

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

(CLASSIFICATION)

62-6884

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

EXECUTIVE MEMORANDUM

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

EXECUTIVE MEMORANDUM No. _____

20 SEP 1962

DATE _____

MEMORANDUM FOR:

- DEPUTY DIRECTOR (PLANS)
- DEPUTY DIRECTOR (INTELLIGENCE) *Attn. [redacted]*
- DEPUTY DIRECTOR (RESEARCH) *Attn. [redacted]*
- DEPUTY DIRECTOR (SUPPORT) *Attn. [redacted]*
- COMPROLLER *Attn. [redacted]*
- INSPECTOR GENERAL
- GENERAL COUNSEL
- ASSISTANT DIRECTOR FOR NATIONAL ESTIMATES



50X9

~~Director of Training~~

LBK/kg

cc - ER

O/DDCI

O/DCI

✓ Exec Dir

This memorandum contains information for the addressees. Addressees may give this memorandum additional circulation within their components as required. All copies should be destroyed not filed, upon completion of circulation. A master file will be kept in the Executive Director's Office and will be available upon request.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

(CLASSIFICATION)

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

CONFIDENTIAL

20 SEP 1962

SUBJECT: Discussion with Robert Amory, Bureau of the Budget, on Foreign Affairs Academy

1. I called Bob Amory to discuss the Foreign Affairs Academy with him and ask him the general status of this proposal. He commented that the Perkins Panel was scheduled to meet all day next Thursday the 27th, but that there was some uncertainty about their meeting and about the over-all proposal for a Foreign Affairs Academy. He said that the Perkins Panel was quite upset by the Merchant Report and particularly about the defensive attitude of the Foreign Service Institute. They felt, as some people in the government felt, that State was unwilling to view a Foreign Affairs Academy in the broad perspective of an educational institution which by its very nature would engage in controversy and would create controversy. The Perkins Panel apparently feels that State views the proposed Foreign Affairs Academy as nothing more than an expanded Foreign Service Institute, and that none of them believe that this was either the proper objective or worthwhile. Consequently, there was some question as to whether the Panel would meet and even a greater question as to what would happen in the future. He said that he had discussed the matter with Bundy and Kaysen; and the three of them believed that if State would agree to nothing more than an expanded Foreign Service Institute, which could be accomplished by administrative action, then there was little purpose in pursuing the issue any further.

2. I asked Mr. Amory if he didn't feel that the Agency's current activities and potential contribution were too little in evidence. I suggested that perhaps we should submit a paper at least for the Perkins Panel and the principal officers of the agencies concerned going into more detail on our capability, provided that it was classified and did not receive distribution or publicity outside of the government. He said he didn't think this was necessary, but then allowed that perhaps because he and Sam Belk, who is handling the matter in the White House, know the Agency so well, that they didn't appreciate what was being left unsaid. He said that if we would wish to prepare a paper to do it in multiple copies and send it to Sam Belk.

(signed) Lyman B. Kirkpatrick

Lyman B. Kirkpatrick
Executive Director

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

CONFIDENTIAL