Approved For Release 2001/06/09 : CIA-RDP80-01826R00050 00003-7

S-E-C-RoE-F SecurIty Informition

OGC HAS REVIEWED.

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

1 October 1953

MEMORANDUM FOR: The Record

SUBJECTE

Maeting of the Steering Group of the CIA Careor Service Board on 31 August 1953.

25X1A9a

Present: Mezars, Kirkpatrick Sheldon Baird



25X1A9a

1. The CIA Honer Wards Board attended the meeting and prosented the proposed design for the National Security Medal and its accomponying ribbon. These designs were approved by the Steering Group. It was agreed that the Chairman, CIA Career Service Board, would forward the designs to the Director for his approval. The forwarding memorandum will remind the Director of General Smith 8 personal interests in this matter. It will indicate that the National Fine Arts Commission must approve the designs but that the Office of the Quartermaster General will arrange for this approval. The memorandum will also indicate that regulations signed by the President require the Executive Secretary of the National Security Council to approve the design of the medal. The members of the Steering Group discussed how funds for the medal would be made available, and it was agreed to refer the matter to the DD/A with the suggestion that perhaps National Security Council funds could be used. It was indicated that less than \$5,000.00 would be involved. It was agreed that fifty medals would be an adequate reserve and that these stocks of the models and the dies themselves should be in the oustody of the Director or the Executive Secretary of the National Security Council, whichever is appropriate.

2. The Steering Group discussed whether or not authority should be sought which would permit the Director to award the Medal of Freedom. indicated that in response to a request from the Honor Awards Board the General Counsel recommended against seeking such authority. _____ reported on cable information which indicated that military awards are being received in adequate number by deserving CIA personnel. The Steering Group empressed its desire for a further

Sole-CoR-E-T

Approved For Release 2001/06/09 : CIA-RDP80-01826R000500100003-7

Approved For Release 1/06/09 : CIA-RDP80-01826R000500 003-7

Security Information

exploration of this matter. This study would include a review of the reasons given by the General Counsel for recommending spainst trying to obtain authority for the Director to award this medal, including the basis for earlier decisions to exclude CIA from a list of these Agencies authorized to award the medal. (CIA was intentionally exeluded from the list even though it was an active partner of other Agencies in a drive to revive the Medal of Freedom). This study would include obtaining from the General Counsel a resume of the past history of our activities in connection with this medal, and exploring the situation directly with the Bureau of the Budget.

3. The Steering Group discussed the feasability of CIA being granted authority to sward the medals and decorations of other indicated that he did not Agencies and Armsd Services. balleve that CIA should attempt to _ain this authority. He indicated that other Agencies might resist such actions and that relations with other Agencies might be strained as a result. He indicated that in his opinion we have an adequate system for obtaining such medals and desorations for our people. Hr. Kirkpatrick indicated that he did not feel that this presented a real problem, and that in his opinion military swards are appropriate for award through essens indicated that the Military tially military channels. Personnel Division has established excellent arrangements with the mards boards of the Armad Services. He felt also that State and Treasury Departments might object strongly to CIA efforts to obtain authority to avand their medals, and discussed general statutes covering the awarding of decorations. It was agreed by both the Steering Group and the Honer Awards Board that authority to award such medals and decorations should not be sought by CIA.

4. The Steering Group discussed the recommendation of the Honor Awards Board that the Director establish for CIA personnel gold, silver and bronze medals for distinguished, exceptional and meritorious achievement similar to the series of medals now available in other Agencies. Agencies and that other Agencies have these medals and that further use of this honor awards system would help to keep the National Security Medal in its proper place as a rarely ewarded top medal for CIA personnel. The Steering Group approved the recommendation and requested that a study be produced which would present complet recommendations for implementing their decision.

C∞R∞ີ∞T

5. The Steering	Group discussed the status of the longevity	25X1A9a
eward programo It was Board is not concerned	indicated by that the Honor Awards	
Board is not concerned	with this matters with stated that the	25X1A9a

Approved For Release 2001/06/09 : CIA-RDP80-01826R000500100003-7

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

Approved For Release 10/1/06/09 : CIA-RDP80-01826R000500102003-7

S-E-C-R-E-T Security Information

longsvity awards will be established again on 18 September 1957, the 10th anniversary of CIA. Securate determinations of the completion of 10 years of creditable service could be made of there were a study of rosters of the CIG which indicate those on duty with CIG prior to the formation of CIA. The Steering Group agreed to this study of the CIG archives but also indicated that in the meantime the date 18 September 1947 would remain the base date for computation of longevity.

6. The Steering Group discussed the survey by the Honor Awards Board of all honor and inceptive awards that have been made to CIA personnel since 18 September 1947. The survey was approved.

7. The Steering Group discussed the proposal by the Honor Awards Board that a CIA notice, suitably classified, be issued periodically, listing awards that have been made. The Steering Group approved this proposal, contingent upon the observance of necessary precautions to avoid violating security requirements.

8. The Steering Group next discussed whether or not individual recommendations for the granting of honor awards should be sent directly from the H onor Awards Board to the Director for approval or should be reviewed also by the Career Service Board. discussed the regulation **Statute** covering this matter and indicated that both the wording and the intent of the regulation are that the CIA Gareer Service Board indicate policy to the Honor Awards Board, but that specific cases would be referred directly to the Director by the Honor Awards Board. The Steering Group agreed that recommendations for individual medals or decorations should not be reviewed by the CIA Career Service Board. In view of this decision, the Steering Group did not consider the proposed awards of military medals which were on the Agenda.

9. The Steering Group considered whether or not the Incentive Awards Committee and the Honor Awards Board should be merged into a single group with unified secretariat and staff support. Mr. Kirkpatrick indicated that in his opinion the two groups considered quite different matters, and that one considered decorations of intangible value while the other is primarily concerned with tangible rewards. He also indicated that the awards considered by the two groups are at different levels, and that the Incentive Awards program almost excludes the possibility of awards for personnel of high position (they are expected to make significant achievements as a part of their job).

25X1A

25X1A9a

Approved For Release 2001/06/09 : CTA-RDP80-0 7826R000500100003-7

Sal

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

Approved For Release 1/06/09 : CIA-RDP80-01826R000500 10003-7

Security Internation

a dina dia dia mandri dia 2000 metatra. Ny fisia mandri dia 2004

an Agreement by the two groups that there is practically no possibility of overlapping or duplication of their functions or responsinilities. He further stated that there had been no conflicts between the two groups in the past. The Steering Group agreed that the Incomtive Awards Constitues and the Honor Awards Board should not be marged into a single group.

10. The Steering Group discussed the use of Career Davelopment. Slots as follows:

a. and Mr. Sheldon submitted a proposal that a career development slot be ensurbered by The Steering Group approved.

b. indicated that enother proposed use of a career development slot (by indicated that been referred to the Office of Training. Mr. Baird indicated that he is holding the case pending receipt of a training request. The Steering Group took no setion on this case.

so Mr. Baird presented a memorandum proposing that LE persons currently occupying JOT slots be transferred to caresr development slots. He indicated that these persons were filling the JOT slots only because such slots were the only available vacancies. He stated that these parsons were not properly JOT's because they were not recruited and trained by OTR with the intention of later placing them where they were needed and where their qualifications would best be used. The Steering Group reaffirmed the fact that career development slots should not be used unless there were known assignments and position vacancies awaiting people at the end of their planned development dutyo Mro Baird indicated that he had obtained written statements from the chiefs of the area divisions involved to the effect that position vacancies would be waiting for the DD/P personnel in indicated that there might be some this group. question about the validity of these statements in view of the uncertain budgetary limitations which might be forthcoming. The Steering Group approved the assignment of these 12 persons to career development slots but with the provision that Mrs

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

dated in position vacancies in the DD/P complex upon their returns

do The Steering Group was informed that two more proposed uses of career development slots are fortheomingo

e. Indicated that monthly personnel statistical reports will show the use of career development slots and will indicate when they expire.

S=E=C=R=E=T

Approved For Release 2001/06/09 : CIA-RDP80-01826R000500100003-7

25X1A9a

Approved For Release 1001/06/09 : CIA-RDP80-01826R000500100003-7

Security Information

25X1A9a

fo recommended that there be better staffing of requests for the use of career development slots, including concurrences by the career service boards involved. The Steering Group agreed that the Executive Secretary should check each request with the career service board involved before submitting the request to the CIA Career Service Board.

go Mr. Kirkpatrick urged that there be more use of the available coreer development slots.



25X1A9a

S=E=C=R=E=T