Approved For Release 2008/03/03: CIA-RDP80-00809A000500730099-9 FOREIGN DOCUMENTS OR RADIO BROADCASTS 25X1 COUNTRY USSR and Satellites SOVIET AND SATELLITE RADIO COMMENT ABOUT THE SUBJECT CHM SESSIONS IN PARIS DATE DIST. /4 June 1949 HOW PUBLISHED Monitored Radio Broadcasts NO. OF PAGES WHERE 37 PUBLISHED 25X1 ·. ... PUBLISHED SUPPLEMENT TO REPORT NO. LANGUAGE 25X1 THIS IS UNEVALUATED INFORMATION . 9 25X1

INTRODUCTION: Coincident with the CFM reversion to open sessions, the Soviet radio (and to a lesser extent, its Satellites) returns to voluminous reports on the Conference proceedings, with primary attention given the speeches by Vishinsky. Since Report No. 4 of this series, Radio Moscow and the Soviet-controlled German radios have also issued a number of commentaries on CFM-related subjects. Perhaps the most interesting statements are the several broadcast allusions to the possible Conference outcome -- ranging from hopes of at least a limited agreement of some kind to charges that the Western Powers have no intention of reaching an agreement or of compromising in any way. Otherwise, the commentaries generally constitute a recapitulation of previously expressed Soviet positions on such issues as the Berlin question, the unanimity principle, and the Bonn Constitution. Two Soviet commentaries are concerned with the alleged failure of demilitarization in Western Germany, a subject that has been generally slighted throughout the course of this Conference. References to the need for German unity continue to appear, but except for one review of the German Peoples Congress' "struggle for unity and a just peace"--not in concentrated form. The claim that because of the economic slump the Western delegates are only interested in a limited trade agreement -- as reported by Yuri Zhukov in PRAVDA a few days ago ... is not pursued; but in other contexts, Moscow repeats the statement about desirability of East-West trade and accuses the Western Powers of "ousting" Western German products from the markets of the world.

LIMITED ACRETACITY: FUTURE CONTACTS: The most unusual statements regarding the possible outcome of the Conference are broadcast not by Soviet or Soviet-controlled radios but by the U.S.-licensed and British-licensed press services in Germany. Because they are attributed to official Soviet sources, however, they appear to be worth reporting here. The dispatch by the U.S.-licensed agency reports:

	LASSIFICATION RESTRICTED		
STATE NAVY	NSRB DISTRIBUTION		
ARMY	FB1		

Approved For Release 2008/03/03 CIA-RDP80-00809A000500730099-9

"Major General Tulpanov, Soviet Military Americ trains Information Chief, told representatives of the Soviet-increased press in her in yesterday that the Soviet delegation expected the Foreign Ministers Contended to the Content Military Administration, Tulpanov results. According to German intrins close to the Content Military Administration, Tulpanov else uncounsed that the Soviet Aslegation would now try to obtain a short-term tride agreement to restore the free exchange of goods between Eastern and Western Germany and between Eastern and Western Berlin." (3 June)

According to the dispatch by the British-licensed agency:

"It is said that members of the Soviet delegation who had already returned to Rarlahorst stated that the end of the Faris Foreign Ministers Conference did not mean the smile the recently respond contact between the Four Rig Powers. The end of the Faris Conference would mean the beginning of a series of conferences from which Moscow would still expect success." (8 June)

And Schmitzler, Soviet-controlled Syrman commentator, says that although German demands "go further than Vishinsky's proposals" the Cormers "wholeheartedly welcome even the most redest agreements."

THE "ACCREMENTATIVE" SOVIET ATITIONS At least one Soviet commentary and two Soviet-controlled German commentaries go out of their way to portray the Soviet position as one that is characterized by good will, a willingness to comprendee, and a desire to arrive at a reasonable agreement. At the end of discussion of the Berlin question, Soviet commentator Lemin, adding a "few words about good will and an uncomprending attitude." contends that "the Soviet proposals reflect a desire to corporate with the Western Powers. The USA does not seek to secure any privilege for itself, and does not intend to place itself in a special position." Busislawski, Soviet—controlled Leipzig commentator, claims that there is no question about "which party has favored conciliation in these discussions. "" Vishinsky had never claimed that his proposals were the Soviets' last word. "" In view of this accommodating attitude, it is really difficult to find a concrete reason for a negative conclusion of the Conference." And Schnitzler, advising that "compremise proposals are necessary," observes that "the USEA's proposals. " are going to the limit of a possible compromise without leaving the principles laid down at the Warsar conference."

WESTERN DIGITATION: "SISTEMATIC FATURE, SISTEMATICALLY ORGANIZED": Conciliatory statements, such as the above, however, are not permitted to stand by themselves. Each of them is followed by a comparison with the Western delegates "dictatorial attitude," with "Acheson's arrogant demands," with the alleged Western plan to oppose uncompromisingly "anything which Vishinsky might submit." The latter charges are much more frequent than protestations of Soviet willingness to agree; and Seviet commentators even pick up the thesis, first voiced by Budepest, that the Western proposals were presented with the deliberate intention of being rejected by the USSR. Commentator Izakov, for example, says that "in submitting their obviously unacceptable proposals, the Westurn Powers did not at all believe that they would be adopted." And another Soviet commentator, attacking the Voice of America and the Western press for trying to convince their audiences that the success of the Conference depends on the attitude of the Soviet delegation, repeats essentially the same contention-which is said to have clearly revealed the hypocrisy of the Western proposals and propaganda about a united Germany. In addition to the usual motives ascribed to Western attempts at "dictation," the Soviet-controlled German radios and a new one. Reporting am article in TARGLICHE KOMAR CHAU (Soviet Military Administration organ), Sovietcontrolled Barlia claims that "Secretar Connally stated ... that a breakdown of the Foreign Ministers Conference would lead to a speeding up of the decision on the U.S. military aid program flow Europs amounting to 1.3 billion dollars. This is the crur of the matter. The U.S. is looking for markets and carmoni offer in Europe, but not for peace and understanding. a Soviet-controlled Leipzig commentator charges that the Western attitude is motivated by a desire to preserve an atmosphere of conflict-- because the baginning of a slump in the Western world, and especially the U.S., makes it ever more necessary to grant financial aid to the U.S. armament kings by giving them crass for new arms; and the climate most conducive to ... the erospects industry is the junels atmosphere of conflict."

The most outright Soviet-controlled German statement to this effect that has been monitored to date.

· RESTRICTED