

CLASSIFICATION CONFIDENTIAL
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

INFORMATION REPORT

REPORT

CD NO.

COUNTRY Poland
SUBJECT Guardja Sport Club

DATE DISTR 11 January 1953

NO. OF PAGES 1

PLACE ACQUIRED.

NO. OF ENCLS. LISTED BELOW

50X1-HUM

DATE OF INFO.

SUPPLEMENT TO REPORT NO.

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION AFFECTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN THE MEANING OF TITLE 18, SECTION 793 AND 794 OF THE U. S. CODE AS AMENDED. ITS TRANSMISSION OR REVELATION OF ITS CONTENTS TO AN UNAUTHORIZED PERSON IS PROHIBITED BY LAW. NO REPRODUCTION OF THIS FORM IS PERMITTED.

THIS IS UNEVALUATED INFORMATION 50X1-HUM

- 1. The Guardja Sport Club has as its patron General Swietlik¹, and as its president Colonel Fialek (fmu). 50X1-HUM
- 2. The manager of the Guardja Sport Club on the national level is Captain Kraft (fmu), formerly manager of the club branch in Wroclawek. [Redacted]
- 2. The manager of the Warsaw branch of the Guardja Sport Club is Captain Konacki (fmu). [Redacted] 50X1-HUM
- 4. The Guardja boxing team trains in the Hala Mirowska in Warsaw.
- 5. All members of the Guardja Sport Club who travel outside of Poland are employees of the Polish Ministry of Public Security (MBP). They receive athletic scholarships of 1,200 zlotys per month, with 400 zlotys per month additional for subsistence.

1. [Redacted] Comment: Probably Major General Konrad Swietlik, Under-Secretary in the Ministry of Public Security (1953). 50X1-HUM

[Redacted]

50X1-HUM

CLASSIFICATION CONFIDENTIAL

50X1-HUM

STATE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NAVY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NSRB									
ARMY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AIR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FBI									

WARNING.—Material on the reverse of this sheet may carry a classification of CONFIDENTIAL, SECRET, or TOP SECRET.

EXPLANATORY NOTE

Date of Info.—The date or dates on which, or between which, the events described in the report occurred or the conditions described existed.

Place Acquired.—The place where the information was first acquired by a controlled individual. A date following in parentheses indicates when the information was acquired.

Source Description.—A description of the individual *from* whom, and, when pertinent, of any other individual *through* whom the information was received.

Source Evaluation.—An indication of the reliability of a source, expressed either verbally or by means of a letter inserted parenthetically in the source description. Reliability includes competence, objectivity, honesty, and other factors likely to affect the accuracy of the source's reports. The source evaluation is definite in the sense that it reflects everything known about the source, including his previous record of reporting. If the report was received *from* one source *through* another, each is evaluated separately. The key follows:

A: Completely reliable. B: Usually reliable. C: Fairly reliable. D: Not usually reliable. E: Not reliable (applied to sources of doubtful honesty or loyalty, regardless of their competence). F: Reliability cannot be judged (applied to untested or insufficiently tested sources).

Appraisal of Content.—A tentative opinion by the issuing office as to the probable truth of the report, expressed either verbally or by means of a number from 1 through 6. It is based on immediately available information which may or may not be complete, and does not purport to constitute final evaluation. The key follows:

1: Confirmed by other independent and reliable sources. 2: Probably true. 3: Possibly true. 4: Doubtful. 5: Probably false. 6: Cannot be judged. Documentary: Based on an original document which has been seen by a staff member of the issuing office.

The appraisal of content is independent of the source evaluation. A "B" source may submit a "4" report; and "E" source may submit a "1" report. Reliable sources tend to produce accurate reports, and conversely a series of accurate reports tends to establish the reliability of the source, but there is no necessary correlation in any particular instance.

Evaluations, comments and criticisms by any recipient of this report will be welcomed by the originator, and will be taken into account in further reporting on the same subject.