4	<b>59</b> , $6$
Labor	18.0	15.4	29.8	18.7	17.4	2.0	0.0	20.7
Transportation	56.8	79.5	83.7	43.9	30.3	13.8	2.4	63.2
Treasury	21.2	70.6	49.8	36.5	21.8	64.3	0.0	45.0
GSA	<b>34</b> . $9$	21 , $6$	28.1	28.9	28.1	6.9	15.4	17.8
VA	11.4	7.1	12.5	16.3	34.0	3.3	14.5	9.8
NASA	7.8	16.3	29.4	29.8	24.7	9.3	15.3	24.2
15 Small Agencies	16.0	15.4	12.5	12.2	14.3	6.4	1.8	12.3
All Agencies	11.6	25.2	36.9	30.3	23.6	10.0	8.4	20.2

Approved For Release 2000/05/08: CIA-RDP78-06215A000200070004-6
Table 9: AVERAGE LENGTH OF TRAINING SESSION PER PARTICIPANT, FY 1971 (IN HOURS)

Agency	GS 1-4	GS 5–8	GS 9–12	GS 13–15	GS 16–18	Wage Systems	Other	All Groups
Agriculture	18	26	26	32	47	16	71	27
Commerce	23	40	63	59	57	31	78	44
Army	19	44	49	46	48	55	61	45
Air Force	31	66	47	67	67	61	20	55
Navy	33	40	49	52	41	66	1,212	55
Other Defense	35	57	50	56	59	31	26	50
HEW	32	91	41	31	43	130	$\frac{20}{34}$	56
HUD	29	39	38	49	72	40	15	39
Interior	34	33	58	36	55	37	151	48
Justice	84	72	103	21	21	8	14	78
Labor	26	24	28	28	48	$3\overline{7}$	_	27
Transportation	201	149	124	52	70	65	25	105
Treasury	24	60	37	28	47	212		42
GSA	51	29	25	25	38	39	30	34
VA	50	25	23	28	29	20	26	30
NASA	27	32	35	39	43	23	73	36
15 Small Agencies	37	48	32	32	57	47	38	38
All Agencies	35	53	49	42	49	59	36	48

The total cost of this training was \$42,714,127 and averaged \$285 per person trained. Of this total, 91% was composed of staff salaries with the remaining 9% representing expenditures on training aids, equipment, materials and supplies. There are currently 83 such centers maintained by 15 agencies. Designed primarily to meet internal training needs, these centers are often open to employees from other agencies. Agency Training Centers For Federal Government Employees. a directory compiled by the Civil Service Commission's Bureau of Training, provides a current listing of all centers and the courses offered. Where appropriate, interagency participation at these centers can enable Federal agencies to share their training.

#### OFF-CAMPUS STUDY CENTERS

Off-campus study centers are established by cooperative agreements between Federal agencies and educational institutions to provide career-related training and higher education opportunities at a relatively low cost at the work site. In FY 1971, 12 agencies sponsored 125 off-campus study centers (often in cooperation with other agencies at both the Federal and state level)

throughout the United States and in Puerto Rico and Europe. The courses and programs offered include nearly every major field of study from the Arts to Zoology. Table 11 illustrates the participant composition at these centers.

Table 11: OFF-CAMPUS STUDY CENTER PARTICIPATION, FY 1971

	Participants Percentag		
Agency Employees		73.0	
Employees of Other Agencies		7.6	
Total Federal		80.6	
Non-Federal	7,739	19.4	
Total	39,796	100.0	

Off-Campus Study Centers For Government Employees, a directory compiled by the Civil Service Commission's Bureau of Training provides a current listing of all centers and fields of study offered.

#### TRAINING EXPENDITURES

Summary data show that \$200,271,208 were spent for training during FY 1971, an increase of

17.2% over the previous year. The average cost for each paragraph For Release 2000/05/98: CIA-RIPER 8-06215A000200070004-6 FY 1970 average of \$176. Non-salary expenditures accounted for 48% of the total, an increase of 2% over FY 1970, while salary expenditures declined from 54% to 52% of the total. Thus nonsalary costs increased more than salary costs.

This is only the second year that agencies have been required to report internal training costs and with only two years of data available, no meaningful trends can yet be detected. All that can be said at this time is that reported expenditures for internal training are increasing but that actual growth cannot yet be accurately determined.

#### Non-Salary Expenditures

Non-salary expenditures for training totaled \$95,338,901, an increase of 18% over the \$80.6 million reported for FY 1970. The average nonsalary cost per participant for FY 1971 was \$99, an increase of \$10 over the previous year's average of \$89. This might indicate that non-salary expenditures increased by over 11%, while participation increased by only 6.6%. Expenditures for internal training accounted for \$51.6 million, or 54% of the total non-salary expenditures of \$39.8 million. However, for FY 1971, internal travel and per diem expenditures totaled \$40.5 million or 78% of all non-salary internal training expenditures. This is an increase of 25%, or \$8

This \$8 million increase for one item of expense in one category of training represents 55% of the increase in expenditures for all items of expense in all categories of training. Spot checks of those agencies reporting the largest percentage increases for expenditures for internal travel and per diem indicated that an appreciable percentage of these increases can be attributed to more accurate reporting systems. Hence, the full amount of the reported increases cannot be assumed to represent an actual increase in expenditures for training but only an increase in reported expenditures. This must be kept in mind when examining Table 12, which displays non-salary expenditures by type and Chart 12, showing percentage breakdowns.


Expenditures for interagency and non-Government training combined increased 7%, while total participation in these categories increased 5%. In-house training expenditures increased 12%, or over \$2 million, while participation increased by 7.8%. Increases were approximately equal for all types of expense for an increase in the average cost of \$13 per participant. Non-Government short-term training expenditures increased 4% or \$1 million while participation declined by .6% or 102 individuals, for an average increase of \$6 per participant. Sixty percent of this increase was due to increased expenditures

Mon-Corronnment

Table 12: AGENCY NON-SALARY EXPENDITURES FOR TRAINING, FY 1971 (IN DOLLARS)

			Non-Gove	ernment	
Type of Expense	Internal	Interagency	Short-term	Long-term	Total
Tuition and Related Fees	793 , $689$	6 , $883$ , $325$	15,582,923	1,947,126	25,207,063
Travel and Per Diem	40 , $549$ , $302$	4,576,957	7,614,347	1,556,342	54,296,948
Other Expenditures	10,269,374	317,941	4 , $941$ , $362$	306,362	15,834,890
Books and Materials	(1,864,822)	(72,534)	(561,337)	(140,633)	(2,639,326)
Contractors	(4,824,904)	(199,933)	(4,339,369)	(163,721)	(9,527,723)
Rental	(508,323)	(75)	(4,325)	(NONE)	(512,723)
Other Related Costs	(3,071,324)	(45,399)	(36,331)	(1,859)	(3,154,913)
TOTAL	51,612,365	11,778,223	28,138,632	3,809,681	95.338.901
Percent of Total Expendi-					
tures	54.1	12.4	29.5	4.0	100.0
Percent change from FY					
1970	+29.5	+21.6	+3.7	-2.7	+18.3
Percent change from FY					•
1968	—	+98.4	+34.4	+9.8	+44.1*
*Internal training expenditures not include	led in determination of	percentage.			,

## Approved PERCENSE 2000/05/08: CIACROPTS - 062 15 A060 2000 9000 4 16 an-hour NON-SALARY EXPENDITURES BY TYPE costs by source for FY 1971 were:



for contractors with tuition increases accounting for 25% and travel and  $per\ diem\ 10\%$ .

Non-Government long-term training expenditures declined by 3%, or \$125,000, while participation declined by 1%, or 20 individuals. Tuition payments declined but expenditure increases for contractors and travel and *per diem* offset most of that decrease.

For all sources of training, travel and per diem expenditures accounted for 60%, or \$9 million, of the total increase in non-salary expenditures, with \$8 million of that increase occurring in the category of internal training. Participation in internal training in FY 1971 increased by 8% over the FY 1970 level. To obtain an approximation of the actual increase in internal travel and per diem expenditures, the FY 1970 internal travel and per diem expenditures were increased by 8% which gave an approximate expenditure of \$35 million, a decrease of \$5.5 million from the reported expenditures for this type of expense in FY 1971. This resulted in total non-salary expenditures for all categories of training of only \$90 million, or an increase of 12% over the total for fiscal 1970. This increase is comparable to the 13% average yearly increase in nonsalary expenditures since FY 1968.

Based on reported data, the non-salary ex-

- Internal: \$51.6 million was expended on the training of 713,803 participants for an average participant cost of \$72; the cost per participant hour for 35,771,853 hours was \$1.44.
- Interagency: 85,934 participants received training at a cost of \$11.8 million for an average of \$137 per participant; the cost per participant hour for 3,554,044 hours was \$3.31.
- Non-Government Short-Term: 166,240 participants were trained at a cost of \$28.1 million resulting in an average cost per participant of \$169; the cost per participant hour for 6,939,480 hours was \$4.05.
- Non-Government Long-Term: 1,642 participants were trained at a total cost of \$3.8 million for an average of \$2,576 for each participant; the cost per participant hour for 2,071,587 hours was \$1.84.

#### Salary Expenditures

The total salary expenditures for both full-time and part-time training personnel totaled \$104,932,307, an increase of 15.7% or \$14,252,335 from FY 1970. The average salary expenditure per employee trained in FY 1971 was \$108, for an increase of 8%, or \$8, over the average for FY 1970.

#### Full-Time Staff Salary Costs

Fifty-two agencies reported full-time salary expenditures of \$92,925,988 for 7035 employees in the following categories:

- Employee Development Officers: 2123 employees in this category earned \$32,962,122 in salaries.
- Instructors: 2,895 employees received salaries totaling \$39,217,252.
- Administrative Support: 749 employees earned \$12,128,959 in salaries.
- Clerical Support: 1,269 employees in this category received salaries totaling \$8,617,-655.

The total of these expenditures is an increase of 16.9%, or \$13,441,495 over FY 1970. This increase was affected by the hiring of an additional 614 full-time training personnel, who earned ap-

# Approved For Release 2000/05/08; CIA RDP78-06215A00020007000,4 for 1968 Table 13: AVERAGE SALARY BY CATEGORY OF FULL TIME 13: AVERAGE SALARY BY 1971 (IN DOLLARS)

	Employee Development Officers	Instructors	Administrative and Clerical Support	Average
1971 1968 Dollar Change Percentage Change	15,546 $11,355$ $+4,191$ $+36.9$	13,547 $10,774$ $+2,773$ $+25.7$	10,281 $5,444$ $+4,837$ $+88.9$	13,209 $9,654$ $+3,555$ $+36.8$

proximately \$7.7 million in salaries, and the Federal Employees Salary Act of 1970, which resulted in an average salary increase of \$813 per individual for a total rise in full-time salary expenditures of approximately \$5.7 million.

Since FY 1968, expenditures for full-time staff salaries have increased 89.6%, which is an annual growth rate of approximately 23%. Over the same period, the full-time staff has increased 38.6%, or 1,958 employees, from the FY 1968 total of 5,077, and the average salary has increased by 36.8%, or \$3,555. Table 13 illustrates the change in salary expenditures that has occurred since FY 1968.

#### Part-Time Staff Salary Costs

Part-time training personnel are defined as those employees who spend at least 25% of their time, but less than full-time, in activities directly related to training.

Forty-two agencies reported that part-time personnel worked an estimated 1,147 man-years and received \$12,006,319 in salaries. The part-time staff represented 16% of total training staff man-years and 11.5% of total salary expenditures.

The total number of part-time man-years remained relatively constant from FY 1970, decreasing by only 23 man-years. Salary expenditures increased 7.2% for this category over FY 1970. The average expenditure for each man-year in-

creased 9.4% to \$10,468, an increase of \$899 over the FY 1970 average of \$9,569. This increase probably indicates that higher grade level employees are being utilized for training on a parttime basis as the percentage increase in the average expenditure per man-year is greater than the Federal pay raises discussed earlier would account for.

### DISTRIBUTION OF FULL-TIME TRAINING PERSONNEL


The distribution of full-time training personnel by category for FY 1971 is given in Chart 13. Although year-by-year variations have occurred in this distribution, the long-term percentages have remained relatively constant. The largest change has been in the relative number of Employee Development Officers (EDO's), who have declined from 33% of all training personnel in FY 1967 to 30% in FY 1971. Over the same period the percentage of support personnel has increased 2% from 27% of all full-time training personnel to 29%. Instructors comprised 40% of the total in FY 1967 and have increased by only 1% to the FY 1971 total of 41%. These changes are shown in Table 14, which shows the percentage distribution of training personnel by category for FY 1967 and FY 1971.

While the table shows a decline in the ratio of Employee Development Officers relative to all

Table 14: PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF FULL-TIME TRAINING PERSONNEL BY CATEGORY— FY 1967 AND FY 1971

	1967	1971
Employee Development Officers	33%	30%
Instructors	40%	41%
Support Personnel	27%	29%
Total	100%	100%

# Approved For Release 2000/05/08: CIA-RDP78-06215A000200070004-6 Chart 13 - DISTRIBUTION OF FULL-TIME TRAINING PERSONNEL, FY 1971



full-time training personnel, the absolute number of Employee Development Officers and other training personnel in all categories has shown a steady increase since FY 1967. There has been an increase of 40.4%, or 611, in the number of Employee Development Officers during this period with all other categories of training personnel showing comparable increases. For all categories, there has been an increase of 52.7%, or 2429 em-

ployees since FY 1967. Table 15 summarizes this numerical and percentage growth.

The increase in full-time training personnel since FY 1967 has exceeded the growth in agency population over the same period, resulting in a higher ratio of training personnel to all employees. More significantly, the increase in training personnel continued in FY 1971 while the Federal workforce decreased in size. In FY 1967, there

Table 15: NUMBERS OF FULL-TIME TRAINING PERSONNEL BY CATEGORY—FY 1967 TO FY 1971

	1967	1970	1971	% Cl FY 67-71	nange FY 70–71
Employee Development Officers Instructors Support Personnel Total	1,512	2,080	2,123	40.4%	2.1%
	1,865	2,541	2,894	55.2%	13.9%
	1,229	1,800	2,018	64.2%	9.7%
	4,606	6,412	7,035	52.7%	9.7%

were an average of .81 Employee Development Officers, and an overall average of 2.49 full-time 8: training personnel, for each 1,000 Federal Employees. In 1971, these ratios were 1.02 and 3.38 respectively. The changes in the ratio of all categories of training personnel per 1,000 employees are shown in Table 16.

The Civil Service Commission has long believed that many agency training offices have been understaffed relative to their responsibilities. With the increase in training personnel and the Gerease in the Federal workforce, it is felt that a more reasonable employee-trainer mix is being reached. As described in Section I of this report, the increasing number of new training programs and responsibilities suggests that more training personnel will be required to handle the resulting workload. In these circumstances, the ratio of training personnel to agency employment will probably continue to increase.

Table 16: FULL-TIME TRAINING PERSONNEL BY CATEGORY FOR EACH 1,000 FEDERAL EMPLOYEES, FY 1967 TO FY 1971

	1967	1968	1969	1970	1971
Employee Development Officers	.81	.76	.92	.96	1.02
Instructors	. 99	1.11	1.25	1.18	1.25
Support Personnel	. 66	. 60	.88	.96	.97
Total	2.49	2.47	3.05	3.10	3.38

#### III ABAFNAG FAC REIESES 2000/05/08: CIA-ROP78-06295-A000200070004-6 CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

The training activities of the Civil Service Commission are carried out primarily by the Bureau of Training, the Regional Training Centers, and the Federal Executive Institute. During FY 1971, the Bureau was reorganized into four operating offices or divisions and an office of administrative management. The operating units serve the following purposes:

Training Operations conducts the interagency and intergovernmental training programs for Federal, state and local employees at the Commission's Executive Seminar Centers and the Washington and Regional Training Centers.

The Training Assistance Division coordinates training opportunities for lower-level, disadvantaged, and summer employees, promotes and administers the Education for Public Management Program and the Federal Personnel Intern Program, coordinates and promotes the use of interagency training programs, provides agencies with guidance and consultation on individual and general problems related to training, and provides guidance and leadership in the development, selection, evaluation, and utilization of modern instructional methods and techniques.

The Public Service Careers Office administers Plan D of the Department of Labor's Public Service Careers Program to improve and expand current programs to employ persons with limited education and skills within the Federal Government and to expand current activities to upgrade lower-level employees.

The Training Management Division serves as the focal point within the Government for information collection and analysis, strategic planning, systems development, evaluation, and research in regard to training.

The Commission's training functions can be grouped into three broad categories, in which any of the Bureau of Training operating units may be involved: interagency training, intergovernmental training, and consultation, leadership, and assistance. Highlights of the Commission's FY 1971 activities will be discussed under these categories.

The Commission's interagency training program continues to grow. In FY 1971, 76,053 employees received training in courses conducted by the Bureau of Training and the Regional Training Centers. This was an increase of 24.5% over the FY 1970 total of 61,087. Of this total, 74,702 attended courses at the Bureau's Central Office and Regional Training Centers. The Executive Seminar Centers located at King's Point, New York, and Berkeley, California, accounted for 1,351 participants. Attendance at these two centers has remained relatively constant since FY 1967. Chart 14 shows the growth in total participation for all facilities since FY 1965. (Data for the Federal Executive Institute, a separate organization within the Civil Service Commission, is not included in these totals. Training activities of the Institute are discussed separately in this section.)

The FY 1971 participation total includes 7,238 employees of state and local governments, a figure which exceeded expectations by more than 2,000. Commission training personnel offered 3,073 program sessions, giving classroom training of 91,586 hours in length. Attendance at these sessions accounted for 7% of all Federal employee training in FY 1971.

The increased participation and new training responsibilities has led to the establishment of new training facilities and programs which are described below.

#### Executive Seminar Centers

In July, 1971, the third Executive Seminar Center was opened in Oak Ridge, Tennessee. With this new center, the total capacity at the executive seminar centers now exceeds 2,000 executives per year. The new center will take advantage of the scientific resources and talent available within the immediate area.


#### Labor Relations Training Center

In response to the growth of Federal employee unions and negotiated contracts, as well as the need for a "management team" orientation, the Commission established the Labor Relations Training Center during FY 1971. The purpose of the center is to train agency supervisors, managers, executives, and specialists in the knowl-

edge and skills required to establish constructive edge and skills required to establish constructive The Center's curriculum is divided into three collective Approved FortRelease 2000/05/08: CLA-RDR78-06215A000200070004-6 program is designed to train the management team to handle union-management conflict by providing a realistic approach to the collective bargaining process as well as the specific skills needed to utilize it. The need is intense. By 1971, more than 3,000 exclusive bargaining units had been certified in the Federal Government. Better than 60 percent of the entire civil workforce was represented by unions. At least 3,000 contracts will have to be negotiated during the next few years. The number of exclusive bargaining units and contracts is increasing at a rapid pace.

- 1. Management Labor Relations Policy and Conduct, Collective Bargaining—General Series. These are the basic knowledge and skill courses.
- 2. Management Labor Relations Policy and Conduct, Collective Bargaining-Specialty Workshop Series. The courses in this series are designed to provide specific skill training.
- 3. Collective Bargaining—Special Programs. These are custom-tailored special courses or programs designed, developed, and conducted

**Chart 14 - TRENDS IN INTERAGENCY TRAINING** FY 1965 - FY 1971



## Approved For Refease 2000/05/08 CIA RDF 78-06215A000200070004-6 government to meet a specific collective bar-

gaining training need.

Southwest Intergovernmental Training Center

The Southwest Intergovernmental Training Center began operations in January, 1972, in San Antonio, Texas. First year funding is provided by the Department of Labor. The center was established to serve as an intergovernmental training facility for Upward Mobility in the Southwest. This step was taken in response to the President's 16-point program to enhance Federal employment opportunities for Spanish-surnamed Americans. The center consists of two components—one for basic education and one for skills training. As the program becomes fully operational, it is expected that employees in cities outside San Antonio, such as El Paso, Albuquerque, and Corpus Christi, will utilize the center's courses. Plans will be coordinated through the Dallas Regional Training Center of the Civil Service Commission.

#### National Indian Training Center

Another joint effort of the Commission, through its Denver Regional Training Center and the Bureau of Indian Affairs, is the National Indian Training Center. It is located at the Instructional Service Center at Brigham City, Utah, and opened in September, 1971. The Center provides educational opportunities for Indians, as well as for governmental officials—Federal, state, or local—who work with Indians. Courses are designed to meet specific needs identified by users. A sampling of courses in the initial offering includes Indian School Board Training, Leadership in the Indian Community, and Grant-in-Aid Programs Available to Indian People.

#### EEO Institute

To meet a high priority need, the Commission established a special EEO Institute in the central office Personnel Management Training Center. The Institute will develop courses for delivery in interagency programs nationwide as well as conduct courses locally. Attendance at EEO courses has greatly exceeded expectations. The Regional Training Centers had anticipated teaching fewer than 100 sessions during FY 1971, but found that the demand was for about 130 sessions. Plans for FY 1972 show an increase of about 50 sessions.

Formerly, only a few Regional Training Centers offered courses in ADP management or financial management. Beginning in FY 1972, all of the regional training centers will offer courses in both curriculum areas in ten newly established Management Sciences Institutes.

Most of the basic courses in ADP and financial management will be offered initially with the expectation of expanding the curriculum as the centers develop their capability. Examples of the initial courses offered are ADP Orientation, Conversational Computing, Governmental Bookkeeping and Accounting, and Cost/Benefit Workshop.

#### General Management Training Center

The General Management Training Center has made the second of its 40-hour basic supervisory courses available through the Government Printing Office. The course is Supervision and Group Performance, designed for nationwide use by Federal, state, and local government organizations. On the initial Commission requisition, 29 agencies purchased 764 instructor manuals for the course. GPO sales on the first supervisory course, Introduction to Supervision, have totaled 825 copies to date. Manuals and participant workbooks for both courses continue to be stocked by the Superintendent of Documents.

Federal, state, and local governments continued to make heavy use of the Commission's basic 40-hour supervisory courses. During FY 1971, a total of 9,721 supervisors attended these courses.

Both members of Congress and officials of executive agencies have expressed a need for intensive consideration of the interrelations between Congress and the executive branch. In response to this need, the General Management Training Center held five two-day briefing conferences and workshops for officials with responsibilities for various functional areas. Three sessions were held for those concerned with education, labor, health, and welfare; and two for those responsible for public lands, land exploration, agriculture, and related areas. During these sessions, participants met with members of House and Senate Committees and key committee staff personnel, selected agency top officials and liaison representatives, and analysts from the Office of Management and Budget with responsibilities in the program areas involve Approved For Refeasie 2006/05/68 sional committee work and to factors determining how legislative priorities are set, how substantive legislation and appropriation matters in those program areas are handled, and how conflicts in legislative program areas are resolved at the departmental, OMB, and Congressional levels. As a result of the affirmative response to this program, seven sessions were scheduled for FY 1972.

#### Federal Executive Institute

During FY 1971, 751 executives attended sessions at the Federal Executive Institute in Charlottesville, Virginia. The principal activity of the Institute is an eight-week Residential Program in Executive Education which is designed to heighten responsiveness to national needs and goals, increase appreciation for the totality of the governmental system, and improve knowledge of managerial processes.

There were five sessions of this program in FY 1971 with a total attendance of 266 people—258 were employed by the Federal government and represented 40 agencies; seven were employees of state and local governments; and one was employed by the World Health Organization. Of those attending, approximately 80% were at grade GS-16 and above (or the equivalent in other pay systems) and approximately 20% were at grade GS-15, or equivalent.

Other activities of the Institute included short seminars and conferences developed and led by members of the Institute faculty and staff, and by members of other Government organizations.

### INTERGOVERNMENTAL TRAINING PROGRAMS

In 1970, the Brookings Institution, under contract to the Commission, developed a model Intergovernmental Affairs Fellowship Program. The 1971 program, patterned on this model, was designed and conducted by the Commission's Management Sciences Training Center.

The primary purpose of the fellowship is to provide the opportunity for intergovernmental learning and mutual assistance among Federal, state, and local officials engaged in grant-in-aid activities. Through this program, Federal executives with policy-making roles in grant programs can enhance management effectiveness by develop-

Budget with responsibilities in the program areas ing a more sophisticated understanding of the involve Approved For involve Approved F

The Executive Seminar Centers conducted several seminars in Intergovernmental Programs and Problems during FY 1971 for Federal, state, and local officials. These seminars explore the origins, evolving nature, and problems of the American system of government. Emphasis is placed on the growing pattern of shared responsibility among national, state, and local programs. Their relationship to the larger problems of American Federalism is selectively reviewed from the vantage points of legislative and executive practitioners and scholars at all levels of government.

The Regional Training Centers have also expanded their training for state and local governments. Some examples of regional courses are Managing the Modern City, developed under contract with the State of New Jersey, and conducted by the New York region; courses for county tax assessors, developed and conducted by the Seattle region; and a cluster of specialized courses on environmental projects, such as the Environmental Engineering and Water Resources Seminar developed and conducted by the Dallas region. A workshop in Basic Employee Development, funded by the Department of Housing and Urban Development, was developed in the central office and given tuition free to state and local officials by each of the Regional Training Centers. Plans for FY 1972 call for an advanced employee development course for the same audience in all the centers.

### CONSULTATION, LEADERSHIP, AND ASSISTANCE

#### **Publications**

To assist agencies in the coordination of Government and non-Government training resources for Federal employees, the Training Assistance Division of the Bureau of Training prepares the following resource publications, all of which are available from the Government Printing Office:

• Interagency Training Programs Catalog and the Quarterly Calendar of Interagency Training Programs. These publications provide information on training programs open to employees of other agencies. There

where the Regional Training Centers of the Metropolitan where the Regional Training Centers of the

- Agency Training Centers for Federal Employees. This pamphlet lists separate facilities established by agencies to conduct special agency-oriented training for their own employees. Employees of other agencies may participate on a space-available basis.
- Off-Campus Study Centers. This is a listing of facilities that have been established by cooperative arrangements between academic institutions and Federal agencies to sponsor continuing educational opportunities for Federal employees.
- A Directory of Studies and Reports Related to Training and Education. This publication is designed to facilitate the exchange of information by providing a directory of the studies and reports prepared by agencies concerning special programs, training needs, and problems.

The Commission also issues informational publications on a wide variety of training topics. In FY 1970, a series on Training Systems and Technology was introduced. As of now, six publications have been issued in this series. Among the subjects covered are training evaluation, programmed instruction, the selection and use of visual materials, and computer assisted instruction. A current directory of available publications is provided in the Commission's Guide to Training Resource and Information Publications.

#### Contract Exchange Information Service

In addition to the above publications, the Commission maintains a file of information about contracts for training services and materials. This information has been supplied by agencies and is available to government training officers on request. Such information should be useful in making decisions about new contracts and in taking advantage of products already produced for other agencies.

#### Public Service Careers

The Public Service Careers program completed its first full year of operations during FY 1971. The Federal component, Plan D, was ad-

By the end of the year, signed agreements with agencies totalled \$7,793,201. The program calls for the hiring and training of minimally skilled persons at entry level as well as providing upgrade opportunities for those already employed. By the end of the year, 2,699 new employees had been hired, and 1,494 designated for upgrade training. 217 had completed training. Trainees were located in 117 cities in 42 states and in the District of Columbia. 70% of the PSC trainees enrolled were employee outside the D.C. area. In addition to employees already participating in the program, commitments for several thousand more have been made by the agencies. The total commitment at the end of the fiscal year was 12,974.

Participant data show the following characteristics of PSC trainees:

- The typical trainee was in his 20's, male, and lacking a high school education.
- Nearly 91% of both entry and upgrade PSC trainees have remained on the job and are still employed by the Federal Government.
- Over 95% of the PSC trainees entering the program through the Worker-Trainee Exam are currently employed by their hiring agency.
- General Schedule employees constituted 37.9% of the PSC trainees; Wage Systems employees, 28.5%; Postal Field Service employees, 26.1%; and employees of other pay systems, 7.5%.
- Nearly 15% of entry-level PSC trainees were Vietnam veterans.

Several new training programs were developed or utilized in connection with the program. Four of the Commission's interagency training centers developed 14 PSC-related courses, conducted 67 course sessions, and trained 1,600 participants nationwide. HEW's Southwest Interagency Training Center, located in Washington, D.C., was funded by PSC and provided supportive services and orientation to over 400 PSC trainees and skills training to approximately 245 more. In addition, an agreement was made with Opportunities Industrialization Center, an independent, non-profit, "self-help" training organization for unemployed and underemployed persons,

to provide free orientation, counseling, advisory

Long-Term Training Evaluation

During FY 1971, with a decade of long-term training experience, the Commission and 12 Federal agencies undertook an evaluation to provide the basis for planning future investments in the program.

The period selected for the study covered fiscal years 1960 through 1969. Twelve agencies participated, representing approximately 40% of the assignments made to long-term training in non-Government facilities during that decade. They were the Departments of Agriculture, Commerce, HEW, HUD, Interior, Transportation, and Treasury; and the Atomic Energy Commission; the Defense Intelligence Agency, D.C. Government, NASA, and VA. (The three military departments are also participating in the study. Their evaluations will not be completed until FY 1972 due to the large volume of assignments they made during the period—over 50% of the total.)

The Commission supplied each participating agency with suggested questionnaires and other analytical tools. Agencies had the latitude to put these to use, to modify them, or to develop their own methods for eliciting the needed information, so long as certain minimum standards were met. The minimum standards set by the Commission were:

- Management appraisals of the influence of the training on the trainee's value to the agency.
- Appraisals by the trainee and his supervisors of (1) the timing of the "payoff"; (2) the degree of utilization of the training received; and (3) the degree of realization of the training objectives.
- Descriptions by the trainee and his supervisors of how the training was applied to the agency's problems and programs.
- Management review of the information gathered and an estimation of the return on the investment in light of the costs and the benefits of the training.
- A summary of findings, showing selected characteristics of the trainees and the training programs, as well as the nature of the return.

• A report to the Commission transmitting services**Androiled:FioinRolesSet2000/05/08:CIA-RDP78u00215A000200070004e6**ent of the agency's policy concerning future use of long-term training in non-Government facilities, and any recommendations the agency cared to make growing out of the study.

> In reporting the return on their investments, the agencies used the categories established by the Commission: Very Good, Moderate, Small, Broke Even, and Did Not Break Even. Appraisals were reported on 2,610 assignments. In comparing the costs to the benefits derived from the assignments, the agencies reported a moderate or better return on 90% of the assignments. In only 2% of the assignments did the agencies report that they did not break even. The most prevalent reason for appraisals of less than moderate return was that the employee left the agency which sponsored the training.

> A number of recommendations were passed on to the Commission by the participating agencies regarding the use of long-term training. such as:

- A specific plan for utilization of the trainee should be required for each long-term training assignment.
- A continuing responsibility for achieving utilization of the knowledge acquired through the training should be assigned to an appropriate official.
- A career development profile should be used in connection with training assignments under "broadening" programs in order to forecast the employee's position assignments and to assist in utilizing him properly during the critical post-training period.
- A well-conceived written plan for the anticipated post-training assignment of the employee should be an integral part of the nominating document.
- The Commission should reaffirm the "simultaneous selection" concept by reissuing the substance of Bulletin 410-19 ("Selection of Candidates for Long-Term Training," now expired), to ensure that consideration is given to all eligible employees and that the best candidates for long-term training are nominated systematically.
- Guidance should be given to agencies show-

ing how relief from employment-ceiling rewhich send employees to long-term training (such as the allocation of spaces from a central pool).

Several agencies reported that increased attention would be given to the post-training utilization of employees assigned to long-term training. In addition, there was clear indication that future selections for long-term training would be influenced by the nature of the return the agencies experienced on past investments as revealed by the study.

The Commission is reviewing the recommendations made by the participating agencies to consider the need for policy changes or guidelines to ensure that future investments in long-term training will continue to be responsive to national priorities and programs as well as present and projected conditions affecting Federal employment.

#### Training Cost Model

Over the years, training officers and agency management in general have become more concerned with the cost of training. One reason for this concern is the increased cost of training; another is the increased importance of training in an agency's operation. Until now, however, there has been no system available to enable trainers to arrive at standard cost data on training. Since this kind of information is essential for the efficient management of training, the Civil Service Commission has developed a training cost model for agency use.

The primary purpose of the training cost model is to predict the annual costs of a proposed training course; a secondary purpose is to recon-

struct costs of a past course where there is no Approved to real case 2090/05/08s: CIA-RDR 78-06215A0QQ2Q00700Q4-6ur steps are involved in the use of the model. The first is the making of basic assumptions about the course, such as its length, the number of people attending, and the type of methodology used. The second step is the selection of cost data from cost data tables. The tables provide standard costs on salary, travel, development, and production. The third step involves entering the data on four worksheets, covering costs for development, participants, instructors, and facilities. On each worksheet, both annual cost and cost per trainee hour are calculated. Annual cost is needed as an input to the agency's budget and per trainee hour cost as one measure of course efficiency. The fourth step is the transferal of the data to a summary worksheet. While the first step-making the assumptions—should be done by a qualified training officer, the other three steps can be done by clerical personnel.

> The model is useful for a number of purposes: Different training formats can be costed out and compared. Contractor proposals can be analyzed from a cost standpoint. The cost of training can be compared with the cost of other problem-solving methods. Accurate performancelinked budget inputs for the training function can be provided. And the model gives a sound basis for including training in an agency's strategic planning.

> The cost model considers, of course, only one side of the cost/benefit ratio. A benefit model is being developed by the Commission which will be initially applicable to jobs with easily obtainable production measures. Eventually the benefit model will be used for jobs with less tangible outputs.

### IV. ACCOMPLISHMENTS THROUGH

Federal Agencies conduct training courses and programs encompassing the entire span of governmental activities. The examples given below serve to illustrate the approaches used to satisfy the diverse training needs during FY 1971. Selected from agency reports, these examples have been grouped under the following broad categories:

- Training to Improve Performance of Current Duties
- Training in Anticipation of Future Programs and Staffing Needs
- Training as a Result of New Missions or **Programs**
- Training to Keep Employees Abreast of Technological Advances and to Maintain Proficiency in Specialization
- Training to Develop Unavailable Skills

#### TRAINING TO IMPROVE PERFORMANCE OF CURRENT DUTIES

#### Defense Supply Agency

The Operation Deep Look Training Program (Test, Train, Re-Test) was continued at several field activities during FY 71. An important part of the program is the identification of "performance gaps" and the necessary follow-up training and development. At the Defense Depot in Ogden, Utah, 121 warehouseman and 25 packers received 8 to 18 hours of training in warehouseman techniques or packer producers. DDOU operations reports indicate a productivity increase in the receiving function and the packing and shipping functions of 7 to 10 per cent over the FY 70 level.

#### Department of Housing and Urban Development

A major reorganization and realignment of HUD at the beginning of last fiscal year precipitated numerous meetings of the Regional Training Committee to plan types and methods of training needed for employees. The kinds of training required were both a general orientation to acquaint each person with overall goals, objectives, and responsibilities, and more intensive training for members of the professional staff.

Program offices worked out a two-day agenda to be taught in the area offices by teams from the regions. A general orientation was first conducted.

followed by sessions on various programs or TRAPPYPYED For Release 2000/05/08: Classe Praga 621 5A00020007000666 Gessions according to their particular area of interest or need.

> In addition, various workshops were conducted in the regional offices. Employees came from area and insuring offices to attend. The workshops included Wage Requirements Workshop, Seminar on Realignment, (New Roles of Regional and Area Offices), RAMIS Training, Financial Management Seminar, Codes Training, and a Model Cities Training Conference.

#### Department of Transportation

In May, 1971, the first group of supervisors and managers attended the newly established FAA Management Training School at Cameron College, Lawton, Oklahoma, where FAA supervisory and managerial training is conducted on a live-in basis. All new current supervisors and middle managers must attend the appropriate course. Each of the three-week courses consists of 80 hours of study in basic supervisory or managerial skills together with 40 hours of laboratory work in which actual supervisory or management situations are simulated. Heavy emphasis is being placed on post-course counseling and application of the newly learned skills and knowledge back on the job. Following the initial training, supervisors and middle managers will attend a one-week refresher training course each year. A total of 3,000 supervisors and 600 managers are expected to attend the school annually. From May, 1971, through the end of the fiscal year, 623 trainees were enrolled.

#### Department of the Air Force

Civilian employees were enrolled in the twoweek Environmental Protection Course given at the Air Force Institute of Technology's Civil Engineering School. This course broadens the professional skills of engineers in the application of identification techniques for environmental protection and control. Fundamental instruction includes current technology in water quality, air quality, solid waste management, noise, radiation hazards, herbicides and pesticides, land management, and environmental impact statements. The course provides students with a basis for applying principles and methods applicable to identifying sources of pollutants and selecting proper treatment and/or disposal techniques to comply with

standards. Individuals in positions designated as enviro Approved to the Release 2000/05/08: CIARDEN 8-06215A000200070004-6 Mobility ment in the Civil Engineering functional area or Air Force bioenvironmental engineers are provided this training to update professional skills. It is planned that graduates of this course will be eligible after four years for refresher training in this area.

#### Department of Health, Education and Welfare

The Social Rehabilitation Service continued the implementation of a Management by Objectives program and developed an operational planning system as part of the newly designed Rehabilitation Service Management System. Training in these programs continued for executives, managers, supervisors and other professionals to participate in the joint setting of organizational program objectives and individual employee objectives, both personal and those based upon job responsibilities. Most of the training is conducted under contract. One of the most important results noticed thus far is the establishment of realistic objectives in tune with the Secretary's goal and Regional Directors' priorities. The service is continuing to develop in-house capabilities to reduce dependence on contracts.

#### Department of the Treasury

An international training course was developed in late 1970 by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency to better prepare examiners for examining foreign branches and international departments of national banks. Twenty-five examiners participated in the one-week course. The trainees received intensive instruction in operations of foreign departments of American banks, Edge Act Agreement Corporations, overseas branches, and international banking techniques, such as letters of credit, foreign exchange, foreign loans, and investments. More international training courses will be held in FY 1972.

#### Federal Communications Commission

The FCC is sending 7 persons to an Adult Education Demonstration Center for four hours each week so they can obtain remedial education and basic skills in preparation for receiving high school equivalency certificates. Trainees are attending the same facility for an intensive vocabulary building, reading improvement, and mathe-

Small Business Administration

Operations have improved throughout the SBA as a result of the agency-wide Cross-Training Program. All professional employees who work with applicants for assistance (financial assistance, management assistance, minority enterprise) are cross-trained in other SBA assistance programs, thereby giving them a good working knowledge of the operations of programs outside their own specialty.

#### TRAINING IN ANTICIPATION OF FUTURE PROGRAMS AND STAFFING NEEDS

#### Department of Defense

Project Hire (Alaska Native Employment Program) is a program to recruit, train, and employ Alaskan natives with little or no experience or education. Since the program began in August, 1969, a total of 426 trainees have been brought into the program (Air Force-232, Army-178, and Navy-16). The program is designed to allow a trainee to progress to a higher target grade or journeyman rate. On-the-job training is the primary method, with outlines similar to those used in the training of airmen. For those in need of special courses, arrangements have been made in addition to OJT. Special typist, key punch, and shorthand courses were set up with local business colleges, and remedial education and GED courses are in progress. Special classes were arranged to train supervisors in the socio-economic backgrounds of various Alaskan native cultures to better understand special problems relative to placement and training of Alaskan natives. The instructor was an Alaskan native, and supervisors who attended the classes said that this presentation would definitely make their jobs easier in training the Project Hire employees.

#### Department of Commerce

In the Department of Commerce, bureaus have been urged to direct attention toward the inclusion of women in professional training. The Department is ensuring that nominees for executive and professional training represent the workforce at the professional level, with special

attention paid to the training needs of women who hav Approved Fore Release 2009/05/08: ment level responsibilities. It is interesting to note that the number of women who attended managerial and supervisory training courses increased by 70% over FY 70.

At the conclusion of FY 1971, the Department completed its first year of participation in the Public Service Careers Program. Under PSC, Commerce employed 142 disadvantaged job seekers (GS-1 or WG-1 or 2) and upgraded or sent to upgrade training 98 current employees (GS-1 through GS-5). The \$100,700 PSC grant from Labor was a major contribution to Commerce's Upward Mobility efforts. Entry employees received orientation counseling and skills training. Immediate supervisors of the worker-trainees received training in special skills essential to supervising low-skilled employees. Upgrading activities included clerical and stenographic occupations, computer technology, printing, and the various trades.

#### Government Printing Office

For several years, GPO has provided presupervisory training for employees with potential for becoming supervisors. Three hundred and sixty-one persons were trained prior to FY 1971. One hundred and eighty (50%) of these have been promoted. Some of these employees have been promoted several times. During FY 1971, 175 employee completed classroom training and are now receiving rotational assignments and on-the-job training in supervisory functions.

#### National Aeronautics and Space Administration

At Langley Research Center, a Pollution Lecture Series was conducted in response to the growing interest by staff scientists in determining the problem areas which are amenable to analysis and solution using the capabilities and facilities available at the Center. This series of six lectures, which was also open to local educational institutions and other government agencies, consisted of the following topics: Diffusion and Modeling, General and Urban Modeling, Supersonic Transport and Pollution of the Upper Atmosphere, Chemistry of Air Pollution, Laboratory Simulation of Atmospheric Effects, and Pollution Work at MIT.

## TRAINING AS A RESULT OF NEW MISSIONS CHAPPOFF8-06215A000200070004-6

Department of Commerce

In cooperation with private consultants, the Department conducted two "awareness" training seminars. Both were attended by the Assistant Secretary for Administration and 24 top management officials from all of the administrative areas. The July program, a 20-hour seminar, accomplished the following:

- Established a common understanding of the Administration's Equal Employment Opportunity Program.
- Reviewed EEO progress.
- Identified obstacles to employment and advancement from minorities' point of view.
- Established an employment and development program to encourage more qualified minorities and women to make professional careers in administration.

#### Department of Labor

Training was able to solve a major operational problem of the Department in FY 71. In January, the Occupational Safety and Health Act was signed into law by the President with an April 1 implementation date. The Act set standards for Safety and Health in the workplace and provided for on-site inspections by Department of Labor safety engineers. With a short time between enactment and implementation, the Department was faced with a major staffing need. The labor market supply of safety engineers was insufficient to meet this need.

At the same time, however, the economic downturn in the aero-space and related industries resulted in a large number of engineers seeking work. It was decided to tap this labor resource by providing an intense residential course in Safety Engineering Techniques and Practices. Five such courses were held. When the implementation date arrived, trained safety engineers were ready and able to usher in a new era of high standards of health and safety for the nation's workers.

#### Federal Power Commission

There has been growing concern in recent years regarding potential and actual power failures and blackouts. Previously, the Federal Power Commission was less active in assessing adequate TRAINING TO DEVELOP LINAVAILABLE power systems. Because of public concern the

powe A sproved Foth Cheaset 2000/05/08 us power systems. Because of public concern, the interconnection and coordination of power systems, reliability of power systems, and adequacy of natural gas reserves have become critical issues within the Commission. Much training is being directed toward equipping the staff with the knowledge needed to meet changes in the organization's current mission. Power Systems Engineering and the Executive Training Program in Liquefied Natural Gas are examples of new training programs.

# TRAINING TO KEEP EMPLOYEES ABREAST OF TECHNOLOGICAL ADVANCES AND TO MAINTAIN PROFICIENCY IN SPECIALIZATION

#### Department of the Treasury

Since the banking industry continues to be revolutionized by advances in data processing, examining personnel in the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency are enrolled in the programmed instruction course, "Computer Systems Fundamentals", through their local IMB Center. In addition, during FY 1971, a five-day seminar in the use of electronic data processing techniques in bank examinations was established in cooperation with a consultant. Several seminars will be conducted to familiarize those concerned with the examination and supervision of national banks with the effect of EDP equipment on examination procedures and techniques.

The course also aids the examiner in the review of reports prepared by examiners already specially trained to examine the growing number of banks using EDP equipment. Instruction covers both the capabilities and limitations of EDP systems in commercial banks. In addition to this basic training, additional employees from each region were selected for specialized instruction in Advanced Electronic Data Processing.

#### General Services Administration

The Office of Automated Data Management Services in the Federal Supply Service began a long-term project to upgrade training in ADP through the in-house development of videotape training classes. The intent of the project is to standardize training at all GSA data processing facilities while at the same time substantially reducing training costs.

#### Department of the Army

Repairs and adjustments to the lock and dam structures under water level have been a problem since the Little Rock Engineer District became involved in navigation. Since Arkansas is an inland state, there are no trained divers available within 500 miles. To alleviate this problem a program to train employees to be part-time divers was developed. Five employees are presently attending the Divers Training Academy at Fort Lauderdale, Florida, for five weeks. After completing the school, they should be able to perform all diving activities required in the district. A sixth employee has several years diving experience in the U.S. Navy. Although the program is rather expensive, in the long run it will be much more economical than the present system of waiting for divers to report with work crews remaining idle for long periods of time.

#### Department of the Navy

The Navy's apprentice program provides an intake to meet anticipated requirements for skilled artisans and for employees with potential for advancement to higher level positions where journeyman or technical experience is desirable. Apprentice graduates provide a source of personnel for positions such as Foreman, Engineering Technician, Inspector Planner, and Estimator, as well as other ungraded and graded technical and administrative positions. There were 7,215 apprentices trained during this reporting period. 31% of the graduating apprentices completed their apprenticeship in less than four years.

#### National Labor Relations Board

As a result of in-house training under the Upward Mobility program, more than 80 NLRB employees successfully completed courses in typing, shorthand, and English usage. One positive by-product of this training was the certification of approximately 15 clerk-stenographers, most of whom moved into stenographic positions within the agency.

The statistical data contained in this section were submitted to the Commission by agencies through the Annual Report of Training. The tables are divided into four areas: participation

V. STATISTICATE REPRENEASE 2000/05/08: GIA-RIDE, and man-hours, expenditures, personnel engaged TRAINING tables show participation by pay system, manhours of training, types and sources of training, personnel engaged in training, and training expenses compared to agency population and participation.

#### LIST OF TABLES

Participation and Man-Hours	Pag
Training Participation Summary	35
Participation and Man-Hours by Agency and Type	30
Participation and Man-Hours by Agency and Source	38
Participation and Man-Hours by Agency and Pay System	40
General Schedule Participation and Man-Hours by Agency	42
Wage System Participation and Man-Hours by Agency	44
Expenditures	46
Training Cost by Agency	
Salaries of Full-Time Training Personnel by Agency	40
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Number of Full-Time Training Personnel by Agency	อบ
Agonay Summary Tables	
Employation of Items on Summary Tables	
Agency Tables	. ออ

### Approved For Release 2000/05/08 : CIA-RDP78-06215A000200070004-6 AGENCY ABBREVIATIONS

AGENCY	ABBREVIATION
Agriculture, Department of	AGRIC
Air Force, Department of	AF
Army, Department of	ARMY
Atomic Energy Commission	AEC
Civil Aeronautics Board	CAB
Civil Service Commission	CSC
Commerce, Department of	COMMERCE
Commission on Civil Rights	CIVIL RIGHTS
Defense, Department of	DEFENSE
Defense Agencies, Other	OTHER DEFENSE
District of Columbia Government.	D C GOVT
D. C. Redevelopment Land Agency	D C REDV LAND AG
Environmental Protection Agency	EPA
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission	EEOC
Federal Communications Commission	FCC
Federal Home Loan Bank Board	FHLBB
Federal Power Commission	FPC
Federal Trade Commission	FTC
General Accounting Office	G A O
General Services Administration	GSA
Government Printing Office	GPO
Health, Education and Welfare, Department of	HEW
Housing and Urban Development, Department of	HUD
Information Agency, United States	USIA
Interior, Department of the	INTERIOR
International Development, Agency for	AID
Interstate Commerce Commission	ICC
Justice, Department of	JUSTICE
Labor, Department of	
Library of Congress	
National Aeronautics and Space Administration	
National Capital Housing Authority.	NAT CAP HOUSING
National Labor Relations Board	N L R B
National Science Foundation	
Navy, Department of	
Office of Economic Opportunity	
Office of Management and Budget	O M B
Panama Canal Company/Canal Zone Govern-	O M B
ment	PANAMA CANAL
Railroad Retirement Board	RR RET BD
Securities and Exchange Commission	SEC
Selected Service Commission	SEL SVC
Small Business Administration	S B A
Smithsonian Institution	SMITH INST
Soldiers Home, United States	
	SOLDIERS HOME STATE
State, Department of	TRANS
Transportation, Department of	
Treasury, Department of	TREAS V A
Veterans Administration	v A

		Aanon	pp	3,885,7	6,671,8 <b>%</b>	15,842,684	4,843,9	<b>R</b> ele, ,911	34,360, <b>78</b>	<b>20</b> ,969	<sup>2,992,</sup>	<b>5</b> /0	7,286,985 C	<b>≱-F</b>	<b>®P</b>	23, 733, <b>24</b>	,688, <del>4</del>	<b>2</b> 15	Å000200070004-6
	TOTAL	1	PART	109,740	185,723	326,623	114,237	2,367	738,690	26,742	85,757	112,499	116,430	967,619	181,695	367,903	199,964	218,057	967,619
	ORT TERM		M-HOURS	374,114	1,019,198	2,628,540	1,258,733	29,760	5,310,345	99,135	882,138	981,273	647,862	6,939,480	2,431,903	2,588,861	1,084,849	833,867	6,939,480
	NON-GOVT SHORT TERM		PART	8,942	26,533	65,767	35,063	696	137,268	3,124	10,680	13,804	15,168	166,240	57,468	48,799	31,662	28,311	166,240
Ĭ	NG TERM	NO THAT	M-HOURS	2,292	105,483	1,087,212	730,120	960*5	1,929,203	2,832	66,040	68,872	73,512	2,071,587	1,520,196	143,360	396,055	11,976	2,071,587
PATION SUMMAR	MON-COVT TONG TERM	NON-GOVI TO	PART	7	85	879	563	т	1,534	5	55	57	51	1,642	1,215	123	292	12	1,642
TRAINING PARTICIPATION SUMMARY		.X	M-HOURS	196,867	549,458	1,078,269	565,103	58,467	2,448,164	61,908	73,582	135,490	970,390	3,554,044	361,752	1,298.188	1,174,029	720,075	3,554,044
TRA		INTERAGENCY	PART	6,648	19,694	32,198	14,614	663	73,817	2,194	2,177	4,371	7,746	85,934	10,402	. 20,285	32,290	22,957	85,934
		7	M-HOURS	3,312,519	7,997,752	11,048,663	2,289,962	24,157	24,673,053	532,922	4,970,657	5,503,579	5,595,221	35,771,853	7,021,729	19,702,825	4,033,835	5,013,464	35,771,853
		INTERNAL	PART	94.146	139.411	227,779	63.997	. 738	526,071	21,422	72,845	94,267	93,465	713,803	112,610	298.696	135,720	166,777	713,803
		PARTICIPATION BY	PAY SYSTEM	7. 1-7	,	G2 0-0	45 5 12	GS 16-18	TOTAL GS	Ads -SM	WS-N/SPV	TOTAL WS	OTHER	TOTAL PAY SYSTEM	MED/SCI/ENG	19 JUDGA	THOMANA	OTHER	TOTAL

PROF AND SCI TECHNICAL
M-HOURS PART
547,680 26,600
261,038 8,912
1,298,032 71,444
749,241 56,507
479,675 47,836
435,973 26,970
395,457 12,987
5,019 2,643
492,083 6,266
1,182,959 3,886
65,814 2,392
<del></del>
119,198 23,474
2,295,368 44,362
60,479
24,119 512
57 37
2,323 552
1,478,996 1,983
2,556 29
1,596 140
23,456 239
66 . 379

	<b>A</b> p <b>p</b>	roş	.eg	Ŕ	olåį́	₹ểI	<b>ea</b> :	sé	20	O,Ø,	05	, (0,8	:%	Α̈́IC	-Ŗ	D۴	<b>78</b>	-06	23	5 <b>A</b>	00	020	<b>O®</b> C	780	094	4-6
	A p	22	12	ထ်	211	685	37	15	10	35	800	m	12,	10,	84,	7	305	86,	6,6	27,	33,	16,	69	1,501,	48,336,	
TOTAL	PART	099	294	286	4,501	20,295	1,395	428	265	1,538	22,322	59	372	279	2,335	119	5,050	857	375	2,062	1,378	395	216	49,847	967,619	
	M-HOURS	5,246	820	36	92,677	81,600	4,054	7,038	3,038	2,268	95,059	112	4,262	3,543	28,300	808	97,410	16,872	079	14,648	7,598	4, 136	832	115,075	6,579,382	
OTHER	PART	128	30	3	2,377	3,678	235	164	129	242	3,521	ĸ	178	06	827	38	2,893	88	21	1,362	437	157	12	4,394	218,057	•
D MGT	M-HOURS	6,328	1,812	4,001	95,296	108,891	12,094	4,848	2,893	3,444	198,033	3,112	1,338	3,520	42,283	3,838	35,864	3,158		12,180	11,356	10,456	962	282,909	6,688,768	
SPV AND MGT	PART	208	58	104	1,693	3,740	627	156	99	148	5,859	53	36	63	1,015	32	1,024	06		989	412	186	54	11,480	199,964	
ICAL	M-HOURS	10,026	8,662	2,452	12,865	467,848	20,582	2,931	542	16,022	146,886	32	1,320	2,825	12,104	516	102,503	15,388	1,581	424	13,318	895	892	257,382	23,733,234	
TECHNICAL	PART	294	180	78	262	11,997	269	06	15	571	4,406	2	55	109	426	17	299	141	81	. 13	472	37	29	9,078	367,903	
PROF AND SCI	M-HOURS	792	1,064	1,801	10,563	27,276	629	378	4,158	14,024	359,262	16	5,456	079	2,257	2,310	70,190	51,321	4,385	16	1,073	544	3,586	846,383	11,335,580	
PROF A	PART	30	26	101	169	880	24	18	55	577	8,536	H	103	17	29	32	534	129	273	1	57	15	121	24,895	181,695	
	AGENCY	FHLBB	FPC	FTC	GAO	GSA	GP0	USIA	ICC	LIB CONG	NASA	NAT CAP HOUSING	NLRB	NSF	0E0	OMB	PANAMA CANAL	RR RET BD	SEC	SEL SVC	SBA	SMITH INST	SOLDIERS HOME	VA	TOTALS	

Αp	pro	¥-HOUA-M	ı <sub>ğ</sub> F(	<u></u>	Re	lęa	<b>\$9</b> ,589	<b>20</b> ,78	<u>G</u> O	/ <b>Q</b> 5	<i> </i>  08	<b>}</b> કુ (	ÇĮ/	<b>√</b> 27 12,34	₽F	78	<del>-</del> 30(	302,305 305,805	5/	<b>(</b> 00	<b>Q</b> 2	QΩ	07(	000	4-(	9 <sup>6</sup> ,	
	URCES	M-HO	22,	12,	တ်	211,	685,	37,	15,	10,	35;	800,	3,	12,	10,	84,	7,	305,	. 498	6,	27,	33,	16,	, 6,	1,501,	48,336,964	
	ALL SOURCES	PART	099	294	286	4,501	20,295	1,395	428	265	1,538	22,322	59	372	279	2,335	119	5,050	448	375	2,062	1,378	395	216	49,847	967,619	-
	NON-GOVT SHORT TERM	M-HOURS	11,394	6,722	757	35,255	148,169	7,669	4,795	633	6,301	515,912	88	989	4,930	14,876	2,078	36,561	88	838	324	6,410	1,871	2,213	315,863	6,939,480	
RCE	NON-GOVT	PART	317	153	29	866	3,905	241	158	23	179	17,165	4	23	106	410	1.7	727	7	જ	19	253	62	52	11,458	166,240	
AGENCY AND SOURCE	ONG TERM	M-HOURS			1,420	7,056	5,964		1,440			145,245		624		8,956	3,440							•	1,040	2,071,587	
₩ ₩	NON-GOVT LONG TERM	PART			-	5	7					120		3		9	2								7	1,642	
PARTICIPATION AND MAN-HOURS	ENCY	M-HOURS	3,650	1,604	1,567	21,120	139,853	6,788	6,680	5,126	3,072	999,999	368	3,266	3,798	38,705	1,954	6,950	4,804	1,204	1,442	9,710	720	1,536	98,507	3,554,044	
PARTI	INTERAGENCY	PART	122	52	41	342	4,936	221	194	102	96	1,912	1	121	143	1,124	97	124	186	73	29	358	28	22	3,566	85,934	
	INTERNAL	M-HOURS	7,348	4,032	4,546	147,970	391,629	22,952	2,280	4,872	26,385	72,317	2,816	7,850	1,800	22,407		262,456	81,847	4, 564	25,502	17,225	13,440	2,357	1,086,339	35,771,853	
	INTE	PART	221	68	215	3,156	11,450	933	75	140	1,263	3,125	777	225	99	795		4,199	258	252	1,984	767	305	142	34,819	713,803	
		AGENCY	FHI.BB	FPC	FTC	GAO	GSA	GPO	USIA	100,	LIB CONG	NA SA	NAT CAP HOUSING	NLRB	NSF	0 <u>B</u> 0	OMB	PANAMA CANAL	RR RET BD	SEC	SEL SVC	SBA	SMITH INST	SOLDIERS HOME,	VA	TOTAL S	

Approved For Release 2000/05/08 : CIA-RDP78-06215A000200070004-6

	TNTERNAL	TNTERAGENCY	ENCY	NON-GOVT LONG TERM	NG TERM	NON-GOVT	NON-GOVT SHORT TERM	ALL SOURCES	
PART	M-HOURS	PART	M-HOURS	PART	M-HOURS	PART	M-HOURS	PART	<b>А</b> ноп <b>д</b>
72,094	1,620.977	8,542	288,682	96	127,264	10,931	414,416	91,663	2,451, <b>@</b> 9
14,664	444,732	1,738	81,459	66	145,648	4,813	193,679	21,314	<b>/e</b> d
143,168	7,418,832	11,632	932,594	333	420,589	31,041	1,280,487	186,165	10,052,412
67,437	3,255,459	6,881	2 6,002	247	334,344	21,401	1,436,022	92,966	5,251,827
77;800	4,066,414	2,759	115,144	228	255,395	12,665	585,266	93,452	₹ <b>e</b> l
35,382	1,638,267	2,970	121,796	181	207,512	4,931	216,030	43,464	2,766,002
32,120	2,039,919	6,339	210,374	81	93,051	11,158	422,958	49,698	2,766 <b>.9</b>
5,589	209,730	801	25,791	12	23,680	889	18,889	7,090	<b>20</b>
. 25,195	1,182,097	10,919	322,630	38	53,332	12,136	434,444	48, 288	1,992 1,992
22,220	2,082,603	5,105	157,261	1	1,560	2,573	95,818	29,899	2,337 <b>0</b>
5,565	118,200	1,317	43,614	2	3,120	1,380	58,504	8,264	8 <mark>0</mark> /8
669	22,635	107	4,336	П	1,440	257	9,423	1,064	37,834
. 32,466	3,878,969	3,238	131,636	87	55,384	4,128	140,334	39,880	4, 206 4, 206
91,725	3,944,748	2,834	97,445	12	17,960	3,386	128,069	97,957	4,188;
1,812	118,487	1,179	165,329	52	68,640	910	67,376	3,953	419 <b>C</b>
1,405	17,826	821	31,276	12	20,951	1,166	40,616	3,404	<b>78</b> .
. 15	225	16	189			41	1,907	72	-ଡ଼ି6
. 871	24,192	1,755	52,397			318	10,870	2,944	<b>2</b> 1
				, 4	142	∞	250	, 12	5¾(
18,451	1,451,506	2,100	83,036	07	58,820	5,215	231,489	25,806	1,824 <b>0</b>
DC REDEV LAND AG	06	59	3,007			59	3,001	163	20
. 245	9,944	317	10,212			17	3,688	579	0€0 0±0
273	10,629	544	16,191	6	7,570	485	17,371	1,311	<b>7</b> 00
75	2,438	91	4,055			126	4,190	292	) [] 
									ļ- -

Α	ppro	ve	d١	Ęο	r <sub>z</sub> F	₹ġI	ea	sę	2 <b>0</b>	0 <u>Q</u> /	0\$	/0ූ8	24.2	Ç₩	\- <b>₽</b>	ДF	78	- <u>@</u> 6	<b>2</b> g1	<b>5</b> gA	Q <sub>2</sub> O	020	0000	780	°, 0 <b>©</b>	<b>4-6</b>	10,683	
	ppr SXSTEMS	IIIOH~₩		2,451,3	865,	10,052,	<b>6</b> , 251, 8	5,022,	2 <b>9</b> °(5,183, <b>9</b>	2,766,	278,	1,992,	2,337,	<b>2</b> 23,4 <b>€</b>	37,	4, 206, 3	7:88 <sup>, 58</sup> 7.	419,	<b>2</b> 59,011	2,	87,		1,854,800	· 9	23,	51,	10,	
	ALL PAY	PART		91,663	21,314	186,165	92,966	93,452	43,464	49,698	7,090	48,288	29,899	8,264	1,064	39,880	97,957	3,953	3,404	72	2,944	12	25,806	163	579	1,311	292	
	OTHER PAY SYSTEMS	M-HOURS		115,972	153,054	3,795,547	178,251	515,436	3,268	271	965	283,524	3,553			5,158		337,152	636		87		1,321,467					
AND PAY SYSTEM	OTHER P	PART		1,629	4,712	45,307	3,684	12,370	125	8	63	11,175	247			206		3,074	7		2		14,131					
PARTICIPATION AND MAN-HOURS BY AGENCY AND PAY SYSTEM	STEMS	M-HOURS	070 00	53,340	6,876	1,070,508	2,765,238	1,621.548	68,608	112,926	089	107,855	80	184	592	87,050	323,107	14	143	16	95		87,434			301	16	
IPATION AND MAN-	WAGE SYSTEMS	PART	670 6	2,042	221	19,478	41,857	26,695	2,221	867	17	2,954	1	5	13	1,329	1,520		m	1	2		1,077			13	1	
PARTIC	GENERAL SCHEDULE	M-HOURS	TCO 006 C	2,302,027	705,588	5,186,447	2,308,338	2,885,235	2,111,729	2,653,105	276,445	1,601,124	2,333,681	223,254	37,242	4,114,115	3,865,115	82,666	109,890	2,803	87,355	392	415,950	6,098	23,844	51,460	10,667	
	GENERAL	PART	87 003	76610	16,381	121,380	50,425	54,387	41,118	48,823	7,010	34,159	29,651	8,259	1,051	38,345	96,437	828	3,394	71	2,940	12	10,598	163	579	1,298	291	
		AGENCY	AGRIC		COMMERCE	ARMY	NAVY	A F.	OTHER DEFENSE	HEW	HUD.	INTERIOR	JUSTICE	LABOR.	STATE	TRANS	TREAS	AID	ABC.	CAB	CSC	CIVIL RIGHTS.	DC GOVT.	DC REDEV LAND AG	EEOC.	EPA	FCC	

1							
GENERAL SCHEDULE	SCHEDULE	WAGE SYSTEMS	STEMS	OTHER PA	OTHER PAY SYSTEMS	ALL PAY SYSTEMS	
PART	M-HOURS	PART	M-HOURS	PART	M-HOURS	PART	M-HOURS <b>d</b>
629	22,368	1	54			099	<b>C</b> 22, 392
290	12,206	4	152			294	ed 15,328
286	8,290					286	<b>F</b> 067'8
4,499	211,321	2	80			4,501	r <sub>10</sub> , t <sub>11</sub>
16,254	535, 106	3,284	128,042	757	22,467	20,295	<b>RE</b> 19,589
604	16,442			791	20,967	1,395	eas
415	14,680	12	480		35	428	<b>6</b> 261,21
263	10,487	2	144			265	200 10,63 10
1,504	34,583	ß	75	29	1,100	1,538	35,750
20,906	756,932	1,204	27,687	212	15,521	22,322	800 <sup>, 1</sup> <b>,</b>
	3,272					59	3,27,8
<del></del>	12,335	7	41			372	12,376.
276	10,358	۳ 	170			279	10,528
2,335	84,944				**	2,335	· <b>R</b> C
	7,472					119	),472 0.472 0.472
1,339	71,091	2,335	132,935	1,376	101,941	5,050	<b>78-</b> 302,96 <u>7</u>
448	86,739					857	96; <sup>86, 73</sup>
374	6,576	-	30			375	2 <b>15</b>
614	12,730			1,448	14,538	2,062	Z2, 26 <b>8</b>
1,376	33,313		12	1	20	1,378	33,3400
258	9,207	133	6,664	7	160	395	20
155	4,172	59	1,906	2	28	216	6,10 <b>60</b>
29,645	1,001,571	5,133	104,272	15,069	395,906	49,847	7,501,749
738,690	34,360,765	112,499	6,689,214	116,430	7,286,985	967,619	704,336,964,84
					-		

41

۹pp <sub>91-9</sub>	ros. W-Hon-W	/ed	F	or 8,83	<b>R</b> 65,09	ele 8,33	<b>as</b> :	<b>e</b> 592, 01	%,8 <sup>4</sup> 00	2, 74 <b>0</b>	5,3 <sup>2</sup> ,	2,41 <b>2</b>	1,76 <b>)</b>	<b>A</b> -	RD	P <sub>09</sub> ,	<b>8</b> _6	) <b>6</b> 2	2 <b>1</b> 5	2, 10 <b>A</b>	00	200 7.	007	'3, 20 <b>0</b>	<u>о</u> д	- <b>6</b>
GS 15-18	PART	140	2	154	136	202	08	182	205	38	43	117	37	2	124	133	7	7.5	11	27		29	4	38	2	r-4
.1. 	M-HOURS	249,981		153,187	884,618	453,379	443,308	320,559	263,349	70,878	130,850	63,322	50,688	6,214	634,356	358,150	14,362	45,295	1,340	11,550	32	26,391	176	7,262	12,773	2,754
GS 13-15	PART	7.724		2,588	19,290	8,706	6,631	5,689	8,436	1,440	3,677	3,009	1,822	175	12,181	12,415	797	666	30	705		671	9	152	299	17/
12 Z	M-HOURS	1,198,175		290,697	2,718,386	1,226,161	1,432,118	1,325,003	607,691	107,308	1,054,522	891,234	100,001	12,944	2,408,665	1,394,815	13,768	32,468	386	32,870	. 80	116,760	3,616	7,541	22,002	3,355
GS 9-12	PART	45.236		4,610	55,895	24,945	30,261	26,455	14,708	2,857	18,126	8,670	3,573	200	19,344	37,588	185	635	15	1,103	en	3,626	54	257	519	75
82	M-HOURS	688,292		100,102	2,240,381	422,218	875,042	375,905	1,477,115	70,289	277,883	736,377	43,775	11,562	820,303	1,643,968	48,557	22,750	477	19,871	250	160,178	1,686	3,909	13,881	2,784
GS 5-8	PART	26.081		2,506	28,422	10,554	13,294	6,545	16,265	1,802	8,372	10,228	1,789	385	5,493	27,075	293	1,522	13	671	7	4,266	55	65	380	7.7
GS 1-4	M-HOURS	158.961		152,771	336,566	198,247	129,436	79,497	296,102	25,230	135,494	640,336	26,966	6,450	242,112	461,822	5,171	5,478	88	20,961	30	110,910	508	1,932	2,754	1,454
SD	PART	8.811		6,523	17,523	6,018	4, 121	2,247	9,209	873	3,941	7,627	1,038	289	1,203	19,226	129	163	2	734		2,006	77	67.	89	,† 6
	AGENCY	AGRIC		COMMERCE,	ARMY	NAVY	A F	OTHER DEFENSE	HEW	HUD	INTERIOR	JUSTICE,	LABOR	STATE,	TRANS	TREAS	AID	AEC	CAB	CSC	CIVIL RIGHTS	DC GOVT	DC REDEV LAND AG	EEOC	EPA.	FCC

<sup>42</sup> Approved For Release 2000/05/08 : CIA-RDP78-06215A000200070004-6

A	νþ	M-HOUR	oye	<b>d</b> F	or	. R <sub>23</sub> , 2	ele.	<b>as</b> (	<b>2</b> 97	0 <u>%</u>	Άo	5/0 5/0	8 :	္ထင္ပါ	1,74 <b>7</b>	3.0,2 D	<b>P</b> 7	8-0	62	<u>ქ</u> 5,	Α0	<b>Q</b> 02	<u>2</u> 00	007	' <sup>2</sup> ,3	<b>Q4-6</b>
GS 16-18		PART	20	6		83	67	33	7	38	m	183		9	21	19	αc			7		13	П		82	2,367
15		M-HOURS	10,215=	4,486	627	80.723	59,417	2,604	3,170.	3,239	4,612	344,895	136	2,288	2,168	29,900	4,839	2,829	784	2,784	580	10,958	752	148	66,985	4,843,918
GS 13-15		PART	338	118	27	1,469	2,417	116	58	56	196	8,871	īΩ	7.1	83	612	05	69	29	150	12	410	27	2	2,367	114,237
2	ļ	M-HOURS	6,324	5,658	2,371.	83,746	131,720	4,436	3,745	2,740	17,364	310,854	1,472	5,454	2,152	20,216	679	18,829	4,842	2,791	4,516	11,111	4,495	390	195,030	15,842,684
GS 9-12		PART	177	117	70	1,748	5,202	149	114	62	558	8,826	22	116	61	089	25	567	108	184	. 88	414	57	15	8,376	326,623
		M-HOURS	2,602	1,742	3,408	36,513	124,180	6,584	4,015	290	10,023	77,694	1,576	2,268=	3,306	20,296	986	27,438	59,800	633	5,360	8,206	1,556	2,318	213, 242	9,671,891
8-5 8-5	0-0 65	PART	59	43	140	006	7,340	218	124	21	548	2,459	28	101	87	999	33	807	239	23	244	370	9	62	8,368	185,723
		M-HOURS	2,771	88	1,884	7,486	217,937	1,746	3,610	2,132	2,528	15,561	88	2,141	991	12,520	78	21,842	21,281	243	2,274	2,636	2,388	1,316	522,975	3,885,792
7-1 SJ	GS 1-4	PART	59	က	67	299	4,246	88	115	98	199	267	4	92	24	359	m	367	7.1	10	270	169	113	56	10,452	109,740
		AGENCY	FHLBB	FPC	FTC	GAO	GSA	GPO	USIA	ICC	LIB CONG	NASA	NAT CAP HOUSING	NLRB	NSF	0E0	OMB	PANAMA CANAL	RR RET BD	SEC	SEL SVC	SBA	SMITH INST	SOLDIERS HOME.	VA	TOTALS

PART.	SUPERVISORY					
PART.		ORY	NON- SUPERVI SORY	/I SORY	ALL WAGE SYSTEM	SYSTEM
	İ	M-HOURS	PART	M-HOURS	PART	M-HOURS
72	722	12,755	1,320	20,585	2,042	33,340
	61	1,896	160	4,980	221	928.9
6,141	41	165,045	13,337	905,463	19,478	1,070,508
7,328	28	179,711	34,529	2,585,527	41,857	2,765,238
6,902	02	195, 323	19,793	1,426,225	26,695	1,621,548
765	76	13,335	1,627	55,273	2,221	68,608
165	65	5,865	702	107,061	867	112,926
17	17	089			17	089
829	29	27,109	2,125	80,746	2,954	107,855
:	-	œ			<b>~</b>	∞
	2	184			5	184
11	11	472	2	120	13	592
360	 8	11,958	696	75,092	1,329	87,050
362	62	9,829	1,158	313,278	1,520	323,107
:			-	14	e-4	14
	2	48	-	96	m	143
:	-	16	***************************************		н	16
	2	95			7	95
:						
213	13	6,013	864	81,421	1,077	87,434
DC REDEV LAND AG			***			
3	en .	88	10	213	13	301
:				16	, maj	16.

		WAGE SYSTER	PARTICIPATION A	WAGE SYSTEM PARTICIPATION AND MAN-HOURS BY AGENCY	GENCY	
	AND TABLETS	SORV	MON CITE EDITIONS	MACO TATO		Ç
	SOF FINA	PONT	JON-NOW	KVISUKY	ALL WAGE SYSTEM	SYSTEM
AGENCY	PART	M-HOURS	PART	M-HOURS	PART	M-HOURS
FHL.BB.			1	24	. 1	24
FPC.			4	152	7	152
FTC						
GAO	. 5	80			2	80
GSA	301	11,163	2,983	116,879	3,284	128,042
GPO						
USIA	50	200	7	280	12	780
ICC			7	144	2	144
LIB CONG			5	75	7	75
NASA	310	4,234	894	23,453	1,204	27,687
NAT CAP HOUSING						
NLRB,			7	41	7	41
NSF	7	146		24	m	170
OEO						,
CMB.						
PANAMA CANAL	352	8,445	1,983	124,490	2,335	132,935
RR RET BD.						
SEC	-	30			-	8
SEL SVC						
SBA			1	12	1	12
SMITH INST	84	3,176	67	3,488	133	6,664
SOLDIERS HOME.	25	338	34	1,568		1,906
VA	1,941	38,594	3,192	656,678	5,133	104,272
TOTALS	26,742	696,797	85,757	5,992,417	112,499	6,689,214
45						

Appro	weed F	or R	elea	ase	200	0/0	5/08	: C	IA-F	RDP	78-0	)62°	15A	0002	2000	0700	004-	6	
Appro	NO. EMPLO PER TRAIN PERSON	297	554	425	545	332	607	294	191	528	511	185	209	51	179	677	546	259	
	AGENCY POPULATION	2,087,206	111,191	37,912	402,664	361,517	297,597	96,514	110,884	15,323	68,061	40,589	11,091	67,602	90,377	14,364	5,601	60,211	
BY AGENCY	TOTAL TRAINING PERSONNEL	7,035	201	88	739	1,090	760	328	581	29	133	220	53	1,342	505	32	23	233	
NG PERSONNEL	CLERICAL SUPPORT	1,269	09	22	145	122	29	58	141	9	32	20	13	180	145	12	7	<b>L</b> 7	
TIME TRAINI	ADMIN. SUPPORT	672	22	18	58	<del>7</del> 9	12	103	117	რ	12	29	7	189	55		2	17	
NUMBER OF FULL-TIME TRAINING PERSONNEL BY AGENCY	INSTRUCTORS	2,894	52	20	191	579	158	88	131		37	100	2	867	88	52		125	
	EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT OFFICERS	2,123	29	29	345	325	253	62	192	20	52	21	39	106	217	15	13	747	
_	AGENCY	TOTAL	AGRIC	COMMERCE	ARMY	NAVY	A F.	OTHER DEFENSE	НЕМ	HUD	INTERIOR	JUSTICE	LABOR*	TRANS	TREAS	AID.	CSC	DC GOVT	

NUMBER OF FULL-TIME TRAINING PERSONNEL BY AGENCY

Babi	rpve	d F	or F	Rele	ase	200	0/0	5/08	: C	IA-
NO. EMPLOYEDS PER TRAINING PER SON	72	7 20	2 6	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	77C	158	770	176	273	1 800 090
AGENCY POPULATION	5,447	38,071	200	3,869	30,371	2,377	16,177	1,761	170,424	70 371
TOTAL TRAINING PERSONNEL	92	65	14	12	89	15	21	10	625	75
CL ER ICAL SUPPORT	20	13	2	2	18	2	5	5	69	6
ADMIN. SUPPORT	16	∞			ო	-	-	m	11	1
INSTRUCTORS	39	56	1	œ	က		10	m	357	7
DEVELOPMENT OFFICERS	п	18	11	7	77	12	5	2	188	28
AGENCY	EPA	GSA	GPO	LIB CONG	NASA	0EO	PANAMA CANAL	RR RET BD.	VA	ALL OTHERS*

\*22 agencies reporting fewer than 10 full-time training personnel.

SALARIES OF FULL-TIME TRAINING PERSONNEL BY AGENCY

TOTAL Add	925,9 <b>80.</b>	9,7,€69	120,27 <mark>2</mark>	734,4 <b>6</b>	100,5	<b>290</b> , 002, 18 <b>2</b>	153, 2 <b>30</b>	861,9 <b>8</b> /9	421,078	1 <b>4</b> 95 600,8 <b>%</b> 1	981,0 <b>6</b>	<b>780</b> 241,3 <b>87</b>	35,794,6 <b>9</b> (	310, 2 <b>8</b>	223, 1 <b>90</b>	2 <b>00</b> 0, 604	0 <b>250</b> 0	04-6	
H	92,	2,	1,	7,	12,	6,	.5	7,		-Î	2,		22,	. <sub>9</sub>			2,		
CLERICAL SUPPORT	8,617,655	364,119	134,927	864,985	821,280	437,475	430,280	900,022	41,271	195,717	517,945	94,985	1,353,698	1,052,607	768,76	41,871	354,384		
S	8,6			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b>∞</b>	7		<u> </u>	<del> </del>				1,3	1,0					<u></u>
ADMIN. SUPPORT	12,128,959	326,318	246,669	583,691	805,262	139,303	1,936,695	1,698,742	37,647	124,703	541,522	36,444	4,113,699	778,970		18,585	228,975		
ADI	12,1	<u>е</u>	Š	ΙĞ	<u></u>	•••• <b>•</b>	1,9	1,6					4,1	7					
TORS	252	332	035	716	528	772	171	690		101	909	24,481	750	.661	98,875	16,584	778		
INSTRUCTORS	39,217,252	760,332	268,035	1,450,716	5,882,528	1,763,772	1,417,171	1,921,069		434,101	1,622,606	24,	15,419,750	938,661	86	16,	1,460,844		
T. S	2							<u> </u>		۰		<del></del>	٠			ဗ	2		
EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT OFFICERS	32,962,122	1,202,935	470,642	4,835,070	4,591,496	3,666,634	1,369,057	3,342,143	342,160	846,345	298,927	585,418	1,907,515	3,540,000	326,379	326,003	636,902		
0	<u> </u>	•	•	•	•	•	•	<u> </u>	•	•	•	<u> </u>	:	:	•	<u></u>			_
										•				•	•	•			
	:	•	:	:	:	•	ENSE	:					•	•			:		
AGENCY	TOTAL	AGRIC	COMMERCE	ARMY	NAVY	A F.	OTHER DEFENSE.	HEW	нир	INTERIOR.	JUSTICE	LABOR	TRANS	TREAS	AID	csc	DC GOVT.		

SALARIES OF FULL-TIME TRAINING PERSONNEL BY AGENCY

A	TAL	.0 <b>%</b> e	d.∳.	<sup>,50</sup> ,	e <b>te</b> ;	a <b>se</b> ,	2 <b>6</b> 0		5/ <b>@</b> 8	01,500	1 <b>A</b> 36,30	:: \$ <b>©</b> ₽	<b>78</b> 5	06215A000200070004-6
	)L	<u>~</u>					<u> </u>	2				7,8	- 7	
	CLERICAL SUPPORT	114,564	20,078	86,259	15,735	16,078	127,508	14,081	25,629	12,324	8,065	439,054	34,817	
	ADMIN. SUPPORT	184,171		899,66			23,841	11,654	13,461	19,452	8,501	148,834	2,152	
	INSTRUCTORS	506,381		261,031	8,582	81,283	53,467		152,344	41,146		4,564,392	69,101	*20 agencies reporting less than \$100,000
EMPLOYEE	OFFICERS	12,020	99,366	303,121	143,831	32,152	748,830	185,896	57,767	28,657	89,992	2,658,993	323,871	agencies reporti
	AGENCY	EPA	GA 0.	GSA	GP0	LIB CONG	NASA	0EO	PANAMA CANAL	RR RET BD.	SBA	VA	ALL OTHERS*	*20

\*20 agencies reporting less than \$100,000

	}																***	<del></del>							
EXPENDITÉE PER DER DER DER DER DER DER DER DER DER D	d F	For ®	' <b>R</b> (	ele	as 87	e 2 ຮ	139	0/0 11	5/0 ទួ	. <b>8</b> (	151 CI	<b>A-</b>   °	<b>RD</b>	P7	125 125	)62 3	15 <sub>2</sub>	A0	002	200 g	)07 Ş	00' 33	04·	-6 1E	
EXPENDITURE PER EMPLOYEE TRAINED	133	158	104	231	154	205	310	269	146	280	176	114	726	176	552	148	199	207		137	145	317	1,101	65	
TOTAL	12,193,475	3,376,650	19,452,798	22,154,855	14,371,285	8,928,987	15,418,502	1,094,340	7,033,171	8,384,174	1,450,378	121,354	38,975,336	17,205,060	2, 183, 793	502,203	14,323	610,866		3,534,561	23,682	183,259	1,442,891	48,099	
PARTTIME STAFF SALARY	1,049,706	211,186	668,038	842,567	1,230,271	521,392	950,036	30,438	485,460	569,892	37,461	8,868	2,545,222	118,080	27,886	78,102	4,884	2,662		93,767	15,114		154,498	5,933	
FULL-TIME STAFF SALARY	2,653,704	1,120,273	7,734,462	12,100,566	6,007,184	5,153,203	7,861,976	421,078	1,600,866	2,981,000	741,328	66,371	22,794,662	6,310,238	523, 151			403,043		2,681,105		78,100	817,136	18,353	
TOTAL NON-SALARY	8,490,065	2,045,191	11,050,298	9,211,722	7,133,830	2,254,392	6,627,430	642,824	4.946,845	4,833,282	671,589	46,115	13,635,452	10,776,742	1,632,756	424,161	6,439	205,161		759,689	8,568	105,159	471,257	23,813	
SHORT-TERM	1,865,901	829,671	4,018,804	5,150,516	2,251,140	539,326	1,953,365	137,768	1,701,516	379,416	296, 253	29,169	2,556,116	772,693	380,105	208,954	3,521	37,278		480,575	3,448	12,298	73,437	14,516	•
LONG-TERM	246,951	222,463	721,651	478,473	303,070	265,369	374,117	122,064	132,157	4,930	19,231	4,141	283,016	109,496	118,714	48,186		F					47,759		
INTERAGENCY	1,286,544	290,341	1,149,483	830,517	362,925	468,857	897,510	144,262	1,119,353	291,692	175,216	12,805	506,713	978,165	1,033,978	161,789	5,418	155,346		100,178	5,120	45,066	59,913	7,176	
INTERNAL	5,090,669	702,716	5,160,360	2,752,216	4,216,695	1,980,840	3,402,438	238,366	1,993,819	4,157,244	180,889		10,289,607	8,916,388	656'66	5,232	200	12,437		178,936		47,795	290,148	2,121	
AGENCY	AGRIC	COMMERCE	ARMY	NAVY	A F	OTHER DEFENSE	HEW,	HUD.	INTERIOR	JUSTICE	LABOR	STATE	TRANS	TREAS	AID	AEC	CAB	zs	CIVIL RIGHTS	DC GOVT	DC REDEV LAND AG	EEOC	EPA	FCC	

	EXPENDITURE PECTON	503 503	ed *	<b>Fo</b>	r R	ele g	eas	e 2	200 2	)Q/( प्र	 05/ <sup>711</sup>	<sup>7</sup> 08	: C	AI:	-RI -RI	DP'	78- ∞ ∞	105	21	5A(	3 000	20 ១	00	<b>70</b> 6	)04- \$	6
	EXPENDITURE EXE PER EMPLOYEE TRAINED EN	387	273	161	181	108	280	295	129	124	155	164	114	302	250	405	06	412	5	100	195	99	134	241	207	
	TOTAL	255,743	80,238	46,235	816,770	2,196,122	390,384	126,431	34,194	191,296	3,457,770	9,678	42,592	84,376	584,643	48,179	455, 293	184,652	1,898	206,319	268,200	26,074	28,967	12,031,061	200,271,208	
	PART-TIME STAFF SALARY	2,662	13,823	11,346		146,504	165,618	43,124	1,600	20,992	27,232	8,288		31,579	26,063	17,000	6,477	45,340	63,709	62,857	1,957	15,100		1,726,086	12,006,319 2	; ;
INCY	FULL-TIME STAFF SALARY	86,848	20,815	10,354	109,444	750,079	168,148	13,878	16,052	129,513	953,646				211,631		249,201	101,579	96,485	106,015	106,558		22,685	7,811,273	92,925,988	
TRAINING COST BY AGENCY	TOTAL NON-SALARY	166,233	45,600	24,535	707,326	1,299,539	56,618	69,459	16,542	40,791	2,476,892	1,390	42,592	52,797	346,949	31,179	196,615	37,733	1,898	47,820	159,685	10,974	6,283	2,493,702	95,338,901	
TRAINI	SHORT-TERM	68,238	33, 255	4,179	212,116	492,896	38,873	32,314	2,963	24,475	1,829,259	026	2,873	19,677	97,886	14,213	104,175	S.	. 553	202	25,629	8,560	4,361	1,425,129	28,138,632	4 2 2 4
	LONG-TERM			6,582	17,212	29,915		1,680			172,797		2,666		51,146	4,510	·			-				21,385	3,809,681	\$ 1 m
	INTERAGENCY	18,680	5,545	12,627	90,596	489,935	16,245	35,435	9,579	6,916	282,538	420	10,499	33,120	166,148	12,455	41,804	23,616	1,345	5,707	33,972	2,414	827	389,069	11,778,223	
	INTERNAL	79,315	6,800	1,147	387,402	.286,793	1,500		4,000	9,400	192,298		26,554		31,769	-	50,636	14,067		41,911	100,084		1,095	628,119	51,612,365	
	AGENCY	FHLBB	FPC	FTC	GAO	GSA	GP0	USIA	ICC	LIB CONG	NASA	NAT CAP HOUSING	NLRB.	NSF	OEO	OMB	PANAMA CANAL.	RR RET BD.	SEC	SEL SVC	SBA	SMITH INST.	SOLDIERS HOME.	VA.	TOTALS	

# Approved For Release 2000/05/08: CIA-RDP78-06215A000200070004-6 Name of Agency GS 1-4 GS 5-8 GS 9-12 GS 13-15 GS 16-18 WAGE OTHER TOTALS

POPULATION	The reported population of the grade group as of June 30, 1970.
AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS	The number of man-hours worked and available for training (1,816 hrs. per employee).
PARTICIPANTS	The number of training participants reported by the agency.
PARTICIPANT MAN- HOURS	The number of training man-hours reported by the agency.
PARTICIPANT RATIO (%)	Grade group population divided by training participants (the percentage figure is shown).
MAN-HOURS RATIO (%)	Available man-hours divided by training man-hours (the percentage figure is shown).
LAST YEAR'S MAN-HOUR RATIO (%)	The man-hour ratio for FY 1970.
% CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR	The percentage change in the man-hour ratio from FY 1970 to FY 1971.
% OF AGENCY POPULA-	The percentage of total agency population made up by the grade group.
% OF AGENCY PARTICI- PANTS	The percentage of total training participants made up by the grade group.
% OF AGENCY MAN- HOURS	The percentage of training man-hours received by the grade group.
AVERAGE EMPLOYEE HOURS SPENT IN TRAINING FY 71	The average number of hours spent in training by employees in the grade group.
AVERAGE HOURS OF TRAINING PER PARTICIPANT	The average length of a training session for each training participant.

		•							
	GS 1-4	6.5 5-8	GS 9-12	GS 13-15	GS 16-18	ŚM	OTHER	<b>Apr</b>	Apj
	20,109	29,576	31,793	7,935	717	6,74,9	4035	100,411	rove
	36,517,944	53,710,016	57,736,088	14,409,960	388,624	12,256,184	7,327,560	d F%,346,346	d For
	8811	26,081	45,236	7724	077	27102	1629	91,663	Relea
	158,961	688,322	1,198,145	249,981	8199	33,340	115,972	2,451,3	ase 20
	43.8	88.2	142.3	97.3	4.59	30.2	7.07	91.3	00/05
	0.43	1,28	2.07	1.73	1.70	0.27	1.58	1.34	5/08 :
LAST YEAR'S MAN-HOURS RATIO (%)	0,34	1.26	1.98	1.64	1.98	0.19	•	CIA-F	CIA-F
	+26.5	+1.6	+4.5	+5.5	-14.1	+42.1	1	•	RDP7
	20.0	73.47	31.7	7.9	0.2	6.7	0.4	100.0	8-062°
	9.6	28.4	7*67	7*8	. 0	2.2	1.8	100.0	15A00
	6.5	28.1	6.84	10.2	0.3	1.4	2-4	100.0	0200
AVERAGE EMPLOYEE HOURS SPENT IN TRAINING FY 71	7.8	3.2	37.6	31.4	30.9	6*7	28.7	24.3	07000
AVERAGE HOURS OF TRAINING PER PARTICIPANT	18	82	8	32	47	16	. 77	27	4-6

AIR FORCE	GS 1-4	GS 5-8	GS 9-12	GS 13-15	GS 16-18	WS	OTHER	<b>A</b> TOTALS
POPULATION	38,507	48,935	660*95	14,136	174	146,487	5,221	prove
AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS	69,928,712	88,865,784	101,875,784	25,670,976	315,984	266,020,392	9,481,336	<b>d</b> E77,1651,295
PARTICIPANTS	ופני	13,294	30,261	6631	8	26,695	1,737*	Rele \$5,819
PARTICIPANT MAN-HOURS	129,436	875,042	1,432,118	443,308	5331	1,621,548	34,420**	ase 2
PARTICIPANT RATIO (%)	10.7	27.2	53.9	6.94	0.94	18.2	33.3	000/0 <sup>®</sup> ;
MAN-HOUR RATIO (%)	0.18	0.98	1.40	1.73	1.69	0.61	0.36	5/08
LAST YEAR'S MAN-HOURS RATIO (7)	77.0	1.02	1.64	2,08	2.41	09.0	l .	: CIA-
Z CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR	-25.0	-3.9	9*11-	-16.8	-29.9	+1.7	ı	RDP7
7 OF AGENCY POPULATION	12.4	15.8	18.1	9.4	0.1	47.3	1.7	'8-062 °.œ.
% OF AGENCY PARTICIPANTS	5.0	16.1	36.6	8.0	0.1	32.2	2.1	215A0 
% OF AGENCY MAN-HOURS		19.3	31.5	9.8	0.1	35.7	0.8	00200 0.00 0.00 0.00
AVERAGE EMPLOYEE HOURS SPENT IN TRAINING FY 71	3.3	17.8	25.4	31.4	30.7	11.1	6.5	00700 <sup>^</sup> ;÷
AVERAGE HOURS OF TRAINING PER PARTICIPANT	31	99	47	29	29	61	8	04-€

\*10,633 local foreign national participants excluded. \*\*4,81,016 local foreign national participant man-hours excluded.

АRMY	GS 1-4	GS 5-8	GS 9-12	GS 13-15	GS 16-18	WS	OTHER	A TOTALS
POPULATION	62,981	79,985	80,688	23,577	222	191,761	10,229	0 <b>r</b> و۲۳٬۶۳۳
AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS	114,373,496	145,252,760	146,529,408	42,815,832	403,152	348,237,976	18,575,864	ed <b>Fo</b>
PARTICIPANTS	17,637	28,422	55,895	19,290	136	19,478	*0901	r Rele
PAKTICIPANT MAN-HOURS	336,566	1,240,381	2,718,386	884,618	9679	1,070,508	64,413**	ease 2
PARTICIPANT RATIO (%)	28.0	35.6	69.3	81.3	61.3	10.2	10.4	31.6
MAN-HOUR RATIO (%)	0.29	0.85	1.86	2.07	1.61	0.31	0.35	5/08 : 
LAST YEAR'S MAN-HOURS RATIO (%)	0.23	92.0	1,52	1.88	2.94	0.34	ı	: CIA-
% CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR	+26.1	+11.8	+52.4	+10.1	-45.2	& & ₩	1	RDP7
% OF AGENCY POPULATION	14.0	17.8	18.0	5.2	0.0	75.6	2.3	78-062 0:001
% OF AGENCY PARTICIPANTS	12.4	20.0	39.4	13.6	0.1	13.7	7.0	215A0 0:001
% OF AGENCY MAN-HOURS	5.3	19.6	43.0	14.0	0,1	16.9	1.0	00200 °. gi
AVERAGE EMPLOYEE HOURS SPENT IN TRAINING FY 71	5.3	15.4	33.8	37.6	29.2	5.6	7.9	0 <b>700</b>
AVERAGE HOURS OF TRAINING PER PARTICIPANT	19	77	67	94	87	55	61	04-6

\*\*3,731,134 local foreign national participant man-hours excluded. \*44,247 local foreign national participants excluded.

Appro	veg	For	Relea	se 20	00/05	/08 : (	CIA-R	DP78	-0621	5A00	02000	7000	4-6	
	TOTAL	089	1,234,88	72	2 2819	10.6	CIA-R		1	100.0	100.0	100.0	7.5	39
	OTHER	18	32,688	0	0	0.0	0.0	ı	ı	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
	WS	15	27,240	1	16	6.7	9a°0	0.41	7*58-	2,2	7.1	9*0	1.1	16
	GS 16-18	777	79,904	Ħ	512	25.0	79.0	0.93	-31.2	6.5	15.3	18.2	11.6	47
	GS 13-15	186	337,776	30	1340	16.1	b7°0	96*0	-56.2	27.3	41.7	47.5	7.3	45
	GS 9-12	165	299,640	15	386	9.1	0.13	0.73	-82.2	24.3	2 <b>0.</b> 8	13.7	2.4	92
	GS 5-8	503	379\$398	13	447	7.9	0.13	0.38	-65.8	29.9	18.1	16.9	2.4	37
	GS 1-4	67	786*88	c۷	88	4.1	0,10	0.07	+42.9	7.2	2,8	3.1	1.8	177
	CAB	POPULATION	AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANTS	PAKIICIPANT MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANT RATIO (%)	MAN-HOUR RATIO (%)	LAST YEAR'S MAN-HOURS RATIO (%)	2 CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR	2 OF AGENCY POPULATION	% OF AGENCY PARTICIPANTS	Z OF AGENCY MAN-HOURS	AVERAGE EMPLOYEE HOURS SPENT IN TRAINING FY 71	AVERAGE HOURS OF TRAINING PER PARTICIPANT

Approved For Release 2000/05/08 : CIA-RDP78-06215A000200070004-6

Ap	þr	oved	For	Relea				CIA-R	DP78		15A00	02000		4-6
Ap		5491	9,971,656	7924	87,067	53.2	0.87		ì	100.0	100.0	100.0	15.8	30
ABH EC	Ville)	163	296,008	0	0	0	0.0	ı	ı	3.0	0.0	···	0.0	0
. 511	2	27	85,352	C۷	<b>3</b> 5	7**	90.0	0.2J	4.170	8.0	0.1	0,1	1,1	28
	65 16-18	52	94,432	25	2087	1.84	2.21	0.22	+30/+* 5	6.0	8.0	2.4	1.04	83
,	GS 13-15	716	1,300,256	396	944,11	55.3	0.88	0.61	+44.3	13.0	13.5	13.1	16.0	6%
	GS 9-12	7677	2,714,920	1101	32,742	73.6	1.21	99*0	+83.3	27.2	37.7	37.6	22.0	30
	GS 5-8	1089	1,977,624	899	19,807	61.3	1.00	0.53	+88.7	19.8	22.8	22.7	18.2	30
	GS 1-4	1929	3,503,064	732	20,929	37.9	09*0	0.72	-16.7	35.1	25.0	24.0	10.9	53
	೦೪೦	POPULATION	AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANTS	PARTICIPANT MAN-HOURS	PAKTICIPANT RATIO (2)	MAN-HOUR RATIO (%)	LAST YEAR'S MAN-HOURS RATIO (%)	Z CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR	% OF AGENCY POPULATION	Z OF AGENCY PARTICIPANTS	% OF AGENCY MAN-HOURS	AVERAGE EMPLOYEE HOURS SPENT IN TRAINING FY 71	AVERAGE HOURS OF TRAINING PER PARTICIPANT

Appr	ove	For	Rele	ase⊵2	00030	5/08	CIA-	RDP7	78-06	215 <b>A</b> 0	0020	00700	04-6	
Appr	O TOTALS		56,835,3	17,28	765,297	55.2	1.35		1	215 <u>A</u> 0	100.0	100.0	, c	Ì ∄
	OTHER	5248	9,530,368	989	53,054	13.0	95.0	ı	ı	16.8	3.9	6.9	. 6.01	78
	MS	2609	4,737,944	221	9489	8.5	0,14	.05	+180.0	8,3	1.3	6.0	2,5	31
	GS 16-18	338	613,808	154	8831	72.6	1.44	1.55	07.1	1.1	6.0	1.2	26.2	57
	GS 13-15	7825	9,595,744	2588	153,187	0.64	1.59	1,61	-1.2	16.9	15.0	20.0	26.9	59
	GS 9-12	83.24	15,116,384	4610	290,697	55.4	1.92	1.78	ó* <u>'</u> +	26.6	26.7	38.0	6,	63
	GS 5-8	8609	11,073,968	2506	100,102	1.14	%°°	1.04	-13.5	19.5	14.5	13.1	16.3	07
	GS 1-4	33%	6,167,136	6523	152,771	192.1	2.48	1,80	+37.8	10.8	37.7	20.0	0.54	53
	COMMERCE	POPULATION	AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANIS	PARTICIPANT MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANT RATIO (2)	MAN-HOUR RATIO (%)	LAST YEAR'S MAN-HOURS RATIO (%)	Z CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR	% OF AGENCY POPULATION	Z OF AGENCY PARTICIPANTS	% OF AGENCY MAN-HOURS	AVERAGE EMPLOYEE HOURS SPENT IN TRAINING FY 71	AVERAGE HOURS OF TRAINING PER PARTICIPANT

Α	g pp၊	roved						CIA-R	DP78	-0621 ઼	5A00 ∘	02000 °:		4-6
	TOTALS	898	1,576,288	579	23,844	2.99	1.51	ı	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	27.4	77
	OTHER	8;	52,664	l	ŀ	0.0	8.	8.	0.0	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 .
	WS	۲-	21,21	t	ı	0.0	8.	8,	0.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
	GS 16-18	15	27,240	38	3200	253.3	11.75	1,11	+958.6	1.7	9.9	13.4	213.4	78
	GS 13-15	137	248,792	152	7262	110.9	2.92	2.77	+-5+	15.8	26.3	30.5	53.0	27
	GS 9-12	306	555,696	257	7541	0.48	1.36	3.77	-63.9	35.3	7-77	31.6	24.7	8
	GS 5-8	225	009*807	65	3909	28.9	96*0	1.49	-35.6	25.9	11.2	16.4	17.4	09
	GS 1-4	671	270,584	29	1932	45.0	0.71	1.22	-41.8	17.1	11.6	8.1	12.9	82
	EEOC	POPULATION	AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANTS	PARTICIPANT MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANT RATIO (%)	MAN-HOUR RATIO (%)	LAST YEAR'S MAN-HOURS RATIO (%)	% CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR	% OF AGENCY POPULATION	% OF AGENCY PARTICIPANTS	% OF AGENCY MAN-HOURS	AVERAGE EMPLOYEE HOURS SPENT IN TRAINING FY 71	AVERAGE HOURS OF TRAINING PER PARTICIPANT

Approved For Release 2000/05/08 : CIA-RDP78-06215A000200070004-6<sup>59</sup>

фрр	rove	d Foi	r Rele	ease 2	2000/0	5/08	: CIA-	RDP7	'8-06 <b>2</b>	215A0	0020	00700	04-6	
	TOTALS	1526	2,771,2	. 292	10,683	19.1	: <b>CIA-</b>	1	ı	100.0	100.0	100.0	7.1	
	OTHER	7	12,712	1	ı	0.0	0.0	t	ı	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
	WS	37	67,192	r-1	16	2.7	0.02	0.34	46-	2.4	0.3	0.1	7.0	16
	GS 16-18	50	90,800	н	330	2.0	0,35	0.34	+2.9	3.3	0.3	3.0	4.9	320
	GS 13-15	367	666,472	71	2754	19.3	17.0	0.30	+36.7	24.0	24.3	25.8	7.4	39
	GS 9-12	707	733,664	76	3355	3.3	97.0	67.0	-6.12	26.5	32.2	31.4	#* <u>\$</u>	36
	GS 5-8	452	820, 632	77	2784	17.0	0.34	96.0	-5.56	29.6	77.92	26.1	Ω, ,0	36
	GS 1-4	309	379,544	847	1454	23.0	0.38	0.14	+171.4	13.7	16.4	13.6	6.0	30
	FCC	POPULATION	AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANTS	PARTICIPANT MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANT RATIO (%)	MAN-HOUR RATIO (%)	LAST YEAR'S MAN-HOURS RATIO (%)	Z CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR	7 of agency population	Z OF AGENCY PARTICIPANTS	2 OF AGENCY MAN-HOURS	AVERAGE EMPLOYEE HOURS SPENT IN TRAINING EY 71	AVERAGE HOURS OF TRAINING PER PARTICIPANT

Ар	roved	For	Relea	se 2	000/0	5/08 :	CIA-F	RDP7	8-062	15A00	00200	07000	)4-6
 TOTALS	1,282	2,328,112	099	22,392	51.5	96.0	1	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	17.4	34
OTHER	33	59,928	1	t	0.0	0.0	ı	,	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
WS	19	34,504	н	77	5.3	<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>	0.29	-75.9	1.5	0.2	0.1	1.3	24
GS 16-18	. 6	16,344	8	957	222.2	2.79	0.12	+2225.0	0.7	3.0	5.0	50.7	23
GS 13-15	310	562,960	338	10,215	109.0	1.81	1.13	-60.2	24.2	51.2	45.6	32.9	30
GS 9-12	54.1	982,456	177	6324	32.7	79.0	1.07	-40.2	42.2	26.8	28.2	11.6	36
6.8 5-8	213	386,808	99	2602	30.5	29*0	0.16	+318.8	16.6	8.6	11.6	12.2	97
GS 1-4	157	285,112	59	2772	37.6	26.0	0.13	+646.2	12.2	6.6	12.4	17.6	7.71
нтвв	POPULATION	AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANTS	PARTICIPANT MAN-HOURS	PAKTICIPANT RATIO (%)	MAN-HOUR RATIO (%)	LAST YEAR'S MAN-HOURS RATIO (%)	% CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR	% OF ACENCY POPULATION	Z OF ACENCY PAKTICIPANTS	7 OF AGENCY MAN-HOURS	AVERAGE EMPLOYEE HOURS SPENT IN TRAINING PT 71	AFERAGE HOURS OF TRAINING PER PARTICIPANT

	GS 1-4	GS 5-8	GS 9-12	GS 13-15	GS 16-18	SM.	OTHER	TOTALS
	911	662	317	323	97	25	25	8711
	210,656	542,984	570,224	586,568	83,536	45,400	72,400	2,084,768
	2	£17	11.7	118	6	-3	ł	762
PARTICIPANT MAN-HOURS	88	1742	5658	9877	232	152	ı	12,358
PARTICIPANT RATIO (%)	2.6	74.4	37.3	36.5	19.6	16.0	0.0	25.6
	<b>70°</b> 0	0.32	66.0	92.0	0.28	0.33	0.0	0.59
LAST YEAR'S MAN-HOURS RATIO (2)	0.30	0.25	79*0	97.0	72.0	0.38	1	1
Z CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR	-86.7	+28.0	+54.7	+65.2	-62.2	-13.2	1	i
2 OF AGENCY POPULATION	10.1	2%.0	27.4	28.1	0.4	2.2	2.2	100.0
% OF AGENCY PARTICIPANTS	1.0	14.6	39.8	40.1	3.1	1.4	0.0	100.0
% OF AGENCY MAN-HOURS	0.7	14.1	8*5*	36.3	1.9	1.2	0.0	100.0
AVERAGE EMPLOYEE HOURS SPENT IN TRAINING FY 71	7.0	5.8	18.0	13.8	5.1	0*9	0.0	10.7
AVERAGE HOURS OF TRAINING PER PARTICIPANT	82	17	87	38	26	38	0	77

 $\stackrel{62}{\text{Approved For Release 2000/05/08}} : \textbf{CIA-RDP78-06215A000200070004-6}$ 

FTC	GS 1-4	68 5-8	GS 9-12	GS 13-15	GS 16-18	WS	OTHER	TOTALS
POPULATION	255	347	230	378	%	₽.	277	1359
AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS	763,080	630,152	526,640	847,448	47,216	38,136	76,272	2,467,944
PARTICIPANTS	67	077	20	27	1		l	586
PAKTICIPANI MAN-HOURS	1884	3408	2371	129	•		(	8290
PARTICIPANT RATIO (%)	19.2	6.04	24.1	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.0
MAN-HOUR RATIO (2)	0.41	75*0	0.45	60°0	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.34
LAST YEAR'S MAN-BOURS RATIO (%)	1.52	0.63	0.87	0.21	80.0	. 0.11	ı	1
% CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR	-73.0	-16.7	-48.3	-57.1	-100.0	-100.0	ı	ı
% OF AGENCY POPULATION	18.8	25.5	21.3	27.8	1.9	1.5	3.1	100.0
% OF AGENCY PARTICIPANTS	17.1	0*67	77.77	7.6	0°0	0.0	0.0	100.0
% OF AGENCY MAN-HOURS	22.7	17.1	28.6	7.6	0.0	0°0	0.0	100.0
AVERAGE EMPLOYEE ROURS SPENT IN TRAINING FY 71	7.4	& 6	8. L	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.2
AVERAGE HOURS OF TRAINING PER PARTICIPANT	38.4	24.3	33.9	23.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	29.0

Approved For Release 2000/05/08 : CIA-RDP78-06215A000200070004  $\stackrel{63}{-}6$ 

App	CS 16-18 WS OTHER TOTALS	18,496 1,460	<b>B</b> 0,512,856 2,651,360 70,313,704	se 20 562'02 454 7826 67	1852 128,042 22,467 685,615 00	74.2 17.8 51.8 52.4 80/	CIA-R 86.0 58.0 85.0 55.1	1.69 0.37	3- <b>062</b> ′	0.02 47.8 3.8 100.0	0.2 16.2 3.7 100.0	07000 0.3 18.7 3.3 100.0	28.1 6.9 15.4 17.8	
	GS 13-15 GS 10	2063	3,746,408	24.17	59,427	117.2	1.59	1.45	+ <del>0.</del> 7-	5.3 0.	11.9	8.7 0.	18.9	
	GS 9-12	71/97	8,487,984	5202	131,720	111.3	1.55	1.61		12.1	25.6	19.2	28.1	_
	6S 5-8	5739	10,422,024	07167	124,180	75.6	1.19	76.0	+26.6	14.8	21.4	18.1	21.6	
	GS 1-4	1229	11,297,336	977271	217,937	68.3	1.92	†9°0	+200.0	16.1	20.9	31.8	34.9	-
	GSA	POPULATION	AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANTS	PARTICIPANT MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANT RATIO (Z)	MAN-HOUR RATIO (%)	LAST YEAR'S MAN-HOURS RATIO (%)	Z CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR	2 OF AGENCY POPULATION	% OF AGENCY PARTICIPANTS	% OF AGENCY MAN-HOURS	AVERAGE EMPLOYEE HOURS SPENT IN TRAINING FY 71	

ман	GS 1-4	6S 5-8	GS 9-12	GS 13-15	GS 16-18	WS	OTHER	TOTALS <b>A</b>
POPULATION	24,737	876,15	24,606	10,581	329	6,626	6,709	108,2661 108,2661
AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS	44,922,392	57,527,248	967,789,77	19,215,096	791,164	12,032,816	17,631,544	196,611,056
PARTICIPANTS	6026	16,265	14,708	9678	205	299	∞	869,64
PARLICIPANT MAN-BOURS	296,102	1,477,115	607,691	263,349	8788	112,926	7/2	2,766,302
PARTICIPANT RATIO (%)	37.2	51.3	59.8	79.7	62.3	13.1	0.1	6.54
MAN-HOUR RATIO (%)	99*0	2.57	1,36	1.37	1.48	76.0	0.00	1.41
LAST YEAR'S MAN-HOURS RATIO (%)	0.36	1,31	1.48	1,54	1.67	0.24	ı	d ·
% CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR	+83.3	+96.2	-8.1	-11.0	-11.4	+291.7	ı	,
% OF AGENCY POPULATION	22.8	29.3	22.7	8.6	0.3	6.1	0.6	100.0
% OF AGENCY PARTICIPANTS	18.5	32.7	29.62	17.0	7.0	1.7	0.0	100.0
% OF AGENCY MAN-HOURS	10.7	53.4	22.0	9.5	0.3	7-7	0.0	00200 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
AVERAGE EMPLOYEE HOURS SPENT IN TRAINING FY 71	12.0	2.97	24.7	24.9	26.9	17.1	0.0	25.6
AVERAGE HOURS OF TRAINING PER PARTICIPANT	37	91	17	31	67	130	34	95

Appro	ve	l For	Rele	ase 2	000/0	5/08	CIA-	RDP7	8-062	15A0	00200	0700	04-6	
	TOTALS	15,422	28,006,352	7090	278,090	0.94	: CIA-	1	ı	100.0	100.0	100.0	18.0	39
	OTHER	T <sup>†††</sup>	800,856	63	596	14.3	0.12	1	ı	2.9	6.0	0.3	2,2	15
	WS	95	172,520	17	069	17.9	0.39	0.00	ı	. 9*0	0.2	0.2	7.1	700
	GS 16-18	101	183,416	38	2740	37.6	1.49	1.21	+23.1	0.7	0.5	1.0	27.1	72
	GS 13-15	2724	4,946,785	07/1	70,878	52.9	1.43	96.0	+45.9	17.7	20.3	25.5	26.0	67
	GS 9-12	5988	. 10, 874, 208	2857	107,308	47.7	0.98	0.10	0 <b>*</b> 088+	38.8	40.3	38.6	17.8	38
	GS 5-8	3571	6,484,936	1802	70,289	50.5	1.08	0.16	+575.0	23.2	25.4	25.3	19.6	39
	GS 1-4	2502	4,543,632	873	25,230	34.9	0.55	66.0	7.77-	16.2	12.3	9.1	10.0	29
	RUD	POPULATION	AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANTS	PARTICIPANT MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANT RATIO (%)	MAN-HOUR RATIO (%)	LAST YEAR'S MAN-HOURS RATIO (Z)	% CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR	% OF AGENCY POPULATION	% OF AGENCY PARTICIPANTS	% OF AGENCY MAN-HOURS	AVERAGE EMPLOYEE HOURS SPENT IN TRAINING FY 71	AVERAGE HOURS OF TRAINING PER PARTICIPANT

İ	Ар	roved	For	Relea	ısę 2	000/0	5/08 :	CIA-F	RDP7	8-062	15A00	00200	07000	4-6
	TOTALS	roved	128,318,560	37,771	1,808,4	53.5	1.41	1	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	25.6	877
	OTHER	4,825	8,762,200	658	787*66	13.6	1,14	ı		8.9	1.7	5.5 5.5	20.7	151
	WS	14,372	26,099,552	7562	107,855	20.6	۲۴٬۰۵	0.50	-18.0	20.3	7.8	0.9	7.4	37
	GS 16-18	21.2	384,992	73	2375	20.3	06.2	0.43	+44.2	0.3	0.1	0.1	11.3	55
	GS 13-15	70.8	12,744,688	3677	103,850	52.4	10.3	1.29	-20.2	6.6	7.6	7.2	18.7	36
	GS 9-12	21,404	38,869,664	18,126	1,054,522	84.7	2.71	1.63	+66.3	30.3	0*87	58.3	49.2	58
	GS 5-8	13,707	24,891,912	8372	277,883	61.1	1.12	0.98	+14.3	19.4	22.2	15.4	8.3	33
	GS 1-4	9122	16,565,552	1768	135,494	43.2	0.82	0.55	+49.1	12.9	10.4	7.5	14.9	34
	Interior	POPULATION	AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANTS	PAKTICIPANT MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANT RATIO (%)	MAN-HOUR RATIO (Z)	LAST YEAR'S MAN-HOURS RATIO (%)	% CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR	% OF AGENCY POPULATION	% OF AGENCY PARTICIPANTS	% OF AGENCY MAN-HOURS	AVERAGE EMPLOYEE HOURS SPENT IN TRAINING FY 71	AVERAGE HOURS OF TRAINING PER PARTICIPANT

Approved For Release 2000/05/08 : CIA-RDP78-06215A000200070004-67

ppro	ve	d For		ase 2		5/08 :	CIA-I	RDP7	8-062	15A0	00200	0700	04-6	
	TOTALS	1770	3,214,320	592	10,631	15.0	0.33	1	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.9	077
	OTHER	27	49,032	Q	0	0.0	0.0	,	ı	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
	WS	32	58,112	8	7777	6.2	0.25	0.20	+25.0	1,8	. 6.0	1.4	4.5	72
	GS 16-18	117	212,472	38	1786	32.5	0,84	0.36	+133.3	9.9	14.3	16.8	15.2	24
	GS 13-15	786	519,376	56	3239	20.0	0.62	0.81	-23.5	16.2	21.1	30.4	£.11	58
	GS 9-12	260	1,016,960	62	2740	17.11	0.27	67*0	-144.9	31.6	23.4	25.8	5·•	777
	GS 5-8	424	786°692	21	590	5.0	0.08	60*0	-11.1	24.0	7.9	5.5	Ĭ.5	28
	GS 1-4	324	588,384	%	2132	26.5	0.36	90.0	+500.0	18.3	32.5	20.1	, o , č	25
	ICC	POPULATION	AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS	PAKTICIPANTS	PARTICIPANT MAN-HOURS	PARTIGIPANT RATIO (Z)	MAN-HOUR RATIO (%)	LAST YEAR'S MAN-HOURS RATIO (%)	% CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR	Z OF AGENCY POPULATION	Z OF AGENCY PARTICIPANTS	Z OF AGENCY MAN-HOURS	AVERAGE EMPLOYEE HOURS SPENT IN TRAINING FY 71	AVERACE HOURS OF TRAINING PER PARTICIPANT

GS 1-4 GS 5-8	65 5-8	GS 9-12	GS 13-15	6S 16-18	WS	OTHER	Apr.
3654 12,285	12,285	9506	7609	305	1,358	097,1	39,212 36,212
15,715,664 22,309,560	22,309,560	16,445,696	11,066,704	553,880	2,466,128	2,651,360	1 For 208,992 I
7627 10,228	10,228	 8670	3009	117	ч	24.7	Relea
640,336 736,377	736,377	 891,234	63,322	21,12	₩.	3553	Se 20 5,337,785,2
88.1 83.3	83.3	 95.7	7.67	38.4	0.1	16.9	16.2 76.2
3.30	3.30	 5.42	0.57	777*0	00.00	0.13	3.28
1.43	1.43	66*7	1.02	1.06	0.70	1	CIA-H
-14.9 +130.8	+130,8	. 9.8+	-44.1	-58.5	-100.0	ı	, ,
22.1 731.3	31.3	23.1	15.5	8.0	3.4	3.7	0.062°
25.5 34.2	34.2	29.0	10.1	7*0	0.0	0.8	0.001 0.001
27.4 31.5	31.5	38.1	2.7	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.00
73.9 59.9	59.9	7.86	10.4	8.0	0,0	2.4	9.66
84 72	72	103	21	27	æ	77.	82

Approved For Release 2000/05/08 : CIA-RDP78-06215A000200070004-69

Appr	ove	d For	Rele	ease 2	20000/0	5/08	: CIA-	RDP	78-062	215A0	0020	00700	04-6	
	TOTAL	10,789	19,592,8	7928	223,43	76.6	1.14		,	100.0	100.0	00700 09	30:1	27
	OTHER	201	365,016	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	1.9	0.0	0.1	0.0	0
	MS	56	172,520	ĸ	184	5.3	0.11	00.00		6.0	0.1	0.1	2.0	37
	GS 16-18	τοτ	183,416	37	1764	36.6	96.0	14.0	+35.2	6.0	7.0	8.0	1.7.1	84
	GS 13-15	2708	4,917,728	1822	50,688	67.3	1.03	1.23	-16.3	25.1	22.0	22.7	78.7	28
	GS 9-12	3368	6,116,288	3573	100,001	1.901	1,64	0.95	+72.6	31.2	43.2	8.44.8	. 8 . 8	<b>38</b>
	GS 5-8	2823	5,126,568	1789	43,775	63.4	0.85	0.75	+13.3	26.2	21.6	19.6	15.4	772
	GS 1-4	1493	2,711,288	1038	56,966	69.5	0.99	69.0	+43.5	13.8	12.6	12.1	18.0	%
	LABOR	POPULATION	AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANTS	PARTICIPANT MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANT RATIO (%)	MAN-HOUR RATIO (2)	LAST YEAR'S MAN-HOURS RATIO (Z)	Z CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR	Z OF ACENCY POPULATION	% OF AGENCY PARTICIPANTS	% OF ACENCY MAN-HOURS	AVERAGE EMPLOYEE HOURS SPENT IN TRAINING FY 71	AVERAGE HOURS OF TRAINING PER PARTICIPANT

		rovec	For	Relea		000/0	5/08 :	CIA-I	RDP7	8-062	15A0	00200	07000	04- <b>в</b>
	TOTALS	3678	6,679,248	1538	35,758	8,17,	0.54		l	100.0	100.0	100.0	8.6	ଷ
	OTHER	6	16,344	82	1100	322.2	16.30	ı	ı	0.2	1.9	3.1	296.0	38
	WS	137	248,792	<b>1</b> 0	7.5	3.6	0.03	0.00	1	3.7	0.3	0.2	0.5	15
	GS 16-18	<i>L</i> 71	85,352	٣	95	7*9	40°0	0.18	-61.1	1.3	0.2	0.2	1.3	19
	GS 13-15	353	840,149	196	7612	55.5	0.72	0.36	+100.0	9.6	12.7	12.9	13.1	77
·	GS 9-12	1071	1,944,936	558	17,364	52.1	0.89	0.58	+53.4	29.1	36.3	9*87	16.2	31
	GS 5-8	1294	2,349,904	248	10,023	42.3	6,43	0.35	+22.9	35.2	35.6	28.0	7.8	18
	GS 1-4	767	1,392,872	199	2528	25.9	0,18	91.0	+12.5	20.9	12.9	7.1		13
	LIBRARY OF CONGRESS	POPULATION	AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS	PARILCIPANTS	PAKTICIPANT MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANT RATIO (%)	MAN-HOUR RATIO (%)	LAST YEAR'S MAN-HOURS RATIO (%)	% CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR	% OF AGENCY POPULATION	% OF AGENCY PARTICIPANTS	% OF AGENCY MAN-HOURS	AVERAGE EMPLOYEE HOURS SPENT IN TRAINING FY 71	AVERAGE HOURS OF TRAINING PER PARTICIPANT

;								pro\ 
MASA	GS 1-4	GS 5-8	GS 9-12	GS 13-15	GS 16-18	WS	OTHER	TOTALS
POPULATION	1977	4738	10,537	11,535	319	77162	1012	33,062
AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS	3,590,232	8,604,208	19,135,192	20,947,560	579,304	5,346,304	1,837,792	60,040,592
PARTICIPANTS	567	5772	8826	8871	183	1204	212	22,322
PAKTICIPANT MAN-HOURS	15,561	77,694	310,854	344,895	7928	27,687	15,521	800,140
PAKIICIPANT RATIO (%)	28.7	51.9	83.8	76.9	7-25	6.04	30.9	67.5
MAN-HOUR RATIO (%)	0.43	oo	1.62	1.64	1,36	0.51	78.0	1.33
LAST YEAR'S MAN-HOURS RATIO (2)	0.55	0.83	2.05	2.12	2.08	0.89	•	Ť
% CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR	-21.8	7*8+	-21.0	-22.6	-34.6	-42.7	,	•
% OF AGENCY POPULATION	0.9	14-3	31.9	34.9	1.0	8.9	3.1	100.0
% OF AGENCY PARTICIPANTS	2.5	11.0	39.5	39.7	a.0	5.4	6.0	100.0
% of agency man-hours	1.9	9.7	38.8	43.1	1.0	3.5	1.9	00700 eg
AVERAGE EMPLOYEE HOURS SPENT IN TRAINING PY 71	8,7	16.3	29.4	29.8	24.7	9.3	15.3	24.2
AVERAGE HOURS OF TRAINING PER PARTICIPANT	27	32	35	39	27	<u>ج</u> ج	3 2	76

72 Approved For Release 2000/05/08 : CIA-RDP78-06215A000200070004-6

NIRB	GS 1-4	GS 5-8	GS 9-12	GS 13-15	GS 16-18	WS	OTHER	Ap STATOT
POPULATION	737	572	877	728	139	25	55	2181
AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS	776*777	1,038,752	8112,777	1,322,048	252,424	72,400	088*66	3,960,696 3,960,696
PARTICIPANTS	92	101	116	17	9	Ο	0	r Rele
PAKIICIPANI MAN-HOURS	रमप्ट	2268	7575	2288	184	17	0	12,376
PARTICIPANT RATIO (%)	32.5	17.7	27.1	8.6	4.3	8,0	0.0	17.1
MAN-HOUR RATIO (%)	0.50	0.22	0.70	0.17	0.07	60°0	°.00	0.31
LAST YEAR'S MAN-HOURS RATIO (%)	0.05	0.15	0.12	0.32	00.0	00.00		1
% CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR	0.006+	L-971+	+483.3	6*94-	ı	ı		ı
2 OF AGENCY POPULATION	10.7	26.2	19.6	33.4	<b>7°9</b>	1.1	2.5	100.0
Z OF AGENCY PAKTICIPANTS	7.02	27.2	31.2	19.1	1.6	0.5	0.0	100.0
% OF AGENCY MAN-HOURS	17.3	18.3	44.1	18.5	1,5	0.3	0.0	100.0
AVERAGE EMPLOYEE HOURS SPENT IN TRAINING FY 71	9.1	0.4	12.7	3.1	1.3	1.6	0.0	5.6
AVERAGE HOURS OF TRAINING PER PARTICIPANT	28	55	74	32	31	8	1	33

A	٠. ا	oved		Relea	se 20	00/05	<del>/08 : (</del>	CIA-R	DP78	<del>-0621</del>	5A00	02000	7000	<del>4-6</del>
	TOTALS	381,401	692,624,216	92,286	5,078,424	24.2	0.73	ı	ı	100.0	100.0	100.0	13.3	2.5
	OTHER	16,126	29,284,816	*7	**8787	0.0	0.02	•	ı	4.2	0.0	0.1	0.4	1212
	WS	194,579	353,355,464	41,857	2,765,238	21.5	0.72	1.00	-28.0	51.0	7.57	7**75	13.1	. 66
	GS 16-18	336	921,019	305	8333	60.1	1.36	2.79	-51.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	24.7	77
	GS 13-15	19,283	35,017,928	8706	453,379	45.1	1.29	1.7	-27.1	5.0	7.6	8,9	33.4	52
	GS 9-12	58,538	106,305,008	24,945	1,226,161	42.6	1.15	1.72	-33.1	15.3	27.0	.24.1	20.9	67
	GS 5-8	766,144	80,619,504	10,554	422,218	23.8	0.52	96*0	-45.8	11.6	12.8	8,3	7.6	Óħ
	GS 1-4	48,145	87,431,320	6018	198,247	12.5	0.33	0.36	-36.1	12.6	6.5	3.9	7**5	33
	NAUY	POPULATION	AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANTS	PARTICIPANT MAN-HOURS	PAKIICIPANT RATIO (%)	MAN-HOUR RATIO (Z)	LAST YEAR'S MAN-HOURS RATIO (%)	% CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR	% OF AGENCY POPULATION	2 OF AGENCY PARTICIPANTS	Z OF AGENCY MAN-HOURS	AVERAGE EMPLOYEE HOURS SPENT IN TRAINING FY 71	AVERAGE HOURS OF TRAINING PER PARTICIPANT

%3680 local foreign national participants exlcuded.

GS 1-4 GS 5-8	68 5-8	1 1	GS 9-12	GS 13-15	GS 16-18	WS	OTHER	TOTALS	Ap
POPULATION	33	156	911	202	69	ಟ	<b>#</b>	orove %	orove
AVAILABLE MAN-BOURS	59,928	283,296	216,104	366,832	125,304	23,608	25,424	1,100,496	d For
PARTICIPANTS	8	33	25	55	to	0	0	119	Relea
PARTICIPANT MAN-HOURS	78	986	679	6634	. 026	0	0	2472	ase 20
PARTICIPANT RATIO (%)	9.1	21.1	21.0	24.8	9,11	0.0	0.0	19.6	000/0
MAN-HOUR RATIO (%)	0.13	0.35	0.30	1,32	0.73	0.00	0.00	89*0	5/08 :
LAST YEAR'S MAN-HOURS RATIO (%)	0.08	0.33	27.0	1,31	2.72	0.0	t	l .	CIA-F
% CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR	+62.5	·9+	-28.6	8 <b>°</b> 0+	73.2	ı	1	1	RDP78
% OF AGENCY POPULATION	5.4	25.7	19.6	33.3	11.3	2.1	2.3	100.0	3-062 <sup>2</sup>
% OF AGENCY PARTICIPANTS	2.5	27.7	21.0	75.0	6.7	0.0	0.0	100.0	15A00
% OF AGENCY MAN-HOURS	1.0	13.2	8.7	8*49	12.3	0.0	0.0	100.0	02000
AVERAGE EMPLOYEE HOURS SPENT IN TRAINING FY 71	2.4	7.9	7.5	24.0	13.3	0.0	0.0	12.3	0700
AVERAGE HOURS OF TRAINING PER PARTICIPANT	%	30	. %	26	115	0	0	63	04-6

Approv	/ed	For	Relea	se 20	00/05	/08 :	CIA-R	RDP78	3-062°				4-6	
	TOTALS	Fo <sub>56</sub> , 69	125,839,72	se 20	2,183,60	62.7	1.74	1	. 1	100.0	100.0	100.0	31.6	20
	OTHER	222	4,044,232	125	3268	5.6	90.0	1	ı	3.2	0.3	0.1	1.5	92
	WS	12,014	21,817,424	2221	809*89	18.5	0.31	07.0	-22.5	17.3	5.1	3.1	5.6	31
	GS 16-18	245	444,920	182	10,765	75.5	2.42	3.76	-35.6	7.0	٥.4	0.5	43.9	59
	GS 13-15	÷0045	10,358,464	5689	320,559	2-66	3.09	3.75	-17.6	8,2	13.1	7.41	56.1	56
	GS 9-12	24,420	44,346,720	26,455	1,325,003	108.3	2.99	3.20	9.9-	35.2	6.09	60.7	54.3	50
	GS 5-8	13,501	24,517,816	9759	375,904	48.5	1.53	1.66	-7.8	19.5	15.1	17.2	27.8	57
	GS 1-4	11,184	20,310,144	2247	79,497	20.1	0.39	0.31	+25.8	16.1	5.2	3.6	7.1	35
	OTHER DEFENSE	POPULATION	AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANTS	PARTICIPANT MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANT RATIO (%)	MAN-HOUR RATIO (Z)	LAST YEAR'S MAN-HOURS RATIO (%)	Z CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR	% OF AGENCY POPULATION	% OF AGENCY PARTICIPANTS	% OF AGENCY MAN-HOURS	AVERACE EMPLOYEE HOURS SPENT IN TRAINING FY 71	AVERACE HOURS OF TRAINING PER PARTICIPANT

 ${\bf Approved\ For\ Release\ 2000/05/08:CIA-RDP78-06215A000200070004-6}$ 

	prov	ed Fo	r Rel	ease :	2000/	05/08	: CIA	-RDP	78-06	215A	00020	00700	04
TOTALS	1775	3,223,400	8777	86,739	25.2	2.69	<b>!</b>	l	100.0	100.0	100.0	6.84	194
OTHER	53	842,248	•	ı	0.0	0.00	ı	1	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
WS	30	54,480	ı	1	0.0	00.0	00.0	l	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
GS 16-18	6	16,344	r	32	1.11	0.30	0.00	1	5.0	0.2	0.0	3.6	32
GS 13-15	76	170,704	82	784	30.9	97.0	1.07	-57.0	5.3	6.5	6.0	7*8	27
GS 9-12	552	1,002,432	108	2787	19.6	87*0	0.85	-43.5	31.1	24.1	5.6	8.7	45
GS 5-8	675	†786 <b>*</b> 966	239	59,800	43.5	9.00	05.4	+33.3	30.9	53.3	689	0*601	250
GS 1-4	887	886,208	77	21,281	14.5	2.40	0.18	+1233.3	27.5	15.8	24.5	43.6	300
RAIIROAD RETIREMENT BOARD	POPULATION	AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANTS	PARTICIPANT MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANT RATIO (2)	MAN-HOUR RATIO (Z)	LAST YEAR'S MAN-HOURS RATIO (%)	% CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR	% OF AGENCY POPULATION	% OF AGENCY PAKTICIPANTS	% OF AGENCY MAN-HOURS	AVERAGE EMPLOYEE HOURS SPENT IN TRAINING FY 71	AVERAGE HOURS OF TRAINING PER PARTICIPANT

Approved For Release 2000/05/08 : CIA-RDP78-06215A00020007000 $\overline{4}^{7}_{-6}$ 

Approved		Releas	se 200	00/05/	08 : C	IA-RI	DP78-	0621	5A000	2000	70004	1-6	Freedominate pag
TOTALS	1478	2,684,048	375	9099	25.4	0.25	1	,   	100.0	100.0	100.0	44	1.8
OTHER	71	128,936	0	0	0.0	<b>8.</b> °	ı	ı	<b>4</b>	0.	0.0	0.0	O
Ss	8	36,320	1	30	5.0	90°0	п.0	-27.3	1.4	0.3	0.5	۲. د ب	30
GS 16-18	34	61,744	4	130	20.6	0.19	1.26	6.48-	2.3	1.9	1.8	3.5	17
GS 13-15	707	733,664	150	2789	37.1	0.38	0.57	-33.3	27.3	0.07	75.2	6.9	19
GS 9-12	604	731,664	184	2791	45.7	0.38	0.59	-35.6	27.3	1.67	42.2	6.9	15
GS 5-8	343	622,888	63	633	6.7	0,10	91.0	-37.5	33.2	6.1	9.6	 8	28
GS 1-4	203	368,648	10	. 243	6*7	90.0	17.0	-85.4	13.7	۲. د .	3.7	1.1	24
94S	POPULATION	AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANTS	PARTICIPANT MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANT RATIO (%)	MAN-HOUR RATIO (%)	LAST YEAR'S MAN-HOURS RATIO (2)	% CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR	% OF AGENCY POPULATION	% OF AGENCY PARTICIPANTS	% OF AGENCY MAN-HOURS	AVERAGE EMPLOYEE HOURS SPENT IN TRAINING FY 71	AVERAGE HOURS OF TRAINING PER PARTICIPANT

Approved For Release 2000/05/08 : CIA-RDP78-06215A000200070004-6

SBA	GS 1-4	GS 5-8	GS 9-12	GS 13-15	GS 16-18	WS	ОТНЕК	TOTALS
POPULATION	881	1027	7777	228	31	8	102	7335
AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS	1,599,896	1,865,032	2,622,304	1,501,832	56,296	36,320	185,232	7,866,912
PARTICIPANTS	169	370	717	410	13	7	ri	1378
PARTICIPANT MAN-HOURS	2636	8206	11,111	10,958	705	12	8	33,345
PARTICIPANT RATIO (%)	19.2	36.0	28.7	9.64	6.14	5.0	1.0	31.8
MAN-HOUR RATIO (%)	0.16	777.0	0.42	0.73	0.71	0.03	0.01	24.0
LAST YEAR'S MAN-HOURS RATIO (%)	0.14	0.37	92.0	0.88	0.29	0.13	ŧ	1
% CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR	+14.3	+18.9	7.441-	-17.0	\$ <i>*†</i> 77(+	-76.9		ľ
% OF AGENCY POPULATION	20.3	23.7	33.3	19.1	2.0	0.5	2.4	100.0
% OF AGENCY PARTICIPANTS	12,3	26.9	30.0	29.8	6*0	0.1	0.1	100.0
Z OF AGENCY MAN-HOURS	7.9	24.6	33.3	32.9	1.2	0.0	0.1	100.0
AVERACE EMPLOYEE HOURS SPENT IN TRAINING FY 71	2.9	8,0	7.6	13.3	12,9	0.5	0.2	7.6
AVERAGE HOURS OF TRAINING PER PARTICIPANT	16	23	27	27	31	12	80	నే

Αp	proved	For	Releas	se 20	00/05/	<del>08 : 0</del>	JA-RI	DP78-	0621	5A000	2000	<del>7000</del> 4	1-6	
	TOTALS	2510	4,558,160	395	16,031	15.7	0.35		•	100.0	100.0	100.0	7.9	17
	OTHER	58	105,328	4	160	6.9	0.15	1	1	2.3	1.2	1.0	2.7	07
	MS	689	251,224	133	7999	19.3	2.65	0.25	0.096+	77.72	33.7	41.6	48.1	8
	GS 16-18	30	54,480	1	16	3.3	0.03	60*0	7.99-	1.2	6.0	0.1	0.5	16
	68 13-15	270	490,320	27	752	10.0	0.15	79.0	-76.6	10.8	6.8	7-4	2.7	28
	GS 9-12	567	898,920	62	56777	12.5	0.50	0.38	+31.6	19.7	15.7	28.0	9.1	72
	8-5 S5	522	947,952	99	1566	11.5	0.16	0.22	-27.3	20.8	15.2	9.7	2.9	92
	GS 1-4	944	809,936	113	2388	25.3	62.0	0.38	-23.7	17.8	28.6	14.9	5.3	21
	SMITHSONIAN	POPULATION	AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANTS	PARTICIPANT MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANT RATIO (%)	MAN-HOUR RATIO (2)	LAST YEAR'S MAN-HOURS RATIO (2)	Z CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR	% OF AGENCY FOFULATION	% OF AGENCY PARTICIPANTS	% OF AGENCY MAN-HOURS	AVERAGE EMPLOYEE HOURS SPENT IN TRAINING FY 71	AVERAGE HOURS OF TRAINING PER PARTICIPANT

A «	pprov	ed Fo	or Rel	ease ຄ	2000/	05/08	: CIA	-RDP	78-06	215A	00020	0070	004
TOTALS	227*99	120,722,	39,880	6,305,4	0.09	3.48	1	t	100.0	100.0	100.0	00700 63.5 63.5	105
OTHER	2151	3,906,216	506	5158	9.6	0.13		<b>.</b>	3.2	0.5	0.1	7*2	25
Sμ	9829	11,413,560	1329	87,050	21.1	92.0	66*0	-3.2	9.5	3.3	2.1	13.8	65
GS 16-18	285	517,560	124	6498	43.5	1.67	1.84	-9.2	7.0	0.3	0.2	30.3	202
GS 13-15	227,41	26,190,352	12,181	634,356	84.5	24.2	1.65	4.46.7	21.7	30.5	15.1	43.9	52
GS 9-12	28,768	52,242,688	19,344	2,408,665	67.2	4.61	3.84	+20.1	43.3	48.5	57.3	83.7	124
GS 5-8	10,311	18,724,776	5493	820,303	53.3	4.38	9.91	-55.8	15.5	13.8	19.5	79.5	149
GS 1-4	55271	7,727,080	1203	242,112	28.3	3.13	1.31	+138.9	7.9	3.0	5.8	56.8	201
'ATION		OURS		-HOURS	TO (%)	(%)	LAST YEAR'S MAN-HOURS RATIO (Z)	AST YEAR	ULATION	TICIPANTS	- HOURS	AVERAGE EMPLOYEE HOURS SPENT IN TRAINING FY 71	F TRAINING PER
TRANSPORTATION	POPULATION	AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANTS	PAKTICIPANT MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANT RATIO (%)	MAN-HOUR RATIO (%)	LAST YEAR'S MAN	Z CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR	% OF AGENCY POPULATION	% OF AGENCY PARTICIPANTS	% OF AGENCY MAN-HOURS	AVERAGE EMPLOYE TRAINING FY 71	AVERAGE HOURS OF TRAINING PER PARTICIPANT

Approved For Release 2000/05/08 : CIA-RDP78-06215A00020007000 $\overset{81}{4}$ -6

prove	ď	For F	el <u>ę</u> as	se <u>2</u> 00	)0/0ॢ5/	08 : C	IA-RI	DP78-	-0621	5A000	02000	70004	4-6	
Approve	TOTAL	For R	Releas 198,924,328	97,99	4,188,22	105.3	2.48	1	l	100.0	100.0	70004 0.00 0.00	45.0	27
	OTHER	00877	8,716,800	ı	,	0.0	0.0	ı	1	5.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	WS	5019	9,114,504	1520	323,107	30.3	3.54	1.26	+181.0	5.4	9.1	7.7	64.3	212
	GS 16-18	781	588,456	133	9969	45.7	1.20	2.53	- 56.5	0.3	0.1	0.2	21.8	24
	GS 13-15	9818	17,829,488	12,415	358,150	126.5	2.01	1.09	7.78+	10.6	12.7	8.6	36.5	28
	GS 9-12	28,003	50,853,448	37,588	1,394,815	134,2	2.74	1.80	+52.2	30.1	38.4	33.3	8.67	37
	GS 5-8	23,272	42,261,952	27,075	1,643,968	116.3	3.89	4.53	-14.1	25.0	27.6	39.3	9.07	9
	GS 1-4	21,817	39,619,672	19,266	461,822	88.1	1.17	1.61	-27.3	23.5	19.6	11.0	21.2	77
	TREASURY	POPULATION	AVAILABLE MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANTS	PARTICIPANT MAN-HOURS	PARTICIPANT RATIO (%)	MAN-HOUR RATIO (Z)	LAST YEAR'S MAN-HOURS RATIO (%)	% CHANGE FROM LAST YEAR	% OF AGENCY POPULATION	Z OF AGENCY PARTICIPANTS	% OF AGENCY MAN-HOURS	AVERAGE EMPLOYEE HOURS SPENT IN TRAINING FY 71	AVERAGE HOURS OF TRAINING PER PARTICIPANT

Y	α'- α'- α'- α'- α'-	GS 13-15	GS 16-18	ŠA	OTHER	TOTALS
29,720	15,655	6117	69	31,326	27,417	153,913
53,971,520	28,429,480	7,469,208	125,304	56,888,016	49,789,272	279,506,008
8368	8376	2367	82	5133	15,069	278*67
213,242	196,030	986,985	2339	104,272	395,906	1,501,749
28.2	53.5	57.5	118.8	16.4	55.0	32.4
0.39	69*0	0.0	1.87	0,18	0.80	0.54
0.35	99.0	0.88	1.08	60.0	1	1
+11.4	+4.5	+2.3	+73.1	+100.0	(	1
19.3	10.2	2.7	0.0	20.4	17.8	100.0
16.8	16.8	7.47	0.2	10.3	30.2	100.0
14.2	13.1	4.5	1.6	6.9	26.4	100.0
7.1	12.5	16.3	34.0	3.3	14.5	000700 %
25	3	88	8	8	%	99

Approved For Release 2000/05/08 № 14 PM P78 46215 A 000 2000 7000 4 6