SECREI

Nº 67

## ECONOMIC INTELLIGENCE REPORT

# COST AND PRODUCTIVITY OF LABOR ON THE RAILROADS OF THE USSR 1950-60



CIA/RR T41 13 August 1958

## CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND REPORTS

SECRE

「私の日のなの日本にいていたいとう

いったいでいたいとうないのないのないのであったいので

#### WARNING

This material contains information affecting the National Defense of the United States within the meaning of the espionage laws, Title 18, USC, Secs. 793 and 794, the transmission or revelation of which in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

S-E-C-R-E-T

### ECONOMIC INTELLIGENCE REPORT

# COST AND PRODUCTIVITY OF LABOR ON THE RAILROADS OF THE USSR 1950-60

CIA/RR 141

(ORR Project 43.1951)

## CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

Office of Research and Reports

 $\operatorname{S}\operatorname{-E}\operatorname{-C}\operatorname{-R}\operatorname{-E}\operatorname{-T}$ 

S-E-C-R-E-T

#### FOREWORD

Since 1950 the railroads of the USSR have demonstrated a growing capability to handle significant increases in the volume of traffic and at the same time to reduce the cost of transportation to the consumer and the carrier, to increase net revenues from operations, and to increase the earnings of employees. Additions to the labor force on the railroads have been rather small compared with the increases in the volume of traffic. The increased productivity of labor may be attributed to investment in modernization of plant and equipment as well as to more advanced technology and skill of workers.

This report discusses the number of employees on the railroads of the USSR and the productivity of labor, rates of pay, and total cost of labor in relation to the total cost of operation and to revenues. It analyzes the relationship between the cost of labor of railroad employees and the cost of the total labor force employed by the state.

S-E-C-R-E-T

111

## S-E-C-R-E-T

## CONTENTS

	$\mathbf{Pa}$	.ge
Sun	mary and Conclusions	1
I. II.	Introduction	3 4
·	B. Comparison of the Labor Force on the Railroads of	4 4
III.	Rates of Pay for the Labor Force on the Railroads of the USSR	5
•	A. Manner of Computing Rates of Pay	6
	•	6 6
	b. Time Rates	7 7 8
	3. Fringe Benefits	9
	b. Housing	9 9 9
•	B. Effect of Wages on the Status of Employees 1	.0
		.0 .0
IV. V.		.0 .1
	A. Quantitative Measure of Productivity, 1950-60 1 B. Relationship of the Productivity of Labor to Costs	1
`	and Value of the Railroad Product	2
	of the US and the USSR	.3

#### S-E-C-R-E-T

Page

50X1

S-E-C-R-E-T

VI.	Effect of Improvements to the Railroad System of the	
	USSR on the Cost and Productivity of Labor	14
	A. Dieselization and Electrification	14 15
VII.	Estimated Relationship of the Cost of Labor to Revenue on the Railroads of the USSR	16
	Appendixes	
Арр	endix A. Statistical Tables	17
Арр	endix B. Methodology	39
	Tables	
1:	Estimated Employment on the Railroads of the USSR, 1950-60	18
2.	Percentage Distribution of Total Railroad Employment in the USSR, by Function	19
3.	Percentage Distribution of Operating Employees on the Railroads of the USSR, by Function, 1950 and 1954	20
4.	Percentage of the Total Number of Operating Employees on the Railroads of the USSR Represented by Selected	· .
	Occupations	21
5.	Comparison of the Labor Force on the Railroads of the US and the USSR, 1950-56	22
6.	Comparison of Estimated Average Annual Earnings of Railroad Employees and of the State-Employed Labor	
	Force in the USSR, 1950-56	23

- vi -

S-E-C-R-E-T

S-E-C-R-E-T

		Page
7.	Estimated Average Annual Earnings of Selected Groups of Railroad Employees in the USSR	24
8.	Monthly Wage Rates for Selected Employees of the Ministry of Railroad Transport of the USSR, Selected Years, 1954-57	24
9.	Monthly Wage Rates for Signaling and Communications Employees of the Ministry of Railroad Transport of the USSR, 1955	25
10.	Average Annual Earnings per Employee for Selected Industries in the USSR, 1955	26
11.	Comparison of Average Annual Earnings in the US and the USSR, 1950-56	26
12.	Cost of Labor on the Railroads of the USSR, 1950-60	27
13.	Estimated Cost of Labor, Average Annual Earnings of Employees and Net Operating Income of the Ministry of Railroad Transport of the USSR, Selected Years, 1950-60	28
14.	Annual Earnings of Railroad Employees and of the State- Employed Labor Force in the USSR, 1950-60	29 '
15.	Productivity of Labor on the Railroads of the USSR, 1950-60	30
16.	Productivity of Labor on Selected Railroads of the USSR, 1955	31
17.	Comparison of Operating Revenues and Operating Costs of Rail Transport in the USSR, Selected Years, 1950-60 .	32
18.	Productivity of Labor of Railroad Employees in the US and the USSR, 1950-56	33 <sup>°</sup>
19.	Savings in Manpower as a Result of Increasing Productiv- ity of Labor on the Railroads of the USSR, 1950-60	34

- vii -

S-E-C-R-E-T

S-E-C-R-E-T

Ŷ

	·	
20.	Reductions in the Operating Labor Force and Increases in Productivity of Labor Resulting from Conversion from Steam to Electric and Diesel-Electric Traction on the Railroads of the USSR	<b>`</b> 35
21.	Estimated Cost of Labor per Traffic-Kilometer on the Railroads of the USSR, 1950-60	, 36
22.	Operating Costs and Operating Revenue on the Railroads of the USSR, Selected Years, 1950-60	37

Illustrations

Following Page

Page

Figure 1.	USSR: Railroad Employees and Wages, 1950-56 (Chart)	6
Figure 2.	USSR: Converted Two-Axle Freight Car Used as Living Quarters (Photograph)	10
Figure 3.	US and USSR: Productivity of Labor by Railroad Employees, 1950-56 (Chart)	12
Figure 4.	USSR: General View of a Mechanized Classification Yard (Photograph)	16
Figure 5.	USSR: Railroad Car Retarding Device (Photograph) .	16
Figure 6.	USSR: Automatic Central Switch and Signal Control Panel (Photograph)	16
Figure 7.	USSR: Electro-Pneumatic Switch Control Panel (Photograph)	16
Figure 8.	USSR: Two-Way Yard Communications System (Photograph)	16
Figure 9.	USSR: Walkie-Talkie for Yard Walkers (Photograph)	16
Figure 10	). USSR: Operator Selecting Tracks and Controlling Switches (Photograph)	16
	•••	

- viii -

S-E-C-R-E-T

CIA/RR 141 (ORR Project 43.1951)

#### S-E-C-R-E-T

## COST AND PRODUCTIVITY OF LABOR ON THE RAILROADS OF THE USSR\* 1950-60

#### Summary and Conclusions

The total cost of labor in the Ministry of Railroad Transport of the USSR (Ministerstvo Putey Soobshcheniya -- MPS) is estimated to have been about 36 billion rubles\*\* in 1957, an increase of more than 8 billion rubles above the estimate for 1950. By 1960 the annual cost of labor on the railroads of the USSR probably will reach about 37 billion rubles. Continuous gains in the productivity of labor, however, have contributed to a reduction in the cost of labor per traffic kilometer\*\*\* of 36 percent since 1950. At the same time, both the average annual earnings per employee and the net operating revenue have increased.

Total annual earnings of the labor force on the railroads of the USSR represented an estimated 8.6 percent of the earnings of the total state-employed labor force in 1950, declined to 6.9 percent by 1957, and should decrease to 5.6 percent by 1960. This trend is a result of a relative decrease in the size of the labor force on the railroads as well as of a relative increase in the average annual earnings of the remainder of the state-employed labor force. Projection of the present trend indicates that by 1960 the estimated average annual earnings of the Soviet railroad labor force may be slightly less than the national average for the total state-employed labor force.

Operating labor costs now constitute an estimated 45 percent of the total operating costs of Soviet railroads. The estimated cost of labor per unit of traffic has diminished substantially while traffic has increased. Therefore, based on the present rate structure, the margin between total costs and total revenue may be expected to widen. Gross operating revenue in 1956 is estimated to have been 37.3 percent more than total operating costs, and by 1960, assuming the present rate structure is maintained, it should be about 84 percent more than the total operating costs. This operating surplus could be passed on to the

\* The estimates and conclusions contained in this report represent the best judgment of ORR as of 1 July 1958.

\*\* The official rate of exchange is 4 rubles to US \$1, which is not necessarily an accurate reflection of the dollar value.

\*\*\* Traffic-kilometers are the simple addition of freight ton-kilometers and passenger-kilometers.

#### S-E-C-R-E-T

## S-E-C-R-E-T

consumers of rail transport in the form of decreased rates or could be used to offset the heavy capital investment which has been necessary to produce the decreased operating expenses. As yet, there is no indication of Soviet intentions on the disposition of this estimated surplus, although under the Soviet system the entire surplus could be allocated to other parts of the economy.

The MPS, with a total labor force of about 3.4 million in 1957, employs about 6.6 percent of the total state-employed labor force of the USSR. By 1960, in spite of a considerable increase in traffic, it is estimated that the labor force of the MPS will decrease to about 3.3 million, or about 5.7 percent of the total state-employed labor force. Increasing productivity of labor, as a consequence of modernizing equipment and of improving technology, is responsible for decreasing requirements for labor, regardless of increasing traffic. Soviet data on the productivity of labor, as well as on average earnings, are based on the category of operating employees.\* Productivity of labor of the Soviet railroad labor force has increased from 403,000 traffic-kilometers per operating employee in 1950 to about 683,000 traffic-kilometers per operating employee in 1957. By 1960, dieselization and electrification, together with other technological improvements, should make possible an increase to about 934,000 traffic-kilometers per operating employee.

Since 1955 the USSR has obtained a greater increase in productivity from its railroad labor force than that obtained in the total stateemployed labor force. If the Soviet railroads maintain the same rate of increase in productivity of labor as that attained in 1955, 1956, and 1957, by 1960 they will overfulfill the goals planned for productivity of railroad labor by a very wide margin.

In comparison with US railroads, Soviet use of railroad labor appears wasteful, and productivity is low. If the Soviet and US railroad labor

\* The term <u>employees</u> in this report means all wage earners and salaried personnel (<u>rabochiy i sluzhashchiy</u> -- translated literally as "workers and employees" from Soviet texts). The terms <u>operating</u> and <u>nonoperating</u> denote Soviet categories for which no precise definition is available. Usage, however, indicates that operating includes all employees of line functions directly engaged in the production of freight- or passengerkilometers, including the administrative and clerical personnel necessary to these functions.

The remaining personnel of the MPS referred to as nonoperating would then presumably include headquarters personnel, both in Moscow and in the various railroad administrations (planning, records, legal, political, and the like); hospital and resort personnel; and employees of related production and construction enterprises, as well as employees of training establishments and publishing houses.

#### S-E-C-R-E-T

- 2 -

#### S-E-C-R-E-T

forces are placed on a comparable basis, the US employs about 1 worker per equivalent unit of traffic to 2 workers in the USSR because the productivity of the Soviet railroad employee is only about 56 percent of his US counterpart. Great gains in productivity of labor may be expected on the Soviet railroads during the next 15 years, however, as the system is converted progressively to diesel and electric motive power. The railroads of the US, now are virtually completely dieselized, whereas the railroads of the USSR still handle about 80 percent of the traffic with steam traction.

The average annual earnings of the labor force on the railroads of the USSR are about 6.5 percent higher than the average for the stateemployed labor force. In the US, by comparison, railroad employees earn about 24.6 percent more than the average for the nonagricultural labor force.

#### I. Introduction.

Railroads, the principal means of transportation in the USSR, have had, and must expect, ever-increasing demands for their services. Because future demands for civilian labor in the USSR are expected to increase more rapidly than the supply, the demands of increased traffic on the railroads must be met primarily by increased productivity of labor, unless, of course, Soviet authorities adopt other policies or measures to increase the allocation of labor to the MPS.

Before 1950, Soviet authorities achieved increased productivity of labor by limited investment in modernization and by the substitution of improved operational techniques for those in general use -- a procedure referred to by the USSR as "utilization of internal reserves." By 1950, however, this means of increasing productivity had largely been exhausted.

From 1950 to 1955 the USSR turned its attention to modernization and improvement of the existing plant and facilities -- such as improved signaling, better communications, larger freight cars, double tracking, and more efficient handling equipment for both freight and passenger service. On some lines, dieselization and electrification also were introduced. These large capital expenditures increased productivity of labor to the extent that the railroad sector was able to support the growing Soviet economy and at the same time to decrease. its proportionate share of the expanding labor force.

#### S-E-C-R-E-T

#### S-E-C-R-E-T

Beginning in 1956, Soviet railroads initiated a dynamic shift to diesel and electric traction while expanding the types of modernization already under way. The favorable effects of this program of modernization on the productivity of the labor force are being demonstrated significantly and may be expected to continue.

## II. Size of the Labor Force on the Railroads of the USSR.

#### A. Total Labor Force on the Railroads, 1950-57.\*

The labor force of the MPS has grown from about 3 million employees in 1950 to more than 3.4 million in 1957 (approximately 6.6 percent of the total state-employed labor force of the USSR) but may be expected to decline to about 3.3 million in 1960. Total employment in the MPS may be divided into approximately 2 million operating employees and 1.4 million nonoperating employees.

A comparison of employment by function within the operating sector of the industry in 1950 and in 1954 indicates no significant shifts in relative employment, but with an increasing use of diesel and electric traction, the relative percentage of employees in locomotive service probably will decline, while the percentage of other employees, especially those in traffic management, commercial service, and passenger service, may increase.

The percentage of women employed in rail transport in the USSR has increased significantly. In 1937, women represented only 17.5 percent of total employment in the MPS, but in 1956 they represented 33.5 percent 1/\*\* compared with 5.5 percent of the labor force on US railroads. Women employed in rail transport in the USSR are engaged generally in all types of activities except extra heavy or hazardous work, being especially important as station attendants, engineers, economists, planners, bookkeepers, and statisticians.

## B. Comparison of the Labor Force on the Railroads of the US and the USSR.\*\*\*

The labor force on the railroads of the US, with somewhat more than 1 million employees, is only about one-half the size of its counterpart in the USSR.\*\*\*\* Total employment on Class I railroads in the US has decreased from 1,221,000 in 1950 to 1,042,000 in 1956, and it is estimated that this trend will continue for the next few years. 2/ The

\* See Tables 1 through 4, Appendix A.

\*\*\* See Table 5,-Appendix A. \*\*\*\* See V, C, p. 13, below.

S-E-C-R-E-T

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2013/08/28 : CIA-RDP79R01141A001100110001-5

50X1

estimated comparable labor force on the railroads in the USSR has increased from 2,013,000 in 1950 to 2,281,000 in 1956, although it is estimated that the number may decline slightly within the next few years.

The US employs an average of 0.5 man for technical maintenance per kilometer of railroad, and the USSR employs 1.4 men. In the US, complements of 111,000 men maintain a large locomotive inventory, and Soviet railroads employ 216,900 men to maintain a comparable number of locomotives. Another sharp difference occurs in the number of administrative and managerial personnel employed on railroads in the two countries. In the US, which has a significantly larger operating length of railroads, administrative and managerial personnel include 183,000 men, or an average of 0.6 man per kilometer of line. In the USSR, such personnel number approximately 260,000, or an average of 2.3 men per kilometer of line. 3/

The growth of the labor force on the railroads of the USSR has resulted from the rapidly increasing demands placed on rail transport by the Soviet economy. Increased productivity of labor, however, is making it possible to decrease the number of workers employed in relation to the total labor force employed by the state. In the US, where highways, inland waterways, and pipelines play a much more important role, the size of the railroad labor force has decreased. Had it not been for the increased productivity of labor by the railroad labor force of the USSR, the disparity in the relative size of the labor forces of the US and the USSR undoubtedly would be even greater.

#### III. Rates of Pay for the Labor Force on the Railroads of the USSR.\*

The average annual earnings of the labor force on the railroads of the USSR have increased moderately from an estimate of 8,640 rubles in 1950 to an estimate of 9,422 rubles in 1956, or an increase of about 9 percent. The average earnings of the labor force on the railroads of the USSR, therefore, were about 6.5 percent better than were the average earnings of 8,850 rubles for employees in the state-employed labor force in 1956. The relative earning position of railroad employees in the USSR has been declining, however, because their average earnings in 1950 were 13.7 percent above the estimated national average for the entire state-employed labor force.

There is a considerable range in the annual earnings of various railroad personnel. Some locomotive engineers, for example, may earn as much as 3,000 rubles per month,  $\frac{1}{4}$  but unskilled laborers draw as little as 300 rubles per month. Also, the average annual earnings of operating personnel are estimated to exceed those of nonoperating personnel by about 2 percent.

\* See Tables 6 through 11, Appendix A, and Figure 1, following p. 6.

#### S-E-C-R-E-T

- 5 -

#### S-E-C-R-E-T

#### A. Manner of Computing Rates of Pay.

The forms used for employee compensation on the railroads of the USSR do not differ essentially from those used in the rest of Soviet industry. Railroad workers and employees are paid mainly on the basis of units of output, or piecework. Fixed wages are paid only where working conditions make it impossible to set definite quotas. 5/ In addition to wages paid for basic time and piecework, workers also receive various kinds of premiums and bonuses.

## 1. Salaries. 6/

Managerial and engineering and technical employees are paid on the basis of a formal salary system (sistema dolzhnostnykh okladov). Under this system, monthly salaries are set in accordance with standard lists of positions (tables of organization established by the MPS and approved by the State Table of Organizations Commission, attached to the Ministry of Finance). These salary scales differ widely according to occupation and area. The table of organization approved for each enterprise or division usually provides a minimum and maximum rate for each salaried position, and the director is allowed to fix the salaries of individuals within this range on the basis of merit. Persons having special qualifications or experience may be paid "personal salaries" in excess of the regular salaries fixed for their jobs, such payments requiring specific sanction from the Ministry or Council of Ministers of the union-republic.

2. Wages. 7/

The basic determinant of the wage for a railroad employee in the USSR is the labor grade in which his job is classified and the rate of pay fixed for that grade. The jobs are grouped into grades on the basis of standard job descriptions in manuals (tarifnokvalifikatsionnyy spravochnik) issued by the MPS. Monthly wage rates are established according to the category to which the divisions and laboratories belong. These wages do not include bonuses for the fulfillment and overfulfillment of a plan or additional pay for work in hardship areas. Tariff rates and wages on the Far Eastern Railroad and on the Kirov-Kotlas sector of the Pechora Railroad are 20 percent higher for laborers and engineering and technical employees and 10 percent higher for salaried employees, and on the Karaganda Railroad the wages are 10 percent higher for all employees. On the Kozhva-Vorkuta sector of the Pechora Railroad the wages are 50 percent higher for all employees than are the wages on the Far Eastern Railroad; on the Krugobaykal'sk sector of the East Siberian Railroad, wages are 30 percent higher for laborers and engineering and technical employees and 20 percent higher for other employees; and on the South Sakhalin Railroad, wages are 50 percent higher. 8/

#### S - E - C - R - E - T



### S-E-C-R-E-T

The unions allegedly have the right to control wage rates and to ensure proper and timely payment. This so-called "control" is exercised through commissions on wage rates made up of from 3 to 21 workers. These commissions are headed by a member of the trade union committee. <u>9</u>/ Actual control of wage rates is believed to rest with the Party and the chiefs of enterprises.

a. Piece Rates.

Payment for all jobs on the MPS for which standards of production can be established is on the basis of piece rates. A work norm (norma vyrabotki) is determined for each such job.

After the norm for a given job is set, the piece rate for the job is determined by dividing the norm (expressed in units per hour or per day) into the appropriate wage rate (base rate) for the labor grade in which the job is classified. For example, if the norm for a locomotive engineer is 500 kilometers (km) per day and his basic wage rate is 100 rubles per day, the applicable piece rate is 0.2 ruble per kilometer. This method is known as the straight piece rate system (pryama sdel'naya sistema).

Pieceworkers also may be paid in accordance with the progressive piece rate system (sdel'no-progressivnaya sistema). As part of a general wage reform, 10/ however, some railroads have taken preliminary steps to replace this system with a system of the straight piece rate plus a bonus. If a worker raises his production a certain percentage above his norm, his pay scale is increased in proportion to his above-norm production. A movement to eliminate the progressive rate system apparently has been triggered by many inequities which have developed in earnings. For example, establishment of norms at too low a level resulted in an artificial increase in the level of fulfilling norms and in considerable overpayment in the progressive wage supplements. 11/

#### b. Time Rates.

In the USSR, flat hourly or daily rates are paid to production and service workers whose jobs do not permit the establishment of norms. Janitors, storekeepers, guards, and electrical maintenance men are examples of the types of employees in this category. Bonuses for these employees are based on fulfillment and overfulfillment of the monthly production plan for their depot, shop, or installation.

S-E-C-R-E-T

## S-E-C-R-E-T

### c. Bonuses, Premiums, and Other Compensation.

In addition to basic wages or salaries, railroad employees paid by the hour, day, or month receive bonuses for fulfillment and overfulfillment of the monthly production plans for their station, depot, or installation. For managerial and engineering and technical employees, bonuses are contingent on simultaneous fulfillment of the plan for reducing production costs. Additional bonuses are paid also for reductions in cost above the plan, improvements in the quality of production, reduction in the idle time of machines and rolling stock, and savings in fuel and raw materials above the plan.

Pieceworkers also have the opportunity of earning additional bonuses. Crews of freight trains, for example, receive bonuses when their trains exceed the normal weight, in proportion to the distance covered and the tonnage carried. Such bonuses often amount to as much as one-half to three-quarters of the ordinary wages paid for the trip. Crews receive bonuses for running trains on time and in good repair. On passenger trains, such bonuses amount to 60 percent of the ordinary wages, and on freight trains to 20 percent. For long trips which entail spending several days on the train, the worker is paid a bonus equaling 3 percent of the monthly earnings for every day spent in transit.

Under the progressive piece-rate system, railroad employees assigned to stations for switching or making and breaking up trains (signalmen, switchmen, and inspectors) receive, depending on the job, 1.5 to 2 times the basic wage for 10 percent overfulfillment. Overfulfillment above 10 percent is paid at double or triple the rate, depending on the extent of overfulfillment. Bonuses for long-time service, paid monthly, range from 5 to 30 percent of the basic wage. For engine crews these bonuses may reach 75 percent. <u>12</u>/

All employees may receive various kinds of premiums for meritorious performance. These premiums may be paid from the "enterprise fund," a fund derived from the profits of the enterprise and made available to the enterprise director for specific purposes, including the payment of premiums to workers. Other sources for the payment of premiums are the fund at the disposal of the Minister and sums provided by special order of the Council of Ministers in recognition of outstanding performance. Organizations declared the winners in socialist competition are awarded substantial prizes which are distributed to employees in accordance with ministerial regulations.

Finally, employees receive extra compensation for overtime, night work, training new employees, inventions and improvements, and other activities for which compensation is not provided in the basic wage and salary scales. Total bonuses paid to railroad employees amount to more than a billion rubles annually. 13/

S-E-C-R-E-T

#### S-E-C-R-E-T

#### 3. Fringe Benefits.

#### a. Hospitalization.

Large numbers of hospitals, sanitariums, and laboratories are maintained by the state.  $\underline{14}/$  The railroads employ about 22,000 doctors and auxiliary medical personnel estimated at 64,000. In 1951 the government allocated 1.62 billion rubles for the protection of the health of railroad employees.  $\underline{15}/$  About 2.5 billion rubles are appropriated each year to cover health and life insurance for railroad employees. Funds are subscribed entirely by the railroad enterprises but are administered by the unions.  $\underline{16}/$ 

#### b. Housing.

Most housing for railroad employees consists of flats in multistoried buildings. The more privileged groups, including many locomotive engineers, occupy private cottages bought with long-term state credits.' Union committees participate in the distribution of new flats.

In spite of much lip service paid to the housing program for railroad employees, and even favoritism shown them in comparison with other Soviet workers, 17/ some employees continue to occupy makeshift accommodations, such as old 2-axle freight cars (see Figure 2\*). 18/ Substantial progress is being made, however, as reflected by the fact that over 3 million square meters of new housing -- nearly 100,000 dwelling units -- were supplied to railroaders under both the Fourth and Fifth Five Year Plans. 19/ In the original Sixth Five Year Plan (1956-60), 6.5 million square meters of floorspace -- about 200,000 dwelling units -- were to be built for railroad employees. 20/ No revision of this plan has been announced. In 1956, funds allocated for this purpose amounted to 1.375 billion rubles. 21/

## c. Other Benefits.

Paid vacations vary from 2 to 4 weeks, depending on the job. The railroad unions operate about 42 resorts throughout the USSR, which apparently are used by about 7 percent of the employees. <u>22</u>/ Nurseries, kindergartens, camps, and clubs also are available for the children of railroad employees, as well as clubs and libraries operated by the state for the employees themselves.

\* Following p. 10.

S-E-C-R-E-T

-9-

#### S-E-C-R-E-T

## B. Effect of Wages on the Status of Employees.

#### 1. Comparison with Employees in Other Soviet Industries.

The average annual earnings of Soviet railroad employees have been somewhat higher than the average for other state employees during 1950-56. Railroad employees received about 1,000 rubles more than the national average in 1950, although their estimated average annual earnings in 1956 were only about 550 rubles more than the national average. The narrowing of this differential has not yet been significant enough to affect seriously the attractiveness of the railroad industry as a career, but continuation of this trend may cause a serious shortage in the labor supply available for the railroads in the future.

#### 2. Comparison with Employees on US Railroads.

The average annual earnings of US railroad employees increased 34.9 percent from 1950 to 1956, and during the same period the average annual earnings of Soviet railroad employees increased only 9 percent. During those years, the Soviet price index declined while the cost of living in the US increased.

In 1950 the average Soviet railroad employee earning 8,640 rubles (compared with the US railroad employee earning \$3,785) could buy only 22 percent of the goods and services that his US counterpart was able to purchase.\* Taking into account decreases in Soviet prices and increases in the cost of living in the US and assuming an 8 to 1 ruble-dollar ratio for 1956, the Soviet railroad employee still was able to buy only 23 percent of the consumer goods and services that the US railroad employee could buy.

## IV. Total Cost of Labor on the Railroads of the USSR, 1950-60.\*\*

The total cost of labor on Soviet railroads, including social insurance, merit awards, and all other fringe benefits, is estimated to have been about 36.2 billion rubles in 1957 compared with 28.6 billion rubles in 1950. By 1960 this cost should be approximately 37.4 billion rubles.

Continuous gains in productivity of labor, along with controlled and slight increases in pay, have made possible a reduction in unit labor cost estimated to be 30.7 percent since 1950 and have contributed

\* Based on a calculated ruble-dollar ratio of 10.4 to 1 for consumer goods and services in 1950. 23/ \*\* See Tables 12 through 14, Appendix A.

S-E-C-R-E-T

- 10 -



Figure 2. USSR: Converted Two-Axle Freight Car Used as Living Quarters

#### S-E-C-R-E-T

to greater net operating income and to an accompanying increase in average annual earnings.

In the absence of a figure for the total cost of the state-employed labor force, the relationship between total money earnings\* of the railroad labor force and of the total state-employed labor force has been analyzed. In 1957 the railroad labor force is estimated to have earned approximately 33.13 billion rubles, or 6.9 percent of the 484.5 billion rubles computed as earned by the total state-employed labor force. In 1950, however, the money earnings of the railroad labor force represented 8.6 percent of the earnings of the total state-employed labor force. Moreover, the earnings of 33.78 billion rubles estimated for the railroad labor force for 1960 probably will represent only about 5.6 percent of the earnings of the total state-employed labor force.

The trend toward a decline in the earnings of the labor force on the railroads of the USSR results both from the smaller share of total employment by the railroads and from the trend for the average annual earnings in the nation to increase more rapidly than those of the railroad labor force. By 1960, if present trends continue, the average annual earnings of railroad employees may be even less than the average earnings of the total state-employed labor force.

## V. Productivity of Labor on the Railroads of the USSR.\*\*

### A. Quantitative Measure of Productivity, 1950-56.

Data on the productivity of labor on the railroads on the USSR are published in terms of traffic-kilometers per operating employee. In these terms the productivity of labor for 1956 was 53.1 percent more than that in 1950, whereas employment was up only 15.6 percent for the same period. By 1960 an additional increase of 51.4 percent in the productivity of labor above that of 1956 is forecast, but operating employment may decrease by about 4 percent. Overfulfillment of the plan for productivity of labor for 1956 and the first half of 1957 indicates a strong possibility that the planned increase in employment may not be necessary unless planned traffic demands are greatly exceeded. The fact that the plan for reduction of the average length of freight haul probably will not be fulfilled makes it likely, however, that the planned quota of traffic-kilometers for 1957-60 will be exceeded to some extent. Soviet planners apparently anticipate that only 85 percent of the total increase in traffic kilometers will come from increases in productivity

\* Money earnings, as referred to in this report, constitute wages and salaries including additional payment for overfulfillment of norms and represent over 90 percent of all payments to labor on the railroads. \*\* See Tables 15 through 18, and Figure 3, following p. 12.

#### - 11 -

#### S-E-C-R-E-T

S-E-C-R-E-T

of labor,  $\underline{24}/$  indicating at least some necessary gain in total employment. Estimated productivity of labor on the railroads of the USSR for 1950-60 is shown in Table 15.\*

The gains made in 1955, as shown in Table 15, were at a rate considerably higher than necessary to accomplish the increase in productivity of labor planned by 1960. This performance suggests that the program for modernizing the railroads, particularly dieselization and electrification, may pay off better than the Soviet experts hoped for originally and may control increases in employment.

Productivity of labor on various rail lines in the USSR varies greatly from 100,000 traffic-kilometers per worker on the South Sakhalin Railroad to 1,550,000 traffic-kilometers per worker on the Omsk Railroad.\*\* The wide range of output per worker for the various railroad systems is the consequence of different working conditions, as well as of equipment and facilities, and of the varying nature of the work performed. For example, the tracks of the Omsk Railroad run over a level area with few bridges and viaducts, whereas the Transcaucasus Railroad crosses many bridges and viaducts. As a result, the latter railroad must employ many more men to guard and maintain these bridges and viaducts. On another railroad it may be necessary to employ more men and facilities to repair rolling stock and to provide a fuel supply.

Climatic conditions also contribute to the relative productivity of labor on the railroads of the USSR. More materials, labor, and facilities must be used on railroads where the weather is inclement or where the snowfall is abundant. Stations must be protected and cleaned, drainage must be assured in the spring, and repair and maintenance must be provided for the various machines used in combating snow and water.

The nature and extent of work performed, resulting in various levels of traffic density, is probably the most important single factor. The large volume of through traffic on the Omsk Railroad, for example, creates very favorable conditions for obtaining a high level of performance with fewer workers. In contrast, railroads engaged in considerable loading and unloading operations, in making up and switching trains, and in hauling considerable suburban passenger traffic will show a lower productivity per worker.

## B. <u>Relationship of the Productivity of Labor to Costs and Value</u> of the Railroad Product.

Since 1950, in spite of decreased prices to consumers and increased costs of labor, the railroads of the USSR have become increasingly

\* Appendix A, p. 30, below.

\*\* See Table 16, Appendix A.

- 12 -

#### S-E-C-R-E-T

50X1 Figure 3

## US AND USSR PRODUCTIVITY OF LABOR BY RAILROAD EMPLOYEES 1950-56



50X1

## S-E-C-R-E-T

profitable. During 1950-56 the increased productivity of labor was reflected in the relationship of cost to price. The net operating revenue per employee in 1956 was 132 percent above the level of 1950, and gross operating revenue per employee had increased 26 percent. During that same period, labor costs per employee increased 8 percent, and gross revenue, reflecting lowered rates, decreased 18 percent per unit of traffic.

The increase of 53 percent in the productivity of labor during 1950-56 offset decreases in rates to the extent that gross operating revenue per employee per traffic-kilometer decreased only 29 percent, and operating cost per employee per traffic-kilometer decreased 39 percent. Net operating revenue per traffic-kilometer, therefore, has increased 51 percent, as shown in Table 17.\*

If the present rate structure is maintained and if current trends in costs and volume of traffic continue, net operating revenue will increase even more in the future. Internal pressures, however, are likely to promote either decreases in rates, increases in earnings, or a combination of the two. Substantial concessions could be made to consumers and employees without lowering the present level of profit.

## C. Comparison of Productivity of Labor on the Railroads of the US and the USSR.

In order to arrive at a meaningful comparison of the productivity of labor on the railroads of the US and the USSR, it has been necessary to estimate a comparable labor force. Neither Soviet data for total employment of the MPS nor those for operating employees are strictly comparable to data on the labor force on US railroads. The MPS performs many functions which are handled for the US railroads either by private contractors or by the government. On the other hand, total employment on US railroads encompasses something more than the concept of operating employees. By eliminating employment for those functions of the MPS which do not compare with functions of US railroads, it has been possible to estimate comparable labor forces and to compare the output per railroad employee in the USSR with that per railroad employee in the US.

Such a comparison, as shown in Table 18,\*\* provides a very interesting picture of Soviet inefficiency and overstaffing. In 1953, when total production of the US and Soviet railroad networks was approximately the same, the US had a productivity per employee about 88 percent higher than that of the USSR. Application of advanced

\* Appendix A, p. 32, below. \*\* Appendix A, p. 33, below.

> - 13 -. S-E-C-R-E-T

#### S-E-C-R-E-T

technology and continued high utilization of equipment has made it possible recently for the productivity of labor on Soviet railroads to gain a little on that of US railroads. In 1956, however, productivity per employee on US railroads was still about 77 percent higher than in the USSR.

# VI. Effect of Improvements to the Railroad System of the USSR on the Cost and Productivity of Labor.\*

An extensive program for improving the existing plant and equipment of the railroads of the USSR, under way since the Fourth Five Year Plan (1946-50), has gained considerable momentum. Plans were announced in 1956 for complete conversion of motive power from steam to dieselelectric and electric traction by 1970. 25/ This change in mode of traction is the principal source of current and planned increases in productivity of labor, and the correlation may be seen readily from the substantial upward trend in the productivity of labor, as shown in Table 15.\*\* This increase was considerably greater in 1955, 1956, and 1957 than in previous years, undoubtedly because of the extension of dieselization and electrification. thenew modes of traction have been largely responsible for an increase of 38.8 percent\*\*\* in the productivity of labor during 1955-57. 26/ Even greater gains are possible because about 80 percent of the freight traffic was still handled by steam traction in 1957. Continued intensive use of plant and equipment, the dynamic shift to diesel and electric traction, and other improvements should continue to push the productivity of labor upward, thereby making available to other endeavors a substantial supply of labor that otherwise would be required in rail transport. The effects of increased productivity of labor, largely the result of improvement and modernization, on requirements for manpower are shown in Table 19.\*\*\*\*

A. Dieselization and Electrification.

The effects of both dieselization and electrification on the productivity of labor and on costs are similar. These forms of traction make possible the operation of heavier and speedier trains with smaller train crews as well as with a reduced number of personnel required for ancillary facilities. The net effect is to decrease both requirements for manpower and costs of labor and operation per unit of traffic. For example, between 1953 and 1956, the Omsk Railroad converted its Barabinsk Division to electric traction and its Petropavlovsk Division to

\* See Tables 19 through 22, Appendix A.

\*\* Appendix A, p. 30, below.

\*\*\* Increase of 36.3 percent is calculated from Table 15, Appendix A. \*\*\*\* Appendix A, p. 34, below.

- 14 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2013/08/28 : CIA-RDP79R01141A001100110001-5

50X1

#### S - E - C - R - E - T

diesel traction. As a result of converting the Barabinsk Division to electric traction, the productivity of labor increased from 3.3 million gross ton-kilometers per operating employee in 1953 to 5.0 million gross ton-kilometers per operating employee in 1956. Dieselization of the Petropavlovsk Division resulted in an increase from 2.7 million gross ton-kilometers per operating employee in 1953 to 3.7 million gross tonkilometers per operating employee in 1956. 27/

Increases of 50 percent in productivity of labor under electric operation and of 39 percent under diesel operation demonstrate the possibilities for savings in cost of labor on a railroad with highdensity traffic. A Soviet estimate in 1956 of increased productivity of labor and of decreased cost of labor resulting from the changeover from steam locomotives to diesel and electric locomotives is shown in Table 20.\* 28/

Electrification would contribute to reductions of from 25 to 30 percent in the over-all number of workers on the railroads, of 70 percent in the number of locomotive crews and the number of workers occupied in servicing locomotives, and of 50 percent in the number of repair crews for locomotives. 29/ Although dieselization and electrification permit the use of less manpower per traffic-kilometer, a greater percentage of highly trained personnel is required. Nearly 16,000 locomotive engineers, 30,000 engineer helpers, more than 10,000 skilled repairmen, and hundreds of engineers and technicians must be trained by 1960 for the planned introduction of diesel traction alone. 30/

B. Other Improvements.\*\*

Many other improvements accompany the changeover in the type of motive power on the Soviet railroads, all of which will contribute to the subsequent increase of productivity of labor and to the decrease in the cost of labor per traffic-kilometer. The estimated cost of labor per traffic-kilometer on Soviet railroads in shown in Table 21.\*\*\* Conversion of all trunkline rolling stock to automatic coupling was completed in 1957, 31/ and by 1959 all cars are to be equipped with airbrakes. Capital outlay required for these projects is more than 15 billion rubles. 32/

The old 2-axle type of freight car, which now makes up only 20 percent of the total freight car park in terms of capacity, is disappearing rapidly and is being replaced by the larger 4-axle type of freight car with a capacity of 60 tons. Passenger cars delivered

- 15 -

#### S - E - C - R - E - T

<sup>¥</sup> Appendix A, p.35, below. \*\* See Figures 4 through 10, following p. 16. \*\*\* Appendix A, p. 36, below.

#### S-E-C-R-E-T

since 1955 have been equipped with roller bearings, and production of freight cars with roller bearings was to begin in 1956. 33/

Electronic equipment for processing data is being introduced. One such machine, an electronic calculator for traction, after being fed data on track profile, train weight, and locomotive power, indicates the most advantageous speed for the various sections of the track. 34/In 1956, devices for automatic blocking and centralized control of traffic were installed on more than 1,500 km of track, and 876 km of double track were laid. 35/ Lazar Kaganovich, then Deputy Premier and former Minister of Railroad Transport, estimated that electrification of switches alone would eliminate the need for almost all of the 120,000 switchmen employed as of 1955. 36/ For reconstructing track on the railroads of the USSR, more efficient equipment -- including machines for laying track, machines for cleaning ballast, tie-tampers, pneumatic spike hammers, and the like -- is being put into use. 37/

All the improvements named above combined with the steady drive for more efficient organization of labor together with dieselization and electrification will increase productivity of labor and will lower the cost of labor per traffic-kilometer. The cost of 0.03 ruble per traffic-kilometer in 1955 is expected to decrease to 0.02 ruble per traffic-kilometer by 1960.

# VII. Estimated Relationship of the Cost of Labor to Revenue on the Railroads of the USSR.

Since 1950 the trend has been for gross operating revenue of Soviet railroads to exceed increasingly both the cost of operating labor and total costs of operation, even though railroad freight tariffs have been lowered 5 times during the same period, representing an average over-all reduction of 30 percent. Decreasing costs, largely the consequence of advancing technology and a high level of traffic, have made this increase in net revenue possible in spite of a use of labor considered wasteful by US standards. A comparison of the costs of operation and of revenue on Soviet railroads is shown in Table 22.\*

In 1955, gross operating revenue exceeded the cost of operating labor by approximately 38 billion rubles, or 192.9 percent. If present trends continue, by 1960 gross operating revenue will exceed the cost of operating labor by about 66 billion rubles, or approximately 300 percent. Because the cost of operating labor amounts to about 45 percent of the total costs of operation, gross operating revenue may exceed the total cost of operation by about 84 percent by 1960.

Appendix A, p. 37, below.

- 16 -

#### S-E-C-R-E-T



Figure 4. USSR: General View of a Mechanized Classification Yard



Figure 5. USSR: Railroad Car Retarding Device



Figure 6. USSR: Automatic Central Switch and Signal Control Panel



Figure 7. USSR: Electro-Pneumatic Switch Control Panel



Figure 8. USSR: Two-Way Yard Communications System



Figure 9. USSR: Walkie-Talkie for Yard Walkers



Figure 10. USSR: Operator Selecting Tracks and Controlling Switches

## S-E-C-R-E-T

## APPENDIX A

STATISTICAL TABLES

S - E - C - R - E - T

- 17

## Table 1

#### Estimated Employment on the Railroads of the USSR a/ 1950-60

Year	Total State-Employed Labor Force (Thousand)	Ministry of Railroad Transport (Thousand)	Percentage of the Total State-Employed Labor Force	Operating Employees of the Ministry of Railroad Transport b/ (Thousand)	Nonoperating Employees of the Ministry of Railroad Transport (Thousand)
1950	39,800 c/	3,014 d/	7.6	$\begin{array}{c} 1,712 & \underline{d} \\ 1,765 & \underline{a} \\ 1,866 & \underline{a} \\ 1,901 & \underline{d} \\ 1,968 & \underline{d} \\ 1,980 & \underline{d} \\ 1,980 & \underline{d} \\ 1,980 & \underline{d} \\ 1,993 & \underline{h} \\ 1,966 & \underline{h} \\ 1,936 & \underline{h} \\ 1,903 & \underline{h} \\ \end{array}$	1,302 e/
1951	41,400 c/	3,088 d/	7.5		1,323 e/
1952	42,500 c/	3,233 d/	7.6		1,367 e/
1953	44,600 c/	3,326 d/	7.5		1,425 e/
1954	46,800 c/	3,421 d/	7.3		1,453 e/
1955	47,900 c/	3,400 d/	7.1		1,420 e/
1956	50,000 c/	3,414 d/	6.8		1,434 e/
1957	52,100 f/	3,436 g/	6.6		1,443 e/
1958	54,100 f/	3,390 g/	6.3		1,424 e/
1959	55,900 f/	3,338 g/	6.0		1,402 e/
1960	57,700 f/	3,281 g/	5.7		1,378 e/

Rabochiy i sluzhashchiy are wage earners and salaried employees. a.

For definition of operating and nonoperating employees, see the footnote, p. 2, above. ъ.

c. d.

38/ 39/ Difference between total number of employees and operating employees of MPS. e.

40/ f.

Based on the estimate that operational workers constituted about 58 percent of total employment g۰

in the MPS since 1950.

h. Table 12, p. 27, below.

- 18 -

#### S-E-C-R-E-T
#### S-E-C-R-E-T

#### Table 2

ercentage Distribution of Total Railroad Employment in the USSR by Function a/ .

· · · · ·	
Function	Percent
Direct railroad work	
Railroad operation Repair and maintenance Loading and unloading Other railroad tasks (secondary-auxiliary work)	49.2 3.5 1.1 10.0
Total	<u>63.8</u>
Production enterprises Construction Training establishments Medical-sanitary establishments Workers' supply Other <u>b</u> /	10.9 10.7 3.2 3.8 5.4 2.2
Total	100.0

a. <u>41</u>/. Probably based on data for 1955. b. Including political sections, scientific institutes, transport expediting offices, the state railroad publishing house, and the like.

### S - E - C - R - E - T

### Table 3

S-E-C-R-E-T

# Percentage Distribution of Operating Employees on the Railroads of the USSR by Function 1950 and 1954

and a second	· · · · ·	<u> </u>	Percen	.t
Management Branch		<u>1950 a/</u>		<u>1954 b</u> /
Locomotive service		23.6		24.6
Track maintenance		22.3		21.5
Traffic management		17.6		18.2
Car service		11.0		10.6
Passenger service		6.8		7.4
Signaling and communications		4.2		4.0
Commercial service		2.6	· · ·	4.1
Other <u>c</u> /		11.9		9.6
Total		100.0		100.0

a. b.

 $\frac{143}{43}$ / Including building and installation, political sections, and the like. c.

# - 20 -S-E-C-R-E-T

#### S-E-C-R-E-T

### Table 4

### Percentage of the Total Number of Operating Employees on the Railroads of the USSR Represented by Selected Occupations a/

Occupation		Percent
£		· .
Locomotive engineers		4.5
Assistant locomotive engineers		4.5
Locomotive firemen		2.9
Conductors		3.7
Train car masters	19 A.	1.4
Mechanics for locomotive repair		1.8
Mechanics for car repair	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. 3.9
Car inspectors		2.5
Station attendants	· · · ·	1.9
Train makeup men		0.8
Switchmen		6.3
Road masters	,	0.6
Track walkers	• • •	4.6
Track foremen		1.6
Freight train police	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4.4
Track workers		12.3
Total		57.7

44/. Probably based on data for 1954.

a.

- 21 -

## S - E - C - R - E - T

# S-E-C-R-E-T

#### Table 5

Comparison of the Labor Force on the Railroads of the US and the USSR 1950-56

			Employees	per	Kilometer	of	Roùte	Operated
Year	US <u>a</u> / (Thousand)	USSR b/ (Thousand)	<u>us c/</u>					USSR d/
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956	1,221 1,276 1,227 1,206 1,065 1,058 1,042	2,013 2,063 2,160 2,222 2,285 2,271 2,281	3.4 3.5 3.4 3.3 2.9 2.9 2.9 <u>e</u> /		•			17.2 17.5 18.2 18.5 19.0 18.8 18.9

a. 45/

b. Adjusted for comparability with the railroad labor force in the US. Using Table 2, p. 19, above, as a basis and eliminating those MPS employees estimated to be engaged in functions not performed by the US railroads, 66.8 percent of the total MPS employment is believed to be comparable to the railroad labor force in the US for purposes of productivity. Total employment of the MPS is from Table 1, p. 18, above.

c. 46/

d. <u>47</u>/ e. 48/

S-E-C-R-E-T

22 -

### S-E-C-R-E-T

### Table 6

## Comparison of Estimated Average Annual Earnings of Railroad Employees and of the State-Employed Labor Force in the USSR ' 1950-56

		- 	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Rubles
Year	Operating Employees	Nonoperating Employees	All Employees <u>a</u> /	State-Employed Labor Force <u>b</u> /
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956	8,712 c/ 9,120 e/ 9,228 a/ 9,204 e/ 9,396 e/ 9,396 f/ 9,500 g/	8,541 $\underline{a}/$ 8,941 $\underline{a}/$ 9,047 $\underline{a}/$ 9,024 $\underline{a}/$ 9,212 $\underline{a}/$ 9,212 $\underline{a}/$ 9,314 $\underline{a}/$	8,640 9,045 9,152 9,128 9,319 9,319 9,319 9,422	7,600 7,800 8,000 8,150 8,350 8,600 8,850

a. Derived from columns 1 and 2 on the basis that operating employees represent 58 percent of total employment of the MPS, as shown in Table 1, p. 18, above.

b. 49/

c. 50/

d. The earnings of operating employees are estimated to be 102 percent of those of nonoperating employees. This differential is an induction from observed differentials, 2 percent for  $193^4$  and 1.2 percent for the 1941 plan. 51/

e. Estimate, based on one sample railroad system and adjusted by inspection for bias on the basis of comparison with national averages for 1950, 1952, and 1955. 52/

f. <u>53</u>/

g. Average earnings are estimated to resume a gradual increase as mechanization takes over, requiring a greater percentage of skilled, better-paid personnel.

S-E-C-R-E-T

23

### S-E-C-R-E-T

### Table 7

Estimated Average Annual Earnings of Selected Groups of Railroad Employees in the USSR a/

Group ,		Rubles
Locomotive crews Train crews Locomotive repair and maintenance workers Freight conductors Train makeup men (excluding switchmen) Passenger conductors Car repair and maintenance men	· ·	15,870 14,330 9,720 9,510 8,140 7,980 7,960

a. 54/. Probably based on data for 1955.

### Table 8

Monthly Wage Rates for Selected Employees of the Ministry of Railroad Transport of the USSR Selected Years, 1954-57

Job Description	Year	Monthly Rate <u>a</u> / (Rubles)
Jnskilled workers in enterprises of MPS	1957 <u>ъ</u> /	300 to 350 (minimum wage)
Train makeup men (excluding switchmen) Railroad construction workers	1956 <u>c</u> /	500
Time Piece	1954 <u>a</u> /	300 394
Railroad metal workers	•	·.
Time Piece	1954 <u>e</u> /	' 312 418
a. This is the basic wage rate. Actual therefore, the basic wage rate comprises	-	

percent of actual earnings. 55/

- b. <u>56</u>/ c. 57/
- a. <u>58</u>/
- e. <u>59</u>/

- 24 -

S - E - C - R - E - T

### S-E-C-R-E-T

# Table 9

# Monthly Wage Rates for Signaling and Communications Employees of the Ministry of Railroad Transport of the USSR 1955

	Monthly Wage Rates					
Job	Category I <u>a</u> /	Category II	Category II			
			· · ·			
hief of signaling and communica-						
tions division	1,500	1,300	1,200			
Deputy chief of signaling and com-		stablished at 15 H	percent less			
munications division	than the rate f	or the chief				
assistant division chief for		1 M 1				
personnel	1,000	930	880			
hief of signaling and communica-	× .					
tions road laboratory	~1,000	- 980	. 880			
enior engineer	1,000	980	880			
ngineer	830 to 930	790 to 880	790			
ommunications inspector	880	740	640			
echnician and technician/norm-fixer	600 to 690	550 to 640	500 to 600			
enior electrician, supervisor of	,					
interlocking switch or relay point	930	.830	740			
ivision shop supervisor	Same as electrica	al mechanics and s	senior electri			
· · · · · · · · ·	mechanics, depen	nding on the volum	ne of work at			
,	the shops	•				
erson on duty to guarantee commu-						
nications						
	830 to 930	790 to 880	740 to 790			
lectrician, Grade I	740	790 to 880 640	740 to 790 550			
lectrician, Grade I lectrician, Grade II						
lectrician, Grade I lectrician, Grade II ommunications and signaling, inter-	740	640	550			
lectrician, Grade I lectrician, Grade II ommunications and signaling, inter- locking, and block-system installa-	740 640	640	550			
lectrician, Grade I lectrician, Grade II ommunications and signaling, inter- locking, and block-system installa- tion man, Grade I	740	640	550			
lectrician, Grade I lectrician, Grade II ommunications and signaling, inter- locking, and block-system installa- tion man, Grade I	740 640	640 575	550 500			
lectrician, Grade I lectrician, Grade II ommunications and signaling, inter- locking, and block-system installa- tion man, Grade I ommunications and signaling, inter-	740 640	640 575	550 500			
lectrician, Grade I lectrician, Grade II ommunications and signaling, inter- locking, and block-system installa- tion man, Grade I ommunications and signaling, inter- locking and block-system installa- tion man, Grade II	740 640	640 575	550 500 475			
lectrician, Grade I lectrician, Grade II ommunications and signaling, inter- locking, and block-system installa- tion man, Grade I ommunications and signaling, inter- locking and block-system installa-	740 640 600	640 5 <b>75</b> 550	550 500			
lectrician, Grade I lectrician, Grade II ommunications and signaling, inter- locking, and block-system installa- tion man, Grade I ommunications and signaling, inter- locking and block-system installa- tion man, Grade II ignaling, interlocking, and block- system mechanic and senior helper	740 640 600	640 5 <b>75</b> 550	550 500 475			
lectrician, Grade I lectrician, Grade II ommunications and signaling, inter- locking, and block-system installa- tion man, Grade I ommunications and signaling, inter- locking and block-system installa- tion man, Grade II ignaling, interlocking, and block- system mechanic and senior helper	740 640 550 475	640 5 <b>75</b> 550	550 500 475			
lectrician, Grade I lectrician, Grade II ommunications and signaling, inter- locking, and block-system installa- tion man, Grade I ommunications and signaling, inter- locking and block-system installa- tion man, Grade II ignaling, interlocking, and block- system mechanic and senior helper for electrical mechanic	740 640 600 550	640 575 550 500	550 500 475 425 385			
lectrician, Grade I lectrician, Grade II ommunications and signaling, inter- locking, and block-system installa- tion man, Grade I ommunications and signaling, inter- locking and block-system installa- tion man, Grade II ignaling, interlocking, and block-	740 640 550 475	640 575 550 500 425	550 500 475 425 385 385			
lectrician, Grade I lectrician, Grade II ommunications and signaling, inter- locking, and block-system installa- tion man, Grade I ommunications and signaling, inter- locking and block-system installa- tion man, Grade II ignaling, interlocking, and block- system mechanic and senior helper for electrical mechanic torage battery man	740 640 550 475 475	640 575 550 500 425 425 880	550 500 475 425 385			
lectrician, Grade I lectrician, Grade II ommunications and signaling, inter- locking, and block-system installa- tion man, Grade I ommunications and signaling, inter- locking and block-system installa- tion man, Grade II ignaling, interlocking, and block- system mechanic and senior helper for electrical mechanic torage battery man hief bookkeeper enior bookkeeper	740 640 550 475 475	640 575 550 500 - 425 425	550 500 475 425 385 385 385			
lectrician, Grade I lectrician, Grade II ommunications and signaling, inter- locking, and block-system installa- tion man, Grade I ommunications and signaling, inter- locking and block-system installa- tion man, Grade II ignaling, interlocking, and block- system mechanic and senior helper for electrical mechanic torage battery man hief bookkeeper enior bookkeeper ookkeeper	740 640 550 475 475	640 575 550 500 425 425 880 640 to 740	550 500 475 425 385 385 385			
lectrician, Grade I lectrician, Grade II ommunications and signaling, inter- locking, and block-system installa- tion man, Grade I ommunications and signaling, inter- locking and block-system installa- tion man, Grade II ignaling, interlocking, and block- system mechanic and senior helper for electrical mechanic torage battery man hief bookkeeper	740 640 550 475 475	640 575 550 500 425 425 880 640 to 740 500 to 600	500 475 425 385 385			

a. Categories are established according to the volume of work of installations, taking into account the degree to which they are equipped technically. <u>60</u>/

- 25 -

### S - E - C - R - E - T

S.	_ <b>F</b> .	_C.	-R	-E ·	_m
ω.		-0.	-TJ.	-11.	-

### Table 10

Average Annual Earnings per Employee for Selected Industries in the USSR 1955

RublesIndustryAverage Annual EarningsRail transport9,400 a/Shipbuilding9,600 b/Electric power9,600 c/Construction7,680 d/a. From Table 6, p. 23, above.

Table 11

Comparison of Average Annual Earnings in the US and the USSR 1950-56

r		US USSR				
Year	Railroad Employees <u>a</u> / (Dollars)	Nonagricultural Labor Force <u>b</u> / (Dollars)	Employees of the Ministry of Railroad Transport <u>c/</u> (Rubles)	State-Employed Labor Force <u>d</u> / (Rubles)		
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956	3,785 4,182 4,352 4,415 4,560 4,719 5,107	3,085 3,317 3,500 3,653 3,737 3,911 4,100	8,640 9,045 9,152 9,128 9,319 9,319 9,319 9,422	7,600 7,800 8,000 8,150 8,350 8,600 8,850		
a. 6 b. 6	54/ 55/	<u></u>				

c. From Table 6, p. 23, above.

61/

62/

Estimate

b.

d.

c. .

d. From Table 14, p. 29, below.

- 26 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2013/08/28 : CIA-RDP79R01141A001100110001-5

50X1

### S-E-C-R-E-T

#### Table 12

#### Cost of Labor on the Railroads of the USSR 1950-60

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
	To	tal Employees	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	Op	erating Emplo	yees		<u></u>	Nonc	perating Empl	oyees	
Year	Number of Employees a/ (Thousand)	Total Money Earnings <u>b</u> / (Billion Rubles)	Total Cost of Labor <u>c</u> / (Billion Rubles)	Number of Employees a/ (Thousand)	Average Annual Earnings d/ (Rubles)	Total Money Earnings <u>e</u> / (Billion Rubles)	Other Payments for Labor <u>f</u> / (Billion Rubles)	Total Cost of Labor (Billion Rubles)	Number of Employees g/ (Thousand)	Average Annual Earnings <u>h</u> / (Rubles)	Total Money Earnings <u>i</u> / (Billion Rubles)	Other Payments for Labor j/ (Billion Rubles)	Total Cost of Labor <u>k</u> / (Billion Rubles)
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1956 1958 1958 1959 1960	3,014 3,088 3,233 3,326 3,421 3,400 3,414 3,436 3,390 3,338 3,281	26.03 27.93 29.59 30.36 31.88 31.68 32.17 33.13 33.42 33.64 33.78	28.60 30.55 31.66 32.89 33.47 33.81 35.07 36.67 37.06 37.37	1,712 1,765 1,866 1,901 1,968 1,980 1,980 1,993 1,966 1,936 1,936	8,712 9,120 9,228 9,204 9,396 9,396 9,500 9,725 <u>0</u> / 9,950 <u>0</u> / 10,175 <u>0</u> / 10,400 <u>0</u> /	14.91 16.10 17.22 17.50 18.49 18.60 18.81 19.38 19.56 19.70 19.79	1.47 1.51 1.20 1.46 0.92 1.25 1.70 1.8 p/ 2.0 p/ 2.1 p/	16.38 1/ 17.61 m/ 18.42 m/ 19.41 m/ 19.45 m/ 20.51 m/ 21.18 g/ 21.70 g/ 21.89 g/	1,302 1,323 1,367 1,425 1,453 1,453 1,420 1,434 1,434 1,424 1,402 1,378	8,541 8,941 9,047 9,024 9,212 9,212 9,314 9,530 <u>0</u> / 9,735 <u>0</u> / 9,940 <u>0</u> / 10,150 <u>0</u> /	11.12 $11.83$ $12.37$ $12.86$ $13.39$ $13.08$ $13.36$ $13.75$ $13.86$ $13.94$ $13.99$	1.10 1.11 0.87 0.67 0.88 1.20 1.28 1.35 1.42 1.49	12.22 12.94 13.23 14.06 13.96 14.56 15.03 15.21 15.36 15.48

From Table 1, p. 18, above. Total of columns 6 and 11. Total of columns 8 and 13. From Table 6, p. 23, above. Column 4 multiplied by column 5. Column 8 less column 6. Includes special awards, social insurance, and the like. Column 1 less column 4. From Table 6 p. 23 above. Operating employees carp on estimated 100 percent of f.

g. h.

g. Column 1 less column 4.
h. From Table 6, p. 23, above. Operating employees earn an estimated 102 percent of wages paid to nonoperating employees.
i. Column 9 multiplied by column 10.
j. Percentage of money earnings assumed to be the same as for operating employees, as shown in columns 6 and 7.
k. Column 11 plus column 12.
i. 66/. Payments to labor total 45.2 percent of operating cost for 1950.
m. Based on 1950 and 1955 ratio, 45.3 percent of operating cost.
n. 67/. Wages and supplementary payments to labor are 45.4-percent of operating cost (see Table 22, p. 37, below).
o. Because it is estimated that the productivity of labor will increase by about the same amount during 1956-60 as during 1950-56, it is estimated that the avérage wage will follow the same trend.
p. It is estimated that the cost of fringe benefits will increase slightly as concessions are granted to labor.

- 27 - .

### S-E-C-R-E-T

### S - E - C - R - E - T

#### Table 13

Estimated Cost of Labor, Average Annual Earnings of Employees, and Net Operating Income of the Ministry of Railroad Transport of the USSR Selected Years, 1950-60

Year	Total Cost of Labor <u>a</u> / (Billion Rubles)	Traffic-Kilometers Performed b/ (Billion)		ost of Labor per fic-Kilometer <u>c</u> / (Kopeks)	Average Annual Earnings of Employees <u>d</u> / (Rubles)	Net Operating Income e/ (Billion Rubles)
1950	28.60	690	· · ·	4.14	8,640	6.31
1955 1956	33.81 35.07	1,112 1,222	· . ·	3.04 2.87	9,319 9,422	14.43 16.9
1960	37.37	1,777		2.10	10,296	39.99

a. From Table 12, p. 27, above.b. From Table 15, p. 30, below.c. Total labor cost per traffic-kilometer; column 1 divided by column 2.

d. Derived from data in Table 12, p. 27, above.e. Derived from data in Table 22, p. 37, below.

# - 28 -

### S - E - C - R - E - T

### Table 14

# Annual Earnings of Railroad Employees and of the State-Employed Labor Force in the USSR 1950-60

	St	ate-Employed Labor	Ministry of Railroad Transport		
Year	Total Employment a/ (Thousand)	Average Earnings <u>b/</u> (Rubles per Year)	Total Money Earnings c/ (Billion Rubles)	Total Money Earnings <u>d</u> / (Billion Rubles)	Earnings as a Percent of Total Earnings of State-Employed Personnel
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960	39,800 41,400 42,500 44,600 46,800 46,800 50,000 50,000 52,100 54,100 55,900 57,700	7,600 7,800 8,000 8,150 8,350 8,600 8,850 9,300 9,650 10,050 10,500	302.5 322.9 340.0 363.0 390.8 411.9 442.5 484.5 522.1 561.8 605.9	26.03 27.93 29.59 30.36 31.88 31.68 32.17 33.13 33.42 33.64 33.78	8.6 8.6 8.7 8.4 8.2 7.7 7.3 6.9 6.4 6.0 5.6

a. From Table 1, p. 18, above. b. <u>68</u>/

c. Column 1 multiplied by column 2.
d. From Table 12, p. 27, above.

- 29 \-

Declassified in Part -	<ul> <li>Sanitized Copy Approv</li> </ul>	ed for Release 2013/08/28	: CIA-RDP79R01141A001100110001-5

lable	15

Productivity	of	Labor	on	the	Railroads	of	the USSR	
			195	50-60	<u>с</u>			

Year	Operating Employees a/ (Thousand)	Production (Billion Traffic-Kilometers)	Productivity (Thousand Traffic-Kilometers per Operating Employee)
1950	1,712 a/	$\begin{array}{c} 690 \ \underline{b}/ \\ 776 \ \underline{b}/ \\ 849 \ \underline{b}/ \\ 916 \ \underline{b}/ \\ 986 \ \underline{b}/ \\ 1,112 \ \underline{b}/ \\ 1,222 \ \underline{b}/ \\ 1,361 \ \underline{d}/ \\ 1,490 \ \underline{f}/ \\ 1,628 \ \underline{f}/ \\ 1,777 \ \underline{f}/ \end{array}$	403 b/
1951	1,765 a/		440 b/
1952	1,866 a/		455 b/
1953	1,901 a/		482 b/
1954	1,968 a/		501 b/
1955	1,980 a/		562 b/
1956	1,980 a/		617 b/
1957	1,993 c/		683 e/
1958	1,966 c/		758 g/
1959	1,936 c/		841 g/
1960	1,903 c/		934 g/

a. b.

 $\frac{69}{70}/$ Column 2 divided by column 3.  $\frac{71}{72}/$ c.

d.

e.

f. Estimate, based on an increasing length of freight haul and a projection of the rate of growth for tons-originated and for passenger traffic. g. Estimate, based on the annual rate of increase in productivity of labor during 1954-57.

### - 30 -

S - E - C - R - E - T

### S-E-C-R-E-T

### Table 16

Productivity of Labor on Selected Railroads of the USSR  $\underline{a}/1955$ 

Railroad	Productivity (Thousand Traffic-Kilometers per Employee)
Average for the network	562
Omsk	1,550
South Ural	1,010
Krasnovarsk	890
Karaganda	770
Sverdlovsk	620
Donets	580
Moscow-Kursk-Donbas	490
Transcaucasus	340
Belorussian	. 290
South Sakhalin	100

a. 73

- 31 -S-E-C-R-E-T

### S - E - C - R - E - T

### Table 17

### Comparison of Operating Revenues and Operating Costs of Rail Transport in the USSR <u>a</u>/ Selected Years, 1950-60

	Gro Operating pe Operating	Revenue er	Operating	er		er	Grc Operating pe Traffic-Ki	Revenue r	Gros Operating R per Operating E per <u>Traffic-Kil</u>	evenue mployee	Operating per Operating H per <u>Traffic-Ki</u>	r Employee r	Ne Operating pe <u>Traffic-Ki</u>	Revenue r
Year	(Rubles) -	(Index)	(Rubles)	(Index)	(Rubles)	(Index)	(Kopecks)	(Index)	(Kopecks)	(Index)	(Kopecks)	(Index)	(Kopecks)	(Index)
1950	24,854	100	3,686	100	9,568	100	6.17	100	0.0000036	100	0.00000306	100	0.914	100
1955 1956	29,369 31,404	118 126	7,288 8,535	198 232	10,025 10,359	105 108	5.23 5.09	85 -,82	0.00000264 0.00000257	73 71	0.00000199 0.00000187	65 61	1.297 1.383	142 151
1960	46,406	187	21,014	570	12,319	129 <sup>`</sup>	4.97	. 81.	0.00000261	73	0.00000143	47	2.250	246

a. Derived from data in Table 15, p. 30, above and Table 22, p. 37, below.

- 32 -S-E-C-R-E-T

#### S-E-C-R-E-T

#### Table 18

Productivity of Labor of Railroad Employees in the US and the USSR 1950-56

_		~	-						
		oyees usand)	(Billion	Production Traffic-Kilometers)	(Thouse	Productivity and Traffic-Kilome per Employee)	eters	Emplo; per Kild of Route (	ometer
Year	<u>us.a/</u>	ussr b/	us <u>c</u> /	USSR d/	US		USSR	us <u>e</u> /	<u>USSR f/</u>
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956	1,221 1,276 1,227 1,206 1,065 1,058 1,042	2,013 2,063 2,160 2,222 2,285 2,271 2,281	910 1,000 952 935 849 956 990	690 776 849 916 986 1,112 1,222	745 784 776 775 797 904 950	e e de la companya de	343 376 393 412 432 490 536	3.4 3.5 3.4 3.3 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 <u>s</u> /	17.2 17.5 18.2 18.5 19.0 18.8 18.9

a. 74/. Class I railroads.

b. Adjusted for comparability with the railroad labor force in the US. Using Table 2, p. 19, above, as a basis and eliminating the employees of the MPS believed to be engaged in functions not performed by US railroads, for purposes of determining productivity of labor, 66.8 percent of the total employment by the MPS is believed comparable to the US Class I railroad labor force. Total employment by the MPS is given in Table 1, p. 18, above. c.  $\underline{75}/$ . Short ton-miles and passenger-miles converted to metric ton-kilometers and passenger-

kilometers with factors of 1.45999 and 1.60935.

- d. <u>76</u>/
- 77 78 79 е.
- f.
- g.

Declassified in Dart	Sonifized Conv Appre	wod for Dologog 2012/00/20	3 · CIA-RDP79R01141A001100110001-	E
	- запшиео сору Арри		) VIA-RIJE/9RUI 14 IAUUI 1001 10001-	-: )

S-E-C-R-E-T

### Table 19

Savings in Manpower as a Result of Increasing Productivity of Labor on the Railroads of the USSR 1950-60

(1) (2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Operational Manpower	and a second	· · · · ·	2 · · · ·
Requirements at Level of Productivity			Manpower Saved by Increa

Year	Traffic-Kilometers <u>a</u> / (Billion)	at Level of Productivity in 1950 <u>b</u> / (Thousand Traffic-Kilometers per Employee)	Actual Productivity <u>a</u> / (Thousand Traffic-Kilometers per Operating Employee)	Actual Operating Manpower Requirements (Thousand)	Manpower Saved by Increase over Productivity in 1950 (Thousand)
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960	690 776 849 - 916 986 1,112 1,222 1,361 1,490 1,628 1,777	1,712 1,925 2,106 2,272 2,446 2,759 3,032 3,377 3,697 4,040 4,409	403 440 455 482 501 562 617 683 758 841 934	1,712 1,765 1,866 1,901 1,968 1,980 1,980 1,993 1,966 1,936 1,903	160 240 371 478 779 1,052 1,384 1,731 2,104 2,506

a. See Table 15, p. 30, above.b. Column 1 divided by column 3.

• •

- 34 -

### S-E-C-R-E-T

### Table 20

Reductions in the Operating Labor Force and Increases in Productivity of Labor Resulting from Conversion from Steam to Electric and Diesel-Electric Traction on the Railroads of the USSR

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Percent
	VL-22m Electric Locomotive	N-8 Electric Locomotive	TE-3 Diesel Locomotive
Reduction in expenditures for maintenance of locomotive and conductor crews Reduction in locomotive crews Reduction in entire working contingent Increase in productivity of labor of locomotive crews Increases in productivity of labor of entire working	50 27 9 37	50 40 13 67	50 30 10 43
contingent	10	15	11

- 35 -

# S-E-C-R-E-T

### Table 21

### Estimated Cost of Labor per Traffic-Kilometer on the Railroads of the USSR 1950-60

Year	Production <u>a</u> / (Billion <u>Traffic-Kilometers)</u>	Total Cost of Labor (Billion Rubles)	-	Unit Cost of Labor (Kopecks per Traffic-Kilometer)		
	690 sector	28.60		4N	4.14	• · · ·
	776	30.55			3.94	
1952	849	31.66			3.73	
1953	916	32.89			3.59	
1954	• • • • • • • • •	33.47		÷.,		÷
1955	1,112	33.81		11		2.1
1956	1,222	35.07			2.87	
1957	1,361	36.21			2.66	
1958	1,490	36.67			2.46	
1959	1,628	37.06	1.00	la toft a	2.28	
1960	1,777	- 37.37	i Cole	ن و بر <b>بر قر</b> ر	2.10	ri (j. se e
a. From	Table 15, p. 30, above		<del></del>			<u></u>

a. From Table 15, p. 30, above.b. From Table 12, p. 27, above.

aure 12, p. 21, above.

ار این ایکی از میشان از ایکان ۲۰۱۹ در این از میکوین ایکانی ایکون میکوند. ایکار از میکوین ایکان میکویک کار ایکان میکانی کامی کا کاکی کار میکویک ایکان ایکانی ایکان کا کار کورک

# - 36 -

S - E - C - R - E - T

### S-E-C-R-E-T

### Table 22

## Operating Costs and Operating Revenue on the Railroads of the USSR Selected Years, 1950-60

Year	Gross Operating Revenue (Billion Rubles)	Total Operating Cost (Billion Rubles)	Average Operating Cost per Traffic- Kilometer (Kopecks)	Gross Operating Revenue as Percent of Operating Costs	Total Operating Labor Cost (Billion Rubles)	Gross Operating Revenue as Percent of Operating Labor Cost
1950	42.55 <u>a</u> /	36.24 ъ/	5.252 <u>c</u> /	117.4 <u>d</u> /	16.38 <u>e</u> /	259.8
1955 1956	58.15 <u>a</u> / 62.18 <u>a</u> /	43.72 b/ 45.28 b/	3.932 c/ 3.705 c/	133.0 <u>d</u> / 137.3 <u>f</u> /	19.85 e/ 20.51 e/	292.9 303.2
1960	88.31 <u>g</u> /	48.32 <u>h</u> /	2.719 <u>i</u> /	183.8 <u>j</u> /	21.89	403.4

a. Column 2 plus the absolute amount represented by the percentage in column 4.

b. Column 3 multiplied by traffic-kilometers estimated in Table 14, p. 29, above.

- c. <u>80</u>/
- a. <u>81</u>/

e. See Table 12, p. 27, above.

f. 82/

g. The estimated revenue from freight traffic is 66.99 billion rubles (1,595 billion ton-kilometers multiplied by 4.2 kopecks -- the average rate per ton-kilometer under the present rate structure). The estimated revenue from passenger traffic is 16.56 billion rubles (182 billion passenger-kilometers multiplied by 9.1 kopecks -- the average rate per passenger-kilometer under the present rate structure). This figure is 127 percent of the revenue from passenger traffic for 1956 (13.01 billion rubles -- 143 billion passenger-kilometers multiplied by 9.1 kopecks). It is estimated that other revenue will increase by the same percentage as revenue from passenger traffic and in 1960 will be 4.76 billion rubles (127 percent of the figure 3.75 billion rubles for 1956).

h. Estimated labor cost has been about 45.3 percent of total operating cost. i. Total operating cost divided by the number of traffic-kilometers estimated in Table 15, p. 30, above.

j. Derived from columns 1 and 2.

S-E-C-R-E-T

- 37

### S-E-C-R-E-T

### APPENDIX B

### METHODOLOGY

The methodology used to determine the number of employees on the railroads of the USSR was to accept Soviet statistics published through 1956 on both absolute numbers of operating employees and on the total number of employees of the MPS. Statistics in absolute terms on labor productivity published through 1956 also were accepted and projected through 1960 on the basis of percentage increase. The figure derived for the productivity of labor in 1960 was applied to the planned production (traffic-kilometers) for 1960, and on that basis the required number of operating manpower was computed. Planned production for the intervening years was interpolated, and the total requirement for manpower was estimated on the basis of the relationship between the total number of operating and nonoperating employees during 1950-60.

Annual earnings of the railroad labor force were determined by multiplying the estimated labor force by the estimated average annual earnings per employee. The detailed technique for arriving at the average annual earnings is explained in Table 6.\*

The cost of operating the railroads was derived from announced statistics on traffic-kilometers and cost per traffic-kilometer for 1950, 1954-57, and estimated cost for 1960. Data for the intervening years were then interpolated. The total cost of labor on the railroads was derived from announced percentages on the operating cost.

Gross revenue through 1956 was estimated from Soviet statements of revenue in excess of operating cost. The estimate for 1960 is based on estimated traffic and estimated revenue per unit of traffic. In order to compare employment and productivity of labor on the railroads of the US and the USSR, statistics of the Association of American Railroads for Class I railroads were accepted, and statistics announced by the USSR on employment in the MPS were adjusted to eliminate functions not performed by railroads in the US. Techniques for estimating these figures are explained in some detail in the statistical tables in Appendix A.

\* P. 23, above.

- 39 s-e-c-r-e-t



SECRET

SECRET