

☐ SECRET☒ CONFIDENTIAL☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6		CONTRACT NO. 997301	TASK NO. 25X1A		
TO: CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION & SETTLEMENT BRANCH/PD/OL		DATE 29 December 1966 INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state) 20 FINAL ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE 30 December 1966			
NAME OF CONTRACTOR Declass Review by NIMA / DoD		25X1A			
TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE Three Advanced Light Tables Prototypes					
THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO		THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.			
PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED - 100% PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED - Fixed price		See Report #15			
HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.) HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)					
INCENTIVES					
IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO IF YES, CHECK TYPE <input type="checkbox"/> COST <input type="checkbox"/> AWARD FEE <input type="checkbox"/> PERFORMANCE <input type="checkbox"/> DELIVERY		NOTE: USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS. FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.			
OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR					
1. <input type="checkbox"/> OUTSTANDING 4. <input type="checkbox"/> ABOVE AVERAGE 7. <input type="checkbox"/> UNSATISFACTORY 2. <input type="checkbox"/> EXCELLENT 5. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AVERAGE 3. <input type="checkbox"/> VERY GOOD 6. <input type="checkbox"/> MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.					
RECOMMENDED ACTION					
<input type="checkbox"/> CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED <input type="checkbox"/> WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TERMINATE <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (Specify) IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:					
ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		MANUALS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		FINAL REPORT		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SPECIAL TOOLING		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR 2 December 1966					
SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR TDS			DIVISION 25X1A 25X1A		
INSPECTOR'S EXTENSION 25X1A					

☐ SECRET

☐ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6
NARRATIVE REPORT

☐ INTERIM

☒ FINAL

1. All items under this contract: Three prototype light tables, manufacturing drawings, and instruction manuals have been received and are acceptable.

2. The technical performance rating can be only as high as average because of the following:

25X1A a. [] has shown a lack of technical comprehension in certain areas of this project. Specifically, a number of the original specifications had to be compromised to permit [] to complete the effort. All three tables are larger and extremely heavier than originally anticipated. The film transport system does not achieve the required drive characteristics and the implementation of the tracking light source on table # 3 could be improved.

25X1A b. The overall workmanship of the three tables is somewhat rough. Both the degree of finish and the paint color could be improved.

25X1A c. Some areas of "under-engineering" are evident such as the small shafting used in the film transport system.

d. [] was extremely late in their delivery. Contractually, delivery of all items was to be no later than 15 June 1965; but, acceptable delivery was over a year and a half late on an eight month contract.

25X1A 3. Notwithstanding the above, certain features of the design have proven to be beneficial; however, none of these items were an increase in the scope of the original contract.

25X1A a. [] has developed a good light source. It has a large dimming range and is "Flicker-less".

b. The concept of simultaneously moving both film reel brackets has proven to be unique.

25X1A c. The measuring stage and microscope movement--subcontracted to [] has the measuring accuracy required yet maintains a smooth, uniform microscope movement.

4. Taking all of the above into account an average rating must be given.

5. This report certifies that all deliverable items under the contract have been received and are acceptable.

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☐ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL 997304

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

CONTRACT NO.

TASK NO.

P78B04747A001400040025-6

TO:

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION & SETTLEMENT
BRANCH/PD/OL

DATE

25 November 1966

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

19

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

30 December 1966

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE

Three Advanced Light Table Prototypes

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE

☐ YES

☒ NO

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED - 95%

PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED - Fixed Price

THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☐ YES ☒ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.

See Report # 15

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)

HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT
IF YES, CHECK TYPE

☐ YES

☒ NO

☐ COST

☐ AWARD
FEE

☐ PERFORMANCE

☐ DELIVERY

NOTE:

USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS.

FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING

4. ☐ ABOVE AVERAGE

7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY

2. ☐ EXCELLENT

5. ☒ AVERAGE

3. ☐ VERY GOOD

6. ☐ MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

☒ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED

☐ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING
SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE

☐ TERMINATE

☐ OTHER (Specify)

IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

28 October 1966

SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

DIVISION

T D S

INSPECTOR'S EXTENSION

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6
NARRATIVE REPORT

☒ INTERIM

☐ FINAL

All of the deficiencies noted on the last inspection report have been corrected. The three flight tables are now under evaluation and it is anticipated that acceptance will be made in December 1966.

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6
CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

TO:

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION & SETTLEMENT
BRANCH/PD/OL

DATE

26 September 1966

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

18

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

30 November 1966

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE

Three advanced Light Table Prototypes

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE



YES



NO

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED - 60%

PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED - Fixed Price

THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☐ YES ☒ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED. See information on Inspection Report # 15.

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☒ YES ☐ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)

HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT
IF YES, CHECK TYPE

☐ YES

☒ NO



COST



AWARD
FEE



PERFORMANCE



DELIVERY

NOTE:
USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS.
FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING

4. ☐ ABOVE AVERAGE

7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY

2. ☐ EXCELLENT

5. ☒ AVERAGE

3. ☐ VERY GOOD

6. ☐ MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION



CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED



WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING
SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE



TERMINATE



OTHER (Specify)

IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

22 September 1966

SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

DIVISION

P & D S

INSPECTOR'S EXTENSION

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

NARRATIVE REPORT

☒ INTERIM

☐ FINAL

The three advanced light tables, drawings, and instruction manuals have been delivered to NPIC; however, during testing and evaluation certain deficiencies were discovered. They are the following:

1. Table # 1

A. Serious rusting is occurring on the film transport handwheels.

B. The top group film transport reversing unit is slipping.

C. The indicator for the reel bracket location of 9.5 inch film is mislocated.

2. Table # 2

A. The handwheel for the front group reel bracket locator cannot be locked.

B. The test to determine the degree of parallelism of the microscope transport travel relative to the film plane yielded the following: (in lp/mm)

250	200	250	Film format
100	150	150	

To be acceptable the film plane must be leveled so that all of the readings are approximately the same. The following test was made with an [] resolution target #R0092 (100-500 cy/mm) and [] stereo-microscope [] at 45X (10X eyepieces).

3. Table # 3

A. The test as outlined in B above (Table #2) yielded the following:

250	250	250
0*	0*	0*

* target completely out of focus.

[] visited NPIC on 22 September 1966 and examined these deficiencies and agreed to take step to eliminate the problems. It is anticipated that it will require 4 to 6 weeks to eliminate B in Table # 1.

This report will serve as notification of receipt but not acceptance of the light tables.

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6
CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

TO:

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION & SETTLEMENT
BRANCH/PD/OL

DATE

31 August 1966

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

17

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

30 September 1966

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE

Three Advanced Light Table Prototypes

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE

☐ YES

☒ NO

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED -

84%

PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED -

Fixed Price

THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☐ YES ☒ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.

See information on Inspection Report 15.

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)

HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT
IF YES, CHECK TYPE

☐ YES

☒ NO

☐ COST

☐ AWARD FEE

☐ PERFORMANCE

☐ DELIVERY

NOTE:

USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS.

FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING

4. ☐ ABOVE AVERAGE

7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY

2. ☐ EXCELLENT

5. ☒ AVERAGE

3. ☐ VERY GOOD

6. ☐ MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

☒ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED

☐ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE

☐ TERMINATE

☐ OTHER (Specify)

IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT, PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

29 August 1966

SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

DIVISION

P & D S

INSPECTOR'S EXTENSION

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☒ INTERIM

☐ FINAL

1. On 29 August 1966 an inspection visit was made to the contractors facilities.

A. Attendees:

[Redacted box]

B. All three light tables were inspected. The following minor deficiencies were noted.

1. Table No. 2

- (a) The microscope transport carriage was binding at the mid-point of its travel.
- (b) The front illumination masking shade was not operating properly.

2. Table No. 3

- (a) The microscope transport carriage was binding over the entire travel.
- (b) The bottom film transport system was noisy in the neutral position.
- (c) The tracking light source was not properly following the microscope motion.
- (d) The microscope transport carriage was interfering with the film roller support block on the left side of the unit.

C. The deficiencies will be corrected and the tables delivered to NPIC. Delivery is anticipated for 30 August 1966.

D. It was agreed that the following tests would be made at NPIC.

- 1. The degree of parallelism of the microscope transport travel relative to the film plane.
- 2. The degree of perpendicularity of the microscope support relative to the film plane.
- 3. The measuring accuracy.

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET

25X1A

☐ SECRET

95730-4

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

CONTRACT NO.

TASK NO.

TO:

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION & SETTLEMENT
BRANCH/PD/OL

DATE

12 August 1966

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

16

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

15 September 1966

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE

Three Prototype Light Tables

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE

☐ YES

☒ NO

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED -

80%

PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED -

Fixed Price

THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☐ YES ☒ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.

See information on inspection report 15.

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☒ YES ☐ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)

HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT
IF YES, CHECK TYPE

☐ YES

☒ NO

☐ COST

☐ PERFORMANCE

☐ DELIVERY

NOTE:
USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS.
FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING

3. ☐ ABOVE AVERAGE

5. ☐ BELOW AVERAGE 7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY

2. ☐ EXCELLENT

4. ☒ AVERAGE

6. ☐ BARELY ADEQUATE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR BARELY ADEQUATE, INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

☒ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED

☐ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING
SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE

☐ TERMINATE

☐ OTHER (Specify)

IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

9 August 1966

SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

DIVISION

PDS

INSPECTOR'S EXTENSION

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

NARRATIVE REPORT

☒ INTERIM

☐ FINAL

1. An inspection report dated 12 July has been received. This report indicates that tables # 1 and # 2 were inspected and accepted for delivery on 1 June 1966; however, as noted in NPIC/PDS/D/6-1122 memorandum dated 15 June 1966, final acceptance is to be made only after inspection at NPIC. Upon delivery, the tables were not acceptable and, as indicated in the memorandum, were returned for rework. For these reasons, exception must be taken to the "acceptation" statement in the June 1966 status report.

2. On 9 August 1966 an inspection visit was made to the contractor's facilities.

A. Attendees



B. This inspection visit was made for the purpose of final inspection of the three light tables. During discussion, the contractor asked if any company supported effort to improve the existing light tables would improve his probability of success in the competitive evaluation of the tables. He was told in no uncertain terms that such an effort would be the exclusive risk of his company and there was absolutely no encouragement from the technical monitors. In addition, he was told that there was no existing mechanism for additional support under this contract and if [redacted] did make any improvements, there should be no expectation of any additional Government support.

C. The following deficiencies were noted during inspection:

1. Table # 1

- a. The film transport's tensioning mechanism must be optimized.
- b. All holes tapped through the exterior of the top casting must filled and painted.

2. Table # 2

- a. A clicking sound (chain rubbing on housing?) was evident during film movement.
- b. Excessive axial play was present on the left film transport control.
- c. The electrical leads to the cooling fans were rubbing on the film transport mechanism.
- d. All holes tapped through the exterior of the top casting must filled and painted.

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET

CONFIDENTIAL

INSPECTION REPORT...

3. Table # 3

- a. The microscope transport casting was scratching the top casting at the left end of the microscope carriage travel.
- b. The clutch-brake units in both the film transport systems were slipping.
- c. The illumination masking shades are still too difficult to position and operate.
- d. The cooling fans introduce too much vibration in the microscope carriage.
- e. The electrical leads to the cooling fans were rubbing on the film transport mechanism.
- f. All holes tapped through the exterior of the top casting must filled and painted.

D. The monitor will be notified when the deficiencies have been corrected.

CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

25X1A

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

CONTRACT NO.

TASK NO.

TO:

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION & SETTLEMENT
BRANCH/PD/OL

DATE

21 July 1966

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

45

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

15 August 1966

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE

Three Prototype Light Tables

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE

☐ YES

☒ NO

THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☐ YES ☒ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED - 65

PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED - Fixed Price

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☒ YES ☐ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)

HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT
IF YES, CHECK TYPE

☐ YES

☒ NO

☐ COST

☐ PERFORMANCE

☐ DELIVERY

NOTE:
USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS.
FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING

3. ☐ ABOVE AVERAGE

5. ☐ BELOW AVERAGE 7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY

2. ☐ EXCELLENT

4. ☒ AVERAGE

6. ☐ BARELY ADEQUATE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR BARELY ADEQUATE, INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

☒ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED

☐ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING
SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE

☐ TERMINATE

☐ OTHER (Specify)

IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

15 July 1966

SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

DIVISION

P&DS

INSPECTOR'S EXTENSION

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

NARRATIVE REPORT

1. The Contractor has notified the Contracting Officer that he has spent more than the fixed price allocation under the contract. This office has made a negative recommendation to the Contracting Officer concerning additional funding.

2. Light Tables Number 1 & 2 were received at NPIC on 6 June 1966; however, evaluation has shown that they are not acceptable and must undergo rework before any final acceptance can be made.

3. Inspection Visit of 15 July 1966.

A. Attendees



B. The purpose of this visit was to inspect Light Table Number 3. The following deficiencies were found which must be corrected before delivery can be authorized.

1. The film transport mechanism will not accommodate film - to be viewed emulsion up - that has been wound on its spool emulsion in. Light Tables Number 1 & 2 also suffer from this defect and must be corrected.

2. The illumination masking shades do not slide freely.

3. A stop in the dimming control circuit is required to prevent flicker occurring at very low light intensities of the High Intensity Tracking Light Source (HITLS).

4. One clutch brake unit did not function properly during inspection.

5. The corners of the "Hunker S ring Return Block" interfered with the HITLS's power cord.

C. The monitor will be advised when the above deficiencies have been corrected and another inspection will be made at that time.

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET

☐ SECRET

95730-4

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

CONTRACT NO.

TASK NO.

TO:

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION & SETTLEMENT
BRANCH/PD/OL

DATE

7 June 1966

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

14

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

1 August 1966

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE

Three Advanced Light Table Prototypes

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE

☐ YES

☒ NO

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED - 78%

PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED - Fixed Price

THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☒ YES ☐ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)

HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT
IF YES, CHECK TYPE

☐ YES

☒ NO

☐ COST

☐ PERFORMANCE

☐ DELIVERY

NOTE:

USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS.

FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING

3. ☐ ABOVE AVERAGE

5. ☐ BELOW AVERAGE 7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY

2. ☐ EXCELLENT

4. ☒ AVERAGE

6. ☐ BARELY ADEQUATE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR BARELY ADEQUATE, INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

☒ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED

☐ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING
SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE

☐ TERMINATE

☐ OTHER (Specify)

IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

1 June 1966

SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

DIVISION

P & D S

INSPECTOR'S EXTENSION

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6
NARRATIVE REPORT

☒ INTERIM

☐ FINAL

Inspection Visit of 1 June 1966

Attendees:



The trip was made for final in-plant inspection of the Advanced Tilt Top Light Table (Table # 1) and the Advanced Light Table with translating microscope carriage (Table #2). The contractor agreed to make the following changes which will make the tables acceptable. Shipment of these two instruments will begin after the deficiencies have been corrected.

TABLE # 1

1. There is excessive rubbing of the high voltage power cord when the table is tilted.
2. The tilt mechanism handwheels will be changed.

TABLE # 2

1. The front stop on the film transport positioning mechanism will be re-positioned.
2. The cover glass lifting mechanism will be limited so that the microscope carriage will not strike the glass.
3. The stops on the microscope transport will be re-positioned to prevent interference with the shade positioning knobs.

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET

25X1A

☐ SECRET

99730-4
☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6
CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

TO:

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION & SETTLEMENT
BRANCH/PD/OL

DATE

4 May 1966

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

13

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

1 July 1966

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE

Three Advanced Light Table Prototypes

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE

☐ YES

☒ NO

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED -

75%

PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED - Fixed Price

THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☒ YES ☐ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)

HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT
IF YES, CHECK TYPE

☐ YES

☒ NO

☐ COST

☐ PERFORMANCE

☐ DELIVERY

NOTE:

USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS.

FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING

3. ☒ ABOVE AVERAGE

5. ☐ BELOW AVERAGE 7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY

2. ☐ EXCELLENT

4. ☐ AVERAGE

6. ☐ BARELY ADEQUATE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR BARELY ADEQUATE, INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

☒ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED

☐ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING
SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE

☐ TERMINATE

☐ OTHER (Specify)

IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

25 April 1966

SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

DIVISION

P & D S

INSPECTOR'S EXTENSION

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6
NARRATIVE REPORT

☒ INTERIM

☐ FINAL

Inspection Visit of 25 April 1966

Attendees:

The purpose of this visit was for final in-plant inspection of light table # 2. The table was not really ready for final inspection; the following deficiencies were noted:

1. There is an interference between the microscope transport carriage brake handle and the film transport handwheels.
2. The film transport clutches were slipping when the maximum load was applied.
3. The left front film holder was not functioning properly.
4. The film positioning mechanism requires adjustment to accommodate two 5" wide film spools.
5. The rear brake on the microscope transport carriage was dragging at all times.
6. Indexing is required to locate the film positioning mechanism for 9 $\frac{1}{2}$ " wide film spools.
7. The teflon rollers do not turn easy enough.
8. The lettering on the control box had been scratched. It must be re-worked.
9. The microscope transport carriage must move with a constant velocity with an applied force no greater than 3 ounces.
10. The light source had visible flicker.
11. The thumbscrew on the adapter must be made of brass.
12. The film transport handwheels are too "spongy" and are not concentric on their shafts.
13. The film curling problem as outlined in Inspection Report # 12 is still present.

The contractor agreed to correct all of the above deficiencies.

The light table is going to require a very stable platform to realize the maximum capabilities of the instrument. With a four pound force applied to the extreme position, the maximum deflection of the microscope transport carriage was 0.003 inches.

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET

99730-4

☐ SECRET☒ CONFIDENTIAL☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

TO:

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION & SETTLEMENT
BRANCH/PD/OL

DATE

20 April 1966

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

12

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

1 July 1966

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE

Three Advanced Light Tables Prototype

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE

☐ YES☒ NO

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED -

72%

PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED -

Fixed Price

THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED
FUNDS ☒ YES ☐ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE REC-
OMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON
REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF AD-
DITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR
DURING THE PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO
(If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT
IF YES, CHECK TYPE☐ YES☒ NO☐ COST☐ PERFORMANCE☐ DELIVERY

NOTE:

USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS.

FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING3. ☐ ABOVE AVERAGE5. ☐ BELOW AVERAGE7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY2. ☐ EXCELLENT4. ☒ AVERAGE6. ☐ BARELY ADEQUATEIF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR BARELY ADEQUATE, INDICATE REASONS ON
REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

☒ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED☐ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING
SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE☐ TERMINATE☐ OTHER (Specify)IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE
FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN
RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

18 April 1966

SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

DIVISION

P & D S

INSPECTOR'S EXTENSION

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ SECRET☒ CONFIDENTIAL☐ UNCLASSIFIEDApproved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6
NARRATIVE REPORT☒ INTERIM☐ FINAL

The advanced tilt top light table was delivered to NPIC; however, due to the deficiencies listed below the instrument was returned to the contractor on 19 April 1966.

1. Permanent indexing for film positioning must be made.
2. An electrical short circuit must be corrected.
3. The film transport handwheels are too "spongy" and are not concentric to their shafts.
4. The masking shades are too difficult to adjust.
5. The mechanism controlling the positioning, of the light source high voltage wire must be changed to permit it to be free and not rub against the casting.
6. The left front film holder will not engage.
7. The screws holding the tilt mechanism are too long.
8. One of the teflon rollers is pitted.

On 19 April 1966 an inspection was made of the 11" x 40" light table without tracking light source (Table # 2), the following deficiencies were noted on this table.

1. The chain of the left rear film transport system was rubbing against its housing.
2. The light source had visible flicker.
3. The tension on the masking shade mechanism must be adjusted. The leading edge of the shades must be straightened.
4. The teflon rollers must turn easier.
5. Corners must be rounded on the microscope transport carriage.
6. Because of the serious film curling, which is not reduced by the film tensioning mechanism, the microscope transport carriage either catches the edge of the film and tears it or constantly scratches it. The contractor was told that this must be corrected.

The contractor agreed to correct all of the above deficiencies. The microscope transport carriage has an extremely uniform movement requiring less than 3 ounces of force to move it in either the X or Y direction at a constant velocity of approximately an inch/second. The force required to change from the static to dynamic mode is not significant.

Another inspection for Table # 2 is planned for 25 April 1966.

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ UNCLASSIFIED☒ CONFIDENTIAL☐ SECRET

☐ SECRET

90730-4

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

CONTRACT NO.

TASK NO.

TO:

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION & SETTLEMENT
BRANCH/PD/OL

DATE

4 April 1966

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

11

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

1 July 1966

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE

Three Advanced Light Tables Prototypes

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE

☐

YES

☒

NO

THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☒ YES ☐ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED . 70%

PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED . Fixed Price

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)

HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT
IF YES, CHECK TYPE

☐

YES

☒

NO

☐

COST

☐

PERFORMANCE

☐

DELIVERY

NOTE:
USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS.
FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING

3. ☐ ABOVE AVERAGE

5. ☐ BELOW AVERAGE 7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY

2. ☐ EXCELLENT

4. ☒ AVERAGE

6. ☐ BARELY ADEQUATE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR BARELY ADEQUATE, INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

☒ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED

☐ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING
SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE

☐ TERMINATE

☐ OTHER (Specify)

IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

28 March 1966

SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

DIVISION

P & D S

INSPECTOR'S EXTENSION

SIGNATURE OF APPROVER

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

NARRATIVE REPORT

☒ INTERIM

☐ FINAL

Inspection visit of 28 March 1966

Attendees:



An inspection visit was made for final acceptance of the 9" X 18" tilt top table; however, the following deficiencies were found and the instrument was not accepted.

1. The wiring from the transformer to the light source must be changed. It presently is restricted by the main housing of the tilting mechanism.
2. The tensioning controls must be changed to a linear potentiometer.
3. The film transport system was not sensitive to small film movements.
4. The masking shades would not stay positioned.
5. The tilting crank handles were not satisfactory.

 will correct the above problems and another inspection will be made.

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET

☐ SECRET

99730-4
☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

TO:

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION & SETTLEMENT
BRANCH/PD/OL

DATE

16 March 1966

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

10

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

1 July 1966

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE

Three Advanced Light Tables Prototypes

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE

☐ YES

☒ NO

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED - 68%

PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED - Fixed Price

THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☒ YES ☐ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☒ YES ☐ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)

HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT

☐ YES

☒ NO

IF YES, CHECK TYPE

☐ COST

☐ PERFORMANCE

☐ DELIVERY

NOTE:

USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS.

FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING

3. ☒ ABOVE AVERAGE

5. ☐ BELOW AVERAGE 7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY

2. ☐ EXCELLENT

4. ☐ AVERAGE

6. ☐ BARELY ADEQUATE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR BARELY ADEQUATE, INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

☒ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED

☐ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING
SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE

☐ TERMINATE

☐ OTHER (Specify)

IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

14 March 1966

SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

DIVISION

P & D S

INSPECTOR'S EXTENSION

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6
NARRATIVE REPORT

☒ INTERIM

☐ FINAL

Progress Reports for December 1965, January 1966 and February 1966 have been received.

Inspection visit of 14 March 1966

Attendees:



The 9K18 inch light table was partially assembled and although it appears to be an excellent design the following deficiencies were noted:

1. The film loading mechanism was not held securely. If left in its present state there would be a danger of the film spool dropping out of the transport.
2. The backlash in the chain drive of the film transport must be reduced.
3. The travel of the film transport support assembly must be limited.
4. A method of quick dis-assembly of the film transport idler bracket must be provided.
5. Indexing for various specific film sizes must be provided.

 agreed to make the above listed changes.

The following list is the latest delivery schedule.

1. Table # 1 (9K18 inch) 28 March 1966
2. Table # 2 (9K40 inch) 15 April 1966
3. Table # 3 (9K40 inch with tracking light source) 1 June 1966.

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET

99730-4

☐ SECRET☒ CONFIDENTIAL☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

CONTRACT NO.

TASK NO.

TO:

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION & SETTLEMENT
BRANCH/PD/OL

DATE

17 February 1966

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

9

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

1 July 1966

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE

Three Advanced Light Tables Prototypes

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE

☐ YES☒ NO

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED -

65%

PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED -

Fixed Price

THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☒ YES ☐ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☒ YES ☐ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT
IF YES, CHECK TYPE☐ YES☒ NO☐ COST☐ PERFORMANCE☐ DELIVERY

NOTE:

USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS.

FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING3. ☐ ABOVE AVERAGE5. ☐ BELOW AVERAGE 7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY2. ☐ EXCELLENT4. ☒ AVERAGE6. ☐ BARELY ADEQUATE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR BARELY ADEQUATE, INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

☒ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED☐ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING
SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE☐ TERMINATE☐ OTHER (Specify)

IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

14 February 1966

SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

DIVISION

P303

INSPECTOR'S EXTENSION

SIGNATURE OF APPROVER

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

NARRATIVE REPORT


☒ INTERIM

☐ FINAL


Progress reports through November 1966 have been received.

Inspection visit of 14 February 1966:

Attendees:



1. The film transport system was partially assembled; however, due to mis-alignments, it was not optimized.

2.  has experimented with the cold cathode grid structure and seems to have found the solution to the low light level flicker problem.

3. Other aspects of the program are progressing satisfactorily. Delivery is now scheduled for the following dates:

A. Table # 1 - 1 April 1966

B. Table # 2 - 1 May 1966

C. Table # 3 - 15 June 1966.

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL 99730-1

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6
CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

CONTRACT NO.

TASK NO.

TO:

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION & SETTLEMENT
BRANCH/PD/OL

DATE

4 January 1966

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

8

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

1 July 1966

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE

Three Advanced Light Tables Prototypes

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE

☐ YES

☒ NO

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED -

63%

PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED -

Fixed Price

THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☒ YES ☐ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)

HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT
IF YES, CHECK TYPE

☐ YES

☒ NO

☐ COST

☐ PERFORMANCE

☐ DELIVERY

NOTE:

USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS.

FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING

3. ☐ ABOVE AVERAGE

5. ☐ BELOW AVERAGE 7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY

2. ☐ EXCELLENT

4. ☒ AVERAGE

6. ☐ BARELY ADEQUATE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR BARELY ADEQUATE, INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

☒ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED

☐ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING
SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE

☐ TERMINATE

☐ OTHER (Specify)

IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

30 December 1965

SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

DIVISION

P&DS

INSPECTOR'S EXTENSION

SIGNATURE OF APPROVER

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6
NARRATIVE REPORT

☒ INTERIM

☐ FINAL

Inspection visit of 30 December 1965

Attendees:

[Redacted]

1. This trip was made primarily to take the [Redacted] stereoscopes to the contractor. The instruments have now been returned to NPIC.

2. A film tensioning breadboard was demonstrated and proved satisfactory.

3. The operational noise level must be reduced.

4. The film transport assembly will be completed about 1 February 1966 and an inspection visit will be made at that time.

5. The delivery schedule as outlined in the last inspection report is currently being maintained.

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6
CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

CONTRACT NO.

TASK NO.

TO:

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION & SETTLEMENT
BRANCH/PD/OL

DATE

17 December 1965

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

7

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

1 July 1965

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE

Three Advanced Light Table Prototype

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE

☐ YES

☒ NO

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED -

60%

PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED -

Fixed Price

THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☒ YES ☐ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☒ YES ☐ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)

HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT
IF YES, CHECK TYPE

☐ YES

☒ NO

☐ COST

☐ PERFORMANCE

☐ DELIVERY

NOTE:

USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS.

FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING

3. ☐ ABOVE AVERAGE

5. ☐ BELOW AVERAGE 7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY

2. ☐ EXCELLENT

4. ☒ AVERAGE

6. ☐ BARELY ADEQUATE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR BARELY ADEQUATE, INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

☒ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED

☐ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING
SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE

☐ TERMINATE

☐ OTHER (Specify)

IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

13 December 1965

SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

DIVISION

P & D S

INSPECTOR'S EXTENSION

SIGNATURE OF APPROVER

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6
NARRATIVE REPORT

☒ INTERIM

☐ FINAL

Monthly progress report for October has been received.

Inspection visit of 13 December 1965

ATTENDEES:



The design and drawings for tables number 2 and 3 were reviewed including the schedules for delivery for all three tables. The only areas of concern are the following:

1. [Redacted] is planning to use two 40" X 6" light grids for tables 2 and 3 instead of one 40" X 11" grid. They maintain that the operating voltage can be reduced considerably using this approach. The life of these grids is about 10,000 hours and this technique should be satisfactory.

2. The contractor is providing a metric scale readout. This will be considered and the contractor will be advised as to its acceptability.

The following are optimistic delivery dates:

Table # 1 -- 14 March 1966

Table # 2 -- 1 April 1966

Table # 3 -- 9 May 1966

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

CONTRACT NO.

TASK NO.

TO:

ENGINEERING SECTION/CB/PD/OL

DATE

28 October 1965

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

6

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

30 March 1966

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE

Three Advanced Light Table Prototypes

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE



YES



NO

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED - 55%

PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED - Fixed Price

THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☒ YES ☐ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☒ YES ☐ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)

HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT
IF YES, CHECK TYPE

☐ YES

☒ NO

☐ COST

☐ PERFORMANCE

☐ DELIVERY

NOTE:
USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS.
FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING

3. ☐ ABOVE AVERAGE

5. ☐ BELOW AVERAGE 7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY

2. ☐ EXCELLENT

4. ☒ AVERAGE

6. ☐ BARELY ADEQUATE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR BARELY ADEQUATE, INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

☒ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED

☐ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING
SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE

☐ TERMINATE

☐ OTHER (Specify)

IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

25 October 1965

SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

DIVISION

P & D S

INSPECTOR'S EXTENSION

SIGNATURE OF APPROVER

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6
NARRATIVE REPORT

☒ INTERIM

☐ FINAL

Monthly status reports for the months of August and September have been received.

A plant inspection visit was made on 25 October 1965.

ATTENDEES:



During this meeting, the designs and schedules of the three light tables were reviewed.

1. Table #1: Design has been completed and fabrication has begun. Delivery is scheduled for 1 January 1966.

2. Table #2: Delivery is scheduled for 20 February 1966. The only problem with this table is the tensioning bars. They presently interfere with the microscope carriage in that the carriage can not be pushed all the way to the ends of the table with the bar raised. A method of providing interlocks is being investigated which would prohibit accidental hitting of the bars with the carriage. [redacted] wants to eliminate the bars for they feel that the bars are not going to aid in holding the film flat; however, they were told that this would not be acceptable unless they provided another method of film flattening. They were encouraged to utilize an actuated cover glass traveling with the microscope in place of the tensioning bars if this could be accomplished without a change of scope. [redacted] will investigate and report as soon as possible; however, it is doubtful if they will make this substitution.

3. Table #3: Delivery is scheduled for 15 March 1966. The tensioning bar problem exists on this model as on #2. The tracking light source was reviewed and seems to be acceptable. [redacted] indicates that the [redacted] movement for #2 and #3 will operate satisfactory and to the required specification.

Another monitoring trip is planned for mid December to review fabrication of Table #1.

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

CONTRACT NO.

TASK NO.

TO:

ENGINEERING SECTION/CB/PD/OL

DATE

30 August 1965

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

5

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

December 1965

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE

Three Advanced Light Table Prototypes

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE



YES



NO

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED -

45%

PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED -

Fixed Price

THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☒ YES ☐ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)

HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT
IF YES, CHECK TYPE



YES



NO

☐ COST

☐ PERFORMANCE

☐ DELIVERY

NOTE:
USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS.
FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING



ABOVE AVERAGE



BELOW AVERAGE



UNSATISFACTORY

2. ☐ EXCELLENT



AVERAGE



BARELY ADEQUATE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR BARELY ADEQUATE, INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

☒ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED



WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING
SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE

☐ TERMINATE



OTHER (Specify)

IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

25 August 1965

SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

DIVISION

P & D S

INSPECTOR'S EXTENSION

SIGNATURE OF APPROVER

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

NARRATIVE REPORT

☒ INTERIM

☐ FINAL

Plant Inspection of 25 August 1965

Attendees:



The contractor has not overcome the problem of obtaining a satisfactory microscope carriage as outlined in the last report.

The contractor requested permission to investigate the feasibility of using a small cold cathode grid for the high intensity light source and they were told that this would be satisfactory as long as it would track as outlined in the original objectives. The maximum intensity of the grid will be 3500 ft. - lamberts.

The design of table #1 was completed and appears satisfactory. The contractor estimated that this table will be completely fabricated in 60 days.

The monitor agreeded to provide drawings for modification of the Bausch & Lomb microscope support.

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET

25X1A

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

TO:

ENGINEERING SECTION/CB/PD/OL

DATE

4 August 1965

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

4

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

September 1965

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE

Three Advanced Light Table Prototypes

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE

☐ YES

☒ NO

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED -

40%

PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED -

NA

THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☒ YES ☐ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☒ YES ☐ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)

HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT
IF YES, CHECK TYPE

☐ YES

☒ NO

NOTE:
USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS.
FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

☐ COST

☐ PERFORMANCE

☐ DELIVERY

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING

3. ☐ ABOVE AVERAGE

5. ☐ BELOW AVERAGE 7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY

2. ☐ EXCELLENT

4. ☒ AVERAGE

6. ☐ BARELY ADEQUATE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR BARELY ADEQUATE, INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

☒ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED

☐ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING
SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE

☐ TERMINATE

☐ OTHER (Specify)

IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR
27 July 1965 - Meeting

SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

DIVISION

P & D 3

INSPECTOR'S EXTENSION

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

NARRATIVE REPORT

☒ INTERIM

☐ FINAL

A meeting was held on 27 July 1965

The following personnel were present:



The contractor has encountered difficulty in obtaining a satisfactory microscope carriage with the degree of precision required for mensuration. The Project Engineer requested guidance along these lines. Several alternate proposals were submitted but they all had various readout or display units and did not get at the heart of the problem - a method of accurately moving the microscope up to 40 mm in the X and Y direction and accurately indicating this movement to 1 micron \pm 0.1% of distance.

The monitor will call the contractor within a week and present any material that may help the contractor overcome this problem.

The contractor is also experiencing difficulty with the cabling scheme for the tracking High Intensity Light Source and asked for guidance on this matter.

Several alternate proposals were also submitted on the High Intensity Light Source.

The monitor will provide any information he can on this subject.

The contractor submitted proposals for 1. Electrical slew movement. 2. Hold down plate. 3. A study of flicker in cold cathode light. The monitor requested that cost estimates be submitted.

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

CONTRACT NO.

TASK NO.

TO:

ENGINEERING SECTION/CB/PD/OL

DATE

17 May 1965

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

3

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

September 1965

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE

(Three) Advanced Light Table Prototypes

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE

☐ YES

☒ NO

THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☒ YES ☐ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED - 30%

PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED - NA

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☒ YES ☐ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)

HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT
IF YES, CHECK TYPE

☐ YES

☒ NO

NOTE:
USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS.
FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

☐ COST

☐ PERFORMANCE

☐ DELIVERY

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING

3. ☐ ABOVE AVERAGE

5. ☐ BELOW AVERAGE 7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY

2. ☐ EXCELLENT

4. ☒ AVERAGE

6. ☐ BARELY ADEQUATE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR BARELY ADEQUATE, INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

☒ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED

☐ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING
SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE

☐ TERMINATE

☐ OTHER (Specify)

IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

11 May, Monitoring Trip

SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

DIVISION

INSPECTOR'S EXTENSION

P&DS
SIGNATURE OF APPROVER

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6
NARRATIVE REPORT

☒ INTERIM

☐ FINAL

Trip report of 11 May 1965

1. The monitor requested that the transport system be capable of transporting two rolls of film (5" or 70 mm) in the same direction.
2. Permission was granted to have 10 degrees turn in handwheels before engaging film transport.
3. Contractor is testing a cool type yellow light grid (W-31). They report less than 4% drop off. Range of intensity can be varied from 7 to 2400 foot lamberts.
4. There are two types of light sources available, blue and yellow. The monitor requested that one type be put in Table 2 and the other in Table 3 for comparison purposes, if it did not add to the cost.
5. Permission was granted to move light source in $\frac{1}{2}$ inch on Tables 2 and 3 but the monitor disallowed moving the transformer out of the main housing on these two tables.
6. The monitor encouraged the contractor to use his own ideas in driving the high intensity light source (Table 3) with the microscope carriage. Permission was granted to increase the carriage size $\frac{1}{2}$ inch due to light source shift.
7. Permission granted to make the following changes in Table 1: increase height from 9 inch to 9 $\frac{1}{2}$ inch, increase overall length from 32 inches to 33 inches.
8. Monitor rejected increase of height in Table 2 and 3. Contractor would like to increase the length of table 2 and 3 by an additional 7 inches. The monitor suggested they re-study the problem since an increase in length will hamper working from the end of the table.
9. The contractor estimates that there is approximately one month of engineering work remaining on the project and the detail drawings will take until July. A realistic delivery date appears to be sometime in September or October. It is requested that the Contracting Officer establish a new delivery schedule.
10. Contractor requested the following information: minimum working distances for each of the three microscopes and the variation of NA for the scopes.

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

CONTRACT NO.

TASK NO.

TO:

ENGINEERING SECTION/CB/PD/OL

DATE

6 May 1965

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

July 1965

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE

(Three) Advanced Light Table Prototypes

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE

☐ YES

☒ NO

THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☒ YES ☐ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED -

30%

PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED -

NA

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☒ YES ☐ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)

HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT
IF YES, CHECK TYPE

☐ YES

☒ NO

NOTE:
USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS.
FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

☐ COST

☐ PERFORMANCE

☐ DELIVERY

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING

3. ☐ ABOVE AVERAGE

5. ☐ BELOW AVERAGE 7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY

2. ☐ EXCELLENT

4. ☒ AVERAGE

6. ☐ BARELY ADEQUATE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR BARELY ADEQUATE, INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

☒ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED

☐ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING
SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE

☐ TERMINATE

☐ OTHER (Specify)

IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

4 May 1965, Telecom.

SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

DIVISION

PADS

INSPECTOR'S EXTENSION

SIGNATURE OF APPROVER

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6
NARRATIVE REPORT

☒ INTERIM

☐ FINAL

A contract monitoring trip has been scheduled for the 11 May 1965. A fully detailed trip report will be made at that time. [REDACTED] is running considerably behind schedule and has asked for a time extension. The basic problem is that they originally under estimated the complexity of the design problem. It appears to our advantage to grant the requested extension.

25X1A

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6
CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

TO:

ENGINEERING SECTION/CB/PD/OL

DATE

9 Feb 65

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

Jun 65

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE

(Three) Advanced Light Table Prototypes

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE

☒ YES

☐ NO

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED -

25%

PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED -

--

THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☒ YES ☐ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☒ YES ☐ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)

HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT IF YES, CHECK TYPE

☐ YES

☒ NO

☐ COST

☐ PERFORMANCE

☐ DELIVERY

NOTE: USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS. FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING

3. ☐ ABOVE AVERAGE

5. ☐ BELOW AVERAGE 7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY

2. ☐ EXCELLENT

4. ☒ AVERAGE

6. ☐ BARELY ADEQUATE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR BARELY ADEQUATE, INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

☒ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED

☐ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE

☐ TERMINATE

☐ OTHER (Specify)

IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

6 Dec 64, monitoring trip

DIVISION

P&DS

INSPECTOR'S EXTENSION

FORM 10-64

1897

USE PREVIOUS EDITION

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET

(12-36)

☐ SECRET

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

NARRATIVE REPORT

☒ INTERIM

☐ FINAL

On 5 Dec 64 a monitoring trip was made to [redacted] The contract was just getting underway. Long discussions were held concerning the operational and design concepts of the subject tables. It was necessary to point out to them a number of problem areas they had not anticipated. [redacted] has been very cooperative to date; however, it is too early in the contract to make many valid observations. A monthly status report for December was received 27 Jan. 1965.

Approved For Release 2002/06/17 : CIA-RDP78B04747A001400040025-6

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

☒ CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET