Approved For Release 2005/06/03 : CIA-RDP80B01676R002800060003-5 Theoretica Registery

26 July 1963 26 July 1963

103-5348/0

MARTORANI DE FORI TO MONTADIE ROBERT F. STARLEY PARA

ac Black I:

Propassed Seventary of 1980 Sec.

seterence is made to your letter of 26 June 1943 several as several as a NSC 3447 proposed by S. C. Chipatete and requesting on the second sec

rease. I would augged a covision of Paragraph 1 as follows

a star thin we wave to me

I appreciate your southey in this this states this states at to me tor remain. OSD, OGC, DOJ [[Signed] review(s) completed. Jul 26 4 05 PH "63 31 3 58 CONE WELGORISHY Sistribution: 1 - ARLEUTIVE REGISTRY FILE. 141 - Addressee 1-001 1 - 0001 Approved For Release 2005/06/03 CIA-RDP80B01676R002800060003 55 1 - 06 I- EATOCI)

Approved Formelease 2005/06/03 : CIA-RDP80B01676R00280006003-5



Executi

25X1

15 JUL 1963

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT:

The Proposed Revision of NSC 5427, "Restricting Diplomatic and Official Representatives of the Soviet Bloc Countries in the United States in Connection with Strategic Intelligence"

1. The Attorney General, by letter dated 24 May 1963, requested your views regarding a proposed revision of NSC 5427, particularly the first paragraph of the proposal: "Restrictions should be placed upon diplomatic and official representatives of Soviet bloc countries in the United States on the basis of strict reciprocity for restrictions placed upon U.S. representatives in each Soviet bloc country; as determined to be feasible by a group composed of representatives of the Departments of State, Defense, Justice and CIA."



Approved For Release 2005/06/03 : CIA-RDP80B01676R002800060003-5

SECRET

2. You indicated agreement with this paragraph in a reply to the Attorney General on 7 June 1963.

3. On 10 June, Mr. Gilpatric, in a personal letter to the Attorney General, made two new suggestions regarding the proposed revision of NSC 5427.

> First, he proposed that paragraph one, as cited above, provide for the possibility of imposing restrictions on diplomatic and official Soviet bloc representatives in the United States not only on the basis of reciprocity but also "on the basis of requirements of national defense and internal security."

Secondly, Mr. Gilpatric suggested the addition of a paragraph which would designate the Department of Defense to receive and to approve the travel itineraries of Soviet bloc military personnel and other Soviet bloc persons who travel with them in the United States, in accordance with whatever restrictions are determined to be feasible by the inter-agency group set up to administer the policy. Approved For Release 2005/06/03 : CIA-RDP80B01676R002800060003-5

SECRET

4. In my opinion, comment by you is required only on the Deputy Secretary's first suggestion.

5. As DCI, you are responsible for assuring the interests in intelligence collection, of all elements, overt and covert, of the U.S. intelligence community abroad. Mr. Gilpatric is rightly concerned with the risk that members of some Soviet bloc military installations will not be estopped from overt intelligence activity against military installations in the U.S. when the principle of strict reciprocity assures these representatives freedom to travel throughout the United States. But it must be recognized that the amendment which Mr. Gilpatric proposes would have direct implications. for U.S. intelligence activity, including the activity of American military representatives, abroad. Any restrictive action by the inter-agency group on the basis of Mr. Gilpatric's proposal would certainly provoke retaliation against U.S. diplomatic or military personnel in the bloc nation concerned and would result therefore in the curtailment of U.S. collection activity in that country.

-3-

SECRET

)

Approved Formelease 2005/06/03 : CIA-RDP80B016 R002800060003-5 SECRET

6. It is our understanding that NSC 5427 has consistently required the imposition of restrictions on diplomatic and official representatives of Soviet bloc countries in the U.S. whenever such restrictions have been placed upon U.S. representatives in a Soviet bloc country, the principle of strict reciprocity was to operate automatically in guiding the reaction of U.S. authorities. This seems to us to be a sound principle. On the other hand, the Department of Defense may have valid need for authority in specific circumstances to invoke restrictions upon the movements of bloc diplomats and official representatives in the U.S. without reference to reciprocity and this action may be advantageous despite the consequent restrictions on U.S. representatives in the particular country abroad which would probably follow. The net advantage to the U.S. would have to be carefully analyzed in each instance. We suggest therefore that the opportunity to make a case before the inter-agency group which will administer the application of NSC 5427 be conceded, however, without dependence or connection with the principle of strict

SECRET

Approved Formelease 2005/06/03 : CIA-RDP80B01676R002800060003-5

SECRET

reciprocity which normally should guide determinations by the group.

7. It is therefore recommended that you suggest a rewording of paragraph one as follows:

"1. Restrictions shall be placed upon diplomatic and official representatives of Soviet bloc countries in the United States on the basis of strict reciprocity for restrictions placed on U.S. representatives in each Soviet bloc country; as determined to be feasible by a group composed of representatives of the Departments of State, Defense, Justice and CIA. In particular circumstances, the group may place restrictions upon diplomatic and official representatives of Soviet bloc countries in the U.S. on the basis of other requirements of national defense or internal security."

25)

672.1 area

Approved For Release 2005/06/03 - CIA-RDP80B01676R002800060003-5

cc: DDCI

Richard Helms

Approved For Belease 2005/06/03 : CIA-RDP80B01676R002800060083-55

當朝

Washington, D. C.

June 28, 1963

Honorable John A. McCone Director, Central Intelligence Agency Washington 25, D.C.

Re: Proposed Revision of NSC 5427

Dear Mr. McCone:

Subsequent to the receipt of your memorandum of June 7, 1963 captioned as above, comments were received from the Department of Defense concerning the proposed revision, a copy of which is attached.

I would appreciate receiving your views concerning the attached Department of Defense proposed revision of NSC 5427.

Sincerely,

Attorney

sol

Insoutive Maglany

Enclosure

Approved For ease 2005/06/03 : CIA-RDP80B01676R002800060003-5

THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE Washington



10 June 1963

SECRET

Dear Bob:

COPY

This is in reply to your letter of 24 May 1963 requesting Department of Defense views on a draft National Security Action Memorandum which has been developed to replace NSC 5427, subject, "Restricting Diplomatic and Official Representatives of the Soviet Bloc Countries in the United States in Connection with Strategic Intelligence."

My primary comment on the policy guidance set forth in the draft NSAM centers on the retention of the requirement that restrictions on travel of official Soviet bloc representatives in the United States are to be based solely on the factor of reciprocity. In my view, our experience last April with the trip of Hungarian military attache personnel over a route involving a large number of very sensitive strategic missile sites forcibly points up the need for additional criteria upon which to adjudge requirements for travel restrictions. Accordingly, I suggest that the first numbered paragraph of the proposed NSAM be expanded as follows:

"1. Restrictions shall be placed upon diplomatic and official representatives of Soviet bloc countries in the United States on the basis of states reciprocity for restrictions placed upon U.S. representatives in each Soviet bloc country, or on the basis of requirements of national defense or internal security; as determined to be feasible by a group composed of representatives of the Departments of State, Defense, Justice and CIA."

I recognize that from a diplomatic viewpoint the principle of strict reciprocity, as it applies to travel restrictions, offers certain distinct advantages. Moreover, if the policy statement under consideration applied only to Soviet diplomats and officials, I would agree that our national security interests would be adequately safeguarded. The stringency of present Soviet security restrictions would, in strict reciprocity, entail the corresponding imposition of adequate safeguards on our part. Should Soviet restrictions be lifted, the increased opportunities for travel available to our representatives would more than balance the opportunities thus available to the Soviets.

When we extend consideration to include bloc countries other than the Soviet Union, however, we encounter a significantly different situation. Satellite representatives can and do operate in the United

efense SBC. DEF CONT NO. X-3909

Downgraded at 3 year intervals; Declassified after 12 years. DOD DIR 5200.10 Approved For Belease 2005/06/03 : CIA-RDP80B01676R002800060003-5

SECRET

States as intelligence agents of the Soviet Union. The free travel access granted such representatives thus nullifies much of the security resulting from restrictions on Soviet travel. This is not to suggest that national defense or internal security factors necessarily outweigh the factor of reciprocity. I believe, however, that all of these factors should receive appropriate consideration and that categories of travel restriction or control should be established on a basis of the net contribution to our national interest.

It is true, of course, that virtually any individual from a Soviet bloc country, official or private, military or civilian, could be an espionage agent. I am advised, however, that at present the military attaches of Satellite countries and others assigned to the staffs of military attaches appear to have the prime assignment of obtaining information regarding such vital U.S. defense systems as our growing complex of strategic missile sites. I believe, therefore, that an early task of the group referenced in paragraph 1 should be a re-examination of our policies regarding travel by the Satellite military attaches and their staffs. Regardless of the specific restrictions that might: result from such a review, I also believe that there is a need for closer cognizance by the Department of Defense over travel by Satellite military personnel than presently exists. Accordingly, I suggest that a new paragraph be added to the proposed NSAM after paragraph 3, as follows:

"4. The Department of Defense will utilize its present facilities in the Military Departments to receive and approve, in consonance with restrictions formulated by the group discussed in paragraph 1, travel itineraries from Soviet bloc military personnel and other Soviet bloc persons who travel with them in the United States."

Should we find that closer scrutiny and tighter controls of travel by Satellite military personnel will merely result in a shift of the burden of high priority military intelligence collection to other categories of Soviet bloc personnel, such additional restrictions as might be required presumably would be formulated by the group referenced in paragraph 1 of the NSAM, or at a higher level of the government, if

I am aware that in the proceedings of the Interdepartmental Committee on Internal Security the Department of Defense had previously indicated informal concurrence in a draft restatement of policy on this subject along lines essentially the same as those set forth in NSC 5427. Our most recent review of past practices, however, particularly in light of the April experience with the Hungarians, now compels me to recommend the adoption of broader criteria than strict reciprocity as the basis for restrictions on travel by official Soviet bloc representatives, and the development of improved means of monitoring and

SECRET

-2-

Approved Formelease 2005/06/03 : CIA-RDP80B01676R002800060003-5

SECRET EST M9 25 | SI JUL

controlling, as necessary, movements within the United States by military personnel of the Satellite countries as well as those of the Soviet Union.

Sincerely.

signed Roswell L. Gilpatric Deputy Secretary of Defense

The Honorable Robert F. Kennedy

The Attorney General

Jul 16 4 28 PH '63

ER 63-4337/a

DDP 3-2793

R C

Ju

Ver

7 JUN 1963

Approved For Release 2005/06/03 : CIA-RDP80B01676 2002800060003-5

MEMORANDUM FOR: The Honorable Robert F. Kennedy-The Attorney General

LUNCI

SUBJECT

Proposed revision of NSC 5427

Reference is made to your letter of 24 May 1963, enclosing a proposed revision of NSC 5427 and requesting my views, particularly with respect to paragraph 1.

1 am in accord with paragraph 1 of the proposed and am in general agreement with the objectives of the other provisions.

I appreciate your courtesy in referring this prog

(Signed) JOHN A. Meeter

JOHN A. MCCONE Director Half

Originated CI Retyped O/DCI/mfb Distribution: O & 1 - addressee - DCI I - DDCI 2 - DDP1 - ADDP1 - ER1 - C/CI

25X1

25X1

 $\frac{1 - CI}{1 - OS}$

JUN 10 3 48 PM .63

CRUDY) Excludod from actionatic downgraating roo destace(file 373

Approved For Release 2005/06/03 : CIA-RDP80B01676R002800060003-5

SECRET

Approved For Release 2005/06/03 : CIA-RDP80B01676R00280006000375

DD/P 3

「新田島」になった。「「日本」」」

(Duice of the Attorney General

Washington, A.C.

May 24 1963

Honorable John A. McCone Director of Central Intelligence Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Mr. McCone:

The Under Secretary of State for Administration forwarded to the Chairman of the Interdepartmental Committee on Internal Security (ICIS) a proposed draft National Security Action Memorandum which would rescind and supersede NSC 5427, "Restricting Diplomatic and Official Representatives of the Soviet Bloc Countries in the United States in Connection with Strategic Intelligence".

The provisions of the proposed draft were reviewed and revisions made and, in light of internal security considerations, the ICIS recommended the issuance of the revised draft NSAM attached.

You will note in Paragraph 1 of the attachment that the basis for restrictions placed on diplomatic and official representatives of Soviet bloc countries is strict reciprocity as determined to be feasible by a group composed of representatives of the Departments of State, Defense, Justice and CIA.

Prior to making a final recommendation to the President concerning the promulgation of the attached draft NSAM I would appreciate receiving any views you may have particularly as they may relate to Paragraph 1. Any questions you may have concerning the draft attached should be taken up with Mr. Doherty of this Department on Extension 2337.

Sincerely,

Attornev

Attachment

GROUP 4 Downgraded at 3 year intervals; declassified after 12 years

When the attachments are removed this transmittal letter becomes <u>CONFIDENTIAL</u>

SECRET

Approved For Belease 2005/06/09 CA-RDP80B01676B002800060003-5

draft revesed usc 5427

April 11, 1963

RUSTRICTING DIFLOMATIC AND OPPICIAL REPRESENTATIVES OF SOVIET BLOC COUNTRIES IN THE UNITED STATES IN CORNECTION WITH STRATEGIC INFELLIGENCE

1. Restrictions should be placed upon diplomatic and official representations of Soviet blue countries in the United States on the basis of strict reciprocity for restrictions placed upon U. S. representatives in each Soviet blue country; as determined to be facultic by a group composed of representatives of the Departments of State, Defense, Justice, and 724.

2. Soviet blac countries should be required to have all their missions in the United States, and any separate ortablishumns or offices thereof, plainly worked as such in order that individuals entering these missions, establishments or offices may be mare of their official nature; and that the Soviet blac missions be officially advised that the feilure of their personnel to reveal their affiliation with the mission, or intermational organization, in correspondence with United Scates citizens, companies and institutions, will henceforth be considered a violation of the accepted morns of diplomatic conduct.

3. The Department of Connerce will: (a) continue to provide a central point within the Executive Branch to provide assistance desired by US Coverement agencies and by US private individuals and concerns, with a view to obtaining from Simo-Seviet Blue sources information of comparable value in anchange for the release, publication and empert to the 'Mee of US non-classified technical and occentifie information having potential strategie intelligence significance; and (b) report periodically to the Atterney Conerch correting the informal security espects of the function performed by such central point:

GROUP 4 Downgraded at 3 year intervals; declassified after 12 years

Secret

Approved For Release 2005/06/03 : CIA-RDP80B01676R002800060003-5

SECRET

A. The military spancies and the Atomic Energy Commission abould circularize companies and facilities manufacturing or engaging in research for those agencies, advising of the practices of those Soviet bloc representatives in attempting to obtain unclassified technical and strategic intelligence, with the suggestion that Soviet bloc officials requesting such information from these componies be referred to the appropriate Government agency.

5. On the basis of neclprocity Soviet bloc teprosentstives in the United States should be required to channel requests for unclassified Government documents through a control point. This recommendation is not intended to interfore with the normal course of business that these representatives have with the Department of State and our military agaades.



Approved For Release 2005/06/03 : CIA-RDP80B01676R002800060003-5

the second second

25X1