

$$D_2 = -\frac{v_2}{v_0} (\frac{p_2}{p_h} - 1) D,$$
 (3)

where  $\gamma$  is the polytropic index, in out case equal to 3:





STAT

is

roD is the pressure on the front of the detonation wave;  $\frac{1}{70} = \frac{1}{v_0}$ ₽<sub>h</sub> = r 71

the density BB,  $v_h$  is the specific volume on the front of the detonation wave;  $p_2$  and  $v_2$  are the pressure and specific volume or the front of the reflected percussion wave; D is the speed of the front of the detonation wave; and D2 is the speed of the front of the reflected wave.

These formulas are obtained from the standard theory of percussion waves on the assumption  $E = \frac{P_V}{\gamma - 1} = \frac{P_V}{2}$  with  $\gamma = 3$  (see table, i). This

assumption must not be looked upon as exact, since with successive exten-sion it would lead to a speed of detonation  $D = \sqrt{2}(\gamma^2 - 1)Q = 4\sqrt{2}$  not de-pending upon the density, while the equation  $pv^2 = const$  was deduced from experimental data on the dependence of the speed of detonation you the density RB. It is possible to solve the same problem, assigning  $pv^3 = const$ not only to the isentrope, but also to the Gyugonio adiabatic curve of apercession wave and using the exact formulae of the theory of percussionWEVOS,

$$D = u_1 \pm \sqrt{\frac{v_1^2}{v_1 - v_2}} \frac{p_2 - p_1}{v_1 - v_2}$$
 and

 $u = u_2 \stackrel{!}{=} \sqrt{(p_2 - p_1) (v_1 \cdots v_2)}$  (see table, II).

Finally, it is possible to examine approximately the changes of the state and speed of a percussion wave, using the correct formulas for a combination of acoustic waves du =  $\frac{1}{2}$  c  $\frac{d_{f}}{d_{f}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{d_{f}}$  dc =  $\frac{1}{2}$  dc (with r = 3) and their integral |  $u_{I} - u_{2}$  =  $|c_{I} - c_{2}|$  (see table, III).

All three give very similar results for the state in the initial moment.

°2/°1	1347	1.329	133	3
v2/v1	0760	0753	075	0
P2/P1	2387	2347	237	0
	<b>1</b> (10)	π	IΣ	ľ

Such agreement is the result of the small ratio of the speed to the speed of bound  $u_{1/2} = 3/3$  in the current which is not running to the wall, which leads to a closeness of the percussion wave to the acoustic and, in particular, to a small change of entropy (4). It is curious that this takes place with a significant change of the pressure of almost 2.5 times. (It can be shown that with any polytarpic index, including  $\tau = 1$ , the entropy changes very little in the reflection of the detonation wave.)

This circumstance with 7 = 3 makes it very simple to solve the problem of the reflection of a detonation wave, using the accustic bond y and g.



and solution of the equation of the uniform unsoluted sources to a gas has the form

$$\mathbf{x} \in (\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{c}) \ \forall \ \mathbf{r} \geq_{\mathbf{1}} (\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{c}),$$

 $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{c}) + \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{c}} (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{c}),$ 

where u is the local speed of the current of gas; c is the local  $s_2$  and of sc r i; F<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>2</sub> are arbitrary functions.

We shall examine such a problem. Let the defonation made begin as the let  $\beta$  optiment BB (the door tinate begins there also have a destination and let  $\beta$  be right and at the right and at x = a, y = all place wall. The defonation wave will be described by the equations (6).

$$\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}_1) \mathbf{t}, \tag{6}$$

(5)

(.5)

(1)

(11)

STA

$$1 - c_1 = -D/2.$$

The movement of products of detonation which distributed to the aft (into a vacuum; the presence of air can always be disregarded), is seen to distributed by these same equations (Figure 1, upper pair of curves). At the extent of it to t = a/D, the detonation wave reaches the well and is reflected from it.

From the condition that x = a, u = 0, and that on the front of the roflocted wave the conditions.

are fulfilled, we determine the value of the orbitrary functions F1 and F2.

We noticed that the speed of break in the reflected wave has a sign while is the opposite of that of the speed of break on the determined with t = a/D, a new solution is determined with x = a and in this  $u_2 = 0$ ,  $c_2 = -D$ , then

 $F_1(u+c) = a + \frac{a}{D} = 2a$ ,  $F_2(u-c) = a - \frac{a}{D} D = 0$ ; from this we have

The speed of the front for a wask percussion mays (7) is  $2D = \frac{7}{12}$  c<sub>1</sub> +  $u_2 = c_2$ , which with  $\gamma = 3$  and  $\Delta u = 1$  ac will give

u, - X.;.....;

°2 = - 3.

From this,

Integrating and remembering that with t = a/D, x = a, we obtain

$$\mathbf{x} = -\frac{\mathbf{D}\mathbf{t}}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\mathbf{a} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{t}} + 2\mathbf{a}_{a}$$
(12)

which gives the law of the distribution of the front of the reflected tave.





STAT

We notice that the relative amplitude of the wave in this solution increases according to the measure of its diffusion, as a result of which the error of the allowances which are made also increases. In this case of an exact solution which considers the change of the entropy, the reflected wave would have to overtake the left front of the expanded products of detonation with  $t \rightarrow \infty$  ( $p \rightarrow 0$ ). The solution which we have found indicates that the meeting will take place with x = Dt/2 = -Dt/2 - 1/2 well + 2a, from which t = 16 a/Dand x = -3a. The prossure on the wall in this will be  $\frac{64}{27} \frac{p_{H}}{16} = 0.0006 \frac{p_{H^2}}{p_{H^2}}$ 

It will actually be entremely small. Thus, the inaccuracy is only with large t, when p is very small, i.e, in the field which is of no interest to us physically. The distribution of the speed of the current and the speed of sound in the reflected wave at different moments of time is illustrated in Figure 1; the density is  $\rho \sim c$ , the pressure is  $\sim c^2$ . (The upper pair of curves gives the distribution of products of detonation in scattering until the wave reaches the wall.)

We calculate the complete impluse of the pressure acting on the wall:

$$J = \int_{u/L}^{u} pdt = \frac{64}{27} p_{\mu} \left(\frac{a}{D}\right)^{3} \int_{u/L}^{u} \frac{dt}{3} = \frac{64}{27} \frac{a}{3} \frac{a}{27} = \frac{3}{27} a_{f_{0}} D.$$
(14)

A curve of the pressure with an explosion of an equal charge at the wall, such that the detonation wave would be distributed from the wall to the open end of the charge is illustrated in article (5). Curves of pressure on the wall in both cases are compared in Figure 2; the maximum pressure in the case of reflection (the continuus curve) surpasses by eight times the pressure on the wall for the front of the wave separated from the wall (the broken curve); however, the complete impulse of pressure in both cases is the same.

Finally, examining the case of an ordinary explosion (compare( $^{8}$ )), i.e., instantaneous reaction of an entire explosive with the formation in the first moment of a layer of immobile explosion products of a constant density, we ob-

tain a pressure curve of the type  $p = p_0$ ;  $t < t_1 = a/c_0$ ;  $p = p_a(t_1/t)^2$ ;  $t > t_1$ 

and, depending upon the assumption, the following numerical results.

1. Letting E -  $y\pi/2$ , we find for the pressure of the explosion p, the speed of sound in the preducts  $c_0$ , and the impulse J

J.

$$P_{\mu} = 0.5 P_{\beta}; c_0 = D \sqrt{3/8}; J_{\beta} = \sqrt{3^7/2^{11}} = 1.035$$

2. Letting  $pv^3$  - const, not only along the isentrope, but also along the Gyugonic adiabatic curve,

 $\mathbf{P}_{B} = \frac{27}{28} \mathbf{P}_{H} = 0.42 \mathbf{P}_{H}; \mathbf{c}_{0} = \mathbf{D}_{1}\mathbf{2}; \mathbf{J}_{D} = \frac{35}{28} \mathbf{J} = 0.95 \mathbf{J}.$ 

The corresponding curves p(t), not shown in Figure 1 (in order not to encumber it), are represented by a horizontal straight line between the sais of the ordinate and the falling arm of the continuous curve and practically conforms with this curve with  $t > t_1$ .



STAT

## Bibliography

- L. D. Landau and K. P. Stanyukovich, <u>DAN 46</u>, 399, 1945 G. I. Fokrovskiy and K. P. Stanyukovich, <u>DAN 52</u>, 33, 1946 K. P. Stanyukovich, <u>DAN 52</u>, 777, 1946 Ta. B. Zel'dovich, <u>Theory of Parcussion Waves and Introduction</u> to <u>Casodynamics</u>, 1946 K. P. Stanyukovich, <u>DAN 52</u>, 523, 1946 A. A. Grib, <u>Prikladnava Matematika i Mekhanika</u>, 8, 273, 1944 L. D. Landau, <u>Prikladnava Matematika i Mekhanika</u>, 9, 286, 1945 A. A. Grib, <u>Frikladnava Matematika i Mekhanika</u>, 6, 169, 1944 1. 2. 3. 4.
- 5. 6.

[Appended figures follow]

7. 8.

in the second second

