SECRET (When Filled In)

TECHNICAL BACKGROUND PROCUREMENT INFORMATION

Pre	vious performence for USNPIC and WPAPB rated "excellent".
Br	ief description of this procurement: High-resolution, versatile, roll-film,
	stereo-viewer.
	Estimated total amt. \$
	Deliverable items: Tow (2) such viewers, the first to be delivered within
١	3 months of date of contract the second to be delivered within $9\frac{1}{2}$ months, as
	described in proposal 552A, dated 17 July 1963 with amending
	letter dated 25 July 1963.
,	
В.	Is this procurement for other than a standard, "off the shelf" or slightly modified commercial item? YES If "yes", is it anticipated that any more of this unit will be procured? possible If so, a complete set of directly reproducible manufacturing drawings and specifications would normally be included in this procurement. Comments: Such
	drawings should be included.
С.	Will contract cover a period of more than 90 days? YES If "yes", are progress reports desired? YES If so, indicate fre-
c.	If "yes", are progress reports desired? YES If so, indicate frequency, content and number of copies desired: Bi-monthly; general
C.	If "yes", are progress reports desired? YES If so, indicate fre-

SECRET (When Filled In)

DECLASS REVIEW by NIMA/DOD

Approved Formelease 2002/01/02 : CIA-RDP78B047 0002100090016-3

SECRET (When Filled In)

_	
Ε.	Is any special tooling involved?No
F.	Security: 1. Association with the Sponsor is
	2. The specifications and/or drawings are
	3. The item is Unclassified
	4. Contractor personnel known to be aware of this proposed procurement:
ţ	
•	
	5. Other security information None
cat	asons for selection of this source. If other sources were considered, indice results. If no other sources were considered, list the reasons why this m is considered to be uniquely qualified to perform this work.
cat	e results. If no other sources were considered, list the reasons why this
cat	e results. If no other sources were considered, list the reasons why this m is considered to be uniquely qualified to perform this work.
cat	e results. If no other sources were considered, list the reasons why this m is considered to be uniquely qualified to perform this work.
cat	e results. If no other sources were considered, list the reasons why this m is considered to be uniquely qualified to perform this work.
cat	e results. If no other sources were considered, list the reasons why this m is considered to be uniquely qualified to perform this work.
cat	e results. If no other sources were considered, list the reasons why this m is considered to be uniquely qualified to perform this work.
cat	e results. If no other sources were considered, list the reasons why this m is considered to be uniquely qualified to perform this work.
cat	e results. If no other sources were considered, list the reasons why this m is considered to be uniquely qualified to perform this work.
cat	e results. If no other sources were considered, list the reasons why this m is considered to be uniquely qualified to perform this work.
cat	e results. If no other sources were considered, list the reasons why this m is considered to be uniquely qualified to perform this work.
cat	te results. If no other sources were considered, list the reasons why this m is considered to be uniquely qualified to perform this work. See attached sheet.

SECRET (When Filled In)

III. Reasons for selection of this source.

Since 1960, many different vell-qualified development organizations have been invited to submit proposals for the development of a versatile, high-resolution, roll film stereo-viewer. Many such proposals have been received but with one exception, that of none claimed to be able to meet the required design goal of 8 lines/mm magnification. Such a goal requires resolution of 40 lines/mm at 5X and 400 lines at 50X etc. By employing fiber optics as the means of transmitting an image from a moving objective to a fixed couler, engineers contended that the degrading effects of the complex conventional optical systems for accomplishing this could be overcome. With the help of an optical integrating system developed during the process of building such a viewer, the claims 25X1A of | engineers proved out and they delivered such a viewer to USNPIC early in 1962. There the viewer has received extensive operational and technical evaluation. (Please consult the attached Evaluation Report MPIC 203/63-U, dated May 1963). The performance of the equipment was phenomenal and the P.I. acceptance enthusiastic.

Since that time a group of potential technical development organizations was invited to propose on a related development for a Stereoscopic Point Transfer Device, which required a high performance scanning, stereo-viewing system. These organizations were given an explicit design objective and detailed explanation of the purpose of the device during the month of February 1963. Nine highly-qualified concerns were invited to propose.

Only three responded. They were:

only three responded. They were:

The system was practically a copy of the original viewer, and was priced considerably higher. The system claimed to be capable of only 375 lines/mm at 125X, which is less than the original viewer which resolves at least 400 lines/mm at 50X. In addition the price was more than twice the proposal.

bility for this development through their proprietary fiber otpics system is well-substantiated, and that no further investigation of the field is justified.

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A