Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/09/14: CIA-RDP79B00873A001800010032-0 TECHNICAL EVALUATION WORKSHEET - MATERIALS AMADLING STUDY 4 Grade each proposal in terms of the major items listed below, giving consideration to ideas such as those in the sub-paragraphs. Grade each major item 1 through 5 based on (1) Unsatisfactory; (2) Poor; INSTRUCTIONS: (3) Average; (4) Very Good; (5) Excellent. UNDERSTANDING OF PROBLEM: Level of comprehension of the problem areas as defined in the Development Objective (2.1, 2.2, 2.3). SOUNDNESS OF APPROACH: Technical quality of their approach - does it appear to fill the opera-tional voids - how specific does the approach relate to NPIC problems. 3 3 COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS: Does the proposal comply with the requirements as defined in the Development Objective (4.0). 3 3 3 SPECIAL FACTORS: Identification and solutions of Phase I and Phase II - does the bidder 3 3 realize the possibility or existance of interface with other programs is the level of effort commensurate with time estimates - does the proposal cover the alternative method approach of conceptual design in Phase I and detailed system configuration in Phase II. 12 15 (13) 11 NARRATIVE: In addition to the above ratings, it is requested a comprehensive paragraph (s) be submitted on each proposal covering details of the major items, relative importance of the major problems as seen by the evaluator and how the bidder proposes to handle them, any knowledge of the company such as past contracts, competence of proposed personnel, program management, overrun history, consultants, sub-contractors, etc. Generally, any information you wish to submit not covered above.

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/09/14 : CIA-RDP79B00873A001800010032-0

STAT

STAT

O I A I