SECRET

No

82

RESEARCH AID

1950 RUBLE-DOLLAR PRICE RATIOS FOR GOODS AND SERVICES IN THE USSR AND THE US



CIA/RR RA-15 14 August 1957

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND REPORTS

WARNING

This material contains information affecting the National Defense of the United States within the meaning of the espionage laws, Title 18, USC, Secs. 793 and 794, the transmission or revelation of which in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

S-E-C-R-E-T

RESEARCH AID

1950 RUBLE-DOLLAR PRICE RATIOS FOR GOODS AND SERVICES IN THE USSR AND THE US

CIA/RR RA-15
(ORR Project 10.824)

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
Office of Research and Reports

S-E-C-R-E-T

FOREWORD



S-E-C-R-E-T

CONTENTS

																						Page
Sum	marv	and	Conc	lusio	n e																	1
					ome (• •	• •	• •	•	•	• •	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
I.	Inti	rodu	ction	L • ·	• • •	• •	• •	• •	٠	•,	• •	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	2
II.				Rati				luce	r a	nd					•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3
	1. 2.			Chara e Dat													•	•	•	•	•	3 6
III.				Rati										· G			•,		•			7
	1.			nd Si on of							se F									•		7 12
		a. b.		umpti stmer																•		12 15
			(1) (2) (3)	Cons	lucer struc ition	tion	a .		•					•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	16 16 19
		c. d.		nistr nse .		on .	• •		•		•	•		. •	•	•		•				19 20
	3.	Com	paris	ons c	of US	and	l Sc	vie	t G	ros	ss N	lat	ion	al	Pr	od.	luc	t	•	•	•	21
							A	ppe	ndi	xes	}											
Appe	endix	. A.	Met	hodol	_ogy	• •			٠.		•	•		•	•				•	•		23
App	endix	в.	Rub	le - Do	llar	Rat	tios	, b	у В	ran	ch	of	In	dus	str	У	•				•	33
App	endix	c.	Gap	s in	Inte	:11i	genc	e.	•		•					• .		•				113
Appe	endix	D.	Sou	rce R	Refer	ence	es					•		•		•						115

- v -

S-E-C-R-E-T

Tables

		Page
1.	Distribution of 1950 Ruble-Dollar Ratios, by Branch of Industry	5
2.	1950 Ruble-Dollar Ratios for Gross National Product and End-Use Components	7
3.	Adjustment of 1950 Ruble - 1950 Dollar Ratios for End-Use Components of Gross National Product to 1953 Ruble - 1955 Dollar Ratios	. 9
4.	1950 Ruble-Dollar Ratios for Consumer Goods and Services	15
5•	1950 Ruble-Dollar Ratios for Producer Durables, Construction, and Inventories	1 5
6.	1950 Ruble-Dollar Ratios for Selected Categories of Producer Durables	17
7.	1950 Ruble-Dollar Ratios for Various Types and Components of Construction	18
8.	US and Soviet 1950 Gross National Product in 1950 Rubles and 1950 Dollars	22
9•	Ruble-Dollar Ratios for Gross National Product End-Use Categories and US and Soviet Gross National Products for 1950 and 1955	25
10.	Soviet Gross National Product for 1950, 1953, and 1955, in 1950 and 1953 Ruble Prices, by End-Use Category	26
11.	Adjustments of US Gross National Product for Comparability with Soviet Gross National Product, 1950 and 1955	27

- vi -

	25X1A5a1	Page
12.	Key Research in the Ruble-Dollar Ratio of Selected Commodities and Services, by SIC Number	34
13.	A Summary of 1950 Ruble-Dollar Ratios, by Industrial Classification	40
14.	Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Solid Fuels in the USSR and the US	46
15.	Weighted Price Ratios of Solid Fuels in the USSR and the US	46
16.	Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Chemicals in the USSR and the US	47
17.	Weighted Price Ratios of Chemicals in the USSR and the US	50
18.	Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Petroleum Products in the USSR and the US	55
19.	Weighted Price Ratios of Petroleum Products in the USSR and the US	58
20.	Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Construction Materials in the USSR and the US	61
21.	Weighted Price Ratios of Construction Materials in the USSR and the US	62
22.	Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Abrasives Products in the USSR and the US	64
23.	Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Iron and Steel Products in the USSR and the US	72
24.	Weighted Price Ratios of Iron and Steel Products in the USSR and the US	75
25.	Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Nonferrous Metals in the USSR and the US	78

S-E-C-R-E-T

		Page
26.	Weighted Price Ratios of Nonferrous Metals in the USSR and the US	80
27.	Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Tractors and Trucks in the USSR and the US	82
28.	Weighted Price Ratios of Tractors and Trucks in the USSR	83
29.	Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Metalworking Machinery in the USSR and the US	86
30.	Weighted Price Ratios of Metalworking Machinery in the US	88
31.	Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Textile Machinery in the USSR and the US	90
32.	Weighted Price Ratios of Textile Machinery in the US	91
33•	Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Electrical Equipment and Electronics in the USSR and the US	92
34.	Weighted Price Ratios of Electrical Equipment and Electronics in the USSR and the US	97
35•	Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Communications Services in the USSR and the US	103
36.	Weighted Price Ratios of Communications Services in the USSR and the US	104
37•	Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Electric Power in the USSR and the US	107
38.	Weighted Price Ratios of Electric Power in the USSR and the US	108
39.	Calculation of Ruble-Dollar Ratios for Rail Freight Transportation	109
40.	Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Highway Construction and Multistory Housing in the USSR and the US	112

CIA/RR RA-15 (ORR Project 10.824) S-E-C-R-E-T

1950 RUBLE-DOLLAR PRICE RATIOS FOR GOODS AND SERVICES IN THE USSR AND THE US*

Summary and Conclusions

This analysis of 1950 ruble - 1950 dollar market price ratios for final products (goods and services) common to the Soviet and the US economies indicates that the over-all purchasing power of the 1950 ruble is approximately 6 cents if the ratios are averaged with US weights (expenditure pattern) and about 12 cents if the ratios are averaged with Soviet weights. If the purchasing power of the ruble is examined for the various end-use categories of the Soviet and the US economies substantial variations are to be found. Thus, depending upon whether US or Soviet weights are utilized in averaging the ratios, the consumer ruble is worth 5 or 10 cents, the investment ruble 10 or 11 cents, the defense ruble 18.5 or 20 cents. The administration ruble, for which identical Soviet-US weights were assumed, is valued at 40 cents.

If the 1950 ruble - 1950 dollar aggregate end-use (gross national product -- GNP) ratio is adjusted to a 1953 ruble - 1955 dollar basis by means of appropriate price indexes, the purchasing power of the 1953 ruble can be calculated at about 8 cents (US weights) or 16 cents (Soviet weights).

Because the application of the Soviet-weighted and the US-weighted GNP ruble-dollar ratios to Soviet GNP estimates in rubles results in an overstatement and understatement, respectively, of Soviet GNP in dollars relative to US GNP, an average of the two ratios is utilized to avoid the two extremes. The average of the 1953 ruble - 1955 dollar GNP ratios of 6.5 to 1 (Soviet weights) and 12.3 to 1 (US weights) is 9.4 to 1. Thus 1955 Soviet GNP in 1953 rubles is converted to 1955 US dollars at the rate of 9.4 rubles per dollar.

An analysis of ruble-dollar ratios for both consumer goods and producer goods reveals that (1) the median ratio for consumer goods and services of 19.8 rubles per dollar is almost 3 times greater than

^{*} The estimates and conclusions contained in this research aid represent the best judgment of ORR as of 1 February 1957.

S-E-C-R-E-T

the median ratio for producer goods of 7.7 rubles per dollar and that (2) within the category of producer goods the median ratio for industrial materials and energy items of 11.2 rubles per dollar is approximately twice as high as the median ratio of 5.9 rubles per dollar for machinery and equipment items.

An important factor contributing to the absolute difference between producer and consumer goods ratios is the turnover tax levy on consumer goods, which constituted, on the average, about 60 percent of the Soviet retail prices in 1950. Within the producer goods category the high ratios for industrial materials compared with the ratios for machinery and equipment items may indicate that the technology and productive efficiency of the machinery and equipment-producing sector is superior to the technology and productive efficiency of the industrial materials sector.

I. Introduction.

This research aid has the following objectives:

1. To present, partly as a supplement to, and partly as a revision of, the ruble-dollar ratio research already completed 25X1A5a1 25X1A5a1 a detailed catalog of 1950 ruble-dollar price ratios for intermediate and final products of the US and Soviet economies along with supporting documentation and methodology.

> 2. To construct 1950 ruble - 1950 dollar market price ratios for end-use components of gross national product (GNP) for the purpose of converting ruble estimates of Soviet GNP to dollars and by means of appropriate adjustments to develop 1953 ruble - 1955 dollar ratios for GNP.

25X1A5a1

25X1A5a1

The extent to which these two objectives have been attained in this research aid is heavily dependent upon previous empirical and methodological analysis conducted by the Organiza-

25X1A5a1

tion for European Economic Cooperation (OEEC).

For serially numbered source references, see Appendix D.

S-E-C-R-E-T

25X1A5a1

The OEEC has published an analysis of international comparisons of the national product of the US with the national products of the UK, France, Germany, and Italy. 3/

The need for a study of ruble-dollar ratios stems from attempts to compare the GNP of the US and the USSR. In order to be able to make direct comparisons of GNP for the two countries, it is necessary to measure the product of both economies in both dollars and rubles. The application of a set of end-use price ratios -- either ruble-dollar or dollar-ruble -- to US GNP in dollars or Soviet GNP in rubles enables the comparison of GNP in units of the same currency. It would be possible, of course, to utilize the official Soviet exchange rate of 4 rubles to the dollar for this purpose. The official exchange rate, however, bears no particular relationship to the actual purchasing power of the ruble and, as will be seen below, grossly overstates the actual purchasing power. The absence of a relationship between official rates of exchange and the purchasing power of currencies was strongly brought out in the OEEC study mentioned above.

The basic data of this research aid are 1950 US and Soviet prices for intermediate and final products common to the US and the Soviet economies. These data provide the basis for calculating ruble-dollar or dollar-ruble price ratios. The calculation of such price ratios, however, is complicated by numerous difficulties, some of which are inherent in international price comparisons. The more important problems which must be dealt with in international price comparisons are (1) product comparability, (2) the representativeness of the sample of prices, and (3) the development of systems of weights for the purpose of aggregating the ratios. In this research aid each of these problems has, in certain instances, had to be dealt with somewhat summarily due to the scarcity of Soviet statistical data. Because of the lack of data, it becomes difficult to evaluate the impact of somewhat arbitrary assumptions which have to be made, as will become evident in II and III, below.

II. Ruble-Dollar Ratios for Producer and Consumer Goods.

1. General Characteristics of Ratios. 25X1A5a1

Ruble-dollar ratios computed by CIA for producer and consumer goods and services are grouped by 19 categories and arranged

- 3 **-**

S-E-C-R-E-T

by class intervals of 5 rubles per dollar in Table 1.* Several interesting observations on the characteristics of ruble-dollar ratios can be made from the data in Table 1, some of which are the following:

(a) the median ratio for consumer goods and services of 19.8 rubles per dollar is almost 3 times greater than the median ratio for producer goods of 7.7 rubles per dollar**; (b) within the category of producer goods the median ratio for industrial materials of 11.2 rubles per dollar is approximately twice as high as the median ratio of 5.9 rubles per dollar for machinery and equipment items; (c) the ratios for consumer goods and services show more dispersion than the ratios for producer goods, as do the ratios for industrial materials relative to machinery and equipment ratios; and (d) approximately 25 percent of the total number of ratios are equal to or greater than 15.0 rubles per dollar.

It is also of interest to inquire into the kinds of items with ruble-dollar ratios of an unusually high magnitude -- for example, ratios of 30.0 rubles per dollar and greater. Producer goods falling into this category are principally nonferrous metals and chemicals, among which are the following: (a) nonferrous metals -- antimony (32.6:1),*** magnesium (34.0:1), nickel (37.1:1), mercury (47.2:1), cadmium (56.2:1), tin (67.9:1), and cobalt (103.4:1); and (b) chemicals -- hydrofluoric acid (31.7:1), phosphoric acid (39.3:1), calcium chloride (54.4:1), ethyl acetate (63.2:1), borax (123.6:1), and ethyl alcohol (114.5:1). A sample of consumer goods and services shown in Appendix A with ratios greater than 30.0 rubles per

dollar includes ham (34.7:1), canned tomatoes (47.9:1), sugar (53.6:1), cotton towel (60.5:1), a man's wool suit (45.2:1), a woman's wool dress (37.4:1), bed and mattress (30.5:1), pipe tobacco (41.1:1), and dry cleaning (31.0:1).

Having summarized the substantial variations in ruble-dollar ratios between and within the categories of producer and consumer goods, it is pertinent to consider some of the factors which underlie this variation. Probably the most important factor contributing to the absolute difference between producer and consumer goods ratios is the turnover tax which is levied on consumer goods in the Soviet economy.

25X1A5a1

- 4 -

^{*} Table 1 follows on p. 5. For a listing of ratios grouped and weighted in accordance with the US Standard Industrial Classification by four-digit categories, see Table 13, p. 40, below. 4/

^{**} Median of ratios for industrial materials and machinery and equipment items.

^{***} Ruble-dollar ratios in parentheses.

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 1
Distribution of 1950 Ruble-Dollar Ratios by Branch of Industry

		.,		Number	of Ratios in	n Class Inter	rvals of 5 R	ubles per Dol	llar
Branch of Industry	Number of Ratios	Median Ratio	0-4.9	5 . 0 - 9 . 9	10.0-14.9	<u>15.0-19.9</u>	20.0-24.9	25.0-29.9	30.0 and Over
Solid fuels, petroleum, and electric power	38	17.0	0	1	11	20	_5	1	0
Textile mill products	76	18.8	10 14	5	2	31	10	7	11
Lumber and wood products	89	8.1		50 1	22	2 2	1	0	0
Paper and allied products .	. 6	13.2	0 6	13	3 34	15	12	6	24
Chemicals	110 48	15.3		12	34 1	8 12	1.		0
Construction materials		5.1	22 84	1	0	0	. 0	0	0
Abrasives	85	2.4			10	6	. 0	0	Ų,
Iron and steel	58	8.9	0	39	53		3	0	<u> </u>
Nonferrous metals	94	14.0	0	2	- 53	29	3	Ü	7
Total industrial materials	604	11.2	<u>136</u>	124	139	113	<u>34</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>43</u>
Fabricated structural and other fabricating metal products	65	5.7	18	42	14	0	ı	. 0	0
Transportation, construction, and mining machinery	. 36	4.5	20	13	3	0	0	0	0
Metalworking machinery	54	3.8	36	15	2	1	0	0	0
Other machinery (except electric)	112	5.6	47	15 48	12	3	1	0	1
Electrical machinery and equipment	218	5.6	90	65	36	14	6	Ĺ	6
Professional and scientific equipment		6.9	2	65 6	0	1	0	0	0
Communications equipment	9	5.6	2	2	1	0	0	1	0
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,							
Total machinery and equipment	500	5•9	<u>215</u>	<u>191</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>2</u>	7
Food.	37	27.0	1	3	5	5	. 3	5	15
Manufactured goods	57	20.6	6	6	6	9	8	3	19
Services	19	6.9	6	7	3	0	1	1	1
		19.8		16	٦)،	<u>14</u>	10	0	35
Total	<u>113</u>	19.0	<u>13</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>14</u>	12	2	22
Rail freight transport	29	3.9	24 .	5	0	0	Ö	0	0
Total all ratios	1,246	8.2	<u>388</u>	<u>336</u>	211	<u>146</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>26</u> ,	<u>85</u>
Cumulative total			388	724	935	1,081	1,135	1,161	1,246
Cumulative percentages			31.1	58.1	75.0	86.8	91.1	93.2	100.0

- 5 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

On the average, turnover taxes in 1950 constituted about 60 percent of the retail prices of consumer goods. A lesser factor is the lower productivity of consumer goods industries relative to producer goods industries, stemming principally from the relatively obsolete equipment of light industry.

Within the producer goods category, the high ratios for industrial materials relative to those for machinery and equipment items may reflect a possible relative superiority of the machinery and equipment sector with respect to technology and productive efficiency. That is, the machinery and equipment sector of the Soviet economy may compare more favorably with its US counterpart on the basis of comparative technology and productive efficiency than the industrial materials sector. Because of the lack of sufficient research on comparative US-Soviet technology, however, it is not possible either to support or to refute this hypothesis.

2. Effective Dates of US and Soviet Prices.

The Soviet retail prices for food and manufactures are those which prevailed in Moscow state stores during March-December 1950. The US retail prices for food are averages for the first quarter of 1950 for 56 large cities, and the prices of manufactured goods are 1950 averages for the city of Chicago. The prices for services are 1950 average annual prices prevailing in Moscow and Chicago.

In general, the wholesale ruble prices utilized in this research aid were those in effect as of 1 July 1950.* Prices in effect on 1 July 1950 differed from those in effect on 1 January as a result of 1 July 1950 price reductions for the following commodities: lumber, ordinary shapes of rolled ferrous metals, construction materials and equipment, petroleum products, trucks, and metalworking machinery. Also reduced were tariffs on rail, river, truck, and animal transportation. Prices effective 1 July 1950 for construction materials, petroleum products, trucks, and metalworking machinery were utilized in the construction of the ruble-dollar ratios.

In most instances the US wholesale prices were those in effect during the first quarter of 1950. In some instances, mid-year and annual average prices were used. The principal reason for utilizing

^{*} See Appendix B.

S-E-C-R-E-T

first-quarter or first-half 1950 prices for the US was to minimize the effect of the Korean War on the relative price structure.

III. Ruble-Dollar Ratios for End-Use Components of Gross National Product.

1. Nature and Significance of End-Use Ratios.

Estimates of 1950 market price ruble-dollar ratios for end-use components of GNP -- consumption, investment, defense, administration -- are shown in Table 2.

Table 2

1950 Ruble-Dollar Ratios for Gross National Product and End-Use Components a

	Rubles	per Dollar
Category	Soviet Weights	US Weights
Consumption	10.4	19.6
Food Manufactured goods Services (other than medical and education) Medical services Education	18.1 14.5 4.3 1.5 3.0	25.3 26.4 5.9 1.5 3.0
Investment	8.9	9.8
Producer durables Construction Additions to inventory	6.0 11.0 8.8	6.8 12.0 8.8
Defense Administration Gross national product $\underline{b}/$	4.9 2.4 8.3	5.4 2.4 16.4

a. For the derivation of these ratios, see 2a, b, c, and d, below.

b. For the end-use weights used to construct the GNP ratio, see Appendix A.

S-E-C-R-E-T

The ratios in Table 2 provide a means for assessing the purchasing power of the 1950 ruble, in terms of 1950 dollars, for the various components of GNP. Thus the consumer ruble is worth about 5 cents (US weights) to 10 cents (Soviet weights). The investment ruble has a purchasing power of about 10 cents (US weights) to 11 cents (Soviet weights), and an even higher purchasing power can be attributed to the defense ruble -- approximately 18.5 cents (US weights) to 20 cents (Soviet weights).

The 1950 ruble - 1950 dollar ratios shown in Table 2 are the appropriate ratios for translating 1950 Soviet GNP components in 1950 rubles into 1950 dollars. If it is desired to convert a ruble estimate of 1955 Soviet GNP in 1953 rubles into 1955 dollars, the 1950 ruble - 1950 dollar ratios must be adjusted to reflect Soviet price changes during 1950-53 and US price changes during 1950-55. Adjustment of the 1950 ruble - 1950 dollar ratios with these price indexes will provide a set of 1953 ruble - 1955 dollar ratios for end-use components which can be weighted with 1955 US and Soviet end-use components in 1953 rubles and 1955 dollars. The price indexes for adjusting the 1950 ruble - 1950 dollar ratios are shown in Table 3.*

The sharp gradations in the relative purchasing power of the ruble between GNP components are a reflection of past and present Soviet resource allocation policy. The armaments and machinery industries of the USSR have long been favored claimants of resources with respect to allocations of skilled labor, raw materials, investment funds, and technological research programs. On the other hand, consumer goods industries in the USSR have been forced into a position as residual claimants of resources. As a consequence, the Soviet armaments and machinery industries compare much more favorably with their counterpart US industries from the point of view of technology and productive efficiency than do Soviet consumer goods industries with their US counterparts.

Soviet prices reflect the current stage of development of the machine building and consumer goods industries. Generally speaking, relative prices reflect relative scarcities in these two areas of production -- that is, on a relative basis, machinery and equipment items in the USSR are cheap and plentiful, whereas consumer goods are scarce and expensive. In this sense, Soviet prices may be said to be "rational" or economically meaningful. In the same sense the relative ruble-dollar

^{*} Table 3 follows on p. 9.

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 3

Adjustment of 1950 Ruble - 1950 Dollar Ratios for End-Use Components of Gross National Product to 1953 Ruble - 1955 Dollar Ratios a/

	(1) 1950 Rubles ÷ 1	950 Dollars	(2) Index <u>b</u> /	(3) Index c	(4) 1953 Rubles ÷ 1955	5 Dollars d/
Category	Soviet Weights	US Weights	1950 Rubles ÷ 1953 Rubles	1955 Dollars ÷ 1950 Dollars	Soviet Weights	<u>US Weights</u>
Consumption Investment Defense Administration Gross national	10.4 8.9 4.9 2.4	19.6 9.8 5.4 2.4	117.9 108.1 108.9 96.5	111.1 114.3 115.5 112.4	7.9 7.2 3.9 2.2	15.0 7.9 4.3 2.2
product a	8.3	16.4			6.6	12.3

a. For the end-use weights used to construct the 1953 ruble - 1955 dollar GNP ratio, see Appendix A.

Column (1)
Column (2) x Column (3)

b. 5/c. GNP deflators constructed by US Department of Commerce. 6/

d. 1953 ruble - 1955 dollar ratios for GNP categories are calculated by the following formula:

S-E-C-R-E-T

ratios for consumption goods as against the ratios for investment and defense may be viewed as economically meaningful.

A problem that remains to be dealt with, however, is which ratio should be selected for the conversion of Soviet GNP to dollars -the Soviet-weighted ratio, the US-weighted ratio, or a combination of the two. There are two available alternatives for determining the size of Soviet GNP relative to US GNP. Final products of the USSR can be valued in dollars and compared with US GNP in dollars, or, alternatively, final products of the US can be valued in rubles and compared with Soviet GNP rubles. If both the composition of final product and the relative price structure are the same for the two countries, then either method would show the same proportion between Soviet and US GNP. In fact, the same proportion will be obtained under the less stringent condition that only the relative price structure or the composition of the product be identical. Because both the relative price structure and the composition of output are different for the US and the USSR, however, two different answers will be obtained for the relative size of Soviet and US GNP.

In valuing the national product of the USSR in dollars and that of the US in rubles, an upward bias is imparted to the national product of each in the event of an inverse relationship between the price ratios and the quantity ratios* for the two countries, for an inverse relationship between price and quantity ratios means that relatively high (low) prices are being applied to relatively large (small) quantities when each country's output is valued in the prices of the other. To elucidate further the statistical and economic aspects of this inverse relationship, it is useful to carry out a sample calculation.

Consider the problem of comparing the value of output of wine and beer in France and the UK. Hypothetical data for the prices and quantities of these two commodities are the following:

	p_{O}	q_{O}	p_{1}	q_1
Wine	2	4	1	3
Beer	3	1	2	5

where p_0 and q_0 represent French prices and quantities and p_1 and q_1 represent British prices and quantities. Note that an inverse relationship exists between the price and quantity ratios. Therefore, in

- 10 -

^{*} A quantity ratio for a given commodity is obtained by dividing Soviet output by US output.

S-E-C-R-E-T

valuing the French output of wine and beer in pounds, for example, a relatively large French quantity, 4 units of wine, is multiplied by a relatively high British price, 4 pounds, and a relatively small French quantity, 1 unit of beer, is multiplied by a relatively low British price, 2 pounds. It is this direct application of relatively high (low) prices to relatively large (small) quantities which results in an overstatement of French output in pounds relative to British output in pounds.

The economic rationale of the inverse relationship between the price and quantity ratios for wine and beer is clear. Both countries emphasize the production of commodities which they can produce most cheaply -- beer in the UK and wine in France.

Carrying through the valuation of each country's output in both francs and pounds, the following four value measures of output are available:

E p_0 q_0 = 11 = French output in francs E p_0 q_1 = 21 = British output in francs E p_1 q_0 = 18 = French output in pounds E p_1 q_1 = 22 = British output in pounds

Thus the franc comparison shows that French output is about 50 percent of British output, and the pound comparison reveals that French output is about 80 percent of British output. But on the basis of the discussion above it is concluded that the output comparison in francs understates the size of French output relative to British output and that the output comparison in pounds does just the opposite.

As stated initially, the upward bias imparted to the national product of each country by valuing it in the prices of the other is a resultant of an inverse relationship between price and quantity ratios 25X1A5a1 What empirical evidence is available, therefore, on the existence of an inverse relationship? In a study of 1950 ruble-dollar ratios for consumer goods and services, has found that price ratios correlate inversely with quantity ratios. [7] This finding was in agreement with similar results obtained by Gilbert and Kravis in their study of comparisons of US national product with each of the national products of the UK, France, Germany, and Italy. [8] Thus, although the existence of an inverse relationship underlying US-Soviet comparisons of the investment and defense components of GNP remains to be demonstrated,

S-E-C-R-E-T

it will be assumed for the purpose of this research aid that an inverse relationship does prevail.

Granting this assumption, comparisons of the relative size of. Soviet and US GNP by means of Soviet-weighted and US-weighted price ratios lead to an overstatement and understatement, respectively, of Soviet GNP. To avoid these extremes of estimation, an average of the two ratios may be employed. It should be pointed out, however, that there is no economic meaning that can be imparted to the average ratio, which is in fact a hybrid. Of course, if the spread between the two ratios is fairly narrow, little obscurity is introduced by recourse to an average ratio. If the spread is substantial, however, as is the case with the US-weighted and Soviet-weighted ruble-dollar ratios, there can be no unambiguous economic interpretation of the average ratio.

It is probable, moreover, that the gap between the Sovietweighted and the US-weighted ruble-dollar ratio would become larger as the sample number of ruble-dollar ratios for end items increased. This would be due to a tendency for the product mixes of the USSR and the US to become increasingly dissimilar as the output of each country was considered in greater detail. The increasingly greater dissimilarities in product mix would in turn be simply a manifestation of underlying differences in tastes, resource endowment, and technology.

2. Derivation of End-Use Ratios.

Consumption.

based primarily upon detailed comparisons of US and Soviet prices for 25X1A5a1 food, manufactured goods, and services conducted Ruble-dollar ratios for medical services and education which 25X1A5a1 have also been computed. were not included studies include ratios for 37 food items, 57 manufactured goods, and 17 services.* The ratios for manufactured goods are based on (1) Soviet retail prices effective in Moscow state stores during March-December 1950 and (2) US average 1950 retail prices effective in the city of Chicago. Both the Moscow and Chicago prices are representative of prices in other large cities of the two countries. Prices for services

Ruble-dollar ratios for the consumption sector of GNP are

25X1A5a1

25X1A5a1

25X1A5a1 studies have been adjusted to take account of revised ratios computed by CIA for a man's wool suit, a woman's wool dress, and civilian radio receivers. See Appendix A for the revised ratios.

S-E-C-R-E-T

- 12 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

(other than medical and education) are average annual prices prevailing in Moscow and Chicago. Soviet retail food prices are those in effect in Moscow state stores during March-December 1950. US food prices are average first-quarter 1950 prices for 56 large cities.

25X1A5a1

The construction of ruble-dollar ratios for food items is complicated by the existence of two general sets of food prices in the USSR -- prices prevailing in state stores and prices effective on the collective farm market.

a limited amount of comparative price data for these two sectors (for the Moscow area) which tends to show that collective farm market prices are not appreciably higher than state store prices. Such a similarity of prices may not prevail for other areas of the USSR.

The estimation of ruble-dollar ratios for medical services and education is a problem which must be resolved largely on the basis of arbitrary assumptions. This situation is due, in part, to the fact that most medical and dental services are available to the Soviet population without charge and to the lack of data on fees charged by doctors who supplement incomes by engaging in private practice. In the case of education, tuition fees do not accurately reflect the costs of educational services. In this circumstance the possibility of constructing ruble-dollar ratios on the basis of the costs of medical service and education must be considered. This procedure involves the estimation of ruble-dollar ratios for the various input categories such as wages, materials, heat, and light. An important assumption must be made, however, with respect to ruble-dollar ratios for wages. The assumption is that the productivity of doctors and teachers is the same for the USSR and the US. Because it is exceedingly difficult to define and measure the productivity of doctors and teachers (differences in quality of service are extremely important in this area), it is more or less impossible to test the validity of this assumption. Nevertheless, the assumption is arbitrarily made in the construction of rubledollar ratios for medical and educational services.

A ruble-dollar ratio for the wage costs of medical services of 1.5 to 1 was computed on the basis of estimated 1950 average wages for medical personnel (physicians and surgeons, dentists, and nurses) in the US and the USSR. A 1950 average wage of 5,770 rubles for Soviet medical personnel was obtained by moving the 1941 plan average wage for medical personnel of 3,120 rubles to 1950 on the assumption that average wages for this category increased during 1941-50 by the same estimated percentage above 1941 as did wages for the national economy --

- 13 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

85 percent. 10/ An average 1950 wage of \$5,260 for US medical personnel was obtained by computing a weighted average of the incomes of physicians and surgeons, dentists, and nurses.* The ratio of 1.1 to 1 which was obtained by dividing the Soviet wage by the US wage was then adjusted upward to 1.5 to 1 to take into account incomes which are received from private practice in the USSR and which are not included in the Soviet average wage statistic. For the remaining input categories of medical services, such as drugs, medical supplies, food for hospitals, heat, and light, it was assumed that the average ratio for consumer goods and services other than medical and educational services would apply.

A ruble-dollar ratio for education was computed in a similar manner. An estimated average 1950 wage of 8,000 rubles for education personnel in the USSR was obtained by starting with a 1941 plan average wage for education personnel of 4,330 rubles and assuming that average wages for this category increased by the same percentage above 1941 as did wages for the national economy -- 85 percent. The 1950 average wage for education personnel in the US was estimated to be \$2,700.* The ratio of the Soviet average wage to the US average wage was then calculated to be 3.0 to 1. The ruble-dollar ratio for the remaining inputs to education, as in the case of medical services, was assumed to be the same as that for consumer goods and services other than medical and education services.

Ruble-dollar ratios for food, manufactured goods, and services are shown in Table 4.** The Soviet weights for food, manufactured goods, and services (other than medical and education) are for 1937,*** and the US weights are for 1950. The Soviet weights for commodities are based on data on the structure of retail sales in 1936, 1937, and 1938. The weights for services were extrapolated from 1928 weights which are based upon 1927-28 budget studies of Soviet urban workers conducted by the USSR. The US weights are based upon Bureau of Labor Statistics data on the relative importance of items in the BIS Consumer Price Index.

The average ratio for medical and education services was calculated by weighting the individual ratios for medical and education services by 1950 expenditures for both countries. The ratio for

25X1A5a1

- 14 -

^{*} For data and methodology, see Appendix A.

^{**} Table 4 follows on p. 15.

^{***} developed a set of 1952 weights, but they are believed to be less reliable than those for 1937. 11/

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 4

1950 Ruble-Dollar Ratios for Consumer Goods and Services

	Rubles	per Dollar
Consumption Category	Soviet Weights	US Weights
Food Manufactured goods Services (other than medical and education) Medical and education services Consumption (other than medical services	18.1 14.5 4.3 2.3	25.3 26.4 5.9 2.4
and education) Total consumption	13.5 10.4	20.4 19.6

medical and education services was then combined with the ratio for consumption (other than medical and education services) on the basis of 1950 expenditures data for both categories.*

b. <u>Investment</u>.

Weighted ruble-dollar ratios were calculated for the three principal categories of investment -- producer durables, construction, and additions to inventory. Ruble-dollar ratios for producer durables, construction, inventories, and total investment are shown in Table 5.

Table 5

1950 Ruble-Dollar Ratios
for Producer Durables, Construction, and Inventories

		Rubles per Dollar
Category	Soviet Weights	<u>US Weights</u>
Producer durables Construction Inventories	6.0 11.0 8.8 <u>a</u> /	6.8 12.0 8.8 <u>a</u> /
Total investment b/	8.9	9.8

a. Median of all ratios except all services and electric power. b. For the weights used to construct the ratio for total investment, see Appendix A.

- 15 -

^{*} For data and methodology, see Appendix A.

S-E-C-R-E-T

(1) Producer Durables.

The producer durables ratio is based upon an extensive sample of machinery and equipment items -- a total of 289 ratios. An approximation of the coverage of the sample with respect to US output can be gained by comparing 1947 purchases of producer durables for the categories represented in the sample -- \$6.8 billion -- with total producer durables expenditures in the US in 1947 -- \$16.7 billion. 12/

There are some significant omissions from the machinery and equipment sample. Not included in the sample are agricultural machinery (other than tractors), locomotives and railroad equipment, commercial aircraft, ships and boats, commercial machines, food machinery, printing machinery, petroleum refining equipment, and most chemical equipment.

Weighted ratios for the machinery and equipment categories constituting producer durables are shown in Table 6.* In most instances the ratios within each category were weighted by 1947 value of shipments data. These ratios, in turn, were weighted by purchases of producer durables for 1947 to obtain an aggregate US-weighted ratio of 6.8 to 1.

Because of the lack of Soviet gross value output data for machinery and equipment items, it was not possible to calculate directly a Soviet-weighted producer durables ratio. However, by examining the magnitude of the ratios for the various machinery and equipment categories and by making some judgments about the relative gross value weights for these categories in the USSR, it is possible to make a guess of a Soviet-weighted producer durables ratio. It is probable that sectors 110, 112, 114, 116, 117, 131, 132, and 133 shown in Table 6, for which the US-weighted average is 5.7 to 1, have a substantially higher relative weight in total producer durables in the USSR than in the US. On the basis of this probability, an estimate of 5.5 - 6.0 to 1 is made for the Soviet producer durables ratio.

(2) Construction.

Research that has been completed up to the present time on comparative construction costs for the USSR and the US is insufficient

^{*} Table 6 follows on p. 17.

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 6

1950 Ruble-Dollar Ratios for Selected Categories of Producer Durables

Sector Number <u>a</u> /	Type of Machinery or Equipment	Number of Ratios in Sector	Average Ruble-Dollar Ratio (US Weights)	US 1947 Purchases of Producer Durables b/ (Million Dollars)	Source	•
110	Steam engines and turbines	10	3 . 0 <u>c</u> /	58•3 547•1	CIA	
112	Farm and industrial tractors	3	7•2 <u>c</u> ∕	547.1	CIA	
114	Construction and mining ma- chinery	27	5.0	466.0		057/4 4 5 - 4
116	Machine tools and metal-	-1	5. 0	400.0		25X1A5a1
	working	30	5.9	5 7 7•0	CIA	
117	Cutting tools, jigs, and	6)				
220	fixtures	24	3 • 5	72.2		
118	Special industrial machinery	55	7.7	1,221.0		
119	Pumps and compressors	5	6.5	252.6		•
126	Valves and fittings	19	6.5	106.5		
130	Electrical measuring instru-					•
•	ments	18	12.4	111.5		
131	Motors and generators	79	5.0	218.1		
132	Transformers	8.	5.1	223.3		
133	Electrical control apparatus	9	5.9 <u>c/</u>	325.0		
145	Motor vehicles	2	7.3 c/	2,618.3	CIA	
				2,020.0		->/4
•	Total	289	6.8	6,796.9	25	5X1A5a1
				-11//		

a. Sector numbers are the industry classification numbers employed in the US 192-Sector Classification of the US 1947 Interindustry Relations Study. $\underline{13}$

- 17 -

b. 14/ c. Simple average of ratios.

S-E-C-R-E-T

to permit the estimation of a reliable ruble-dollar ratio for construction. Only two ruble-dollar ratios are currently available for construction "end items" -- roadbuilding and residential construction.*

A limited number of ratios for various types of construction components such as foundation construction, wall construction, and excavation work have been computed A summary of currently available construction ratios is shown in Table 7. 25X1A5a1

Table 7

1950 Ruble-Dollar Ratios for Various Types and Components of Construction

Rubles per Dollar

1100 100 001 001
Ratio
11.3
15.4
2.2 to 9.5
9.0
2.3 to 9.9
5.2
2.0 to 5.0
3.7
6.3 to 37.7
4.5 to 6.2
2.4 to 2.9
9.9 to 11.8
4.1 to 5.9

The ratios shown in Table 7 are based upon input requirements for labor and materials. US 1950 costs are based, in part, upon cost data from actual jobs. Soviet 1950 costs, with the exception of the apartment house costs, which are actual costs, are based upon input "norms" for the Leningrad area. Because actual construction costs in the USSR are frequently higher than norm costs, particularly for labor inputs, the ruble-dollar ratios in Table 7 are probably underestimated to a substantial degree. In a Soviet

^{*} For the derivation of these ratios, see Table 40, p. 112, below.

S-E-C-R-E-T

text on the construction industry, for example, the average monthly earnings of Soviet construction workers during 1950-51 are stated to be about 650 rubles or in excess of 150 percent of the wage norm. 16/

Principally on the basis of the ruble-dollar ratios for highway and apartment house construction -- 11.3 and 15.4 rubles per dollar, respectively -- and considering the high probability of substantial underestimation of the construction components ratios in Table 7, it is estimated that a Soviet-weighted construction ratio would be about 11.0 rubles per dollar. It is estimated that a US-weighted ratio would be slightly higher -- about 12.0 to 1 -- because of the relatively larger proportion of residential construction in the US in comparison with the USSR. This estimate is based, in turn, upon the assumption that the ruble-dollar ratio for residential construction is greater than the ratio for industrial construction.

(3) Additions to Inventory.

The ruble-dollar ratio for net additions to inventory is the median ratio of 8.8 rubles per dollar for the total number of physical commodities considered in this research aid. A median ratio for all commodities is utilized because of the sparsity of data on the composition of commodities entering into inventory. Since additions to inventory are composed of a wide variety of items falling into both the producer and the consumer goods categories, a median rubledollar ratio for all commodities appears to be as adequate a ratio as can be constructed at the present time.

c. Administration.

A ruble-dollar ratio for administration of 2.4 to 1 was computed by comparing an estimated annual average wage for administrative and internal security employees in the USSR with an average annual wage for federal, state, and local employees in the US. The average wage for administrative and internal security employees in the USSR was obtained by assuming that it was approximately the same as the annual average wage for all workers in the national economy, which is estimated at 7,500 rubles for 1950. 17/ The average annual wage for US Government employees in 1950 was \$3,122.*

- 19 -

^{*} For data and methodology, see Appendix A.

S-E-C-R-E-T

As in the case of ruble-dollar ratios for medical services and education which were based on ratios of average wages, it is assumed that the productivity of workers in administration in the US and USSR is the same. In view of the many recent attempts by the Russians to reduce overstaffing and considering what appears to be a meager equipping of Soviet administrative agencies in comparison with US agencies, it is probable that the productivity of the Soviet administrative employee is lower than that of his US counterpart. Hence the ruble-dollar ratio for administration may be understated.

d. Defense.

The ruble-dollar ratio for the defense sector is based upon a weighted average of ratios of highly uneven quality for the various types of defense expenditures. The uneven quality of the ratios stems partly from the uneven quality of the data and partly from the somewhat arbitrary assumptions which had to be made with respect to the representativeness and applicability of available ratios in the absence of price data for certain types of expenditures. In order to be able to gain a limited appreciation of the procedures and assumptions involved and their impact on the reliability of the ratios, the following categories of ratios, in descending order of reliability, are briefly discussed:

- (1) For many types of items other than armaments, sufficient ruble and dollar price data were available to permit the calculation of generally representative ratios. The major items for which this could be done are the following: personnel pay and subsistence, automotive equipment and tractors, petroleum products, transportation, construction, some miscellaneous supplies and services, civilian wages, pensions, reserves. MVD-KGB personnel costs, and some research and development.
- (2) For some categories of armaments a small sample of ratios within each category was presumed to be representative for each category. Armaments for which this procedure was believed to be generally reliable are aircraft, ships, and armored vehicles. Items for which this procedure was less reliable, because of the smaller number of ratios and lesser representativeness, are communications equipment and installations, ammunition, electronics, and certain weapons (principally towed artillery, small arms, and mortars).
- (3) When prices were not available, ratios were estimated on the basis of known ratios, taking into account analogous technology,

- 20 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

estimated differences in costs, and the like. This procedure was followed in the case of atomic energy, guided missiles, some research and dévelopment, some equipment spares, and other miscellaneous items.

3. Comparisons of US and Soviet Gross National Product.

On the basis of the end-use ruble-dollar ratios shown in Tables 2 and 3*, it is now possible to compare the GNP of the US and the USSR in market prices for 1950 and 1955. Depending upon whether the comparisons are made in rubles or dollars, 1950 Soviet GNP is about 22 or 42 percent, respectively, of 1950 US GNP (see Table 8**). These widely differing comparison ratios reflect, as previously indicated, marked differences in the relative price structure and the composition of output for the two countries. Because of these fundamental differences underlying the comparison, any attempt to obtain a single estimate of the ratio of Soviet GNP to US GNP must be largely arbitrary. It is simply that there are two different ways of carrying out the comparison.

As previously argued, however, a ruble comparison of the final products of both countries does tend to underestimate the ratio of Soviet GNP to US GNP, and a dollar comparison does just the opposite. Thus, if there is any justification at all for an average ratio, it must rest on the contention that the alternative ruble and dollar comparisons of Soviet and US GNP are subject to downward and upward biases, respectively.

Ignoring conceptual difficulties, however, an average ratio for the year 1950 would show Soviet GNP to be about 32 percent of US GNP. For 1955 the ratio of Soviet GNP to US GNP increases to about 38 percent.

^{*} Pp. 7 and 9, above, respectively.

^{**} Table 8 follows on p. 22.

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 8 US and Soviet 1950 Gross National Product in 1950 Rubles and 1950 Dollars

•	Soviet Gross 1	National Product	US Gross National Product		
End-Use Category	Billion Rubles <u>a</u> /	Billion Dollars b/	Billion Dollars a/	Billion Rubles b/	
Consumption Investment Defense Administration	681 179 118 29	65 20 24 12	205 56 19 6	4,018 543 103 14	
Gross national product <u>c</u> /	1,008	<u>121</u>	286	<u>4,678</u>	

a. For the derivation of the estimates for the end-use components, see Appendix A.b. The formula for conversion of Soviet GNP in rubles to dollars is

$$\sum \frac{P_{\underline{1}}}{P_{\underline{0}}} P_{\underline{0}}Q_{\underline{0}} = P_{\underline{1}}Q_{\underline{0}}$$

where $\frac{P_{\underline{l}}}{P_{\underline{o}}}$ represents the weighted dollar-ruble ratio (inverse of ruble-dollar ratio) for each end-use category and PoQo represents the ruble estimate for each end-use category. Similarly, in converting US GNP in dollars to rubles the formula is

$$\sum \frac{P_O}{P_1} P_1 Q_1 = P_O Q_1$$

c. Totals are derived from unrounded figures and do not always agree with the sum of rounded components.

- 22 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

APPENDIX A

METHODOLOGY

1. Weighting of Ruble-Dollar Ratios.

The US- and Soviet-weighted ruble-dollar ratios for the various components and subcomponents of GNP have been computed by weighting each individual end-product ratio with the corresponding value of output of the end product. When US-value weights are utilized the following calculation is performed:

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{P_{i}^{1}}{P_{i}^{0}} P_{i}^{0} Q_{i}^{0}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{i}^{0} Q_{i}^{0}}$$

where P^O , Q^O represent US prices and quantities and P^I , Q^I are Soviet prices and quantities.

Alternatively, when Soviet-value weights are utilized the calculation is as follows:

(b)
$$\frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} \; P_{i}^{1} \cdot Q_{i}^{1}}{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} \frac{P_{i}^{0}}{P_{i}^{1}} \; P_{i}^{1} \; Q_{i}^{1}}$$

Formulas (a) and (b) are algebraically equivalent to the Laspeyres and Paasche index numbers, the Laspeyres index number being of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i^1 Q_i^0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i^0 Q_i^0$$

and the Paasche index number of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i^1 Q_i^1$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i^0 Q_i^1$$

- 23 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

The value weights employed in the calculation of the GNP ratios for the USSR and the US are presented in Tables 9,* 10,** and 11.***

The same weighting procedures were employed in computing average ratios for branches of industry and 4-digit industrial categories. Gross value of production or value of shipments data were used as weights in these calculations. The average ratios for the branches of industry, however, were computed only for the purpose of summarizing collections of individual ratios. These weighted ratios should not be utilized for any other purpose than to gain summary impressions of the extent of the variation of ratios from industry to industry. Particular applications of industrial ratios demand particular types of weighting systems. 25X1A5a1

25X1A5a1

2. Revision of Price Ratios for Manufactured Consumer Goods.

Price ratios for three major items of ruble-dollar ratios for manufactured consumer goods were revised as follows:

25X1A5a1

	Item	Soviet Price (Rubles)	US Price (Dollars)	Ruble-Dollar Ratio
	Man's wool suit			
25X1A5a1	CIA	1,900 1,900	42.00 31.06	45.2 61.2
	Woman's wool dress			
	CIA	559	14.95	37.4
	Woman's rayon dress 25X1A5a1	51 3	8.14	63.0
	Civilian radio receiver			
25X1A5a1	CIA	333.33	10.15	12.9 32.8

^{*} Table 9 follows on p. 25.

^{**} Table 10 follows on p. 26.

^{***} Table 11 follows on p. 27.

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 9

Ruble-Dollar Ratios for Gross National Product End-Use Categories and US and Soviet Gross National Products for 1950 and 1955

•	Soviet 1950 Gross National Product a/	US 1950 Gross National Product b/ (Billion 1950 Dollars)		950 Dollar Ratios S/ les per Dollar)	Soviet 1955	US 1955 Gross National Product e/ (Billion 1955 Dollars)
End-Use Category	(Billion 1950 Rubles)		Soviet Weigh	ts US Weights	Gross National Product d. (Billion 1953 Rubles)	
onsumption	681.3	204.8	10.4	19.6	803.6	266.7
Food Manufactured goods Services (other than medical and education) Medical services (public and private) Education (public and private)	639.5 } 11.8 <u>s</u> / 30.0 <u>1</u> /	196.0 } 3.4 <u>h</u> / 5.4 <u>j</u> /	18.1 14.5 4.3 1.5 3.0	$ \begin{array}{ccc} 5 & \underline{f} / & 25.3 \\ 5 & \underline{f} / & 26.4 \\ 5.9 \\ 1.5 \\ 3.0 \end{array} $	+ <u>£</u> /	
nvestment	179.3	56.0	8,9	9.8	264.7	71.5
Producer durables Construction Change in inventories	43.6 <u>k/</u> 107.8 <u>1</u> / 27.9 <u>m</u> /	21.1 29.7 5.2	6.0 11.0 8.8	6.8 12.0 8.8		
efense lministration ross National Product	117.6 29.4 1,007.6	18.5 5.7 285.0	4.9 2.4 8.3	5.4 2.4 16.4	155.0 27.4 1,250.7	40.8 8.4 387.4
Estimates for the Tour principal end-use of Estimates for the four principal end-use of The ruble-dollar ratios for the four principal end-use of the Tour principal end-use of Table 10. See Table 11. See 2, above and 3, below. Wage bill for medical personnel (see 5, bewage bill for medical personnel (see 3, bewage bill for education personnel (see 5, bewage bill for education personnel see 5, bewage bill for education perso	ategories derived from Tabl. ipal end-use categories wer low). low).	e 11. n. 27. helow.	the ratios within	the end-use categor		d Soviet GNP expenditures
18/ 19/ Residual estimate.						

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 10

Soviet Gross National Product for 1950, 1953, and 1955 in 1950 and 1953 Ruble Prices, by End-Use Category

	1953 Gross National Product (Billion	Gross National Product Growth Index,	Gross National Product Price Index,	1950 Gross National Product (Billion	(Billion ,	Gross National Product Growth Index,	1955 Gross National Product (Billion	1955 Gross National Product (Billion 1950 Rubles) h/
End-Use Category	1953 Rubles) a/	1950 - 53 (1950=100) 🖖	<u>1950-53 (1953=100) ⊈/</u>	1953 Rubles) d/	1950 Rubles) e/	1953-55 (1953=100) <u>f</u> /	1953 Rubles) g/	1990 Rubles/ 3
Consumption Investment Defense Administration Gross national product	713.7 216.8 129.9 28.8 1,089.2	123.5 130.7 120.3 94.5	117.9 108.1 108.9 96.5	577.9 165.9 108.0 30.5 882.3	681.3 179.3 117.6 29.4 1,007.6	112.6 122.1 119.3 95.0	803.6 264.7 155.0 27.4 1,250.7	947.4 286.1 168.8 26.4 1;428.7

<sup>a. 20/
b. For methodology, see source 21/.
c. For methodology, see source 22/.
d. Obtained by dividing 1953 GMF in 1953 rubles by the GMP growth indexes for 1950-53.
e. Obtained by multiplying 1950 GMF in 1953 rubles by the GMP price indexes for 1950-53.
f. For methodology, see source 23/.
g. Obtained by multiplying 1953 GMP in 1953 rubles by the GMP growth indexes for 1953-55.
h. Obtained by multiplying 1955 GMP in 1953 rubles by the GMP price indexes for 1950-53.</sup>

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 11

Adjustments of US Gross National Product for Comparability with Soviet Gross National Product 1950 and 1955

В	illion	Current D	ollars
End-Use Category <u>a</u> /		1950	1955
Consumption			
Personal Public health $\underline{b}/$ Public education $\underline{b}/$		194.0 2.5 8.3	252.4 4.0 10.3
Total		204.8	<u> 266.7</u>
Administration			
Total government		42.0	75.9
Less			
National security Public construction <u>c</u> / Public health Public education		- 7.0 - 2.5	-40.8 -12.4 - 4.0 -10.3
Total		<u>5.7</u>	8.4
Defense			
Total national security expe	nditure	18.5	40.8
Investment			
Private investment Public construction Foreign balance		7.0	59.4 12.4 -0.3
Total		<u>56.0</u>	71.5
Gross national product		285.0	<u>387.4</u>

a. For estimates of personal consumption, government, national security, private investment, foreign balance, and gross national product, see source 24/.

b. For data and methodology, see Appendix A.

c. 25/.

S-E-C-R-E-T

25X1A5a1

The US price for the man's wool suit was the midpoint of the high and low prices of \$25.95 and \$36.95. It is believed that this range was not sufficiently great to compare with the Soviet price range of 800 to 3,000 rubles. The Soviet high and low prices appear to be those for high- and low-quality suits. A more comparable range for US prices is judged to be from \$25.95 to \$58. The price of \$58 is a median of quotations obtained from retail stores in 18 large cities in March 1950 for a grade-4 wool suit (13 - 13-1/2 ounces per yard). 26/

A ruble-dollar ratio for a woman's wool dress was substituted for the ratio computed for a woman's satin rayon crepe dress. It is believed that a ratio for a wool dress would be more representative of clothing purchases in the USSR than the ratio for a satin crepe dress. The Soviet price is apparently for an all-wool dress of average quality. 27/ A comparable US price is judged to be that for an all-wool street dress of fair workmanship. 28/

The CIA ruble-dollar ratio for civilian radio receivers is a simple average of ratios for four different types of receivers.* Soviet 1950 prices were available for each of the four receivers. US prices were estimated on the basis of what it would cost US manufacturers to manufacture the Soviet radios in the US.

Having computed revised ratios for the suit, dress, and radio, the Soviet- and US-weighted ruble-dollar ratios for manufactured consumer goods were recomputed Revised ratios of 25X1A5a1 14.5 rubles per dollar (Soviet weights) and 26.4 rubles (US weights) were obtained, compared with ratios of 14.6 rubles per dollar 25X1A5a1 (Soviet weights) and 28.2 rubles per dollar (US weights).

3. Derivation of US Average Wage for Medical and Education Personnel in 1950.

a. Medical Personnel.

A weighted average wage for US medical personnel in 1950 was computed on the basis of the employment and average income data presented in the following tabulation (all income data are gross of income taxes):

- 28 -

^{*} For the individual ratios and prices, see Appendix B.

S-E-C-R-E-T

Type of Personnel	Number of Employees 29/	Average Income (US \$)	Total Income (Thousand US \$)
Physicians and surgeons Dentists	178,950 68,670	7,293 <u>30/</u>	2,064.7 500.8
Nurses (profes- sional)	403,470	2,127 <u>32</u> /	858.2
Total or average	651,090	<u>5,258</u>	3,423.7

The average income for physicians, surgeons, and dentists is an average for both salaried and nonsalaried individuals.

b. Education Personnel.

A weighted average wage for both publicly and privately employed education personnel in 1950 was computed on the basis of the employment and average wage data presented in the following tabulation (all wage data are gross of income taxes):

Type of Employment	Number of Employees 33/	Average Wage <u>34/</u> (US \$)	Total Wages (Thousand US \$)
Public education Private education	1,536,000	2 , 79 ⁴	4,291.6
Commercial and trade schools	39,000	3,410	133.0
Education, n. e. c.*	441,000	2,290	1,009.9
Total or average	2,016,000	2 , 696	5,434.5

^{*} Not elsewhere counted.

S-E-C-R-E-T

4. Derivation of US Expenditures for Medical Services and Education in 1950 and 1955.

a. Medical Services.

Expenditures for medical services in the US are composed of both public and private outlays. The public outlays are the total outlays (less construction expenditures) for health and medical services under civilian public programs, whereas the private outlays are restricted to professional services only and do not include expenditures for pharmaceuticals, medical apparatus, and the like.

The data on public outlays are on a fiscal year basis, the data on private outlays on a calendar year basis. An estimate of public expenditures on a calendar year basis is made by averaging expenditures for the fiscal years 1950 and 1951. Expenditures for the calendar year 1955 are assumed to be approximately the same as fiscal 1955. Data for public and private expenditures for medical services in the US in 1950 and 1955 are shown in the following tabulation:

,	Billio	n US \$
	1950	1955
Public expenditures Private expenditures	2.5 <u>35/</u> 6.4 <u>37</u> /	4.0 <u>36/</u> 9.0 <u>38</u> /
Total	<u>8.9</u>	<u>13.0</u>

b. Education Expenditures.

Current expenditures for education in the US in 1950 and 1955 on a public and private basis are shown in the following tabulation:

S-E-C-R-E-T

	M	illion US \$
	1950	1955
Public expenditures		
Federal State and local	2,300* 7,177 <u>40</u> /	802 <u>39/</u> 11,907 <u>41</u> /
Total	9,477	12,709
Less construction	-1,1 33 <u>42</u> /	-2,442 <u>43</u> /
Current public expenditures Private education and research	8,344 1,959 <u>44</u> /	10,267 2,905 <u>45</u> /
Total public and private expenditures on current account	10,303	<u>13,172</u>

5. Derivation of Soviet Current Expenditures on Health and Education for 1950.

Planned 1950 expenditures on health and education as given in the 1950 Soviet budget were 22 billion rubles and 59.5 billion rubles, respectively. Because these expenditures are inclusive of both current and capital outlays, it is necessary to deduct capital expenditures if estimates of current expenditures are to be obtained which can be entered into the consumption component of GNP.

Data on Soviet capital expenditures for health and education in 1950 are unavailable. Scattered data are available, however, for 1953, 1955, and 1956 which provide a basis for 1950 estimates. On the basis of these data, it is estimated that about 2 billion rubles was allocated for capital expenditures in 1950, an amount divided equally between health and education. The estimate of 2 billion rubles is based on the following data:

^{*} Average of expenditures for veterans education (Public Law 346) for the fiscal years 1950 and 1951. 46/

S-E-C-R-E-T

- a. The 1955 plan for school construction by the Ministry of Urban-Rural Construction called for expenditures of 363 million rubles. 47/ Expenditures by other ministries probably raised these expenditures to a total of about 500 million rubles for 1955.
- b. Capital expenditures by the union-republic ministries of health in 1953 were 448 million rubles. 48/
- c. Total capital repair expenditures for 1956 for schools, hospitals, and social-cultural institutions were planned at approximately 2.3 billion rubles. $\underline{49}/$

The wage bill for medical personnel in 1950 was obtained by multiplying the total number of workers employed in public health in 1950 -- 2,051,000 $\frac{50}{}$ -- by an estimated average wage of 5,770 rubles.

The wage bill for education personnel in 1950 was obtained by multiplying the total number of workers employed in education -- $3,752,000 \frac{51}{}$ -- by an estimated average wage of 8,000 rubles.

6. Derivation of the Average Wage for US Government Employees in 1950.

Employment Category	Number of Employees 52/	Average Wage <u>53</u> / (US \$)	Total Wages (Million US \$)
Federal, civil	1,436,000	3,504	5,031.7
Federal, government enterprises	516,000	3,512	1,812.2
State and local, non- school	1,948,000	2,725	5,308.3
State and local, government enterprises	238,000	3,227	768.0
Total or average	4,138;000	3,122	12,920.2

S-E-C-R-E-T

APPENDIX B

RUBLE-DOLLAR RATIOS, BY BRANCH OF INDUSTRY

1. <u>Introduction</u>.

This appendix contains the specifications, prices, and price ratios for the commodities and services compared in the text of this research aid.

25X1A5a1

In general, the data appearing in this appendix represent either extensions or revisions of the ratios in the two major studies previously conducted. In order that the maximum usefulness of these data may be achieved, they should be used in conjunction with

25X1A5a1

25X1A5a1

25X1A5a1

Revisions are reflected primarily in the substitution of items believed to be more comparable or representative of both economies. Some differences in ratios are attributable to the fact that CIA ratios were established, in general, on the basis of 1 July 1950 prices rather than 1 January 1950 prices. It is generally the case, however, that most 1 January 1950 prices prevailed on 1 July 1950 also.

25X1A5a1

of the ratios used in the computation of the weighted ratios summarized in Table 13.*** Table 13 summarizes the number of ratios, the range of ratios, and the weighted ratios made available by research.****

Table 12* gives the standard industrial classification of selected

25X1A5a1

- 33 -

^{*} Table 12 follows on p. 34.

^{**} Standard industrial classification.

^{***} Table 13 follows on p. 40.

^{****} Text continued on p. 44.

S-E-C-R-E-T

25X1A5a1

Table 12

Key to Research in the Ruble-Dollar Ratio of Selected Commodities and Services, by SIC a/ Number

SIC No.	Industrial Classification
	Solid Fuels
1111, 1211, 1212	CIA. \underline{b} Comparability was established on the basis of heating values.
	Textile Mill Products
2241, 2291, 2298	25X1A5a1
	Lumber and Wood Products
2411, 2421, 2431, 2432, 2491	25X1A5a1
	Paper and Allied Products
2612, 2641	25X1A5a1
,	Chemicals
2812	CIA. With one exception, ratios did not differ significantly from 25X1A5a1
2819	CIA. In a number of cases prices were substituted which are believed to be more comparable than 25X1A5a1
2821	CIA. Ratios are virtually identical with those shown in one ratio added. 25X1A5a1
2826	CIA. Ratios are virtually identical with those shown in 25X1A5a1

a. Standard industrial classification.

- 34 -

b. All items attributed to CIA are found in Tables 13 through 40 of this research aid.

S-E-C-R-E-T

25X1A5a1

Table 12

Key to Research in the Ruble-Dollar Ratio of Selected Commodities and Services, by SIC Number (Continued)

SIC No.	Industrial Classification
2829	CIA. Ratios do not differ significantly from those shown in added. 25X1A5a1
2851, 2852, 2861, 2862, 2881, 2882, 2884, 2894, 2896	25X1A5a1
	Petroleum Refining
2911	CIA. Prices for 1 July 1950 were used and Soviet transportation charges were removed from the price before computing the ruble-dollar ratio. Additional items are included.
	Construction Materials
1441, 1477	25X1A5a1
2952	CIA. The data were added by CIA.
3211, 3241, 3251	CIA. 1 July 1950 prices.
3253, 3254, 3272, 3274	25X1A5a1
3292	CIA. The data were added by CIA.
	Abrasive Products
3291	CIA. The data were added by CIA.

- 35 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

25X1A5a1

Table 12

Key to Research in the Ruble-Dollar Ratio of Selected Commodities and Services, by SIC Number (Continued)

SIC No.	Industrial Classification
3311, 3312, 3313, 3321, 3323, 3391	Ratios appearing in this appendix represent primarily a selected number of ratios from which were believed to be representative of the iron and steel industry. This sample was selected in order to facilitate the computation of a set of weighted ratios for a four-digit industrial classification based on Soviet value weights.
3322	CIA. The data were added by CIA.
3331, 3332, 3333, 3334, 3335, 3339, 3351, 3352, 3359, 3392	Nonferrous Metals With the exception of the Soviet price for cobalt, and the addition of magnesium, data appearing in this appendix was taken from Selected items are 25X1A5a1 duplicated in this appendix to facilitate weighting and for the convenience of the reader. Fabricated Structural Metal Products
3443	25X1A5a1
3481, 3489, 3494	Other Fabricated Metal Products 25X1A5a1 Transportation, Construction, and Mining Machinery
3521, 3711	CIA. 1950 prices.
3531	25X1A5a1 - 36 - S-E-C-R-E-T

S-E-C-R-E-T

25X1A5a1

Table 12

Key to Research in the Ruble-Dollar Ratio of Selected Commodities and Services, by SIC Number (Continued)

SIC No.	Industrial Classification
	Metalworking Machinery
3541, 3542	CIA. 1 July 1950 prices.
3543	25X1A5a1
	Other Machinery (Except Electrical)
3552	CIA. The data were added by CIA.
3519, 3553, 3554, 3559, 3561, 3563, 3564, 3567, 3585, 3591, 3593	25X1A5a1
	Electrical Machinery and Equipment
3511	CIA. The data were added by CIA.
3613, 3614, 3615, 3616, 3641	25X1A5a1
3631	CIA. The data were expanded by CIA.
3651, 3661	CIA. The data were added by CIA.
3662	CIA. Data appearing in this appendix represent 1949 price data from were 25X1A5a1 adjusted to 1950 prices by using the price index shown in and include only those prices for tubes which were being produced in 1950. 25X1A5a1

- 37 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

25X1A5a1

Table 12

Key to Research in the Ruble-Dollar Ratio of Selected Commodities and Services, by SIC Number (Continued)

SIC No.	Industrial Classification				
	Other Transportation Equipment				
3741, 3742, 3751	25X1A5a1				
	Professional and Scientific Equipment				
3811, 3821	25X1A5a1				
	Communications Services				
4811, 4821, 4899	CIA. The data were added by CIA.				
	Electric Light and Power				
4911	CIA. Ratios were computed on the basis of average rates by type of consumer.				
	Rail Freight Transport 25X1A5a1				
	based on rates for mileage blocks and CIA computed ratios based on rates for average lengths of haul.				
	Construction				
	CIA. Highway and Housing.				
1611, 1621, 1711, 1721, 1741, 1751,					
1752, 1761, 1771, 1791, 1794	25X1A5a1				

- 38 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

25X1A5a1

Table 12

Key to Research in the Ruble-Dollar Ratio of Selected Commodities and Services, by SIC Number (Continued)

SIC No.

Industrial Classification

Rubber Products, Plumbing and Heating Supplies, and Scrap and Miscellaneous Industries

25X1A5a1

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 13

A Summary of 1950 Ruble-Dollar Ratios by Industrial Classification

				Weighted Ratio			Effective Date of Price 1950	
No.	No. of Ratios	Highest Ratio (Rubles per Dollar)	Lowest Ratio (Rubles per Dollar)	US Mix (Rubles per Dollar)	Soviet Mix (Rubles per Dollar)	Source of Ratio	Ruble	Dollar
l Anthracite coal	1	12.2	12.2	12.2	12.2	CIA	l January	Average
l Bituminous coal	1	21.6	21.6	21.6	21.6	CIA	1 January	Average
2 Lignite	1.	23.5	23.5	23.5	23.5	CIA	1 January	Average
1 Sand and gravel	3			22.8			l January	First quart
l Narrow fabric mills	13	6.7	3.1	4.1 <u>a</u> /*	3•9 <u>a</u> /		l January	First quart
l Felt goods, n.e.c. b/	5	17.0	6.7	11.2			1 January	First quar
8 Cordage and twine	. 58	34.6	14.8	19.1			l January	First quar
l Logging	,13 69	23.0	9.4	12.8 <u>a</u> /	11.9 <u>a</u> /		1 January	First quar
1 Sawmills and planing mills	69	15.4 6.5	3.4	7.6			1 January	First quar
l Millwork plants	5	6.5	4.3	5.2	25X1A5a1		l January	First quar
2 Plywood plants	2	5.2	2.4	3•8-	20/(1/(001		1 January	First quar
2 Paper and board mills	5	15.4	8.8	12.5			1 January	First quar
1 Paper coating and glazing	ı	15.9	15.9 6.4	15.9			1 January	First quar
2 Alkalies and chlorine	6	29.1	6.4	18.6	18.1	CIA	1 January	l January
9 Industrial inorganic chemicals, n.e.c. b/	40	123.6	5.0	18.7			1 January	l January
1 Cyclic (coal tar) crudes	-5	27.6	11.9	20.2	19.0	CIA	1 January	1 January
6 Explosives	2	21.3	7.1	18.4		CIA	l January	1 January
9 Industrial organic chemicals, n.e.c. b/	11	114.5	2.4	43.7 8.6		CIA	l January	1 January
1 Paints and varnishes	11 .	17.1	3.4	8.6	05)/// 55 /		1 January	First quar
2 Inorganic color pigments	18 .	48.2	7.1	21.1	25X1A5a1		l January	First quar
2 Softwood distillation	7	37•5	30.7	34.9			1 January	First quar
4 Glue and gelatin	6	14.8	13.0	14.1			1 January	First quar
6 Compressed and liquefied gases	14	15.2	1.2	7•7			l January	First quar
l Petroleum refining	33	26.3	9.2	18.0	17.9	CIA	1 July	l July
2 Roofing felts and coatings	2	5.5	5.5	5 • 5 _.	5•5	CIA	1 July	1 July
1 Flat glass	1	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	CIA	1 July	1 July
l Cement, hydraulic	ı	10.6	10.6	10.6	· 10.6	CIA	1 July	1 July
1 Brick and hollow tile	1	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.2	CIA	1 July	1 July

^{*} Footnotes for Table 13 follow on p. 43.

- 40 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 13

A Summary of 1950 Ruble-Dollar Ratios by Industrial Classification (Continued)

					Weighte	d Ratio			Date of Price 1950
SIC No.		No. of Ratios	Highest Ratio (Rubles per Dollar)	Lowest Ratio (Rubles per Dollar)	US Mix (Rubles per Dollar)	Sowiet Mix (Rubles per Dollar)	Source of Ratio	Ruble	Dollar
3253	Floor and wall tile	2	3.2	2.7	3.0 <u>a</u> / 15.7 <u>a</u> /	2.9 <u>a/</u>		l January	First quarte
3254	Sewer pipe	6	17.3	13.7	15.7 <u>a</u> /	15 <u>.6</u> e/		1 January	First quarter
3272	Gypsum products	4.	19.1	10.4	15.2	¹⁵.²⁵. [≅] 25X1A5a	1	1 January	First quarter
3274	Lime	27	7.3	3.3	4.5 a/,	4.3 a/	` '	1 January	First quarter
3291	Abrasives products	85	6.4	1.3	2.5 <u>a</u> /	4.3 <u>a/</u> 2.4 <u>a</u> /	CIA	1 January	Average
3292	Asbestos products	1	4.1	4.1	4.1 —	4.1 -	CIA	1 January	1 July
3311	Blast furnaces	7	12.9	7.7	19:0 25X1A	\5a1 ^{8.9}		1 January	First quarter
3312	Steel works and rolling mills	30	43.0	6.1		NJA 1 8.8		1 January	First quarter
3313	Electrometallurgical products	14	24.1	6.7	8.3			1 January	First quarter
		3	9.0	. 6.0		7.3		1 January	First quarter
3321	Gray-iron foundries	1	11 . 6	. 11.6	11.6	11.6	CIA	1 January	First quarter
3322	Malleable-iron foundries			٠	13.0	13.0	CIA .	1 January	First quarter
3323	Steel foundries	1	10.6	10.6	10.6	10.6	CIA	1 January	First quarter
3331	Primary smelting and refining of copper	1	16 . 2	16.2	16 . 2	16.2	4.23	1 January	First quarter
3332	Primary smelting and refining of lead	. 1	14.0	14.0	14.0 25 X1A	∖5a1ı₄.₀		1 January	First quarter
3333	Primary smelting and refining of zinc	2 '	13.4	11.8	12.6 a	12.6 a/		1 January	First quarter
3334	Primary refining of aluminum	1	17.7	17.7	17.7 34.0	17.7		1 January	First quarter
3335	Primary refining of magnesium	1	34.0	34.0	34.0	34.0	CIA	1 January	First quarter
3339	Primary refining and smelting of nonferrous					3.44	011	I commany	rarbo quar oca
	metals, n.e.c. b/	6	103.4	32.6	60.0	52.1		1 January	First quarter
3351	Rolling, drawing, and alloying of copper	68	20.1	9.3	13.8	•		1 January	First quarter
3352	Rolling, drawing, and alloying of aluminum	8	21.8	14.5	16.3			1 January	First quarter
3359	Rolling, drawing, and alloying of nonferrous			•				I candary	LTI 20 duat éci
	metals, n.e.c. b/	2	15.5	14.4	15.0 <u>a</u> /	14.9 a/		1 January	First quarter
3391	Iron and steel forgings	2	16.2	12.7	_	13.6		1 January	First quarter
3392	Wire drawing	4	14.9	12.8	14.2 <u>a</u> /	14.1 <u>s</u> /		1 January	First quarter
3443	Boiler shop products	5	12.4	6.8	9.8			1 January	First quarter
3481	Nails and spikes	13	10.2	6.9	8.5 <u>a</u> /	8.3 <u>a</u> /		1 January	First quarter
3489	Wirework, n.e.c. <u>b</u> /	17	21.4	2.5	6.8			1 January	First quarter
							25X1A	.5a1	

- 41 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 13

A Summary of 1950 Ruble-Dollar Ratios by Industrial Classification (Continued)

					Weighte	d Ratio			Data of Price 1950
C NO.		No. of Ratios	Highest Ratio (Rubles per Dollar)	Lowest Ratio (Rubles per Dollar)	US Mix (Rubles per Dollar)	Soviet Mix (Rubles per Dollar)	Source of Ratio	Ruble	Dollar
94	Bolts, nuts, washers, and rivets	30	9.7	4.3	6.1 a/	5.8 <u>a</u> /		l January	25X1A
	Turbines	10	5.0	0.9	_	3.0	CIA	1 January	Average
11 21	Tractors	3	9.7	5.8		3.0 6.0	CIA	1 January	l January
	Construction, mining and similar machinery	,	241	,				•	<u>-</u>
31	(except oilfield machinery and tools)	27	11.0	1.4	5.0	25X1A5a1		1 January	First quarter
1	Machine tools	22	9.3	1.5	4.5	ZUNINUAI	CIA	l July	1 July
41	Metalworking machinery (except machine tools)	-8	16.9	3.4	6.8	051/445-4	CIA	1 July	1 July
42	Cutting tools, jigs, and fixtures	24	10.7	0.7	3.5	25X1A5a1		1 January	First quarter
43	Textile machinery	16	17.9	2.2	7.9		CLA	1 January	l January
52	Woodworking machinery	7	7.9	1.9	5.5			1 January	First quarter
53 54		9	35.8	4.2	8.9	0-1/44-			First quarter
54	Paper-industries machinery	23	20.5	2.2	8.0	25X1A5a1			First quarter
59	Special-industry machinery, n.e.c. b/	دء	20.)		•••				
61	Pumps, air and gas compressors, and pumping		9.8	3.5	6.5			1 January	First quarter
_	equipment	2	9.0 4.1	2.4	3.1 <u>a</u> /				First quarter
63	Conveyors	Ş	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8			First quarter
64	Blowers and fans	<u> </u>	8.1	8.1	8.1	8.1		1 January	
67 85	Industrial furnaces and ovens	Τ.	12.4	10.4	11.4 a/	11.3 a/			
85	Refrigeration equipment	2		3.0	6.5	т∙э <u>а</u> ⁄			First quarter
91	Valves and fittings (except plumbers)	19 26	11.0 6.4		3.7				First quarter
93	Ball and roller bearings	26 18		2.5	12.4				First quarter
93 13 14 15	Electrical measuring instruments		27.8	2.4	5.0				First quarter
14	Motors and generators	79	10.9		5.1				First quarter
15	Power and distribution transformers	8	7.6	3.7	2.1			T oamoary	First quarter
16	Switchgear, switchboard, apparatus, and industrial		33.0		E 0 a/	h a o l) Templeum	First quarter
,	controls	-9	11.0 14.1	1.7	5•9 <u>a/</u> 6•2 <u>a</u> /	4.1 <u>a/</u>	CIA	1 January	
31 41	Insulated wire	38	14.1	3.0	0,2 <u>a</u> /	5.0 <u>a</u> /	CLA	r sanuary	weine
41 .	Electrical equipment for motor vehicles, aircraft, and			1	06.0 /	30.0 - /			Mount maintain
	railroad locomotives and cars	19	74.7	12.4	26.9 <u>a</u> /	19.9 <u>a</u> /	CTA		First quarter
51	Electric lamps	10	10.9	2.4	7.5 ≟/	5.4 a/	CIA	5X1A5	First quarter

- 42 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 13

A Summary of 1950 Ruble-Dollar Ratios, by Industrial Classification (Continued)

					Weighte	d Ratio			Date of Price 1950
IC No.		No. of Ratios	Highest Ratio (Rubles per Dollar)	Lowest Ratio (Rubles per Dollar)	US Mix (Rubles per Dollar)	Soviet Mix (Rubles per Dollar)	Source of Ratio	Ruble	Dollar
661	Radios, radio and television equipment (except radio tubes), radar and related				*		25)	X1A5a1	
662 711 741 811 821 811 821 899	detection apparatus Radio tubes Motor vehicles (trucks only) Locomotives and parts Scientific instruments Mechanical measuring instruments Telephone communication (wire and radio) Telegraph communication (wire and radio) Communications services, n.e.c. b/ Electric light and power Rail freight transportation	5 22 2 4 5 4 3 1 2 2 29	17.4 19.1 8.6 4.9 8.7 15.2 6.2 5.1 25.0 15.4 6.2	10.0 7.5 6.0 3.6 3.9 3.6 4.5 5.1 13.3 14.4 2.3	13.2 a/ 14.1 a/ 4.0 a/ 9.0 5.2 5.1 14.0 14.8	12.6 a/ 13.2 a/ 7.0 4.0 a/ 6.2 a/ 4.8 5.1 14.2 15.1	CIA CIA CIA CIA CIA CIA	1 January 1 January 1 July 1 January	First quarter Average Average Average Average
	Other Ratios d/					25X1	IA5a1		25X1A5
2 7	Rubber products Plumbing and heating supplies	60 6	25.8 8.8	4.1 3.7				N.A. N.A.	N.A.

Simple average. Not elsewhere counted. 1951 average prices used for the US

25X1A5a1

- 43 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

2. Solid Fuels.

Soviet coal prices are list wholesale prices f.o.b. mine for 1950, with corresponding ash norms. Available information indicates that actual average ash content is almost identical with average ash norms. US coal prices are average value per ton f.o.b. mine for 1950.

An average price per energy unit (kilocalories) for both the US and the USSR has been used in computing the ruble-dollar ratios for coals. For the USSR the price per energy unit for anthracite coal, bituminous coal, and lignite has been derived by weighting prices 54/ and heating values 55/ by physical production 56/ for all coal-producing areas to arrive at a weighted average price and weighted average heating value. The weighted average price was then divided by the weighted average heating value (kilocalories per kilogram) to get an average price per energy unit (kilocalories). For the US, average prices and average heating values 57/ for anthracite coal, bituminous coal, and lignite developed by the Bureau of Mines were utilized.*

It is probable that the price per energy unit is a more reasonable basis for comparing coal prices in the US and the USSR than the comparative prices of similar coals, mines, or producing areas in the two countries, because comparisons on the latter basis fail to take into account significant differences in heat content between coals of the two countries.

The lack of production data on washed coal in the USSR made it impossible to adjust average prices for cleaning. Although about one-fifth of total coal in the USSR was washed in 1950, it is believed that the over-all effect of this would be rather small.

Ruble-dollar ratios have not been computed for peat and coke. The average 1950 price for peat in the USSR was 49.7 rubles per metric ton, and production is estimated at 36 million 60/metric tons. There is no corresponding price for US peat as a fuel. The price of US coke at ovens in 1950 was \$14.80 per metric ton, and production was 65,969,371 metric tons. 61/ There are no available price data on Soviet coke.

- 44 -

^{*} US prices for bituminous coal and lignite are from source 58/ and for anthracite coal from source 59/.

S-E-C-R-E-T

An aggregate ruble-dollar ratio for solid fuels was computed by weighting individual ratios for anthracite coal, bituminous coal, and lignite by their corresponding gross value. Gross values were computed on an energy unit basis by converting physical production for the US 62/ and the USSR to energy units and multiplying by the average price per energy unit.

Table 14* gives specifications, prices, and price ratios of solid fuels in the USSR and the US. Table 15** gives weighted price ratios of fuels in the USSR and the US.

3. Chemicals.

The comparability of the chemical products considered in this section is based primarily upon standards published in the USSR which state the analysis, percent purity, and the amounts and types of impurity permitted for a given grade of a specific product. Where detailed specifications are not available, comparability is based on methods of manufacture and comparable industrial use.

Ruble and dollar prices were those in effect on 1 January 1950. There is no available information that indicates price reductions for chemicals in the USSR on 1 July 1950.

On account of the scarcity of data relating to the chemical industry in the USSR, it has not been possible to compute value weights for all four-digit categories. Soviet value weights have been estimated for categories 2812 (Alkalies and chlorine) and 2821 (Cyclic crudes) and for certain of the more important commodities of 2819 (Industrial inorganic chemicals, n.e.c.).

Table 16*** gives specifications, prices, and price ratios of chemicals in the USSR and the US.

Two sets of weighted ruble-dollar ratios have been computed with US weights -- one based on value weights for all commodities listed in Table 17;*** the other, based on value weights for commodities only where corresponding Soviet value weights were available. Value of shipments data for 1947 have been used for US weights unless otherwise noted. $\underline{63}/$

^{*} Table 14 follows on p. 46.

^{**} Table 15 follows on p. 46.

^{***} Table 16 follows on p. 47.

^{****} Table 17 follows on p. 50.

[/] Text continued on p. 53.

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 14
Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Solid Fuels in the USSR and the US

		Specifi	cations	P		
SIC No.	Item	USSR	US	Rubles per Million Kilocalories	Dollars per Million Kilocalories	Ratio (Ruble-Dollar)
1111	Anthracite coal	Comparability established on the basis of heating values	Comparability established on the basis of heating values	17.541	1.443	12.2
1211	Bituminous coal	Comparability established on the basis of heating values	Comparability established on the basis of heating values	15.888	0.735	21.6
1212	Lignite	Comparability established on the basis of heating values	Comparability established on the basis of heating values	15.986	0.681	23.5

Table 15
Weighted Price Ratios of Solid Fuels in the USSR and the US

			Value	Weights	Weighted Price Ratio		
SIC No.	Item	Price Ratio (Rubles per Dollar)	US (Million US \$)	USSR (Million Rubles)	US Mix (Rubles per Dollar)	Soviet Mix (Rubles per Dollar)	
	Solid Fuels		2,906.1	22,663.3	20.3	18.8	
1111 1211 1212	Anthracite coal Bituminous coal Lignite	12.2 21.6 23.5	408.7 2,489.3 8.1	4,805.0 13,716.8 4,141.5			

- 46 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 16

Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Chemicals in the USSR and the US

		Specification	ons a/*	Pr	ice	
IC No.	Item	USSR	US	Rubles per Metric Ton b	Dollars per Metric Ton C/	Ratio (Ruble-Dollar)
312	Alkalies and chlorine					
	Chlorine	99.5% CL2	*	338	52.92	6.4
	Potassium hydroxide	92% кон	Solid, 88% to 92%	1,725	166,48	10.4
	Sodium bicarbonate	98% NaHCO3	USP, powdered	565	43.00	13.1
	Soda ash	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Dense	380	24.26	15.7
	Caustic soda	Liquid	Liquid	1,480	52.92	28.0
	Caustic soda	Cake 95% NaOH	Cake 98%, NaOH	1,955	67.25	29.1
19	Industrial inorganic chemicals, n.e.c.	1				
*	Boric acid	Grade I, 99.5% H3BO3	Crystals, technical	6,075	127.01	47.8
	Nitric acid, weak	Grade B, 60% HNO3	58.5% to 68% HNO3	240	48.23 <u>e</u> /	
	Nitric acid, concentrated	Grade II, 96% HNO3	94.5% to 95% HNO3	650	95.09 <u>e</u> /	5.0 6.8
	Ammonium sulfate	Synthetic, fertilizer, Grade I	/ - / - 3	450	52.35	8.6
	Ammonium nitrate	Grade C, 99.2% NH4NO3	Fertilizer grade	554	63.92	8.7
	Sodium bichromate	Grade I		2,350	226.01	10.4
	Sodium sulfate (salt cake)	Grade I		254 f/	24.24	10.5
	Calcium carbide	Grade I	Standard generator size	1,464 <u>g</u> /	133.34	11.0
	Hydrochloric acid	Synthetic, technical, 31%		250	22.04	11.3
	Sulfuric acid	75%	32% 78% 93%	250 188	15.15	12.4
	Sulfuric acid	92.5%	93%	268	18.73	14.3
	Sulfuric acid	Fuming, 20%	Fuming, 20%	344	20.94	16.4
	Magnesium oxide	Grade I, 89% MgO	Synthetic rubber grade	8 , 250	661.50	12.5
	Sodium silicofluoride	Grade I, 95% Na2SiF6		1,125	88.20	12.8
	Aluminum chloride	95% AlC13		3,210	248.06	12.9
	Zinc sulfate		Crystals, 22% Zn	1,400	100.33	14.0
	Synthetic ammonia, anhydrous	Grade B, 99% NH3	Fertilizer grade	1,160	82.10	14.1

^{*} Footnotes for Table 16 follow on P. 49.

- 47 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 16

Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Chemicals in the USSR and the US (Continued)

		Specificat	ions 8/	Pr	ice	
SIC No.	Item	USSR	US	Rubles per Metric Ton b/	Dollars per Metric Ton c/	Ratio Ruble-Dollar
2819 (cont'd)				•		
	Yellow phosphorus Red phosphorus Copper sulfate Aqueous ammonia Sodium sulfide Trisodium phosphate Ammonium chloride Aluminum sulfate Potassium chlorate Barium chlorate Barium chlorate Bydrogen peroxide Sodium hydrosulfite Iodine Sulfur dioxide Bromine Hydrofluoric acid Sodium phosphate dibasic Phosphoric acid Calcium chloride	99.7% free phosphorus 98.7% red phosphorus 98.7% red phosphorus 98.2% CusOu. 5HgO Synthetic, technical, 25% Fused, technical, Grade I 95% NagPOu. 12HgO Grade A Grade A, 13.5% AlgO3 Grade A, 95% BaClg.2HgO 27.5% to 31% HgO2 Grade I Technical, 97% 51% Br2 40% HF 96% NagHPOu. 12 HgO 65% HgPOu, food grade 34% liquid 67% fused 83% dehydrated 50.2% NagBhO7	Amorphous Crystals, 99% 25% Fused Crystals White, granulated Commercial Crystals Technical 35% Crude Purified Crystals 75%, food grade 40% 73% to 75% solid 77% to 80% flake Granular, decahydrate	8,250 16,350 2,380 350 1,425 1,490 1,950 675 4,000 2,210 10,850 12,225 101,850 2,850 7,125 7,000 3,900 450 1,200 2,625 4,800 4,875	573.30 760.73 157.11 22.18 h/ 88.20 82.69 101.13 33.08 127.13 99.18 1435.19 1463.05 3,572.10 99.23 235.94 220.50 76.62 99.23 99.23 99.24 24.24 24.24 38.85 209.48	14. 4 21.5 15.1 15.8 16.2 18.0 19.2 20.4 21.3 22.3 24.9 26.4 28.7 30.2 39.7 1/ 39.3 45.4 108.3 123.6 23.3
2821	Cyclic (coal tar) crudes					
	Naphthalene Benzene Xylene Toluene Phenol	Crude, Grade 4 Refined Crude	Crude	1,440 1,440 1,890 1,980 2,880	115.76 66.72 78.53 71.86 242.55	12.4 21.6 24.1 27.6 11.9

- 48 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 16

Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Chemicals in the USSR and the US (Continued)

		Specification	ons 🛂	Pr		
SIC No.	Item	USSR	US	Rubles per Metric Ton b/	Dollars per Metric Ton c/	Ratio (Ruble-Dollar
2826	Explosives					
	Ammonite powder 8 and AP-1 Dynamite	Permissible types j/ 62%	Permissible types	2,300 <u>k</u> / 6,800 <u>k</u> /	325.24 <u>1/</u> 319.73 <u>1</u> /	7.1 21.3
2829	Industrial organic chemicals, n.e.c. \underline{d}					
	Ethylene dichloride Carbon tetrachloride Acetic acid Methanol Formaldehyde Acetone Butyl scetate Ethyl acetate Butyl slochol Acetic anhydride Ethyl alcohol	97% C2HkGl2 96% CC14 Technical, purified, 80% Synthetic, Grade I Technical, 40% Synthetic, Grade I	Commercial, 80% Synthetic	1425 3,265 3,910 2,175 2,200 6,000 m/ 14,200 n/ 12,900 9/ 18,100 p/ 24,000 68,20/decal	176.40 176.40 207.27 87.00 81.59 165.38 264.60 203.96 264.60 253.58 .77/decaliter	2.4 18.5 18.9 25.0 27.0 36.3 53.7 63.2 68.4 94.6 114.5

- When detailed specifications are omitted, comparability is based on methods of manufacture, comparable specifications, and comparable indus-

- a. When detailed specifications are omitted, comparability is based on methods of manufacture, comparable specifications, and comparable indurial use.

 b. Unless otherwise noted, Soviet prices are taken from source 64/.

 c. Unless otherwise noted, US prices are taken from source 65/.

 d. Not elsewhere counted.

 e. Estimated on the basis of the price for 40° acid as of February 1950 and the price relationship of that type to those types listed as of 10 October 1955.

 f. Adjusted to 100% basis.
 g. Adjusted to 100% basis of acetylene yield.
 h. Price quoted at \$80 to \$81, anhydrous basis.
 j. Ascording to a Soviet periodical, that type is used for blasting in gaseous and dusty coal mines.
 k. 66/
 l. 67/
 m. Price quoted by Ministry of the Food Industry for acetone was 23,900 rubles.
 g. 69/
 o. 69/
 o. Fire probably reflects turnover tax. Available evidence indicates that raw materials used for alcohol production (grain, molasses, potatoes) are subject to a turnover tax. The magnitude of the tax is not known. Price taken from source 70/.

 49 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} Table 17 \\ \hline \end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{ll} Weighted Price Ratios of Chemicals in the USSR and the US \\ \hline \end{tabular}$

			Value Weights		Weighted Ratio			
SIC No.	Item	Price Ratio (Rubles per Dollar)	US (Million US \$)	USSR (Million Rubles)	US Mi (Rubles per		Soviet Mix (Rubles per Dollar	
2812	Alkalies and chlorine		167.0	984.2	18.6 <u>a</u> /*	18.6 <u>b</u>	/	
	Chlorine	6.4	31.2	63.2			18.1	
	Potassium hydroxide	10.4	6.8	· 3 9.6				
	Sodium bicarbonate	13.1	5.4	31.0				
	Soda ash	15.7	57.6	290.3				
	Caustic soda, liquid	28.0	55.8	560.1		•		
	Caustic soda, cake	29.1	10.2	200.1				
2819	Industrial inorganic chemicals, n.e.c. c/		355•2	3,250.1	18.7 <u>a</u> /	12.4 1	2/ 10.4	
	Boric acid	47.8	3.3					
	Nitric acid	5•9 <u>a</u> /	4.7	414.0	•			
,	Ammonium sulfate (synthetic)	8 . 6 -	9.1	56.6				
	Ammonium nitrate (fertilizer grade)	8.7	45.2	912.6				
	Sodium bichromate	10.4	11.3					
	Sodium sulfate (salt cake)	10.5	10.7	40				
	Calcium carbide	11.0	29•7 <u>e</u> /	268.0				
	Hydrochloric acid	11.3	9.4					
	Sulfuric acid, chamber	12.4 <u>f</u> /	21.4					
	Sulfuric acid, contact	14.3	60.3	510.0				
	Sulfuric acid, fuming	16.4	7.5					
	Magnesium oxide	12.5	7.0 g/					
	Sodium silicofluoride	12.8	1.9	13.7				
	Aluminum chloride	12.9	2.2	·				
	Zinc sulfate	14.0	1.3	(0)				
	Synthetic ammonia, anhydrous	14.1	23.6	624.0				
•	Synthetic ammonia, aqueous	15.8	1.5					
	Phosphorus	18.0 <u>h</u> /	13.6	292.9				
	Copper sulfate	15.1 16.2	9.6					
	Sodium sulfide	16.2	3.4					

^{*} Footnotes for Table 17 follow on p. 52.

- 50 **-**

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 17

Weighted Price Ratios of Chemicals in the USSR and the US (Continued) $\,$

			Value	Weights	Weighte	ed Ratio
SIC No.	Item	Price Ratio (Rubles per Dollar)	US (Million US \$)	USSR (Million Rubles)	US Mix (Rubles per Dollar)	Soviet Mix (Rubles per Dollar)
2819 (Cont'd)	Ammonium chloride	19.2	3.7			
	Trisodium phosphate	18.0	11.9			
	Aluminum sulfate	20.4	13.8			
	Potassium chlorate	21.3	2,2			
	Barium chloride	22.3	1.3	93.6		
	Calcium arsenate	23.3	1.9	34.1		
	Hydrogen peroxide	24.9	6 . 9			
	Sodium hydrosulfite	26.4	7• ⁴			
	Iodine	28 . 5	1.1	30 . 6		
	Sulfur dioxide	28.7	2.3			
	Bromine ·	30.2	0.2			
	Hydrofluoric acid	31.7	4.2			
	Sodium phosphate, dibasic	39•2	3.0			
	Phosphoric acid	39•3	5 . 8			
	Calcium chloride, liquid	45.4	0.4	*		
	Calcium chloride, solid	54.4	0.2			
	Calcium chloride, flake	108.3	4.9			
	Borax (sodium borate)	123.6	7•3			
2821	Cyclic (coal tar) crudes $\underline{1}/$		45.6	667.4 <u>j</u> /	20.2 <u>a/</u>	19.0
	Naphthalene	12.4	9•7	102.2		
•	Benzene	21.6	24.8	319.1	•	
	Xylene	24.1	2.0	34.8		
	Tuolene	27.6	6.9	150.5		
	Phenol	11.9	2.2 <u>k</u> /	60.8		•
2826 .	Explosives 1/		83.3		18.4 <u>a</u> /	
	Permissible explosives	7.1	17.0			
	High explosives other than permissible	21.3	66.3			

- 51 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 17 Weighted Price Ratios of Chemicals in the USSR and the US (Continued)

			Value	Weights	Weighte	d Ratio
SIC No.	Item	Price Ratio (Rubles per Dollar)	US (Million US \$)	USSR (Million Rubles)	US Mix (Rubles per Dollar)	Soviet Mix (Rubles per Dollar)
2829	Industrial organic chemicals, n.e.c. c/ m/		123.3			*
	Ethylene dichloride Carbon tetrachloride Acetic acid Methanol Formaldehyde Acetone Butyl acetate Ethyl acetate Acetic anhydride	2.4 18.5 18.9 25.0 27.0 36.3 53.7 63.2 94.6	4.2 n/ 8.3 13.8 12.7 13.9 21.0 20.0 10.9 18.5 n/		43.7 <u>a</u> /	

- a. Based on values for all commodities.b. Based on values for commodities where a corresponding Soviet value appears.
- Not elsewhere counted.
- c. Not elsewhere counted.

 d. Average of ratios for weak and concentrated acid.

 e. Value of shipments for "other calcium compounds," the major part of which is calcium carbide.

 f. Ratio for tower acid.

 g. Value of shipments for "magnesium compounds."

 h. Average of ratios for yellow and red phosphorus.

 i. 1947 US values for entire 2821 category from source 71/.

 j. Includes small amount from petroleum sources.

- includes small amount from petroleum.

 k. Includes natural phenol from petroleum.

 1. 1947 US values for entire 2826 category from source 72/.

 m. 1947 US values for entire 2829 category from source 73/.

 n. 1948 data.

- 52 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

4. Petroleum Products.

Detailed specifications for petroleum products are lacking. It is, therefore, difficult to make exact comparisons of US and Soviet petroleum products. Often it has been necessary to make rough approximations in selecting the US product most comparable to a given Soviet product. For example, prices are given for "automotive gasoline," whereas there are a number of grades of automotive gasolines in both countries. In the case of "motor oil M and T," comparability with a US product can be only approximate, as viscosity data are not given. A US grade believed to be most representative of Soviet output was therefore selected for comparison.

With the exception of the "petroleum asphalt and semiasphalt" category, prices for US petroleum products are average June 1950 prices. 74/ Available Soviet prices are wholesale release prices effective on 1 July 1950. 75/ These prices are known to include transportation charges and turnover tax. On the basis of an analysis of available information, Soviet transportation charges have been removed from the prices before computing ruble-dollar ratios in the manner described below.

An examination of Soviet prices shows that for a number of miscellaneous petroleum products such as transmission grease, condenser oil, and petrolatum, the Russians have one fixed price. For more important products, however, the country is divided into price zones. Comparison of zonal prices with the areal composition of the zones as given in the price handbook indicates that for each of different groups of products, Baku (the largest petroleum-refining center in the USSR) is located in the zone having the lowest price. The price zones generally appear as broad bands radiating from the Baku price zone, with prices increasing as the zone distances from Baku increase.

The areal composition of the zones and the size of the respective price increments suggest that those increments derive either entirely or in part from increasing transportation charges. In computing f.o.b. refinery prices for all products, it has been assumed that the differences in zonal price increments are due entirely to transportation charges. Since transportation charges are included in all prices, it is clear that for each product some price below the lowest zonal

S-E-C-R-E-T

price must be derived in order to more closely approximate an f.o.b. refinery price. For each petroleum product, there has been deducted a sum equal to the ruble increment from the lowest zone to the next higher zonal price. The resulting price is assumed to be the f.o.b. refinery price, inclusive of turnover tax.

On account of the absence of official Soviet gross value data for petroleum products, production and consumption estimates have been used in estimating value weights.

US value weights have been computed by multiplying physical production 76/ by the price used in computing the ruble-dollar ratio. When production data were available only for broad categories or groups of products, the corresponding prices have been averaged for the computation of value weights.

Table 18* gives specifications, prices, and price ratios of petroleum products in the USSR and the US. Table 19** gives weighted price ratios of petroleum products in the USSR and the US.

5. Construction Materials.

Ruble-dollar ratios were computed for five construction materials, basic to both the US and Soviet construction industries. These materials are asbestos cement shingles (for siding), brick, cement, flat glass, and roofing felt. Comparability of most of the products in this category, particularly glass and cement, is believed to be very good. Bricks are comparable, with the exception that Soviet standard sizes are larger than US standard sizes.

Ruble and dollar prices used in computing the ruble-dollar ratios were those in effect as of 1 July 1950 with the exception of some 1 January 1950 prices which have been adjusted to a 1 July 1950 basis. Prices of some of the materials in this section are quoted on the basis of specific sales zones within the USSR. (This system probably reflects different costs in production and transportation within the USSR). As no Soviet data were available on which to choose prices for comparative conditions in the US, the prices in each country were arranged in their order of magnitude. The highest Soviet price was compared with the highest US price, and so on through the series to***

^{*} Table 18 follows on p. 55.

^{**} Table 19 follows on p. 58.

^{***} Text continued on p. 60.

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 18

Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Petroleum Products in the USSR and the US

			Specifications	P:	rice	
SIC No.	Item	USSR	US	Rubles per Metric Ton	Dollars per Metric Ton	Ratio (Ruble-Dollar)
2911	Petroleum refining					
	Aviation gasoline	B-100 and above B-78 B-74	100/130 Gulf Coast cargoes 91/96 Gulf Coast cargoes Average of 91/96 and 80 octane, Houston	1,149 1,000 936	60.31 55.63 55.33	19.1 18.0 16.9
		B-70	Average of 91/96 and 80 octane, Houston	904	55.33	16.3
	Motor gasoline	Auto gasoline (ethylated) Special gasoline for ZIS-110 White spirit	Regular 80 octane, Oklahoma, Group 3 Regular 82 octane, Oklahoma, Group 3 Mineral spirits, f.o.b. Group 3	720 960 548	36.49 36.54 37.04	19.7 26.3 14.8
	Kerosine	Kerosine	41-43 gravity water white, Gulf Coast cargoes	480	27.71	17.3
	Gas oil (diesel fuel)	Diesel fuel	Average 43-47 and 48-52 diesel index gas oil, Gulf Coast cargoes	361	24.06	15.0
		Solar oil Motor fuel	Light diesel. Ships bunkers (45 cetane 45 diesel index) (ex lighterage), Houston Heavy diesel. Ships bunkers (ex lighterage), Houston	228 238	24.77 22.86	9.2 10.4
	Lubricants		Pale Neutral Oils Conventional Vis @ 100°F, 0-10 Pour Point			
		Machine oil S Machine oil L Machine oil SU	180 Vis. No. 3 color, f.o.b. Tulsa 150 Vis. No. 3 color, f.o.b. Tulsa 200 Vis. No. 3 color, f.o.b. Tulsa	428 448 688	36.38 34.88 36.75	11.8 12.8 18.7
		Motor oil M and T	200 Vis. No. 3 color, f.o.b. Tulsa 250 Vis. No. 3 color, f.o.b. Tulsa	456	38.22	11.9
	~	Diesel oil Turbine oil L and UT Compressor oil M	300 Vis. No. 3 color, f.o.b. Tulsa 150 Vis. No. 3 color, f.o.b. Tulsa 280 Vis. No. 3 color, f.o.b. Tulsa	844 608 844	20.42 34.88 39.69	20.9 17.4 17.1
		Compressor oil T	300 Vis. No. 3 color, f.o.b. Tulsa	848	40.42	21.0

- 55 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 18

Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Petroleum Products in the USSR and the US (Continued)

			Specifications	Pr	ice	•
SIC No.	Item	USSR	US	Rubles per Metric Ton	Dollars per Metric Ton	Ratio (Ruble-Dollar)
2911 (cont'd)			Pale Neutral Oils Conventional Vis.@ 100°F			
ZJII (COMO U)		Spindle oil AU Spindle oil 2 Spindle oil 3, refined Special avtol for ZIS-110 Avtol 4-8	200 Vis. No. 2-3 color, South Texas f.o.b. refineries 100 Vis. No. 1 1/2-2 1/2 color, South Texas f.o.b. refineries 100 Vis. No. 1 1/2-2 1/2 color, South Texas f.o.b. refineries 2,000 Vis. No. 4 color, South Texas f.o.b. refineries 500 Vis. No. 2 1/2-3 1/2 color, South Texas f.o.b. refineries	39 2 860	33.01 30.07 30.07 44.77 37.42	17.0 13.8 13.0 19.2 18.4
		Avtol 10-18	1,200 Vis. No. 3-4 color, South Texas f.o.b. refineries 2,000 Vis. No. 4 color, South Texas f.o.b. rerineries	688	43.30	15.9
		Cylinder oil 2 Cylinder oil 6	Pale Neutral Oils Conventional Vis. @ 100°F, 0-10 Pour Point 86-110 Vis. No. 2 color, f.o.b. Tulsa 60-85 Vis. No. 2 color, f.o.b. Tulsa	440 542	32.31 31.52	13.6 17.2
			Bright Stocks (Conventional).			
		Bright stock, plain	Average of 150-160 Vis.@ 210°F, 10-25 pour point; and 120°F, 0-10 pour point f.o.b. Tulsa.	1,008	52.42	19.2
	Residual and others	Furnace mazut Fleet mazut Oily mazut	Bunker C fuel, Gulf Coast cargoes Bunker C fuel, Gulf Coast cargoes No. 5 fuel (0-10 pour point), Gulf Coast cargoes	220 230 285	11.49 11.49 15.32	19.1 20.0 18.6

- 56 **-**

S-E-C-R-E-7

Table 18

Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Petroleum Products in the USSR and the US (Continued)

		Price			
SIC No. Item	USSR	US		Dollars per Metric Ton	Ratio (Ruble-Dollar
2911 (cont'd)	Petroleum asphalt and semiasphalt	Slow-curing free from water SC-1 Vis. 75-150 (122° S.F.); 150+ flash point 0°F SC-2 Vis. 100-200 (140° S.F.); 175+ flash point 0°F SC-3 Vis. 250-500 (140° S.F.); 207+ flash point 0°F SC-4 Vis. 125-250 (180° S.F.); 225+ flash point 0°F	212	20.53 <u>a</u> /	10.3
	White paraffin	124-126 Amp. Wax, white crude scale, W. Pennsylvania, tank cars in bulk	1,212	77.21	15.7

- 57 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 19
Weighted Price Ratios of Petroleum Products in the USSR and the US

			Value i	Weights	Weighted Ratio		
SIC No.	Item	Price Ratio (Rubles per Dollar)	US (Million US \$)	USSR (Million Rubles)	US Mix (Rubles per Dollar)	Soviet Mix (Rubles per Dollar)	
2911	Petroleum refining		7,508.0	13,388.2	18.0	17.9	
	Aviation gasoline		356.9	9 2 8.8	18.7	18.4	
	B-100 and above	19.1 18.0 <u>a/*</u> b/	278.4	643.9	,		
	в-78 в-74 в-70	16.0 a/* b/ 16.9 a/ b/ 16.3 a/ b/	78.5	284.9			
	Motor gasoline		4,086.9	3,330.0	20.3 Б/	20.2	
	Auto gasoline (ethylated) Special gas for ZIS-110 White spirit	19.7 <u>a/</u> 26.3 <u>a/</u> 14.8 <u>a/</u>		2,932.7 347.7 49.6			
r	Kerosine Diesel fuel	17.3	426.5 1,271.2	4,267.9 763.4	17.3 11.5 c/	17.3 13.3	
	Diesel fuel Solar oil Motor fuel	15.0 <u>a/</u> 9.2 <u>a/</u> 10.4 <u>a</u> /	,	576.2 60.6 126.6			

Footnotes for Table 19 follow on p. 59.

- 58 -

Table 19 Weighted Price Ratios of Petroleum Products in the USSR and the US (Continued)

			Value	Weights	Weighted Ratio		
SIC No.	Item	Price Ratio (Rubles per Dollar)	US (Million US \$)	USSR (Million Rubles)	US Mix (Rubles per Dollar)	Soviet Mix (Rubles per Dollar)	
2911 (cont'd)	Lubricants		276.7	1,179.4	16.4 <u>c</u> /	16.8	
(5025 2)	Machine oil S Machine oil L Machine oil SU	11.8 a/ b/ 12.8 a/ b/ 18.7 a/ b/		140.2			
	Motor oil M and T Diesel oil Turbine oil L and UT	11.9 a/ 20.9 a/		75•5 192•2			
	Compressor oil M Compressor oil T	17.4 a/ b/ 17.1 a/ b/ 21.0 a/, b/,		29.5			
	Spindle oil AU Spindle oil 2 Spindle oil 3, refined	17.0 a/ b/ 13.8 a/ b/ 13.0 a/ b/ 19.2 a/ b/ 18.4 a/ b/ 15.9 a/ b/ 13.6 a/ b/ 17.2 a/		94.4			
	Special Avtol for ZIS-110 Avtol 4-8 Avtol 10-18			462.6			
	Cylinder oil 2 Cylinder oil 6	13.6 a/ b/ 17.2 a/ b/		101.6			
	Bright stock, plain	19.2 4		83.4		•	
	Residual and others		1,089.8	2,918.7	17.4	18.5	
	Furnace mazut Fleet mazut	19.1 a/	849.0	1,881.9			
	Oily mazut	20.0 a/ b/ 18.6 a/ b/	049.0	845.5			
	Petroleum asphalt and semi- asphalt White paraffin	10.3 15.7	197.2 43.6	111.6 79.7			

<sup>a. Ruble-dollar ratios used in the computation of weighted ratios for a US mix.
b. Ruble-dollar ratios used in the computation of weighted ratios for a Soviet mix.
c. Simple average.</sup>

S-E-C-R-E-T

the lowest price in each country. The arithmetic average of these prices was used in computing the ruble-dollar ratio. This method was used for several Soviet price zones for brick and cement.

In the case of glass, Zone I prices were compared with New York average prices for single- and double-strength glass. Assuming equal production of the two, the arithmetic average of the price was used in computing the ruble-dollar ratio.

For the US the values of shipments for 1950 have been used as weights for roofing felt, flat glass, and asbestos cement shingles. 77/Value weights for cement and brick have been computed by multiplying production data from sources 78/ and 79/, respectively, by unit prices.

All Soviet value weights are based on estimated production data multiplied by unit prices.

Table 20* gives specifications, prices, and price ratios of construction materials in the USSR and the US. Table 21** gives weighted price ratios of construction materials in the USSR and the US.

6. Abrasives Products.

Grinding wheels were selected to represent the abrasives products category, not only because of the availability of prices for both the US and the USSR but also because in 1947, grinding wheels alone accounted for more than 40 percent of the total value of nonmetallic abrasives and for 32 percent of the total value of all abrasives produced in the US. Also, grinding wheels were entirely comparable in respect to shape, grit, bond, grain, and size. Comparability could not be established with respect to quality.

Ruble prices for abrasives products were effective 1 January 1950 80/ and remained in effect throughout the year. They are factory prices and do not include packing. In the USSR, there is a price reduction of 10 percent for abrasives products of second quality, but it is not known whether there is any discount for quantity orders.

US prices were taken from the price list of a representative US manufacturer and were effective 20 July 1953. Prices were f.o.b. point

^{*} Table 20 follows on p. 61.

^{**} Table 21 follows on p. 62.

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 20

Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Construction Materials in the USSR and the US

SIC No.		Specifications	Price		
	Item	USSR	US	Rubles per Unit 3/ Dollars per Unit 5/	Ratio (Ruble-Dollar)
2952	Roofing felts and coatings				
	Roofing felt	Soft roofing-Ruberoid ROM-500 (20 meters/roll) Soft roofing-Roofing tar paper T-350 (15 meters/roll)	Asphalt felt (100 pounds) Tar felt (100 pounds)	1.6/square meter 0.29/square meter 1.6/square meter c/ 0.29/square meter	5-5 5-5
321.1	Flat glass	Single strength GOST 111-41, thickness from 0.063 to 0.075 inches, area of 10 to 13 square feet, Class I, Zone I	Single strength, A quality, New York	11.98/square meter <u>d</u> / 1.42/square meter <u>d</u> /	8.4
		Double strength, GOST 11-41, thickness from 0.11 to 0.13 inches, area of 10 to 13 square feet, Class I, Zone I	Double strength, A quality, New York		
3241	Cement, hydraulic	Mark 400	Portland cement	187/metric ton e/ 17.59/metric ton e/	10.6
251	Brick	Clay building brick, GOST 530-41 standard clay brick, 9.8 inches by 4.7 inches by 2.6 inches, grade 1, Mark 100	Clay building brick, straight hard, 8 inches by 3 3/4 inches by 2 1/2 inches	324/1,000 e/ 32.93/1,000 e/	6.2 f/
292	Asbestos products			· , ,	_
	Asbestos cement shingles	Corrugated asbestos cement shingles, 120 centimeters by 68 centimeters by 0.55 centimeters	Asbestos cement shingles, 3/16 inch, including felt	4.1/square meters g/ 1.00/square meters h/	4.1

a. Unless otherwise noted, Soviet prices are from source Si/ard adjusted to 1 July 1950.
b. Unless otherwise noted, US prices are from source Se/.
c. 83/d. Average price of single and double strength, assuming equal production of the two.
e. Prices for several areas in both countries have been averaged.
f. This ruble-dollar ratio has been computed by adjusting the Soviet price by a factor of roughly 60 percent to insure comparability with US price. The Soviet standard brick is roughly 60 percent larger by volume than the US brick.
g. 84/h. 55/

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 21

Weighted Price Ratios of Construction Materials in the USSR and the US

SIC No.			Value V	Weights	Weighted Price Ratio		
	Item	Price Ratio (Rubles per Dollar)	US (Million US \$)	USSR (Million Rubles)	US Mix (Rubles per Dollar)	Soviet Mix (Rubles per Dollar)	
	Construction materials		1,511.9	7,971.0	8.2	6.2	
2952 3211 3241 3251 3292	Roofing felt Flat glass Cement Brick Asbestos cement shingles	5.5 8.4 10.6 6.2 4.1	331.6 235.1 670.2 208.6 66.4	333.0 803.0 1,760.0 3,272.0 1,803.0			

S-E-C-R-E-T

of shipment. As all prices were subject to quantity discounts ranging from 36-1/2 percent to 65 percent of list, the middle discount rate of 43 percent was taken as an average to arrive at representative prices for the wheels. Using Bureau of Labor price indexes 86/, the July 1953 prices were adjusted to annual 1950 prices for grinding wheels.

To establish an average ratio for the abrasives products class, 85 Soviet grinding wheels were matched with 85 comparable US grinding wheels, all weighted according to the pattern of production of a major US producer in 1952. Such a comparison results in an average ratio of 2.5 rubles per US dollar. In view of the structure of the abrasives products industry, this pattern was considered representative of the industry as a whole in the US. No information on the Soviet pattern of production was available.*

Table 22** gives specifications, prices, and price ratios of abrasives products in the USSR and the US.

7. Iron and Steel.

a. General.

25X1A5a1

Prices and specifications for most of the products of the iron and steel industries of the USSR and the US have been taken from Ruble prices are those in effect on 1 January 1950,*** and dollar prices are those in effect during the first quarter of 1950. Additional sources of price data will be noted when appropriate.****

25X1A5a1

* For detailed methodology, see source 87/.

** Table 22 follows on p. 64.

^{***} On 1 July 1950, wholesale prices were reduced by 5 percent for (a) steel of ordinary quality (structural and shaped steel, cable wire, beams and girders, sheet and wide strip steel, excluding timplate and tin-plated and lead-plated sheet steel), and rails and other products for railroad transportation (rail fastenings, bands, seamless rolled wheels and centers, the preparation of forgings, axles, beam rollers, and iron castings) and (b) steel and cast iron tubing and cylinders (all steel piping without exception -- welded, electric welded, seamless, boiler and steam, for the oil industry and drilling, for machine construction, rust resisting, basic types of cast iron water pipes, shaped joints for cast iron water pipes, and steel cylinders of general and basic types). 88/
**** Text continued on p. 69.

^{- 63 -}

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 22

Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Abrasives Products in the USSR and the US

		Specifications				Price		Ratio
SIC No.	Item	USSR		US		Rubles per Unit	Dollars per Unit	(Ruble-Dollar)
3291	Abrasives products							
	Grinding wheels (straight wheel, coarse grit)	4 Inches Diameter and Smaller						
		Diameter (Millimeters)	Thickness (Millimeters)	Diameter (Inches)	Thickness (Inches)			
	Vitrified bond							
	Aluminum oxide	50 100	25 25	2 4	1 1	0.52 2.00	0.28 0.94	1.9 2.1 2.0
	White aluminum oxide	50 100	25 · 25	2 4	1 1	0.66 2.40	0.33 1.10 1.09	2.2 2.5
	Silicon carbide Green silicon carbide	100	25 25 25 25 25	4	1	2.75 3.65	1.14	3.2
	Resincid bond						0	1.4
	Aluminum oxide Silicon carbide	100 100	25 25	14 14	1	1.80 2.45	1.28 1.37	1.8
	Rubber bond							2.1
	Aluminum oxide	100	25	. 4	1	3.15	1.50	2.1
	-	Over	4 Inches Diameter	and up to 10 Inches				
	Vitrified bond							
	Aluminum oxide	125 150 175 200 250 250	25 25 25 25 25 25 25	5 6 7 8 10 10	1 1 1 1 2	2.70 3.35 4.45 5.55 7.85 13.40	1.37 1.67 2.04 2.50 3.85 6.75	2.0 2.2 2.2 2.0 2.0

- 64 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 22

Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Abrasives Products in the USSR and the US (Continued)

			Specificat:	ons		Pr	ice	
SIC No.	Item	US	SR		us	Rubles per Unit	Dollars per Unit	Ratio (Ruble-Dollar
		Over	4 Inches Diameter a	nd up to 10 Inches				
2007 (11)		Diameter (Millimeters)	Thickness (Millimeters)	Diameter (Inches)	Thickness (Inches)			
3291 (cont'd)								
	Vitrified bond (cont'd)							× .
	White aluminum oxide Silicon carbide	125 125 150 175 200 250 175	25 50 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	5 5 6 7 8 10	1 2 1 1	3.65 5.95 4.68 6.10 7.80 11.40	1.61 2.60 1.95 2.38 2.91 4.49 2.36 2.88	2.3 2.4 2.6 2.7 2.5
	Green silicon carbide	175 · 200 250 175 200 250	25 25 25 25 25 25 25	7 8 10 7 8	1 1 1 1 1	6.90 8.80 12.80 9.95 12.80 19.20	2.36 2.88 4.45 2.47 3.02 4.65	2.9 3.1 2.9 4.0 4.2 4.1
•	Resinoid bond						-	
	Aluminum oxide	150 200	25 25	6 8	1	3.05 5.20	2.43	1.3
	Silicon carbide	250 150 200 250	25 25 25 25 25 25 25	10 6 8	1 1 1	9.70 9.70 5.10 7.75 11.80	3.55 5.21 2.59 3.79 5.55	1.5 1.9 2.0 2.0 2.1
	Rubber bond	-	· .		_	11.00	7•37	∠•1
	Aluminum oxide	125	25	5	1	4.40	2.02	2.2

- 65 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 22

Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Abrasives Products in the USSR and the US (Continued)

				Specification	š			Pr	rice	
SIC No.	Item		USSR			US		Rubles per Unit	Dollars per Unit	Ratio (Ruble-Dollar)
SIC NO.			10 Inches I	miameter and up	to 18 Inch	nes				
		Diameter (Millimeters)	Thickness (Millimeters)	Hole (Millimeters)	Diameter (Inches)	Thickness (Inches)	Hole (Inches)			
3291 (cont'd)										
	Vitrified bond	300 350	25 25	127 127	12 14	1	0	11.10 15.70	5.25 7.03 15.09	2.1 2.2 2.1
	Aluminum oxide	400 450 450	50 25 50 25	203 203 203	16 18 18	2 1 2	8 8 8	31.30 26.70 45.60	11.00	2.4 2.4 2.5
	White aluminum oxide	300 350 400 450 450	25 25 50 25 50	127 127 203 127 203	12 14 16 18 18	1 2 1 2	8 8	15.30 22.00 44.30 41.80 63.70	19.18 6.13 8.20 17.60 13.43 22.38	2.7 2.5 3.1 2.8
	Silicon carbide	300 450	25 50	127 203	12 18	1 2	8	17.30 70.60	6.06 22.15	2.9 3.2
	Green silicon carbide	300 450	. 25 50	127 203	12 18	2	8	24.90 148.00	6.35 23.18	3.9 6.4
	Resinoid bond									
	Aluminum oxide	300 400	25 50	127 203 203	12 16 18	1 2 2	8 8 .	12.60 30.10 44.80	6.84 19.00 25.20	1.8 1.6 1.8
	Silicon carbide	450 300 400	50 25 50	127 127	16	1 2	.	15.20 55.30	7.28 22.67	2.1 2.4
	Rubber bond									
	Aluminum oxide	300 400	25 25	203	12 16	1	8	16.10 25.60	8.51 12.08	1.9 2.1

- 66 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 22

Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Abrasives Products in the USSR and the US (Continued)

				Specification				Pr	ice	
SIC No.	Item	 .	USSR			US		Rubles per Unit	Dollars per Unit	Ratio (Ruble-Dollar
			Over 18 Inche	s Diameter and	up to 28 1	Inches			-	
		Diameter (Millimeters)	Thickness (Millimeters)	Hole (Millimeters)	Diameter (Inches)	Thickness (Inches)	Hole (Inches)			
3291 (cont'd)										
	Vitrified bond									
	Aluminum oxide White aluminum oxide Silicon carbide Green silicon carbide	500 500 500 500 600 600 500 500 500 500	25 25 25 50 50 25 50 50 50 50 50 75	203 305 203 305 305 305 203 305 203 305 203 305 203 305 203 305	20 20 20 24 24 24 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	1 1 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 2 3 3	8 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	33.40 29.20 59.10 47.10 47.10 45.00 77.70 82.40 65.10 109.00 92.90 73.60 101.00 135.00 106.00	13.63 12.52 23.74 21.82 22.55 32.89 27.69 27.40 25.19 35.92 28.68 26.68 37.58	2.4 2.35 2.20 2.4 32.66 2.8 3.9 2.8 4.7 4.0
	Resinoid bond									
	Aluminum oxide	500 500 600	50 50	203 305 305	20 20 24	2 2 2	8 12	57.90 46.50 77.60	31.29 27.03 41.26	1.8 1.7 1.9
	Silicon carbide	500 500 600	50 50 50 50 50	203 305 305	20 20 24	2 2	12 8 12 12	86.00 57.10 110.00	33.36 28.80 43.97	2.6 2.3 2.5
	Rubber bond									
	Aluminum oxide	500	50	305	20	2	12	100.00	29.42	3-4

- 67 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 22

Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Abrasives Products in the USSR and the US (Continued)

				Specification	8			Pr	ice	D.11.
SIC No.	Item	 	USSR			US		Rubles per Unit	Dollars per Unit	Ratio (Ruble-Dollar
			Over	28 Inches Dia	neter					
		Diameter (Millimeters)	Thickness (Millimeters)	Hole (Millimeters)	Diameter (Inches)	Thickness (Inches)	Hole (Inches)			
291 (cont'd)										
	Vitrified bond									
	Aluminum oxide	750 750 900	50 75 52	305 305 305	30 30 36	2 3 2	12 12 12 12 12	141.00 198.00 245.00	56.21 77.86 85.99 117.07	2.5 2.5 2.8
	White aluminum oxide	900 900 750 750	50 75 52 78 50 75	305 305 305 305 305 305	30 30 36 36 30 30	3 2 3	12 12	351.00 197.00 277.00	117.07 65.57 90.85	2.5 2.8 3.0 3.0
	Resincid bond									
	Aluminum oxide	750 750	75 100	305 305	30 30	3 4	12 12	197.00 255.00	97.90 128.65	2.0 2.0

- 68 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

25X1A5a1

A representative sample of 45 ratios of the total number of 523 ratios computed was selected for the iron and steel industry. This sample of ratios was selected in order to facilitate the computation of a set of weighted ratios for a four-digit industry classification based on Soviet value weights. Soviet weights are available for only a limited number of items.

b. Derivation of Value Weights.

In most instances, gross value weights for both the US and the USSR have been estimated by multiplying physical production data by the prices used in computing the ruble-dollar ratios. US production figures have been obtained primarily from data published by the American Iron and Steel Institute. 89/

The estimating procedures employed in deriving gross value and physical production estimates for the US and the USSR for the principal categories of finished steel products are set forth below.

(1) Pipe and Tube.

Although the pipe and tube category is the only finished steel product for which official Soviet production data are available, the great diversity of types within the category makes an accurate estimate of the gross value difficult. It is estimated that 70 percent of the category is seamless tube, the remainder largely welded pipe. It has been assumed that 35,000 metric tons of the seamless category is stainless steel (purposely established on the high side to reflect other pipe and tube items of a special nature), the remainder being regular high-quality seamless.

(2) Heavy Sections

25X1A5a1

The three principal types of heavy sections are channels, I-beams, and angles, prices for which are given in Gross value output estimates for the US and the USSR were obtained by multiplying physical production estimates for heavy sections by the average price for channels, I-beams, and angles. In computing a Soviet average price for heavy sections, the prices for beams, channels, and angles were weighted in the ratio of 2 to 1 to 1.

S-E-C-R-E-T

(3) Light Sections.

In the USSR, production of light sections is divided into two groups -- ordinary and quality grades. In 1950, of the total production in the light section category, 4.01 million metric tons was of ordinary quality consisting of roughly equal parts of light channels, angles, small beams, and hot rolled bars, and the remaining 1.34 million metric tons was of quality grade. One-half of the quality grade consists of hot rolled alloy bars, and the remaining production is estimated to be divided evenly between cold finished bars, high speed tool steel bars, and hot and cold rolled stainless bars.

The "ordinary" and "quality" groups do not accurately correspond to the division of production of light sections in the US. Therefore, for purposes of comparability, the light section category has been divided into two main groups -- "structural" and "bars." The structural category consists of light channels, junior I-beams, and angles. The bar category is made up of all bars.

US gross value of output for the structural category has been derived by multiplying total physical production by the average of prices for light channels, junior I-beams, and angles.

In computing the gross value of bars in the US, it was necessary to average the prices for hot rolled, cold finished, and hot rolled alloy bars, since the production figure used included these items. 90/

(4) Wire Rod.

In the USSR, wire rod is produced in the ordinary and quality grades. For purposes of computing US gross values, it has been assumed that Soviet ordinary wire rod and US carbon steel wire rod are comparable and that Soviet quality wire rod is comparable to US alloy steel wire rod. Production data used in the computation of US values were found in source 91/.

(5) Plate.

For purposes of computing US gross values, it has been assumed that Soviet ordinary plate and US carbon steel plate are comparable and Soviet quality plate is comparable to US alloy steel plate.

- 70 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 23* gives specifications, prices, and price ratios of iron and steel products in the USSR and the US. Table 24** gives weighted price ratios of iron and steel products in the USSR and the US.

8. Nonferrous Metals.

25X1A5a1

US and Soviet prices for nonferrous metals have been taken from except where otherwise noted. Ruble prices are those in effect on 1 January 1950, and dollar prices are those in effect during the first quarter of 1950. Prices for various sizes and specifications of the rolled and drawn products considered have been averaged as the resulting ratios closely approximate the ratios computed for the corresponding primary metal.

US gross value of output data, or data used in the computation of gross values, have been taken from the Minerals Yearbook. 92/ Values for copper and magnesium have been computed by multiplying physical production by the average yearly price. Values of production for lead, zinc, and aluminum were reported. The weights for the components of SIC Number 3339, Primary Nonferrous Metals, n.e.c., except cadmium, have been derived from consumption data multiplied by average yearly prices. Since relatively small quantities of these items are produced in the US, it is believed that value weights based on consumption data are more meaningful for purposes of combining ratios. The value of shipments has been used for cadmium.

Gross value weights for the USSR have been derived by multiplying physical production by average prices. 93/

No attempt has been made to value rolled and drawn products.

Table 25*** gives specifications, prices, and price ratios of non-ferrous metals in the USSR and the US. Table 26**** gives weighted price ratios of nonferrous metals in the USSR and the US./

^{*} Table 23 follows on p. 72.

^{**} Table 24 follows on p. 75.

^{***} Table 25 follows on p. 78.

^{****} Table 26 follows on p. 80.

Text continued on p. 81.

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 23

Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Iron and Steel Products in the USSR and the US

		Specifications	·	P	rice 🛂*	
SIC No.	Item	USSR	US	Rubles per Metric Ton	Dollars per Metric Ton	Ratio (Ruble-Dollar
311	Blast furnaces	25X1A5a1				
	Basic pig iron Bessemer pig iron Foundry pig iron (gray castings) Foundry pig iron (malleable castings) Ferromanganese Spiegeleisen Ferrosilicon	Grade FS 1 (Si 16% to 17%)		476 400 427 <u>c</u> / 660 1,487 600 720 <u>a</u> /	52.80 b/ 51.70 48.69 e/ 51.20 190.00 70.40 80.00 e/	9.0 7.7 8.8 12.9 7.8 8.5 9.0
312	Steel works and rolling mills	25X1A5a1				
	Rails Railroad accessories Pipes and tubes			6 21 590	81.59 92.61	7.6 6.4
	Welded Scamless			1,420	135.91	10.4
	High quality Stainless			1,350 6,830	138 . 21 445 . 67	9.8 15.3
	Heavy sections					
	Channels I-beams Angles		·	564 800 660	82.67 81.57 80.48	6.8 9.8 - 8.2
	Light sections					
	Structural					
	Light channels Junior I-beams Angles			587 815 6 5 0	92.59 92.59 74.97	6.3 8.8 8.7
	* Footnotes for Table 23 follow on p.	- 72 -				

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 23

Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Iron and Steel Products in the USSR and the US (Continued)

				Specifications		P	rice	
SIC No.	Item		USSR		US	Rubles per Metric Ton	Dollars per Metric Ton	Ratio (Ruble-Dollar
3312 (cont'd)								
	Bars			25X1A5a1				
	Hot rolled stainless Tool steel Hot rolled Cold finished Hot rolled alloy					10,320 25,760 786 <u>f</u> / 1,172 1,218	699.96 4,211.55 83.24 <u>g</u> / 117.97 136.71	14.7 6.1 9.4 9.9 8.9
	Wire rod h/							
	Ordinary Quality					777 <u>i</u> / 1,300 <u>j</u> /	96.65 <u>i/</u> 118.00 <u>k</u> /	8.0 11.0
	Sheet		•					
•	Hot rolled Cold rolled Galvanized Tinplate Electrical Stainless					828 960 2,640 6,670 2,350 13,360	84.89 99.23 147.07 155.28 277.83 893.03	9.8 9.7 18.0 43.0 8.5 15.0
	Strip							
	Hot rolled Cold rolled Stainless					891 1,670 17,500	111.35 143.33 826.88	8.0 11.7 21.2
	Plate						•	
•	Ordinary Quality Stainless	*				829 1,200 <u>k</u> / 12,780	91.51 120.00 <u>k</u> / 927.20	9.1 10.0 13.8

- 73 **-**

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 23

Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Iron and Steel Products in the USSR and the US (Continued)

		Spec	cifications	P:	rice	
SIC No.	Item	USSR	Us	Rubles per Metric Ton	Dollars per Metric Ton	Ratio (Ruble-Dollar
3313	Electrometallurgical products					
	Ferromanganese	Mn 1 and Mn 2 Manganese 80%	Medium carbon manganese (Mn 80-85%, 1.5% C max.)	2,355 <u>1</u> /	392,50 m/	6.0
	Ferrosilicon Ferrochrome	Grade Khr 000 (Cr 60%, 0.07% C)	65% Cr, 0.06% C	1,650 3,700 <u>n</u> /	223.00 — 411.11 <u>n</u> /	7.4 9.0
321	Gray-iron foundries	2	25X1A5a1			
	Gray-iron castings			1,800 <u>o</u> /	155.00 <u>p</u> /	11.6
322	Malleable-iron foundries					
	Malleable-iron castings					/و 13.0
323	Steel foundries					
3391	Steel castings Iron and steel forgings	25 X1	1A5a1	.3,855 <u>r</u> /	362.00 <u>s</u> /	10.6
/3/-	Ordinary Quality			2,830 3,610	222.00 223.00	12.7 16.2
. Allo . Aver . 93/. . Aver . Aver . It h . Aver . Esti	rage of prices for ferrosilicon from so rage price for size 0.98 and 3.54 inche	hosphorus) and Southern pig iron. wrose $94/.$	are comparable and Soviet quality wire re	od is compara	ble to US allo	y steel wire

- 74 -

^{96/} 97/ Nerage of the four principal marks of gray castings of medium complexity. 99/ 1. \(\frac{96}{m}\) \(\frac{96}{m}\) \(\frac{97}{m}\) \(\frac{

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 24
Weighted Price Ratios of Iron and Steel Products
in the USSR and the US

		Value	Weights	Weighted Ratio			
Item	Price Ratio (Rubles per Dollar)	US (Million US \$)	USSR (Million Rubles)	US Mix (Rubles per Dollar)	Soviet Mix (Rubles per Dollar)		
Blast furnaces		3,516.5	9,041.2	9.0	8.9		
Basic pig iron Bessemer pig iron Foundry pig iron (gray castings) Foundry pig iron (malleable castings) Ferromanganese Spiegeleisen Ferrosilicon	9.0 7.7 8.8 12.9 7.8 8.5 9.0	2,629.4 418.8 136.3 163.8 104.2 <u>a</u> /* 64.0 <u>a</u> /	6,537.9 436.0 1,469.7 194.7 200.7 159.0 43.2		·		
Steel works and rolling mills		8,700.5	25,177.4	13,1	8.8		
Rails Railroad accessories Pipes and tubes	7.6 6.4	146.9 64.8 1,274.7	908.0 328.0 2,934.0	10.2	10.3		
Welded Seamless	10.4	815.5	852.0	9.9	10.2		
High quality Stainless	9.8 15.3	454•7 4•5	1,843.0 239.0				
Heavy sections				δ.3 <u>b</u> /	8.5 <u>c</u> /		
Channels I-beams angles	6.8 9.8 8.2	358.9	1,755.0				
Light sections		1,608.3	12,005.4	. 8.9	7.7		
Structural				7.9 <u>b</u> /	7•9		
Light channels Junior I-beams Angles	6.3 8.8 8.7	95•4	588.2 651.3 816.6				
	Blast furnaces Basic pig iron Bessemer pig iron Foundry pig iron (gray castings) Foundry pig iron (malleable castings) Ferromanganese Spiegeleisen Ferrosilicon Steel works and rolling mills Rails Railroad accessories Pipes and tubes Welded Seamless High quality Stainless Heavy sections Channels I-beams angles Light sections Structural Light channels Junior I-beams	Start furnaces	Steel works and rolling mills	Rubles per Dollar (Million US \$) (Million Rubles)	Rubles per Dollar Rubles per Dollar Rubles per Dollar Rubles per Dollar		

^{*} Footnotes for Table 24 follow on p. 77.

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 24
Weighted Price Ratios of Iron and Steel Products
in the USSR and the US
(Continued)

			Value	Weights	Weighte	d Ratio
SIC No.	Item	Price Ratio (Rubles per Dollar)	US (Million US \$)	USSR (Million Rubles)	US Mix (Rubles per Dollar)	Soviet Mix (Rubles per Dollar)
3312 (cont'd)						
	Bars				9.0	7.6
	Hot rolled stainless Tool steel Hot rolled Cold finished Hot rolled alloy	14.7 6.1 9.4 9.9 8.9	24.0 237.5 1,251.4	2,311.7 5,770.2 787.6 262.5 817.3		
	Wire rod		505.3	902.0	8.0	8.7
	Ordinary Quality	8.0	498.3 7.0	634.0 268.0		
	Sheet		3,282.2 <u>d</u> /	3,707.0	18.6	13.5
	Hot rolled Cold rolled Galvanized Timplate Electrical Stainless	9.8 9.7 18.0 43.0 8.5 15.0	848.4 837.4 300.0 779.6 338.2 178.6	1,375.0 450.0 264.0 1,068.0 216.0 334.0		
	Strip		786.8 <u>a</u> /	549.0	12.8	11.2
	Hot rolled Cold rolled Stainless	8.0 11.7 21.2	229.5 281.9 205.4	220.0 154.0 175.0		
	Plate		672.6	2,089.0	9.8	9.5
	Ordinary Quality Stainless	9.11 10.07 13.8	640.3 32.3	1,650.0 247.0 192.0	•	
	•	·	. 76 -			

Table 24 Weighted Price Ratios of Iron and Steel Products in the USSR and the US (Continued)

		•	Value	Weights	Weighte	d Ratio
SIC No.		Price Ratio (Rubles per Dollar)	US (Million US \$)	USSR (Million Rubles)	US Mix (Rubles per Dollar)	Soviet Mix (Rubles per Dollar)
3313	Electrometallurgical products			596.0		7•3
	Ferromanganese Ferrosilicon Ferrochrome	6.0 7.4 9.0	8.2	236.0 116.0 244.0		
3321	Gray-iron foundries					
	Gray-iron castings	11.6	1,999.5	11,570.0		
3322	Malleable-iron foundries					
	Malleable-iron castings	13.0	274.5	2,220.0		
3323	Steel foundries					
	Steel castings	10.6	362.0	3,080.0		
3391	Iron and steel forgings			1,058.0		13.6
	Ordinary Quality	12.7 16.2	e a	740.0 318.0		

a. Includes ferromanganese and ferrosilicon produced in electric furnaces.

c. Average ratio of channels, beams, and angles; however, beams were given twice the weight of the other two.
d. US production data used in the computation of values from source 102/.

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 25

Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Nonferrous Metals in the USSR and the US

	•	 Specificat	ions	Pri	<u>e</u> <u>a</u> /*	
SIC No.	Item	USSR	US	Rubles per Metric Ton	Dollars per Metric Ton	Ratio (Ruble-Dollar
3331	Primary smelting and refining of copper	25X1A5a	a1			
	Primary copper			6,612	407.50	16.2
332	Primary smelting and refining of lead					
	Primary lead			3,650	260.19	14.0
333	Primary smelting and refining of zinc					
	Primary zinc					12.6 <u>ъ</u> /
334	Primary refining of aluminum					
	Primary aluminum			6,650	375.00	17.7
135	rrimary refining of magnesium					
	Primary magnesium	Ма	gnesium ingots (99.8%)	16,500 <u>c</u> /	485.00 <u>a</u> /	34.0
339	Primary refining and smelting of nonferrous metals, n.e.c. e/	25X1A5a	1			
	Csdmium Cobalt Mickel Tin Hercury Antimony	20/1/100		248,000 456,000 <u>f</u> / 36,000 111,600 100,000 20,300	4,410.00 4,410.00 970.00 1,643.00 2,120.00 623.00	56.2 103.4 37.1 67.9 47.2 32.6
351	Rolling, drawing, and alloying of copper					
	Copper rod Copper sheet Copper tubing					17.5 <u>b/</u> 16.0 <u>b/</u> 12.4 <u>b</u> /
352	Rolling, drawing, and alloying of aluminum					
	Aluminum sheet Aluminum rods					15.4 b/ 19.1 b/

- 78 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 25

Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Nonferrous Metals in the USSR and the US (Continued)

		Specification	Pri	-		
SIC No.		USSR	US	Rubles per Metric Ton	Dollars per Metric Ton	Ratio (Ruble-Dollar)
3359	Rolling, drawing, and alloying of nonferrous metals, n.e.c. e/	25X1A5a1				
	Sheet lead Sheet zinc			5,800 4,925	375.00 342.00	15.5 14.4
3392	Wire drawing					
	Alloyed copper wire					14.2 <u>b</u> /

Average 1950 price from source 107/. Not elsewhere counted.

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 26 Weighted Price Ratios of Nonferrous Metals in the USSR and the US

			Value V	Weights	Weighte	ed Ratio
SIC No.	. Item	Price Ratio (Rubles per Dollar)	US (Million US \$)	USSR (Million Rubles)	US Mix (Rubles per Dollar)	Soviet Mix (Rubles per Dollar)
3331 3332 3333 3334 3335 3339	Primary copper Primary lead Primary zinc Primary aluminum Primary magnesium Primary nonferrous metals, n.e.c. a/	16.2 14.0 12.6 17.7 34.0	515.8 137.2 240.1 236.0 6.9 378.2	1,978.1 386.4 377.6 1,482.6 181.5 2,703.8	60.0	52.1
	Cadmium Cobalt Nickel Tin Mercury Antimony	56.2 103.4 37.1 67.9 47.2 32.6	18.6 11.0 89.0 246.5 4.0 9.1	72.0 652.1 1,152.0 714.0 57.9 55.8	25X1A5	a1
3351 3352 3359 3392	Rolling, drawing, and alloying of copper Rolling, drawing, and alloying of aluminum Rolling, drawing, and alloying of non-ferrous metals, n.e.c. a/				13.8 16.3 15.0 b/ 14.2 b/	14.9 <u>b</u> / 14.1 <u>b</u> /

a. Not elsewhere counted.
b. Simple average.

- 80 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

9. Tractors and Trucks.

Prices for Soviet tractors are those believed to be in effect on 1 January 1950. Prices of 1 January 1949 were adjusted to 1 January 1950 on the basis of known price changes. US prices for tractors were in effect on 1 January 1950. Prices for US and Soviet trucks were those in effect on 1 July 1950. With the reservation that little is known about the operational life of Soviet machinery, comparability is good for tractors and trucks.

Value weights have been computed for the USSR only. Estimated production data have been multiplied by the price to derive value weights.

Table 27* gives specifications, prices, and price ratios of tractors and trucks in the USSR and the US. Table 28** gives weighted price ratios of tractors and trucks in the USSR.

10. Metalworking Machinery.

a. General.

The classes of commodities considered in this category include machine tools and metalworking machinery (except machine tools). The selection of these classes has been dictated largely by availability of comparable US and Soviet data.

Comparability of wholesale prices for the US and the USSR is affected by a number of factors. In the US, depending upon the industry and manufacturer concerned, prices quoted may or may not include such items as shipment, installation, discounts, electrical equipment, and accessories. The Soviet wholesale price lists used in this research aid specified that prices are f.o.b. station of shipment, and they included the cost of packing. Little is known concerning possible discount practices and the inclusion of accessories and the like in the USSR. For these reasons, it is not possible to say that US and Soviet wholesale prices always include the same items, nor is it usually possible to adjust for differences.

^{*} Table 27 follows on p. 82.

^{**} Table 28 follows on p. 83.

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 27 Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Tractors and Trucks in the USSR and the US

		Speci	fications	Pr	rice	_ :.
SIC No.	Item	USSR	US .	Rubles per Unit	Dollars per Unit a/	Ratio (Ruble-Dollar)
3521	Tractors	s-80	Caterpillar D-7	55,500 <u>b</u> /	9,615	5.8
		DT-54; track-laying; diesel; 54 hp @ 1,300 rpm; bore, 125 mm; stroke, 152 mm; tractor weight, 5,400 kg; over-all length, 3,660 mm; over-all width, 1,865 mm	Caterpillar, D-4; track-laying; diesel; 50 hp @ 1,300 rpm; 53-1/2 hp @ 1,400 rpm; bore 4-1/2 inches (114 mm); stroke, 5-1/2 inches (140 mm); tractor weight, 4,730 kg; overall length, 3,050 mm; over-all width, 1,575 mm	, 27,000	4,540	5.9
		KD-35; track-laying; diesel; 37 hp @ 1,400 rpm, bore, 100 mm; stroke, 130 mm; tractor weight, 3,700 kg; over-all length, 3,040 mm; over-all width, 1,430 mm	Caterpillar D-2; track-laying; diesel; 38 hp @ 1,400 rpm; 41 hp @ 1,525 rpm; bore, 4 inches (103 mm); stroke, 5 inches (127 mm); tractor weight, 3,050 kg; overall length, 2,730 mm; over-all width, 1,415 mm	33,000	3,415	9.7
3711	Motor vehicles		•			
	Trucks	GAZ-51, net weight with body, 5,975 lbs; payload 2-1/2 tons, gross weight, 11,500 lbs; tire size, 5.70 x 20; wheelbase, 130 inches, dual rear tires	Ford, F-6 series; Model 9HTH; conventional; heavy duty; payload, 2 tons; net weight with body, 5,494 lbs; gross weight, 15,500 lbs; tire size, 7.50 x 20; wheel base, 134 inches, dual rear tires; 6-cylinder engine	15,675 <u>c</u> /	1,825	8.6
		ZIS-150; 2-axle; cargo; net weight, 3,900 kg (8,600 lbs); gross weight, 8,050 kg (17,750 lbs); wheel base, 4,000 mm (157 inches); tire size, 900 x 20; dual rear tires	GMC, FC 453; gross weight, 19,000 lbs; weight, chassis only, 5,440 lbs; cab, 500 lbs; platform express body, 1,100 lbs	18,525	3,085	6.0

- 82 -

<sup>a. Unless otherwise noted, all US prices from source 109/.
b. Prices for tractors were constructed from 1949 prices in source 110/ and adjusted to 1950 prices on the basis of known price changes.
c. Prices for Soviet trucks from source 111/.</sup>

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 28
Weighted Price Ratios of Tractors and Trucks in the USSR

,		,	Value V	Veights	Weighted Ratio		
SIC No.	Item	Price Ratio (Rubles per Dollar)	US (Million US \$)	USSR (Million Rubles)	US Mix (Rubles per Dollar)	Soviet Mix (Rubles per Dollar)	
3521	Tractors			2,315.7		6.0	
	s-80 dr-54 kd-35	5.8 5.9 9.7		1,054.5 1,096.2 165.0			
3711	Trucks	•		3,096.7		7.0	
	GAZ-51 ZIS-150	8.6 6.0		1,420.2 1,676.5			

S-E-C-R-E-T

b. Derivation of Price Ratios.

(1) Machine Tools.

Ruble prices as of 1 July 1950 have been used to establish the 1950 ratios for machine tools, as they presumably reflected an attempt by the Soviet government to bring prices of machine tools in line with the new prices for other capital goods. These prices are f.o.b. station of shipment and include the cost of packing. 112/

US 1950 prices for machine tools have been estimated from 1951 prices in the absence of readily available data for 1950. Mid-December 1951 prices have been used as the base prices. 113/ A 21-percent increase was noted between 1 July 1950 and mid-December 1951 in the wholesale price indexes for special groupings of machinery and steel products, including the machine tools class. 114/ Using BLS base value weights for 1947-49, it was established that the weight of the machine tools class was 43 percent of the total weight of all classes included in this special grouping. In addition, the "machine tools designed primarily for home workshops" class, which comprised 3 percent of the total weight of the special grouping, was included. Thus the two machine tools classes accounted for 46 percent of the base value weight. On the basis of data published by BLS, it was possible to establish a weight and a price index for each of the 26 commodities included in the 3 remaining classes of the special grouping. 115/ It was found that these classes, accounting for 54 percent of the weight, showed a price increase of 15.7 percent and that the machine tools class, accounting for 46 percent of the weight, showed a price increase of 27.2 percent between 1 July 1950 and 1 January 1952. Base prices as of mid-December 1951 were then adjusted to 1 July 1950 on the basis of a 27.2percent price increase.

(2) Metalworking Machinery (Except Machine Tools).*

Ruble prices as of 1 July 1950 have been used to establish the ruble-dollar ratios of metalworking machinery (except machine tools). The 1 July 1950 prices represent a flat 7-percent reduction over 1 January 1950 prices, which in turn represent reductions of as much as 25 percent over 1949 prices.

- 84 -

^{*} All of the machines used to represent this class are classified as metalforming machinery.

S-E-C-R-E-T

Prices of US metalworking machines (except machine tools) have been constructed by using mid-December 1951 prices. 116/ A price index for metalworking machinery (except machine tools) was established between 1 July 1950 and 1 January 1952, and the price increase for each commodity was weighted according to BLS base value weights. 117/ The resulting average price increase of 19 percent was used to adjust the mid-December 1951 price of each metalworking machine to 1 July 1950 prices.

Weighted ratios have been computed for machine tools and metalworking machinery (except machine tools) only for the US. Value of shipments data for 1947 have been used as weights for the broad categories. For purposes of weighting, ruble-dollar ratios have been averaged in cases where ratios had been computed for more than one component of a broad category.

Table 29* gives specifications, prices, and price ratios of metalworking machinery in the USSR and the US. Table 30** gives weighted price ratios of metalworking machinery in the US.

11. Textile Machinery.

It is estimated that, in 1950, cotton accounted for approximately 88 percent of all textiles produced in the USSR and 77 percent of all textiles produced in the US. 118/ In view of the overwhelming importance of cotton in the textile industries of the two countries, the machines selected for this research aid are all machines found in the cotton textile industry.

Prices for the Soviet models were effective on 1 January 1950, 119/were f.o.b. point of shipment, and included the cost of packing. The Soviet price list contained specifications of the textile machines as well as prices. These specifications were submitted to manufacturers of textile machines in the US, who in turn furnished prices for US models which most nearly approximated the Soviet models. One weakness here, however, was the failure of some manufacturers to indicate specifications of the US machine they were using for comparison.

As US prices were for February 1953, they were adjusted to January 1950 prices. On the basis of data furnished by BLS, it was possible***

^{*} Table 29 follows on p. 86.

^{**} Table 30 follows on p. 88.

^{***} Text continued on p. 89.

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 29

Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Metalworking Machinery in the USSR and the US

			Specifications	Pri	ce	Ratio
SIC NO.	Item	USSR	us	Rubles per Unit	Dollars per Unit	(Ruble-Dollar)
3541	Machine tools	Model_	Model			
	Boring machines, horizontal	262D, 110 mm	Cincinnati Gilbert, $3\frac{1}{2}$ inches Lucas, 42B30, 4 inches	119,380 112,800	19,911 <u>a</u> / 26,638	6.0 4.2
	Drilling machine radial	265V, 150 mm 255	Giddings and Lewis, 560P, 6 inches Cincinnati Gilbert, 36 inches by	756,700	112,318	6.7 4.2
	Gear cutting and finishing machines		II inches	31,866	7,500	.4.2
	Gear hobbing machine	5326	Gould and Eberhardt, 36H, 36 inches by 15 inches	65,800	16,228	4.1
	Gear hobbing machine, universal	532	Gould and Eberhardt, 24H, 24 inches by 15 inches	18,800	12,840	1.5
	Gear shaper	514	Fellows, 615A	27,354	10,447	2.6
	Grinding machines					
	Grinder, centerless Grinder, plain cylin-	3180	Cincinnati Gilbert, OM, 2	28,200	7,472	3.8
	drical	3151, 150 mm by 750 mm	Landis, 6 inches by 30 inches	23,124	9,992	2.3
	Grinder, horizontal spindle	3724, 2,000 mm by	Thompson, 23-C, 16 inches by % inches	142,974	15,299	0.2
	Grinder, vertical spindle	3756, 750 mm	Blanchard, 30 inches	51,418	7,449	9.3 6.9
	Lathes					
	Lathe, engine	1A62	Reed-Prentice, AA, 16 inches by 30 inches	13,160	5,165	2.5
	Lathe, 6-spindle chucking Lathe, 6-spindle	1261P, 130 mm	New Britain 65, 5-5/8 inches	108,852	19,393	5.6
	vertical	1A283, 300 mm	Bullard, 12 inches	126,712	33,450	3.8
	Lathe, 6-spindle vertical	1284, 400 mm	Bullard 16 inches	140,248	38,945	3.6
	Lathe, turret	1K36, 65 mm	Bardons and Oliver, 21A, 21/2 inches	28,200	10,375	2.7

a. Average of prices for Cincinnati Gilbert and Lucas.

- 86 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 29

Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Metalworking Machinery in the USSR and the US (Continued)

			Specifications	Pr	ice	
SIC No.	Item	USSR	US	Rubles per Unit	Dollars per Unit	Ratio (Ruble-Dollar)
3541	Machine tools	<u>Model</u>	<u>Model</u>			
(Cont'd)	Milling machines		•			
	Horizontal (plain)	6N82G, 1,250 mm	Cincinnati Gilbert, 2, high-speed dial	28,200	10,126	2,8
	Horizontal (universal)	6N82, 1,250 mm by 300 mm	Cincinnati Gilbert, 2, high-speed dial	30,080	11,562	2.6
	Other machine tools					
	Broaching machine, hydraulic, horizontal Planer	7530, 30 tons 7231A, -900 mm	La Pointe, 50 hp, 25 tons	45,684	8,945	5.1
	Shaper, hydraulic Threader bolt	by 3,000 mm 7A36, 700 mm 5A07	Gray, 36 inches by 120 inches Rockford, 28 inches Landmaco, 1½ inches	192,700 31,678 13,536	30,708 6,665 2,457	6.3 4.8 5.5
3542	Metalworking machinery (except machine tools)					
	Mechanical presses	K231, 10 tons K232, 15 tons K30, 30 tons	Zeh and Hahnemann, 9 tons Zeh and Hahnemann, 16 tons E.W. Bliss, 32 tons	3,860 6,045 11,467	718 962 1,927	5.4 6.3 6.0
	Pipe and structural bending machines	k30, 30 tolls	E.W. DLISS, 32 00118	11,401	±, 5=1	
	Iron worker	n633	Buffalo, No. 21/2	81,747	7,471	10.9
	Power shearing machines					
	Shears, plate	N461, 1,640 kg	Peck, Stow, and Wilcox, 3.900 pounds	15,345	2,103	7-3
	Forging hammers		3,500 pounds	27,5.7	2,205	1-5
	Forging hammer, pneumatic	M412, 150 kg M415, 400 kg	Chambersburg, 300 pounds Chambersburg, 750 pounds	17,484 39,860	5,218 11,483	3.4 3.5
	Other forging machines					
	Rotary swager	V202, tube diameter, 7.3 mm	Etna, tube diameter, 3/8 inches	14,229	840	16.9

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 30
Weighted Price Ratios of Metalworking Machinery in the US

				Weighte	d Ratio
SIC No.	Item	Price Ratio (Rubles per Dollar)	US Value Weights (Million US \$)	US Mix (Rubles per Dollar)	Soviet Mix (Rubles per Dollar)
3541	Machine tools		3 69 . 8	4.5	
	Boring machines Drilling machines Gear cutting and finishing machines Grinding and polishing machines Lathes Milling machines Other machine tools	5.6 4.2 2.7 5.6 3.6 2.7 5.4	25.8 33.5 17.7 56.4 92.9 35.3 108.2		
3542	Metalworking machinery (except machine tools)		103.6	6.8	
	Mechanical presses Pipe and structural bending machines Power shearing machines Forging hammers Other forging machines	5.9 10.9 7.3 3.4 16.9	77.9 1.8 12.6 4.3 7.0		

- 88 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

to set up a wholesale price index for textile machines between January 1950 and February 1953. The 1953 prices were adjusted to January 1950 on the basis of a 22-percent increase during the period.

A weighted ratio for textile machinery has been computed only for the US. Value of shipments in 1947 for broad categories has been used as value weights. Ruble-dollar ratios have been averaged in cases where ratios had been computed for more than one component of a broad category for purposes of weighting.

Table 31* gives specifications, prices, and price ratios of textile machinery in the USSR and the US. Table 32** gives weighted price ratios of textile machinery in the US.

12. Electrical Equipment and Electronics.

Soviet wholesale prices for electrical equipment and electronics (with the exception of electron tubes) were those in effect on 1 January 1950. Soviet prices for electron tubes for 1950 were obtained by adjusting 1 January 1949 prices by means of an average price index for mining, manufacturing, electric power, and rail transport. 120/

US prices, in most cases, were annual average prices. US 1950 prices for electron tubes were estimated by adjusting prices in effect on 1 January 1949 by means of an electron tube price index. 121/

On account of the lack of gross value of production data for the US and the USSR, it was not possible to compute weighted average ratios for most four-digit categories. In this case, simple averages of ratios were computed for the four-digit categories. A simple average of ratios for most of the four-digit categories would differ only slightly from a weighted average because of the small dispersion of the ratios.

Table 33*** gives specifications, prices, and price ratios of electrical equipment and electronics in the USSR and the US. Table 34*** gives weighted price ratios of electrical equipment and electronics in the US./

Table 31 follows on p. 90.

^{**} Table 32 follows on p. 91.

^{***} Table 33 follows on p. 92.

^{****} Table 34 follows on p. 97.

Text continued on p. 98.

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 31

Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Textile Machinery in the USSR and the US

		Sp	ecifications	Pr	ice	Ratio
SIC No.	Item	USSR	US	Rubles per Unit	Dollars per Unit	(Ruble-Dollar)
3552	Textile machinery					
	Cleaning and opening machinery					
	One-process picker	TO-16	Whitin, 18 inches	80,000	10,716	7.5
	Vertical opener Blending feeder	VRR-1 PS-1	Whitin, N2, 36 inches	16,000 10,300	1,982 1,455	8.1 7.1
	Carding machines					
	Card	Ch-305	Whitin, L, 40 inches	20,000	3,532	5.7
	Drawing and roving frames					
	Drawing frame Roving frame Silver lap winder	L-305 or L-254 RTP-192 LS-235	Whitin, KSF Whitin (10 by 5 by 7-1/2 inches)	15,200 48,000 20,000	7,068 8,322 2,805	2.2 5.8 7.1
	Spinning frames					
	Spinning frame for cotton yarn	P-66 or PU-66		46,000	9,140	5.0
	Twisting frames					
	Dry twist twister	K-83	Whitin, B, 3-1/4 inch gaug	e 45,500	6,613	6.9
	Winding machines					
	Winding frame	M-150		1,2,500	6 , 396	6.6
	Other yarn preparing machines					
	High-speed warping machines Slashing cylindrical machine	SV-140 ShB-140		100,000	.6,330 13,120	15.8 8.4
	Power locms					•
	Automatic weaving loom	ATK-100		6,750	768	8.8
	Hosiery knitting machines					
	Automatic machine for production of hosiery	KAS-22		16,630	2,710	6.1
	Bleaching, dyeing, and finishing machinery					
	Dyeing machine for cotton Two-roll finishing machine	KhK-110 PD-110	. -	293,500 18,000	16,400 1,228	17.9 14.7

- 90 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 32
Weighted Price Ratios of Textile Machinery in the US

				Weighte	d Ratio
SIC No.	Item	Price Ratio (Rubles per Dollar)	US Value Weights (Million US \$)	US Mix (Rubles per Dollar)	Soviet Mix (Rubles per Dollar)
3552	Textile machinery		173.9	7•9	
	Cleaning and opening machinery				
	Picker Other cleaning and opening machinery	7.5 7.6	2.2 6.4		
	Carding machines Drawing and roving frames Spinning frames Twisting frames Winding machines Other yarn preparing machines Power looms Knitting machines, hosiery Bleaching, dyeing, and finishing machinery	5.7 5.0 5.0 6.9 6.6 12.1 8.8 6.1 16.3	16.8 10.5 17.2 10.2 16.2 4.2 34.4 35.8 20.0		

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 33

Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Electrical Equipment and Electronics in the USSR and the US

			Specifi	cations		Pr	ice	Ratio
SIC No.	Item		USSR		IS	Rubles per Kilowatt	Dollars per Kilowatt	(Ruble-Dollar)
3511	Turbines							
	Steam		12.5 mw a/* 25 mw 50 mw		12.5 mw 25 mw 50 mw 100 mw	150 <u>b</u> / 108 80 60	30 <u>a/</u> 25 21 17 15 50 75 75 75	5.0 4.3 3.8 3.5
	Hydro	Francis	100 mw 150 mw 38.5 mw 75 mw	Francis Kaplan	150 mw 38.5 mw 75 mw	60 50 47 68 202	15 50 50 75	3.5 3.5 3.3 0.9 1.4
		Kaplan	12.5 mw 46.0 mw 65.0 mw	картап	12.5 mw 46.0 mw 65.0 mw	201 185	75 75	2.7 2.7 2.5
3631	Insulated wire and cable					Rubles per 100 Pounds	Dollars per 100 Pounds	
	Bare wire	Copper, hard dr GOST 2112-46	eawn, round, solid,	Copper, hard dra	wn, round, solid			
		0.6 mm in d 0.30 mm in 2.5 mm in d 6.0 mm in d	diameter Liameter	42 AWG <u>d</u> / 28 AWG 10 AWG 2 AWG		1,114 <u>e</u> / 384 346 346	218.12 34.66 29.82 29.57	5.1 11.1 11.6 11.7
		Copper, anneale GOST 2112-46	d, round, solid,	Copper, annealed	, round, solid			
		0.30 mm in 2.5 mm in d 6.0 mm in d	liameter	28 AWG 10 AWG 2 AWG		386 348 348	34.76 29.92 29.67	11.1 11.6 11.7

^{*} Footnotes for Table 33 follow on p. 96.

- 92 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 33

Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Electrical Equipment and Electronics in the USSR and the US (Continued)

		Specif	ications	Pr	ice	
SIC No.	Item	USSR	US	Rubles per 1,000 Feet	Dollars per 1,000 Feet	Ratio (Ruble-Dollar
3631 (Cont'd)						
	Power cable	Paper-insulated, single-conductor elec- tric power cable with copper conductor, insulated with impregnated cable paper, lead-sheathed, GOST 340-41				
		Volt Cross Section (mm ²) <u>f</u> /	Volt Description			
		3,000 16 3,000 35 3,000 95 3,000 95 3,000 16 6,000 16 6,000 35 6,000 240 10,000 16 10,000 35 10,000 95 10,000 95 10,000 95 Rubber-insulated, single-conductor electric power cable with rubber insulation lead-sheathed, GOSF 433-41, 500 voit		945 1,500 3,261 7,528 1,219 1,810 3,718 7,955 1,588 2,249 4,237 8,504	314 449 700 1,237 377 493 749 1,308 634 920 1,541	3.0 3.3 4.7 6.1 3.2 7 5.0 6.3 4.5 6 5.5
		Cross Section (mm ²)	Description			
		1 6 6 35 95 150 240	AWG 16 (=1 mm ²), solid AWG 10 (=6 mm ²), solid AWG 10 (=6 mm ²), strended AWG 1 (=35 mm ²), strended AWG 4/0 (=95 mm ²), strended 300 MCM (=150 mm ²), strended 500 MCM (=20 mm ²), strended	259 457 457 1,798 3,749 5,456 8,321	40.20 100 112 439 929 1,395 2,015	6.4 4.6 4.1 4.0 3.9

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 33

Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Electrical Equipment and Electronics in the USSR and the US (Continued)

		Specif	ications	Pr	ice	Ratio
SIC No.	Item	USSR	US	Rubles per 1,000 Feet	Dollars per 1,000 Feet	(Ruble-Dollar)
3631 (Cont'd)	Control cable	Electric control cable with rubber insu- lation GOST 1508-49, lead-sheathed, armored with steel tape, with external jute covering, unspecified voltage	Rubber-insulated, copper conductors, lead-sheathed, armored with steel tape, with external jute covering, 600 volt			
		Cross Section (mm ²) Conductor	AWG Conductor			
		2.5 5 2.5 14 2.5 19 2.5 37 6 6 10	14 5 (=2.5 mm²) 14 12 14 19 14 37 10 5 (=6 mm²)	1,676 3,109 3,718 6,431 2,621 3,810	508 841 1,120 1,816 612 1,128	3.3 3.7 3.3 3.5 4.3 3.4
	Magnet wire	Copper, round, enamel, normal quality	Copper, round, enamel	Rubles per 100 Pounds	Dollars per 100 Pounds	
		Diameter (mm)	AWG			
•		2.02 0.80 0.25 0.08	6 20 30 40	373 445 614 2,227	43.55 47.10 60.65 157.70	8.6 9.4 10.1 14.1
	Field wire Coaxial cable	•				10.0 <u>h</u> / 5.0 <u>h</u> /

- 94 -

Table 33

Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Electrical Equipment and Electronics in the USSR and the US (Continued)

		Speci	fications	P	rice	
SIC No.	Item	ÜSSR	US	Rubles per Unit	Dollars per Unit	Ratio (Ruble-Dollar)
3651	Electric lamps					
		Spherical shape	Pear shape, inside frosted, for 115-, 120-, and 125-wolt circuits			
3661		15 watts 135 volts TU-1-3-101 25 watts 110 volts GOST-1608-47 40 watts 110 volts GOST-1608-47 60 watts 110 volts GOST-1608-47 100 watts 135 volts TU-1-3-101 150 watts 135 volts TU-1-3-101 300 watts 220 volts GOST-2239-43 750 watts 220 volts GOST-2239-43 1,000 watts 220 volts GOST-2239-43	15 watts 25 watts 40 watts 60 watts 100 watts 150 watts 300 watts 500 watts 750 watts 1,000 watts	0.80 <u>i</u> / 0.87 0.97 0.97 1.20 1.20 2.50 2.80 5.50 6.00	0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.11 0.15 0.34 0.75 2.29 2.48	8.9 9.7 10.8 10.9 8.0 7.4 3.7 2.4
2001	Radios, radio and television equipment (except radio tubes), and radar and related detection apparatus					
	Consumer radios	Moskvich, 3-tube receiver VEF Super M-557, 6-tube receiver Belarus, 13-tube receiver Iskra, 4-tube receiver	US cost estimated for Soviet specimen US cost estimated for Soviet specimen US cost estimated for Soviet specimen US cost estimated for Soviet specimen	500 <u>1</u> / 1,000 <u>1</u> / 2,000 <u>m</u> / 1400 <u>n</u> /	34.95 <u>k</u> / 57.50 199.50 39.95	14.3 17.4 10.0 10.0
	Television sets	Leningrad T-1, Type 18 LK 1B, tube 7 inches in diameter, 22 tubes, table model	9 x 10-3/6 inch screen, 18 tubes, multichannel, table model	2,000 <u>o</u> /	140.23 <u>p</u> /	14.3

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 33

Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Electrical Equipment and Electronics in the USSR and the US (Continued)

	Specifications		Price		
SIC No. Item	USSR	US	Rubles per Unit	Dollars per Unit	Ratio (Ruble-Dollar
662 Radio tubes g/					
Receiving tubes	6V6S, P.O. pentode 6KhSS, 2X power rectifier 66SS 6FFM, detector-triode 12N11 (12A1F/DT) 5V4S, 2X power rectifier 6NGM (6SI7GT) 12SK7, RF pentode 12SK7, RF pentode 12SK7, Gould dide-triode 6NCM (6SI7GT), 2X triode 6ZhSM (6SI7GT), 2X triode 6ZhSM (6SI7GT), 6tector-triode 6KHOM (6SI7GT), 6Theode 6ZHSM (6SI7GT) 6GK7 6SJ7, RF pentode 6AC7, RF pentode 616S (6G6GT), P.O. pentode 12JSGT, detector-triode 12SJ7, RF pentode 6SGT, Gould dide-triode 12SGT, RD duble diode-triode 12SGT, double diode-triode 12SGT, the pentode	64601 63501 63501 65501 65501 12817(01 5446 6817(01 12817) 12817) 6817(01 63501 6857 6857 68517 6867 125501 12557 6867 12507 12607	11.85 11.25 7.50 7.50 7.50 15.00 9.30 12.45 13.50 10.50 13.50 12.52 22.50 14.02 12.00 13.50 13.50 13.50 13.50 13.50 13.50 13.50 13.50 13.50 13.50 13.50 13.50 13.50	1.57 1.29 0.80 0.80 0.80 1.29 0.74 1.16 0.92 0.98 1.08 0.74 0.98 0.81 1.42 0.88 0.74 0.88 0.74 0.98	7.5 8.7 9.4 11.6 12.6 12.5 13.5 13.9 14.2 15.3 15.3 15.3 15.9 16.5 17.6 18.2 19.1
Megawatts. Soviet prices for entire category 35 Unless otherwise noted, US prices ar ANG = American wire gauge. All Soviet prices for category 3631 MM* = square millimeters in diameter MCM = million circular mills. Ratio estimated on the basis of the Soviet prices for 3651 are from sour Retail price is from source 126/. All US prices for consumer radios ar Retail price is from source 129/. Retail price. 130/ Retail price. 131/ Retail price. 132/ US and Soviet prices are 1949 prices US and Soviet prices are 1949 prices	e from source 124/. are from source i24/. technology of its production. ce 125/.	25X1A5a1	s for tubes being produced in .	1950 were considered.	

S-E-C-R-E-T

 $\mbox{Table 3$^{$\!\!\!\!4}$}$ Weighted Price Ratios of Electrical Equipment and Electronics in the USSR and the US

			Value Weights		Weighted Ratio	
SIC No.	Item	Price Ratio (Rubles per Dollar)	US (Million US \$)	USSR (Million Rubles)	US Mix (Rubles per Dollar)	Soviet Mix (Rubles per Dollar)
3511	Turbines			454.0	3.0 <u>a</u> /	3.0
	Steam Hydro	4.0 <u>a/</u> 2.0 <u>a</u> /		343.0 111.0		•
3631 3651 3661	Insulated wire and cable Electric lamps Radios, radio and television equipment	·			6.2 <u>a/</u> 7.5 <u>a</u> /	5.0 <u>a</u> / 5.4 <u>a</u> /
3662	(except radio tubes), and radar and related detection apparatus Radio tubes	· .			13.2 <u>a/</u> 14.1 <u>a/</u>	12.6 <u>a/</u> 13.2 <u>a</u> /

a. Simple average.

S-E-C-R-E-T

13. Communications Services.

a. General.

The sectors of the communications industry considered in this report include the telephone, telegraph, and postal systems. Not included in the analysis are special, functionalized communications systems, such as those maintained by the military, police, and civil air fleet.

Telephone, telegraph, and mail service are believed to be representative of the sectors of the communications industry being considered and are reasonably comparable in both countries.

It should be pointed out that in dealing with a service, as opposed to a commodity, it is difficult, if not impossible, to measure value accurately. No attempt has been made here to weight the services selected in regard to efficiency or quality. Instead, the main reliance has been placed on selecting services with the same general characteristics in both the US and the USSR.

b. Derivation of Price Ratios.

In developing ruble-dollar price ratios for the selected services it was necessary to determine typical charges for comparable services.

In both the US and the USSR, charges for long-distance telephone calls are based on distance spanned and time consumed in conversation. Although it is possible to arrive at an average charge for a typical call in the US, corresponding data for the USSR are not available for such a computation. Therefore, instead of utilizing an average charge for the US long-distance telephone call, the schedules of rates for the two countries were compared. 133/ Ratios were calculated for each distance unit in the schedules and an arithmetic average of these ratios was taken as a representative ratio.

US home telephone subscription rates vary by locality, numbers of telephones in the exchange, and type of service offered. The charge ranges from about \$3 to \$7.50 per month. 134/ A typical charge appears to be \$4 per month, or \$48 per year. The yearly Soviet subscription fee for a home telephone is 300 rubles. 135/

S-E-C-R-E-T

Business telephone subscription charges in the US vary in the same manner as home telephone charges and range between \$5 and \$15 per month. $\underline{136}/$ It is believed that \$8.50 per month, or \$102 per year, represents a typical charge. The Soviet charge for a business or enterprise telephone is 500 rubles per year. $\underline{137}/$

In the US, there are three types of telegrams -- full rate, day letters, and night letters. The charges for these three services vary according to the number of words sent, the distances spanned, and the time in delivery. In the USSR, there are also three types of telegrams -- common, urgent, and lightning. The charges for these three services vary with number of words and speed of delivery, but the charge does not appear to vary in proportion to distance spanned. From the fragmentary data available on the Soviet telegraph service, it is impossible to correlate Soviet telegram classifications with those of the US. Since common telegrams are the most typical sent in the USSR and fullrate telegrams the most typical in the US, these two services were selected as representative of the telegraph service in the two countries. The average full-rate telegram in the US costs \$0.89. This rate was determined by dividing the total yearly revenue for full-rate messages by the number of telegrams sent. 138/ The average number of words per telegram is not known, but it would exceed the base rate of 10 words. The Soviet charge for a 10-word common telegram is 4 rubles. 139/ The Soviet average telegram will also exceed the minimum wordage, and it is therefore assumed that an additional 12-1/2 percent of the base charge would be a reasonable addition for the excess wordage, yielding an average charge of 4.5 rubles.

To check the validity of these assumptions, it was found that the average US telegram price of \$0.89 would buy a full-rate, 10-word telegram sent for a distance of about 1,100 miles. To call this distance on the telephone in the US would cost \$1.82 for a ratio of telephone charge to telegram charge of about 2 to 1. To call 1,100 miles in the USSR costs about 8.20 rubles. When this charge is compared with the above-determined average telegram charge of 4.5 rubles it yields a ratio of about 1.8 to 1. Thus the ratios of telephone charges to telegram charges in the two countries appear consistent, and as they are close substitutes for rapid communications this ratio tends to validate the comparability of the services in the two countries.

Letters and post cards mailed are believed to be representative of the mail service category. The price for mailing a letter

S-E-C-R-E-T

in the US in 1950 was \$0.03, and in the USSR 0.40 rubles. $\underline{140}$ The price for mailing a post card in the US in 1950 was \$0.01, and in the USSR 0.25 rubles. $\underline{141}$

c. Derivation of Value Weights.

Revenues received from the various communications services have been used as value weights for both the US and the USSR. In instances where revenue data were not already published, volume figures have been combined with price data to determine revenue values. Various considerations were made in arriving at volume data for the different services, and for this reason each service will be discussed separately.

(1) Long-Distance Telephone Calls.

Total revenue from long-distance telephone calls in the US in 1950 was published. 142/ The corresponding Soviet revenue figure was developed by taking the average rate for US long-distance calls -- \$0.52 -- and multiplying it by the ruble-dollar ratio previously computed -- 4.5 to 1 -- to get a Soviet average rate per call of 2.34 rubles. This rate was multiplied by the number of calls 143/ to get the total revenue figure.

(2) Home or Private Telephone Subscriptions.

The number of home telephones existing in the US in 1950 was 30 million. 144 This figure included extension telephones, extra telephones, telephones used only part time, and dead telephones not connected. It was assumed that only a net of 25 million of these 30 million telephones would represent full-year, full-rate subscribers.

The number of home telephones in the USSR in 1950 on a full-year, full-rate basis was estimated to be 300,000 out of a total number of 1.4 million. 145/ The ratio of home telephones to business telephones in the USSR is not known, but home telephones are known to be in the minority, and this division appeared reasonable.

The net number of US home telephones -- 25 million -- was multiplied by the average subscription rate of \$48 to get total revenue. The Soviet subscription charge of 300 rubles was multiplied by the number of home subscribers -- 300,000 -- to arrive at total revenue.

- 100 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

(3) Business or Enterprise Telephone Subscriptions.

The total number of business telephones in the US in 1950 was 13 million. 146/ It has been assumed that only 10 million of these would represent full-rate, full-year subscribers.*

The number of business or enterprise telephones in the USSR -- 1.1 million -- again was arbitrarily selected as reasonable from the total number of telephones in the USSR.

The total revenue for both the US and USSR has been computed by multiplying the number of subscribers by the appropriate charge.

(4) Telegrams.

Total revenue in the US from telegrams in 1950 was \$151.4 million. 147/ Soviet telegram revenue of 1,090,071,000 rubles was obtained by multiplying the US average price of \$0.83 per telegram (average for all telegrams sent in the US) by the ruble-dollar ratio of 5.1 to 1 for telegrams to get an average Soviet price per telegram of 4.23 rubles. This price was then multiplied by the number of telegrams sent in the USSR in 1950 -- 257.7 million. 148/

(5) Mail Service.

The volume of letters and post cards mailed -- 22 billion and 3.9 billion, respectively $\underline{149}/$ -- has been multiplied by the postage fees to arrive at total US revenue data.

Volume figures for letters and post cards mailed in the USSR -- 2,278 million and 570 million, respectively -- were derived by taking the 1929 and 1937 figures reported by the UN 150/ and making a straight extrapolation through time to 1950. The ratio between letters and post cards was estimated to be 4 to 1, which appears reasonable in light of the US ratio for these categories. The volume figures thus derived were multiplied by the postage rates, giving revenue estimates.

^{*} To verify the figures of 25 million home and 10 million business subscribers, these figures were multiplied by their respective yearly subscription rates, and the resulting total revenue of \$2,220 million compares favorably with the total revenue of these services of \$2,287 million published by the FCC.

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 35* gives specifications, prices, and price ratios of communications services in the USSR and the US. Table 36** gives weighted price ratios of communications services in the USSR and the US.

14. Electric Power.

Rate structures for electric power sold to consumers, in both the USSR and the US, are very complex and differ considerably in their makeup.

Industrial power rates in both countries are based on two charges -- a use charge, based on the kilowatt-hours of electricity consumed during a billing period, and a demand charge, based either on the maximum power demanded during a billing period or on the maximum capacity of installed electrical equipment. Industrial power rates differ for the two countries in that in the US the rate charged industrial consumers decreases as the quantity consumed increases, whereas in the USSR the rate usually remains stable regardless of the amount of power consumed. Also, rates in the USSR are often inflated to discourage industries from locating in certain areas and are often deflated to subsidize certain industries.

Power rates for most residential and commercial consumers (stores, offices, and the like) in the USSR are on a "flat rate" basis regardless of the local production and distribution costs. For example, residential consumers in both Moscow and Siberia pay the same rate for electric power. Often the residential consumer is merely charged a flat monthly rate depending upon the number and size of light bulbs in his dwelling. In addition, nonessential, or "luxury," users, such as churches, restaurants, and gasoline filling stations, are charged exorbitant rates. On the other hand, power rates for residential and commercial consumers in the US vary considerably from area to area and usually reflect actual production and distribution costs, and flat rates are virtually unknown.

The rate for industrial consumers in the US has been derived by taking the average 1950 rate per kilowatt-hour for the category "Large Light and Power" as defined by Edison Electric Institute. 151/

^{*} Table 35 follows on p. 103.

^{**} Table 36 follows on p. 104.

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 35

Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Communications Services in the USSR and the US

e.		Specifi	Leations	Pr		
SIC No.		USSR	US	Rubles per Unit	Dollars per Unit	Ratio (Ruble-Dollar)
4811	Telephone communications (wire and radio)					
	Telephone service	Long-distance telephone calls Home or private telephone subscription Business of enterprise telephone subscription	Long-distance telephone calls Home or private telephone subscription Business or enterprise telephone subscription	300 500	48.00 102.00	4.5 6.2 4.9
4821	Telegraph communications (wire and radio)					
	Telegraph service	Telegrams, common	Telegrams, full rate	4.5	0.89	5.1
4899	Communications services, n.e.c. a					
,	Mail service	Letters Post cards	Letters Post cards	0.40 0.25	0.03 0.01	13.3 25.0

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 36
Weighted Price Ratios of Communications Services in the USSR and the US

			Value	Weights	Weighted Ratio		
SIC No.	Item	Price Ratio US USSR (Rubles per Dollar) (Million US \$) (Million Rubles)		US Mix (Rubles per Dollar	Soviet Mix (Rubles per Dollar		
	Communications services		4,250.8	3,063.9	6.6	6.4	
4811	Telephone service		3,400.4	920.1	5.2	4.8	
	Long-distance telephone call	4.5	1,180.4	280.1			
Home or private telephone subscriptions Business or enterprise subscriptions	6.2	1,200.0	90.0				
		4.9	1,020.0	550.0			
4821	Telegraph service						
	Telegrams	5.1	151.4	1,090.1	5.1	5.1	
4899	Mail service	,	699.0	1,053.7	14.0	14.2	
•	Letters Post cards	13.3 25.0	660.0 39.0	911.2 142.5			

- 104 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

For the USSR an average rate for electric power consumed by industry has been computed by using an average rate for each of 53 power supplying organizations. 152/ The average rate for each area was weighted against power consumption 153/ for the corresponding area, thus yielding a weighted average rate for the USSR for industrial consumers. Rates used in this computation were in effect in 1949. A rate reduction of 10 percent was made in January 1950. It is not clear whether the reduction applied to the annual charge based on transformer capacity as well as the rate per kilowatt-hour consumed. For purposes of this research aid, it has been assumed that the reduction applied to both.

Some industrial plants and municipalities in both countries generate their own power. This power has been arbitrarily priced at the amount these enterprises would have paid had the power been purchased from public supply sources.

The rate for residential consumers in the US has been derived by taking the average of the category 154/ for 1950. The average rate for commercial consumers in the US was assumed to be approximately equal to the rate for the category "Small Light and Power" as defined by Edison Electric Institute. 155/ The average rate for residential and commercial consumers was derived by weighting the two rates by the proportion of power consumption accounted for by each category.

According to a 1949 Soviet source, 156/ residential and commercial consumers in the USSR are divided into nine rate groups. The first and second groups are basically residential consumers and are charged 35 and 40 kopecks per kilowatt-hour, respectively. The remaining groups fall into a category referred to as "commercial" in the US. The rates for these groups vary from 30 kopecks per kilowatt-hour for transportation terminals, military barracks, and the like to 250 kopecks per kilowatt-hour for churches, restaurants, and gasoline filling stations.

There are no available data on power consumption for each of the nine rate groups. An analysis of the type of consumers included in each group indicates that the second group, with a rate of 40 kopecks per kilowatt-hour, would account for the largest proportion of power consumption in the residential and commercial category. The next two largest groups would probably be those with rates of 35 and 60 kopecks per kilowatt-hour. An average rate of 40 kopecks per kilowatt-hour is assumed to be realistic. This rate should be considered as a minimum,

S-E-C-R-E-T

however, as the average rate may be as high as 50 kopecks per kilowatt-hour. No adjustment has been made for the 1950 price reduction as it is believed that this reduction did not affect rates for residential and commercial consumers. There is evidence that the 1949 prices for these consumers were still effective in 1955. 157/

Gross value weights for industrial, residential, and commercial consumers for both countries have been computed by multiplying the amount of electric power consumed by the average selling price per kilowatt-hour.

Table 37* gives specifications, prices, and price ratios of electric power in the USSR and the US. Table 38** gives weighted price ratios of electric power in the USSR and the US.

15. Rail Freight Transportation.

Ruble-dollar ratios based on railroad freight rates, as shown in Table 39,*** range from 2.3 to 1 for manufactured iron and steel to 6.2 to 1 for iron ore with a weighted average ratio based on US revenue data of 4.2 to 1 for all commodities. The ratios for individual commodities are based on US revenue per ton for the US average length of haul, compared with the Soviet freight rate for the US average length of haul. The results from such a comparison are believed to have a relatively low margin of error, with a few exceptions, because of the excellent data available on US traffic and revenue and Soviet freight tariff.

Ruble-dollar ratios for individual commodities based on Soviet freight rate per ton for Soviet average length of haul, compared with the US revenue per ton for the Soviet average length of haul, were not determined, because data on Soviet average length of haul are available only for major commodity groups and because freight rates vary for some of the individual commodites within a major commodity group. In addition, available US revenue data are for relatively large mileage blocks, so that the US revenue figure based on Soviet average length of haul might have a significant margin of error.

^{*} Table 37 follows on p. 107.

^{**} Table 38 follows on p. 108.

^{***} Table 39 follows on p. 109.

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 37

Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Electric Power in the USSR and the US

				Pr	rice	
SIC No.	Item	Specific USSR	us US	Kopecks per Kilowatt-Hour	Cents per Kilowatt-Hour	Ratio (Ruble-Dollar)
4911	Electric light and power					
	Industrial Residential and commercial	*		15.6 40.0	1.01 2.78	15.4 14.4

Table 38 Weighted Price Ratios of Electric Power in the USSR and the US

			Value We	Weighted Ratio		
SIC No.	Item	Price Ratio (Rubles per Dollar)	.US (Million US \$) <u>a</u> /	USSR (Million Rubles)	US Mix (Rubles per Dollar)	Soviet Mix (Rubles per Dollar)
4911	Electric light and power		5,182.0	14,160.0	14.8	15.1
	Industrial Residential and Commercial	15.4 14.4	1,929.0 3,253.0	9,360.0 <u>b/</u> 4,800.0 <u>c</u> /		

Consumption data used in computing values are from source 150.

Assumed that industry consumed about two-thirds of the electric power produced in the USSR during 1950-55. 159/
Consumption data used in computing value are from source 160/.

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 39

Calculation of Ruble-Dollar Ratios for Rail Freight Transportation

Commodity	Short Tons Originated, US, 1951 2/*	Metric Tons Originated, US, 1951 b/ (Thousand Metric Tons)	Freight Revenue Received by US Railroads, 1951 c/ (US \$)	US Average Short-Line Haul per Short Ton d/ (Miles)	US Average Short-Line Haul per Short Ton e/ (Kilometers)	US Revenue per Metric Ton f/ (US \$)	Soviet 1949 Rate per Metric Ton for US Average Haul g/ (Rubles)	Ratio of 1950 to 1949 Soviet Rate h	Soviet 1950 Rate per Metric Ton, for US Average Haul i/ (Rubles)	Ratio j/ (Ruble-Dollar)
Foodstuffs										
Wheat Corn Irish potatoes Fresh meat Wheat flour Food products, n.e.c. k/	365,472 189,238 37,881 25,592 93,934 104,241	332 171 34 23 85 94	2,186,134 1,013,653 653,791 763,738 605,273 1,650,029	392 368 1,093 943 658 925	630 590 1,759 1,517 1,059 1,488	6.60 5.94 19.23 33.20 7.11 17.55	28.32 27.12 97.75 187.54 47.30 88.50	0.85 0.85 0.80 0.80 0.85 0.85	24.0 23.0 78.2 150.0 40.2 70.8	3.6 3.9 4.1 4.5 5.6 4.0
Coal and coke			•							
Anthracite coal Bituminous coal Coke	281,638 3,592,931 245,881	255 3,259 222	899,087 10,998,411 728,234	187 300 197	300 482 316	3·54 3·37 3·28	13.44 19.83 14.29	0.90 0.90 0.90	12.0 17.8 12.9	3•4 5•3 3•9
Petroleum products										
Gasoline Fuel and road oils Refined petroleum, n.e.c.	117,508 118,780 83,283	107 107 75	593,649 649,625 893,454	242 343 587	389 550 944	5.55 6.08 11.91	36.63 31.63 57.69	0.90 0.90 0.90	33.0 28.5 51.9	6.0 4.7 4.4
Ores										
Iron ore	1,520,103	1,379	2,092,905	158	254	1.52	10.40	0.90	9.4	6.2
Iron and steel										
Manufactured iron and steel Iron and steel pipe Scrap iron	327,490 84,394 244,590	296 76 222	3,239,333 1,385,341 1,164,507	437 792 215	702 1,274 346	10.94 18.22 5.25	27.71 58.63 16.93	0.90 0.90 0.90	24.9 52.8 15.2	2.3 2.9 2.9

^{*} Footnotes for Table 39 follow on p. 111.

- 109 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 39

Calculation of Ruble-Dollar Ratios for Rail Freight Transportation (Continued)

Commodity	Short Tons Originated US, 1951 3/*	Metric Tons Originated, US, 1951 b/ (Thousand Metric Tons)	Freight Revenue Received by US Railroads, 1951 c/ (US \$)	US Average Short-Line Haul per Short Ton d/ (Miles)	US Average Short-Line Haul per Short Ton e/ (Kilometers)	US Revenue per Metric Ton f/ (US \$)	Soviet 1949 Rate per Metric Ton for US Average Haul g/ (Rubles)	Ratio of 1950 to 1949 Soviet Rate b/	Soviet 1950 Rate per Metric Ton, for US Average Haul i/ (Rubles)	Ratio j/ (Ruble-Dollar)
Mineral building materials			•							
Gravel and sand Crushed stone Phosphate rock Lumber, shingles Portland cement	584,591 486,087 205,188 280,123 286,107	530 441 186 254 260	665,093 700,480 613,699 3,566,262 1,244,865	85 117 295 1,090 170	137 188 474 1,754 273	1.26 1.59 3.30 14.03 4.80	8.50 10.36 15.13 72.05 19.00	0.75 0.75 0.90 0.85 0.90	6.4 7.8 13.6 61.2 17.1	5.1 4.9 4.1 4.4 3.6
Chemicals						•				
Sodium products Fertilizers, n.e.c. Chemicals, n.e.c.	87,283 123,599 83,712	79 112 76	659,477 564,266 1,225,770	411 291 808	660 567 1,300	8.33 5.04 16.13	35.97 26.36 65.04	0.70 0.70 0.70	25.2 18.4 45.5	3.0 3.7 2.8
Machinery and equipment										
Machinery, machines Passenger automobiles Vehicle parts, n.e.c.	32,704 14,557 74,380	30 13 67	865,018 705,822 1,664,942	803 787 751	1,292 1,266 1,208	28.83 54.29 24.85	123.00 269.00 118.50	0.80 0.57 0.80	98.4 153.3 94.8	3.4 2.8 3.8
Miscellaneous										
Paperboard, fiberboard Feed	56,971 177,579	52 161	727,881 806,318	710 363	1,142 583	14.00 5.03	67.60 27.12	0.70 0.85	47.3 23.0	3.4 4.6
Total or average, all commodities	14,175,284	12,857	77,356,097	344	553	6.02				4.2

- 110 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 39

Calculation of Ruble-Dollar Ratios for Rail Freight Transportation (Continued)

a. One percent sample. 161/
b. One percent sample. Data in column 1 multiplied by 0.907 to convert to metric tons.
c. 162/
d. 163/
e. Data in column 4 multiplied by 1.609 to convert to kilometers.
f. Column 3 divided by column 2.
g. All of the commodities listed were obtained from source 164/ with the following exceptions: for phosphate rock the rate for ores and ore concentrates was used; for refined petroleum, n.e.c., the rate for kerosine was used.
h. Rate reductions for 1950 over 1949 from source 165/.
i. Column 7 multiplied by column 8.
j. Column 9 divided by column 6, with the exception of the ratio for all commodities. This ratio was obtained by weighting the individual ruble-dollar ratios by the freight revenues for each commodity given in column 3.
k. Not elsewhere counted.

S-E-C-R-E-T

Table 40

Specifications, Prices, and Price Ratios of Highway Construction and Multistory Housing in the USSR and the US

	Specific	ations	Pri		
Type of Construction	USSR	US	Rubles per Unit	Dollars per Unit	Ratio (Ruble-Dollar)
Highway	Black top highway, 6 meters (19.68 feet) wide, Leningrad	Bituminous concrete highway, 20 feet wide, N.Y. State	517,882 per kilo- meter <u>a</u> /	45,970.00 per kilo- meter <u>b</u> /	11.3
Housing	Multistory at Kishinev, Moldavian SSR, 1950-51	Multistory (low-cost housing), Buffalo, N.Y., 1950-52	1,407 per square meter c/	99.10 per square meter <u>d</u> /	15.4

a. <u>166</u>/ b. 167/

a. <u>169</u>/

S-E-C-R-E-T

APPENDIX C

GAPS IN INTELLIGENCE

The lack of information on Soviet prices in 1950 for various segments of the economy represents a major gap in intelligence.

Price data are not available for ordnance items, leather and leather products, and miscellaneous manufactures. Price data are inadequate or unavailable for the following machinery and equipment items -- agricultural machinery (other than tractors), locomotives and railroad equipment, aircraft, ships and boats, commercial machines, food products machinery, printing machinery, petroleum refining equipment, and most chemical equipment.

Currently, available ruble-dollar ratios for construction are inadequate. Research is being continued, however, in order to exploit available data and increase the coverage for this sector.

S-E-C-R-E-T

APPENDIX D

SOURCE REFERENCES

Evaluations, following the classification entry and designated "Eval.," have the following significance:

Source of Information	Information
Doc Documentary A - Completely reliable B - Usually reliable C - Fairly reliable D - Not usually reliable E - Not reliable F - Cannot be judged	 1 - Confirmed by other sources 2 - Probably true 3 - Possibly true 4 - Doubtful 5 - Probably false 6 - Cannot be judged

"Documentary" refers to original documents of foreign governments and organizations; copies or translations of such documents by a staff officer; or information extracted from such documents by a staff officer, all of which may carry the field evaluation "Documentary."

Evaluations not otherwise designated are those appearing on the cited document; those designated "RR" are by the author of this report. No "RR" evaluation is given when the author agrees with the evaluation on the cited document.

2.

25X1A5a1

- 115 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

25X1A5a1 3. Organization for European Economic Cooperation. An International Comparison of National Products and the Purchasing Power of Currencies, by Milton Gilbert and Irving Kravis, nd. U. Eval. RR 2. 4. Bureau of the Budget. Standard Industrial Classification Manual, vol 2, May 49. U. Eval. RR 2. 5. CIA. CIA/RR 78, The Soviet Economy in 1955 and Plans for 1956-61, 21 Sep 56, p. 90-91. S. President of the US. Economic Report of the President Transmitted to Congress, 24 January 1956, p. 168. U. 7. 25X1A5a1 8. 9. Kaser, M.C. "Soviet Statistics of Wages and Prices," Soviet Studies, 10. Jul 55, p. 42. U. Eval. RR 3. 25X1A5a1 11. 12. President of the US (6, above), p. 165. U. Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Industry Classification Manual for the 1947 Interindustry Relations Study, 20 Mar 53. U. Eval. RR 2. Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Table I - Interindustry Flow of Goods and Services, by Industry of Origin and Destination, Oct 52. Eval. RR 2. 25X1A5a1 15. 16. Protopopov, S.N. Analiz khozyaystvennoy deyatel'nosti stroyek i stroitel'nykh organizatsiy (Analysis of the Economic Activity of Building and Construction Organizations), Moscow, 1952, p. 76, 251, 307. U. Eval. RR 2. Kaser, op. cit. (10, above). CIA. ORR Project 14.1541, Soviet Investment Policy and Selective Analysis of Investment Statistics (to be published). S. 19. Tbid. CIA. ORR Project 14.441, Soviet National Accounts in Current Rubles 20. for 1953 (to be published). S. CIA. CIA/RR 78 (5, above), p. 93-94. S. 21. Tbid., p. 90-91. S. 22. Tbid., p. 93-94. S. 23.

- 116 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

- President of the US (6, above), p. 165. U.
- Tbid., p. 199. U.
- 26. Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Median Prices for Selected Items of Clothing, Shoes, and Textile Housefurnishings, Mar 50, unpublished. U. Eval. RR 2.

25X1A2g

- 27. 28. Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Median Prices for Selected Items of Clothing, Shoes, and Textile Housefurnishings, Mar 50, unpublished. U. Eval. RR 2.
- 29. Commerce, Bureau of the Census. Special rpt P-E no 1B, Occupational Characteristics, 1956. U. Eval. RR 2.
- Commerce. "Incomes of Physicians, Dentists, and Lawyers, 1949-51," Survey of Current Business, Jul 52, p. 5-7. U. Eval. RR 2.
- 31.
- 32. Commerce, Bureau of the Census. Special rpt P-E no 1B, Occupational Characteristics, 1956. U. Eval. RR 2.
- Commerce. National Income, a Supplement to Survey of Current Business, 1954, p. 197. U. Eval. RR 2. 33•
- Ibid., p. 201. U. Eval. RR 2. 34.
- Commerce, Bureau of the Census. Statistical Abstract of the US, 35• 1952, 73d, 1952, p. 219. U. Eval. RR 2.
- 36. Commerce. National Income, a Supplement to Survey of Current Business, 1954, p. 207. U. Eval. RR 2.
- Health, Education, and Welfare. "Social Welfare Expenditures, Fiscal 1955," Social Security Bulletin, Oct 56. U. Eval. RR 2.
- Commerce. Survey of Current Business, Jul 56, p. 21. U. Eval. RR 2.
- Commerce, Bureau of the Census. Statistical Abstract of the US, 39• 1956, 1956, p. 404. U. Eval. RR 2.
- Commerce. National Income, a Supplement to Survey of Current 40. Business, 1954, p. 209. U. Eval. RR 2.
- 41. Ibid., p. 208. U. Eval. RR 2.
- Commerce, Bureau of the Census. Summary of Governmental Finances in 1955, 20 Aug 56, p. 26. U. Eval. RR 2.
- 43.
- 44. Commerce. Survey of Current Business, Jul 56, p. 22. U. Eval. RR 2.
- Ibid., p. 21. U. Eval. RR 2.
- 46. Commerce, Bureau of the Census. Statistical Abstract of the US, 1956, 1956, p. 243. U. Eval. RR 2.
- CIA. FDD Summary no 794, 18 Jan 56, p. 120. OFF USE. Eval. RR 1. USSR, Verkhovnyy Sovet SSSR. Zasedaniya verkhovnogo soveta SSSR, pervaya sessiya, stenograficheskoy otchet (Proceedings of the Supreme Soviet of the USSR, 1st Session: Stenographic Report), Moscow, 1954, p. 227. U. Eval. Doc. (hereafter referred to as USSR, Verkhovnyy Sovet SSSR. Zasedaniya)

- 117 -

- 49. Finansy SSSR, no 4, Apr 56, p. 19. U. Eval. RR 1.
- 50. CIA. FDD Translation no 566, 29 Jun 56, p. 247. OFF USE. Eval. Doc. 51. Ibid., p. 195. OFF USE. Eval. Doc.
- Commerce. National Income, a Supplement to Survey of Current 52. Business, 1954, p. 198. U. Eval. RR 2.
- <u>Ibid.</u>, p. 201. U. Eval. RR 2. 53•
- USSR, Ministry of Finance. Tsennik dlya sostavleniya smet v tsenakh deystvuyushchikh na 1 Yanvarya 1950 (Price List for Making Estimates in Prices Effective 1 January 1950), pt 1, Moscow, 1950, p. 415-417. U. Eval. Doc. (hereafter referred to as USSR, Ministry of Finance. Tsennik dlya sostavleniya)
- USSR. Spravochnik metallurga po tsvetnym metallam (Metallurgist Handbook of Nonferrous Metals) vol 1, Moscow, 1953, p. 799-828. U.
- NIS 26, sec 62-B, p. 62-9, 62-10. C. 56.
- Interior, US Bureau of Mines. Minerals Yearbook 1951, 1954, p. 316. 57 U. Eval. RR 2.
- Interior, US Bureau of Mines. Minerals Yearbook 1950, 1953, 58. p. 363-364. U. Eval. RR 2.
- Interior, US Bureau of Mines. Circular no 7538, Average Heating Values of American Coals By Rank and By states, Dec 49, p. 2. U. Eval. RR 2.
- CIA. FDD Translation no 566, 29 Jun 56. OFF USE. Eval. Doc.
- Interior, US Bureau of Mines. Minerals Yearbook 1951, 1954,
- p. 462. U. Eval. RR 2. <u>Ibid</u>., p. 316. U. Eval. RR 2. 62. Interior, US Bureau of Mines. Minerals Yearbook 1950, 1953, p. 363-364. U. Eval. RR 2.
- Commerce, Bureau of the Census. Census of Manufactures 1947, vol 2, 1949, p. 386-399. U. Eval. RR 2.
- USSR. Spravochnik-tsennik na osnovnyye stroitel'nyye, tekhnicheskiye i vspomogatel'nyye materialy proizvodstvenno-tekhnicheskogo naznacheniya, ihstrumenty i avtozapchasti v tsenakh 1950 goda, uchetom snizheniya tsen 1 Yula 1950 (Price Handbook on Basic Construction, Technical, and Supplementary Materials for Technical Production Purposes, Instruments, and Automotive Spare Parts, 1950 Prices, Taking into Account the 1 July 1950 Price Reductions), vol 2, pt 1, Baku, 1950. U. Eval. Doc. (hereafter referred to as USSR. Spravochnik-tsennik, vol 2, pt 1)
- 65. Oil, Paint and Drug Reporter, 13 Feb 50. U. Eval. RR 2. 66. USSR, Ministry of Finance. Tsennik dlya sostavleniya (54, above), p. 390. U. Eval. Doc.

S-E-C-R-E-T

25X1A5a1

- 67. USSR, Ministry of Finance. Tsennik dlya sostavleniya (54, above), p. 338. U. Eval. Doc.
- 69. Ibid.
- 70. Ibid., p. 391. U. Eval. Doc.
- 71. Perry, John H., ed. Chemical Business Handbook, New York, 1954. U. Eval. Doc.
- 72. Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Unpublished Industry Report. U.
- 73. Perry, op. cit. (71, above).
- 74. Platt's Oil Price Handbook and Oilmanac for 1950, Cleveland, 1951. U. Eval. RR 2.
- 75. USSR. Spravochnik-tsennik, vol 2, pt 1 (64, above).
- 76. Interior, US Bureau of Mines. Minerals Yearbook 1951, 1954, p. 992-1011. U. Eval. RR 2.
- 77. Commerce, Bureau of the Census. Annual Survey of Manufactures, 1951, p. 86-87. U. Eval. RR 2.
- 78. Interior, US Bureau of Mines. Minerals Yearbook 1951, 1954, p. 246. U. Eval. RR 2.
- 79. Commerce, Bureau of the Census. Annual Survey of Manufactures, 1951, p. 209. U. Eval. RR 2.
- 80. USSR, Ministry of Machine Tool Building. Preyskurant optovykh tsen na abrazivnyye izdeliya (Price List of Wholesale Prices for Abrasive Products), Moscow, 1949. U. Eval. Doc.

25X1A5a1⁸1⋅

- 82. Engineering News Record, 6 Jul 50, p. 93, 97, 98. U. Eval. RR 2.
- 83. Stroitel'naya promyshlennost', no 8, Aug 55, p. 39. U. Eval. RR 2.
- 84. Ibid., p. 38-42. Eval. RR 2.
- 85. Means, Robert S. Building Construction Cost Data, 1951, Duxbury, Mass., 1951. U. Eval. RR 2.
- 86. Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Prices and Price Relatives for Individual Commodities in the Revised Index 1947-50, group 11, Feb 52. U. Eval. RR 2.
 - Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Prices and Price Relatives for Individual Commodities 1951-53, group 11, Oct 54. U. Eval. RR 2. Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Base Value Weights for Groups, Subgroups, Product Classes and Individual Commodities, Apr 52, p. 5. U. Eval. RR 2.
- 87. CIA. CIA/RR RA-9, Ruble-Dollar Ratios for Prices of Machine Tools,
 Metalforming Machinery, Textile Machinery, and Abrasive Products,
 10 Oct 56, p. 35. C. Eval. RR 2.
- 88. USSR. Spravochnik-tsennik, vol 2, pt 1 (64, above), p. 199. U. Eval. Doc.

- 119 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

- 89. American Iron and Steel Institute Yearbook, 1950, New York, 1954.
 U. Eval. RR 2.
- 90. Commerce, Bureau of the Census. Annual Survey of Manufactures, 1951, p. 211-213. U. Eval. RR 2.
- 91. Ibid., p. 211. U. Eval. RR 2.

25X1A2g

- 92. Interior, US Bureau of Mines. Minerals Yearbook, 1951, 1954.
 U. Eval. RR 2.
- 93. USSR, Ministry of the Coal Industry. Nomenklaturnyy spravochnik i tseny na materialy i oborudovaniye primenyayemyye v ugol'noy promyshlennosti: gruppa II: tsvetnyye metally (Nomenclature Handbook and Prices for Materials and Equipment Used in the Coal Industry: Group II: Nonferrous Metals), Moscow, 1950. U. Eval. Doc. (hereafter referred to as USSR, Ministry of the Coal Industry. Nomenklaturnyy spravochnik)

94 • 25X1A5a1

- 96. Steel: the Magazine of Metalworking, Cleveland, 7 Jan 52, p. 558. U. Eval. RR 2.
- 97. USSR, Ministry of the Metallurgical Industry. Preyskurant optovykh tsen na kachestvennuyu stal' (List of Wholesale Prices for Standard Steel), Moscow, 1949. U. Eval. Doc.

98. 25X1A2g
99. Steel: the Magazine of Metalworking, Cleveland, 7 Jan 52, p. 558.

Steel: the Magazine of Metalworking, Cleveland, 7 Jan 52, p. 558.
U. Eval. RR 2.

25X1A5a1

- 101. USSR, Ministry of Heavy Machine Building. Preyskurant optovykh tsen na lit'ye iz serogo chuguna, lit'ye stal'noye, pokovki molotovnyye i pressovyye shtampovki (Price List of Wholesale Prices for Gray Iron Castings, Cast Steel, Forged, Pressed, and Stamped Products), Moscow, 1949. U. Eval. Doc. (hereafter referred to as USSR, Ministry of Heavy Machine Building. Preyskurant tsen na lit'ye)
- 102. American Iron and Steel Institute Yearbook, 1950, New York, 1954.
 U. Eval. RR 2.
- 103. USSR, Ministry of Heavy Machine Building. Preyskurant tsen na lit'ye (101, above).
- 104. Commerce, Bureau of the Census. Annual Survey of Manufactures, 1951, p. 211-213. U. Eval. RR 2.
- 105. USSR, Ministry of the Coal Industry. Nomenklaturnyy spravochnik (93, above), p. 261-262. U. Eval. Doc.

- 120 -

S-E-C-R-E-T

Interior, US Bureau of Mines. Minerals Yearbook, 1951, p. 791. U. Eval. RR 2.

25X1A2q

- 108. 109.
- 110. USSR, Ministry of Finance. Tsennik dlya sostavleniya smet v tsenakh 1949 g. (Price Handbook for Making Estimates in Prices of 1949), pt 3, Moscow, 1949. U. Eval. Doc.
- 111.
- Avtomobil'nyy transport, no 11, 1955. p. 7. U. Eval. RR 2. USSR, Ministry of Machine Tool Building. Preyskurant optovykh tsen 112. na metallorezhushchiye stanki i kuznechno-pressovoye oborudovaniye (Price List of Wholesale Prices for Metalcutting Machine Tools and Forging and Pressing Equipment), Moscow, 1950. U. Eval. Doc.
- Office of Price Stabilization. Base Prices of Machine Tools, 113. 2 Feb 53. U. Eval. RR 2.
- Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Wholesale Price Indexes for 114. Special Groupings of Machinery and Steel Products, Washington, 1952. U. Eval RR 2.
- Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Prices and Price Relatives for 115. Individual Commodities in the Revised Index 1947-50, group 11, Feb 52. U. Eval. RR 2.
 - Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Prices and Price Relatives for Individual Commodities 1951-53, group 11, Oct 54. U. Eval. RR 2. Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Base Value Weights for Groups, Subgroups, Product Classes and Individual Commodities, Apr 52, p. 5. U. Eval. RR 2.
- 116. Office of Price Stabilization. Base Prices of Machine Tools, 2 Feb 53. U. Eval. RR 2.
- Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Prices and Price Relatives for Individual Commodities in the Revised Index 1947-50, group 11, Feb 52. U. Eval. RR 2.
 - Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Prices and Price Relatives for Individual Commodities 1951-53, group 11, Oct 54. U. Eval. RR 2. Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Base Value Weights for Groups, Subgroups, Product Classes and Individual Commodities, Apr 52, p. 5. U. Eval. RR 2.
- CIA. CIA/RR PR-98, Development and Potential of the Textiles 118. Industry in the Soviet Bloc, 5 Feb 55, p. 34-35. S.
- 119. USSR, Ministry of Machine Building and Instrument Building. Preyskurant optovykh tsen na oborudovaniye dlya legkoy promyshlennosti i massovyye zapasnyye detali dlya tekstil'nykh, obuvnykh i trikotazhnykh mashin (Price List of Wholesale Prices of Equipment for Light Industry and Mass Spare Parts for Textile, Footwear, and Knitted Wear Machines), Moscow, 1949. U. Eval. Doc.

S-E-C-R-E-T

25X1A5a1 120. Ibid., p. 66, 69. U. Eval. RR 1. 121. 122. USSR. Preyskurant optovykh tsen na turbiny parovyye, gidroturbiny, turbokompressornyye mashiny i turbonasosy (Price List of Wholesale Prices for Steam Turbines, Hydroturbines, Turbocompressor Machines and Turbine Pumps), Moscow, 1949. U. Eval. Doc. 25X1A2g 123. 124. USSR, Ministry of Finance. Tsennik dlya sostavleniya (54, above). 125. USSR, Ministry of Communications Equipment Industry. Preyskurant optovykh tsen na svetotekhnicheskiye izdeliya (Price List of Wholesale Prices for Lighting Fixtures), Moscow, 1949. p. 5-7. U. Eval. Doc. 126. CIA. CIA/SI 82-52, Study of USSR Broadcast Receivers, 1 Dec 52. S/NOFORN. 25X1A2q 127. 128. CIA. CIA/SI 82-52 (126, above). CIA. CIA/RR 11, Soviet Bloc Production of Civilian Radio and Television Receivers, 26 Sep 52. S/NOFORN. 129. Ibid. 130. CTA. CIA/RR 11-S-1, Production of Civilian Radio and Television 131. Receivers in the Soviet Bloc, 13 Dec 54. S/NOFORN. 25X1A5a1 132. FCC. Interstate Message Toll Telephone Rates, Effective 1 Feb 1946, Tariff FCC no 132, Mar 50. U. Eval. RR 2. 25X1A2q National Association of Railroad and Public Utilities Commission. 134. Compilation of Local Service Telephone Rates in the US in Effect June 1953, Washington, May 53. U. Eval. RR 2. 135. 25X1A2g National Association of Railroad and Public Utilities Commission (134, above). 137. 25X1A2g 138. Western Union. Annual Report to the FCC, form 0, 1950. U. Eval. RR 2. 139. 25X1A2g 140.

- 122 -

- 141. Ibid.
- 142. FCC. Statistics on the Communications Industry in the US for the Year Ended 31 December 1950, 1951. U. Eval. RR 2.
- 143. CIA. ORR Project 26-52 (WP), Soviet War Potential, 1952-57, 12 Jan 53. S.
- 144. FCC. Statistics on the Communications Industry in the US for the Year Ended 31 December 1950, 1951. Eval RR 2.
- 145. CIA. CIA/RR RA 12, Economic Intelligence Statistical Handbook, 26 Feb 57, p. 145. S.
- 146. FCC. Statistics on the Communications Industry in the US for the Year Ended 31 December 1950, 1951. U. Eval. RR 2.
- 147. Ibid.
- 148. CIA. ORR Project 26-52 (WP) (143, above).
- 149. Commerce, Bureau of the Census. Statistical Abstract of the US, 1952, 73d ed, 1952, p. 219. U. Eval. RR 2.
- 150. UN. Statistical Yearbook 1954, New York, 1954. U. Eval. RR 2.
- 151. Edison Electric Institute. Statistical Bulletin for the Year 1953, New York, May 54, p. 27, 45. U. Eval. RR 2.
- 152. USSR. Sbornik rukovodyashchikh materialov i konsul'tatsiy po stroitel'stvu (Handbook of Basic Materials and Consultations Relating to Construction), no 7, Moscow, 1949, p. 30. U. Eval. Doc.
- 153. Federal Power Commission. Electric Power Requirements in the USSR, 1950, 1952. S.
- 154. Edison Electric Institute. Statistical Bulletin for the Year 1953, New York, May 54, p. 27, 45. U. Eval. RR 2.
- 155. Ibid.
- USSR. Sbornik rukovodyaschikh materialov i konsul'tatsiy po stroitel'stvu (Handbook of Basic Materials and Consultations Relating to Construction), no 7, Moscow, 1949, p. 30. U. Eval. Doc.
- 157. CIA. FDD R-293, 23 Jun 55. C. Eval. RR 2.
- Edison Electric Institute. Pocketbook of Electric Industry Statistics, New York, 1955, p. 17, 36. U. Eval. RR 2.
- 159. Trud, 29 Mar 55. U. Eval. RR 2.
- Kutsenov, V.A. Voprosy tekhnika-ekonomicheskogo proyektirovaniya krupnykh gidrostantsii v energosistemakh (The Problems of Technical-Economical Projecting of Large Hydroelectric Power Stations and Energy Systems), Moscow, 1953, p. 160. U. Eval. RR 2.
- 161. Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Transport Economics and Statistics. Carload Waybill Analyses, 1951, Distribution of Freight Traffic and Revenue Averages by Commodity Classes,

 Terminations in 1951, statement no 5220, Washington, Jun 52.

 U. Eval. RR 2.

S-E-C-R-E-T

162.	Ibid.
_	

163. Ibid.

164. USSR. Spravochnik po tarifam zheleznodorozhnogo transporta (Handbook of Tariffs for Railroad Transport), Moscow, 1949. U. Eval. RR 1.

165. Chernomordik, D.I. Zheleznodorozhnyye gruzovyye tarify SSSR (Railroad Cargo Tariffs in USSR), Moscow, 1953. U. Eval. RR 2.

166. Lukjanow, W. Unpublished information from a ruble-dollar construction ratio study, appendix 16, Aug 55. U. Eval. RR 2.

167. Engineering News Record, no 3, 13 Jul 50, p. 101. U. Eval. RR 2.

168.

25X1A2g

169. Engineering News Record, no 10, 4 Sep 52, p. 149. U. Eval. RR 2.