
•

TRANSMIT	
TO: Mr. 0	George Carver
ROOM NO.	BUILDING
6F19	Headquarters
REMARKS:	
FROM:	
0	GC/Legislative Counsel
	GC/Legislative Counsel BUILDING Headquarters

STAT

6148

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD --- SENATE

whelmingly for it, because we are in a situation where Senators must support their own flesh and blood, those who are in the war zone.

Mr. President, I suggest the absence of

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The a quorum. clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call

the roll. Mr. MANSFIELD. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for

the quorum call be rescinded. The PRESIDING OFFICER. without

objection, it is so ordered.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Mr. MANSFIELD. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate may go into executive session to consider a nomination on the Executive Calendar. The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there

objection? There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider executive business.

OFFICE OF EMERGENCY PLANNING

The legislative clerk read the nomination of Farris Bryant, of Florida, to be Director of the Office of Emergency Planning

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, the nomination is confirmed.

Mr. MANSFIELD. Mr. President, I ask that the President be notified immediately of the confirmation of the

nomination. The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, the President will be notified forthwith.

LEGISLATIVE SESSION

Mr. MANSFIELD. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate return to legislative session.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The Senate resumed the consideration of legislative business.

SUPPLEMENTAL DEFENSE APPROPRIATION, 1966

The Senate resumed the consideration of the bill (H.R. 13546) making supplemental appropriations for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1966, and for other purposes.

Mr. CLARK. Mr. President, I wonder if the Senator from Georgia would be willing to respond to a series of questions.

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. Mr. President, if I am able to give any information that will shed any light on this subject, I shall be pleased to do so.

Mr. CLARK. I refer to page 26 of the hearings. In the report which was submitted to the committee, it is stated that there was no deep-seated resentment or hatred of either the South Vietnamese or Americans because of the bombings. This is a part of the research report that was placed in the record by the Secretary of Defense.

research organization that produced this report and who it was.

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. It is my understanding that it was the Rand

Corp. Mr. CLARK. Therefore, it is not a CIA report?

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. No, it is not a CIA report. It was a report prepared by a researcher for a private corporation.

Mr. CLARK. My understanding is it resulted from interrogation of PAVN and Vietcong prisoners.

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. That is true. They interrogated a large number of prisoners.

Mr. CLARK. I have been concerned that these bombing attacks and artillery barrages, which of necessity we use in connection with our search and destroy and clearing operations, might have caused some adverse reaction to the Americans among the people of South Vietnam who would come under the bombing attacks or artillery fire, and also, whether this would result in adverse propaganda reaction abroad because of the almost inevitable killing of civilians in the course of these attacks. I wonder if the Senator or the com-

mittee has any information as to the number of civilians killed during any particular period as a result of the bombing and artillery fire of our troops? Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. No, I do

not believe any witness gave us any numcivilians ber. Unquestionably some were in the villages and have been killed both by air attacks, not only by B-52's but other airplanes, and artillery fire.

However, let me add that our commanding officers in South Vietnam havetaken steps so that no village will be bombed or fired upon until the people are notified by loudspeaker from the air of our purpose and intent in order to give all noncombatants an opportunity to leave the village. Even under those circumstances probably some of them have been killed.

As I stated yesterday, any that we killed have been killed accidentally, whereas we know thousands of these people have been killed with premeditated brutality by the Vietcong and the North Vietnamese.

Mr. CLARK. I have no doubt about that.

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. I think our people have taken almost every precaution possible in the effort to minimize the deaths of civilians. War is a cruel thing. You cannot fight a war without everybody suffering, and the people in the area where the war is being fought will, of course, suffer the most. I think we have taken about every

precaution possible to minimize deaths of the civilians and the innocent. It is undoubtedly having some effect because for the first time now the civilians are showing a willingness to tell us the location of Vietcong units, and to help us avoid the many ambushes into which our forces have stumbled, which is one of the principal causes of battle losses we have suffered.

This would seem to in-I wonder if the Senator from Georgia Mr. CLARK. This would seem to find alienating the farmers. I wonder if the Senator from Georgia Mr. CLARK. This would seem to find alienating the farmers. knows whether it Approvide Por Reflects 2004/08/30 : CIA-RDP80R01720R000500030056-9 Mr. CLARK.

March 22, 1966

alienation of the local people as a result of the bombing or artillery fire. I would

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. not make that categorical statement. If I were in a village that was bombed, I would be outraged at the moment. I am sure that most human beings would react

in the same way. I think we have tremendously changed the attitude of the civilian population by the extreme measures we use to minimize the suffering of the civilian population.

Mr. CLARK. Which is in striking contrast to that used by the North Vietnamese.

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. Absolutely. Mr. CLARK. If the Senator will turn to page 128 of the hearings, in view of

the fact that chemical defoliants have only limited capability against so-called double canopied jungle vegetation and growth, is it fairly clear that we are using these chemical defoliants largely for crop destruction, as we see in the newspapers?

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. I think it has been used for both purposes, as I recall the testimony. But as I recall it, we have used them in an effort to locate the many different prongs of the Ho Chi Minh Trail, which is a series of connecting roads. We have on occasion used defoliants to prevent the Vietcong from gathering rice crops that were about to ripen.

2.

Mr. CLARK. Does the Senator have any idea of the extent to which we used the chemicals in destroying rice or other food supplies?

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. No, I am afraid I cannot answer that question. I do not know how many acres are affected. I do not think it is a considerable amount when measured against the total rice production capabilities of South Vietnam.

The Senator knows prior to the war they were a great exporter of rice to the Asiatic world. But now we are exporting rice from Louisiana and Arkansas to help feed them.

Mr. CLARK. What does the Senator think is the principal cause for the decline in rice production; the fact that the fields have been constantly fought over?

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. I think the fact that the man that planted his crop saw that the odds were 4 out of 5 that he would never be able to gather it for his own use is the major cause. I understand on occasion Government troops have made levies on the rice.

It is difficult to force a man to plant and gather a crop that he is not going to be able to eat or sell himself. That, in the simplest terms, is the condition that exists in vast areas of Vietnam.

Mr. CLARK. I agree with the Senator completely. It occurs to me that using chemicals to destroy crops might well be counter productive on our part on the ground that it would clearly alienate the peasants whose crops are being defoliated, and I wonder whether the denial of the crops destroyed to the Vietcong is worth the psychological impact of

March 22, 1966

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. I am not sure that I recall this from the testimony or conversations I had with members of the staff of General Westmoreland, but it is my recollection that no defoliants have been used on any rice fields where there was any hope whatever that the rice could be harvested by the South Vietnamese. It was used only on areas where there was no possibility of anyone except the Vietcong benefitting from the harvesting of the rice.

I yield to the Senator from Missouri [Mr. SYMINGTON] who has spent some time in South Vietnam.

time in South Vietnam. Mr. SYMINGTON. Much of this information with respect to defoliation has been classified, but I would say to my friend from Pennsylvania that the primary interest in this particular defoliation is for clearing the jungles, in order that our people know what they are attacking when they attack such targets as the Ho Chi Minh Trail to prevent ammunition, troops and food from coming down said trail to help the Vietcong in their attacks against us.

Based on testimony received, the control of the use of these defoliants is very tight. I believe the Senator would be satisfied if he obtained the classified testimony, that there has been relatively little of it used for destroying any crops.

The testimony supplied me at this moment by a member of the staff is interesting, but I observe there have been too many deletions to make it useful.

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. I am happy to have the Senator from Missouri confirm what I have said. I said that a small proportion of defoliation had been on crops, and only in instances where we knew that the harvesting of crops would rebound to the benefit of the Vietcong by supplying them with essential food.

It is very true that our position in the war and the mistakes we have made have been magnified all over the world. The news photographers of television networks have been permitted to accompany our people. The tragic pictures of little children who have been wounded, of mothers weeping over their dead, and of those who are binding up their wounds have been transmitted all over the world.

For every one of those instances there have been a dozen instances in which the Vietcong have deliberately done what we have done accidentally, but we haye not had the means to present that to the world. That is one of the disadvantages of living in an open society.

Frankly, I think that in some cases the disseminators of news should have been more judicious in the showing of some of these films and in the statements that accompanied the films that indicated that our soldiers were careless and were deliberately killing the civilian population.

Mr. SYMINGTON. I agree completely with the distinguished Senator from Georgia. One of the tricks the Vietcong has been using is to wait until crops were ready for harvesting, then to go into the fields and cut the men, women, and children to pieces as they were working to bring in the grain.

One of the chief reasons why it has what is referred to as "tear gas." This Mr. ROBSELL of Correct. been necessary for the present tear Returned 2904/08/30 . CIA-RDP80R01720R000500030056-9

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD - SENATE

export grain to South Vietnam are the clever ways in which the Vietcong have combined to destroy both the people and the grain when the people have attempted to harvest it. I associate myself with the statement of the Senator from Georgia concerning how little of this story has been told in various films and other media when they have been shown to the American people.

Mr. CLARK. Is it not true that in many instances the Vietcong prefer to extort a tax from the people as a condition to letting them eat or market their grain, as opposed to destroying it?

Mr. SYMINGTON. Mr. President, will the Senator from Georgia yield, to permit me to reply?

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. I yield.

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. Typical Mr. SYMINGTON. In many places the Vietcong do impose a tax. The tax apparently has been custom. It was one of Ho Chi Minh's customs, when he started his building of communism in Vietnam long ago. It was part of the effect to destroy all the people above a certain economic level by imposing a confiscatory tax in North Vietnam and South Vietnam. In many places, if anybody argued against the tax, he would, in typical Vietcong fashion, be destroyed.

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. I recall that in many areas the farmers were compelled to abandon their crops to avoid being forced to harvest it, and were thus unable to take it with them. The Vietcong collected the tax and left the civilian population there without any means of support, even though they had harvested the crop. That has had a terrific effect on the production of rice in South Vietnam.

Mr. CLARK. To return briefly to the question of chemical warfare, the Senator from Georgia and the Senator from Missouri are aware, I know—the Senators have said so—that war is a nasty business. It is not always possible to fight a war according to the Marquis of Queensberry rules. The use of gas by our troops in South Vietnam enabled the Russians to mount a propaganda offensive at the Disarmament Conference in Geneva last week. They spent the better part of a day, together with one or two of their Communist allies, castigating the United States for violating the Geneva Convention in connection with the use of gas and chemical weapons.

It may well be that the use of gas is reasonably humane—at least as humane as shooting the enemy with artillery or dropping bombs on them. It may also be necessary. But I do point out the

adverse propaganda effect. Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. The Senator from Pennsylvania well knows that the Russians are masters of propaganda. They can seize a little, isolated incident, take it out of context, and make it appear to be a terrible crime against humanity. That was what I had in mind when I was discussing the news releases and matters of that kind.

The fact is that there is not a country on earth that is not using this type of gas against its own people. The type of gas we have used against the Vietcong is what is referred to as "tear gas." This

of every country to restore order when matters get out of hand.

When it was announced that we were using tear gas, naturally the Russians seized on that and said that the United States was using lethal gases in this war. I have inquired into this matter and have been told that we have used only tear gas. We possess lethal gases, and we have them in large quantities. have destruction powers almost beyond description. But we have not sent one container of lethal gas out of this country, much less used it against the Vietcong in South Vietnam. The gas we have used is the same kind of gas that the police force in New York, Chicago, Philadelphia, or here in the city of Washington has available to enable it to maintain order.

Mr. CLARK. What is the view of the Senator from Georgia and the Senator from Missouri with respect to the effect on personnel of the chemical defoliants which we are using? Do they have an adverse or lethal effect on the personnel?

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. I doubt whether it has any serious effect on personnel. If we happen to be fortunate enough to expose a vehicle on a road or to pick up a few trucks coming down and can knock them out, the defoliant has an adverse effect on that immediate contingent of troops or truck drivers. But I doubt whether the defoliant itself has had any lethal effect on the Vietcong personnel. As a matter of fact, I think we have done a great many things in Vietnam that have been said to have a tremendous effect, but that have been magnified out of reasonable proportion.

Mr. CLARK. I invite the Senator's attention now to the question of our bombing and the contemplated bombing of North Vietnam. I am glad the Senator from Missouri is in the Chamber, because this is a subject in which he has interested himself deeply.

Referring to page 42 of the hearings, do the Senators accept the statement of Secretary McNamara?

The quantities of men and the quantities of materiel involved in the infiltration into South Vietnam are small.

I recall some statements in the newspapers that the rate of infiltration of men from the north was about 4,500 a month. On the other hand, the Secretary testified that throughout all of last year only about 20,000 men infiltrated from the north, with the exception of the regular army battalions. The Secretary said, as shown at page 42 of the hearings, that he thought the infiltration from the north was small.

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. It all depends on what figure one regards as being small.

Mr. CLARK. Would the Senator from Georgia agree that 4,500 a month would be about the correct figure?

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. I should think that that is a fair estimate of troops coming down from North Vietnam at the present time.

Mr. CLARK. The 4,500 has reference to personnel.

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. That is

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD — SENATE

March 22, 1966

Mr. CLARK. I understand, of course, that they carry supplies at least suffi-cient to support the personnel.

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. Not only for the 4,500, but also to supply the 250,000 Vietcong and North Vietnamese regulars who are engaged in the war.

Mr. CLARK. I have heard it said that the amount of tonnage coming down the Ho Chi Minh trail this year is quite insignificant. As I recall, the committee had testimony to that effect. Perhaps the Senator from Missouri will recall that testimony before the Committee on Foreign Relations. Much of the tonnage came on bicycles.

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. I do not agree that the amount is small or insignificant. That is not my own estimate of the situation. I have read everything that has been written on the war between Vietminh and the French, and the amount of materiel of war that those people were able to move around on their heads and backs, on bicycles, and in baskets is absolutely staggering to the human imagination.

An occidental army could not begin to exist if it were forced to supply itself in the manner in which the North Vietnamese and the Vietcong are compelled to do today. I think that they move a considerable quantity of supplies. I believe that we have slowed them down somewhat by this bombing. But we have not interdicted them completely, and we never will. That is why I have advocated closing the Halphong Harbor in addition to knocking out the two railroads from China.

Mr. President, I yield to my friend, the Senator from Missouri.

Mr. SYMINGTON. Mr. President, this discussion is interesting. I happen to have a very high regard for the fighting characteristics of the American military. They have been sent to South Vietnam to defend the United States. They cannot ever expect success on a man-to-man basis because there are so many millions of people out there able to fight in this war. Therefore, they see quality as their only hope, quality of munitions, quality of supplies.

It is interesting to watch the development of these curious discussions in the newspapers and on the radio and television in the United States, concerning what we should or should not supply in the way of quality in our supplies, so as to help these young men to be successful in what they have been sent out there to do.

I am in complete agreement with my colleague from Georgia on the importance of destroying the docks at Haiphong. Nobody knows what and how much is coming through that harbor.

It is little more than some 150 miles at the most to Haiphong from Red China. It is a relatively simple trip, one which can be taken, and is being taken by many ships, ships owned by countries not friendly to the United States, as well as ships by countries that are friendly to the United States. But what worries me most is this slow but steady effort to denigrate the qualitative advances which, as a highly industrialized nation, we can offer our forces. Approved For Release 2004/08/3001. CIA-RDP80R01720R000500030056-9

Nobody has considered the use of nuclear weapons. Nor should they. But many people apparently are worried about the amount of bombing we are doing in Vietnam. They are also worried about this limited defoliation. They ask about the use of gas, as the Senator from Georgia pointed out, a gas that does not permanently hurt people, one used all over the United States by policemen, in carrying out their duties in our cities.

People are worried about the type and caliber of our airplanes. They wonder whether these airplanes are too big, or too fast. Perhaps the words airplane, bomb, chemical defoliators and other words expressing our quality will be established soon as dirty words. What will the result be?

I have seen many rifles that were manufactured in China and Russia. These rifles are placed in the hands of the Vietcong and people from the north who live on a small amount of rice, have never had a good life and never expect much change.

We take a college graduate from the United States and put him in the jungle. He has a rifle. The Vietcong referred to has a rifle which is just as good as the rifle manufactured in the United States.

If the situation continues to develop as it is developing, soon the only way in which we will be willing to defend freedom will be by putting our men out with rifles to fight with their men with a rifie. It would then become a numbers game, a game which, in my opinion, our position would be hopeless from the standpoint of success; and it would also involve heavy additional casualties.

Mr. President, I have a statement I would make if the Senator from Georgia would yield.

Mr. CLARK. Mr. President, I have the floor.

Mr. SYMINGTON. Mr. President, I understand the Senator from Pennsylvania had the floor for an hour, that he

had asked for an hour. Mr. CLARK. The Senator is quite correct.

Mr. SYMINGTON. If the Senator would yield, I thought the Senator from Georgia had the floor, was so told by a member of the staff.

Mr. CLARK. Mr. President, I shall yield to the Senator from Missouri, but I should like to have the RECORD show that, having completed my comments in something less than an hour, I yielded the floor. Several other Senators took the floor.

I came back and asked if the Senator from Georgia would be willing to answer a few remaining questions which I had not had the opportunity to ask him yesterday. He very graciously said that he would.

We were in the middle of that colloquy when the Senator from Missouri came in.

Mr. SYMINGTON. Mr. President, I do appreciate the Senator yielding to me. There are only 20 minutes remaining before the vote.

Mr. CLARK. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that I may yield to the Senator from Missouri so that he may make a short statement without my

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. SYMINGTON. Mr. President, I thank the able and distinguished Senator from Pennsylvania.

Mr. President, during recent weeks and months, there has been much discussion on this floor of the various Communist units, political and military, in North Vietnam and South Vietnam, primarily the Vietcong and the National Liberation Front; also others.

Many different statements and interpretations of the structure and functioning of these units have been made a part of the RECORD-to the point where, frankly, I have been somewhat unclear about the history and the actions of these various components.

Now, and perhaps for the first time in summarized fashion, a clear and concise presentation has been made by a scholar of political theory and Asian affairs, who also has served in Vietnam.

Anyone who wants better understanding of what has been and is going on in the relationship between the Ho Chi Minh government of North Vietnam and the Communists of South Vietnam will be intensely interested in this article. Therefore, I ask unanimous consent that an article "The Faceless Vietcong" by George A. Carver, Jr., be printed at this point in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the article was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

[From Foreign Affairs, April 1966]

THE FACELESS VIETCONG

(By George A. Carver, Jr.)

"We [the Lao Dong (Communist) Party] are building socialism in Vietnam, We are are building socialism in vietnam, we are building it, however, only in half of the country, while in the other half, we must still bring to a conclusion the democratic-bourgeois and anti-imperialist revolution. Actually, our party must now accomplish, contemporaneously, two different revolu-tions, in the north and in the south. This is one of the most characteristic traits of our struggle."

Ho CHI MINH, June 1959.1

The present struggle in South Vietnam is in essence the third act of a continuous political drama whose prologue spanned the 1930's, whose first act was played in the years between 1941 and 1945, and whose second encompassed the 1946-54 Franco-Viet Minh war. The scene of major action in this drama has shifted several times, as have the identities of the auxiliary players (e.g. the Chinese Nationalists, the British, the French, the Chinese Communists and now the Americans) and the political guises of some of the principals. Throughout its course, however, the unifying theme of this drama has been the unrelenting struggle of the Vietnamese Communist Party to acquire political control over all of Vietnam. Its chief protagonists, furthermore, have always been and are today the small, dedicated and doctrinaire group who, under Ho Chi Minh's doctrinaire group who, unlet his of nur-guidance and direction, organized and nur-tured Vietnam's Communist Party during the 1930's, usurped the nationalist revolu-tion after World War II and subverted it to their ends. They are the same men who

¹ From a Hanoi interview by two correspondents of the Italian Communist Party journal Unita, published in Unita on July 1, 1959, and in the Belgian Communist paper CONGRESSIONAL RECORD - SENATE

6151

run the Communist state already established in North Vietnam and who who are now directing the insurgency designed to bring the southern part of the country under their domination.

domination. The tern "Vietcong" came into circulation around 1956 as a means of distinguishing some of the players in the current act of this ongoing political drama from the players in act II. "Vietcong" is a contraction of the phrase "Vietnam Cong-San," which means, simply, "Vietnamese Communist(s)." It is a descriptive term, not necessarily pejorative except, perhaps, in the sense of "If the shoe fits..." It is a useful, precise and, as we shall see, accurate generic label for the individuals leading the present insurgent movement, at all levels, and for the organizational structure through which that insurgency is controlled and directed.

surgency is controlled and directed. Not surprisingly, the reactions of many whose concern with Vietnam is of recent origin are analogous to those of theatergoers who walk into the middle of the third act of an extremely complex drama ignorant of what has gone before. To understand the Vietcong insurgency, its relationship to the North Vietnamese regime in Hanoi (the D.R.V.) and to the National Liberation Front and the People's Revolutionary Party in South Vietnam (and "their" respective interrelationships), it is essential to appreciate the historical setting within which the Vietcong movement developed and the ends it was created to serve.

Throughout their almost four decades of unremitting struggle for political power, the Victnamese Communists have demonstrated great skill in coping with new problems and great tactical flexibility in pursuing unwavering strategic objectives. Yet, though skillful in learning from past failures, they have often become the victims of previous successes. For the past quarter-century the Vietnamese Communists have been doctrinally addicted to the political device of a broad front organization, dominated and controlled from behind the scenes by disciplined Communist cadres, but espousing general sentiments to which persons of all political inclinations can subscribe (though the formulation of these sentiments has invariably involved a special lexicon of key terms to which communists and non-Communists attach radically different meanings). They have always rigidly subordinated military activity to political ends, and employed it not to inflict strategic defeat on enemy forces in the conventional sense, but as an abrasive to wear down their adversaries' will to fight and force their enemies to accept interim political settlements favorable to the continued pursuit of Communist political objectives.

Because of this Vietnamese Communist penchant for repeating political and military stratagems, a knowledge of recent Vietnamese history is particularly helpful in understanding the present insurgency. Although westerners may be largely ignorant of the scenario and detailed plot development of the previous acts of Vietnam's continuing political drama, the Vietnamese most decidedly are not. Virtually all politically minded Vietnamese have spent at least their adulthood, if not their whole lives, during the Communist struggle for power. Few indeed have not had their lives altered, conditioned or shaped thereby. Without appreciating what the Vietnamese have lived through and without recognizing some of the things they know intimately—often from all-too-firsthand experience—Westerners cannot hope to understand the attitude of Vietnamese now living south of the 17th parallel toward the insurgency, the Vietcong, the National Liberation Front and the Communist regime in Hanol. II

Under the direction of the man who now calls himself Ho Chi Minh, the Indochinese Community Party was organized in January 1930. For the next decade the Vietnamese Communists concentrated on perfecting their organization, jockeying for position within the rising anti-French nationalist movement and attempting to undercut nationalist leaders or groups whom they could not subvert or bring under Communist control, using any means available, including betrayal to the French. In 1941, the Vietnamese Communists joined

In 1941, the Vietnamese Communists Joined a nationalist organization called the League for Vietnamese Independence (Vietnam Doc Lap Dong Minh Hol—or Vietninh) which was sponsored by the Chinese Nationalists as a vehicle for harassing Japanese forces in Indochina but swiftly subverted by the Vietnamese Communists to further their own political objectives. By 1945 the Vietminh movement was under complete Communist control, despite the continued presence and subordinate participation therein of non-Communist nationalist elements whose names and talents the Communists were more than willing to exploit. In the chaotic aftermath of Japan's precipitate surrender, the Communists used the Vietminh as a device for seizing power in Hanoi and (on September 2, 1945) proclaiming the existence of the "Democratic Republic of Vietnam" under the presidency of Ho Chi Minh.

On November 11, 1945, in an effort to make the Vietminh government more palatable to non-Communist Vietnamese and to the Chiness Nationalist forces then occupying Vietnam down to the 16th parallel. Ho formally "dissolved" the Indochinese Communist Party, though the impact of this gesture on the discerning was considerably attenuated when the same day witnessed the formation of a new "Association for Maxist Studies." Complete control over the Vietminh and the subsequent resistance struggle, however, remained unchanged in essentially the same hands as those which control North Vietnam and the insurgency below the 17th parallel today.²

By the late spring of 1946, the fact of Communist control over the Vletminh (despite the "nonexistence" of the party) was becoming increasingly apparent, as was the fact that Ho's political maneuvering and stalling negotiations with the returning French were not going to work. In preparation for the inevitable struggle, Ho endeavored, to broaden the Communists' base of nationalits support. In May 1946 he announced the creation of a new "popular national front" (Lien-Hiep Quoc Dan Vietnam), known as the Lien Viet, whose announced objective was the achievement of "independence and democracy." The Vietminh was merged with, and eventually absorbed by, the Lien Viet, though its name remained to serve as a generic label for those who participated in the subsequent armed struggle against the French. The Communists also brought into the Lien Viet two other small splinter parties which by them were under complete Communist control: the "Democratic Party," designed to appeal to "bourgeois elements" (i.e. urban trade, businees, and professional circles), and the "Radical Socialist Party," designed to enlist the sympathies of students and intellectuals.

The war with the French broke out on

*Despite the Vietnamese Communists' claim that their party did not "exist" under any name from 1945 until 1951, on Aug. 31, 1953, the Cominform journal noted that Vietnamese Communist Party membership increased from 20,000 in 1946 to 500,000 in 1950.

December 19, 1946, and its general course is sufficiently well known to require no rehearsal here. The northern part of Vietnam constituted the principal theater of military operations; the struggle in the south, though intense, was primarily a terrorist and harassing action designed to keep the French off balance and prevent them from concentrating either their attention or their forces on the war in the north. Though the Vietminh achieved these objectives, their efforts in South Vietnam were beset with a continuing series of problems. French control of the sea, air, and major overland routes left the Vietminh in the south dependent for supplies, reinforcements, cadres, and communications on a tortuous set of jungle tracks running through Laos (along the western slopes of the Annamite Chain) which came to be known, collectively, as the "Ho Chi Minh trail." Saigon politics were considerably more complex than those of Hanoi, and non-Communist Vietnamese political groups were both more numerous and more powerful in the south than they were in the north. Furthermore, the Communist leaders of the Vietninh had a series of command and control problems with their southern organization which took several years to resolve.

In 1945, the senior Vietminh representative in southern Vietnam was a Moscow-educated disciple of Ho Chi Minh and the Third International named Tran Van Giau, whose blatant ruthlessness and indiscriminate terrorist tactics alienated key groups that the Vietminh were anxious to bring into their fold, such as the Hoa Hao. Cao Dai and Binh Xuyen. Giau was accordingly recalled to Hanoi in January 1946 and his duties as Vietminh commander in the south were assumed by Nguyen Binh. Although eminently successful in harassing the French and furthering the cause of the nationalist revolution, Binh—a former member of the Communists' most militant nationalist rivals, the VNQDD—was never fully trusted by the Communist high command in the north and came to be considered excessively independent. In 1951 he was replaced by Le Duan, a charter member of the Indochinese Communist Party who is now first secretary of the Communist Party in North Vietnam and one of the most powerful figures in the Hanoi regime. Until 1954, and perhaps even later, Le Duan continued to play a major role in developing and directing the Vietminh organization in the south and in ensuring that it remainded under firm Communist control. However, in late 1952 or early 1953 he was apparently compelled to share his authority with Le Duc Tho, the present head of the North Vietnamese Communist Party's Organization Bureau and also a member of its Politburo.³

The 1949 Communist victory in China had a profound influence on the course of events in Vietnam, particularly after the Vietminh offensive in the fall of 1950 cleared the French out of the frontier area and gave the Vietminh a common border with their new Communist neighbor. The miliary consequences of ensuring Chinese Communist support to the Vietminh cause are fairly well known. The political consequences, less well known in the West, were of at least equal significance. With an increasingly powerful fraternal ally in immediate proximity, the Communist leadership of the Vietminh be-

³ During the Viet Minh era Le Duan and Le Duc Tho apparently had a violent quarrel over tactics which Ho Chi Minh himself had to settle. The details of this dispute are still obscure, but the resultant enmity between these two men has never been completely dissipated.

No. 49-----3

Approved For Release 2004/08/30 : CIA-RDP80R01720R000500030056-9

6152

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD - SENATE

came progressively less dependent on the good will and support of non-Communist Vietnamese nationalists. The mask could now be slipped. The fact of Communist di-rection of the Vietminh no longer had to be concealed, the instruments of Communists control could be made more effective the pecontrol could be made more effective, the nature of that control more rigid and its extent

more pervasive. The first major step in this direction was The first major step in this direction was taken on March 3, 1951, when the Indo-chinese Communist Party reappeared as the Dang Lao Dong Vietnam, or Vietnamese Workers' Party. The Lao Dong swiftly as-sumed a position of absolute political pri-macy within the Lien Viet front, though for appearances' sake the "Democratic" and "Socialist" Parties mentioned above were kept in existence. The overt reconstitution of the Communist Party was doubtless prompted by a variety of considerations, of which the most important was probably the fact that covert domination of the Vietminh movement via a clandestine apparatus whose act that covert nomination of the Vietminh movement via a clandestine apparatus whose very existence had to be concealed was an awkward and inefficient process. It neces-sitated reliance on persuasion as well as convident and institute a second states and the second states and the second states are stated as a second state of the second states are second states and the second states are states as well as sitated reliance on persuasion as well as coercion and, further, complicated the task of advancing Communist political objectives within those areas under Vietminh control. The Vietminh was ostensibly a purely na-tional movement dedicated to the twin goals of independence and democracy: its stated

tional movement dedicated to the twin goals of independence and democracy; its stated objective during the first phase of the armed struggle (1946-51) was simply to throw out the French. The emergence of the "new" the French. The emergence of the "new" party, however, brought forth a new slogan: "The anti-imperialist and the antifeudal fights are of equal importance." What this meant became increasingly apparent during the course of a systematic program which the Communists soon initiated and took 5 years to complete. It was designed to make the Communists soon initiated and took 5 years to complete. It was designed to make the party itself more doctrinally orthodox and to restructure the whole society, at least of North Vietnam, along lines consonant with Communist dogma. This program was con-ducted in five stages, each carefully prepared and each preceded by intensive sessions of "thought reform" for both party and non-party cadres to insure that they would in fact execute the orders they were about to receive.

The first or "economic leveling" stage, The first or "economic leveling" stage, launched in 1951, was designed to ruin the launched in 1951, and the urban businesswealthier peasantry and the urban business-men (to the extent that French control of the towns permitted this) through a complicated system of arbitrary and punitive taxes patterned on Chinese Communist modelspatterned on Chinese Communist models-as, indeed, were all phases of this Vietnamese Communist program.⁴ The second stage was a short, sharp wave of terror launched throughout large parts of North Vietnam one evening in February 1953, a week before Tet, the lunar new year, and sustained for pre-cisely fifteen days.⁵ The patent objectives of this terror campaign were to cow the popucisely fifteen days.⁵ The patent objectives of this terror campaign were to cow the popu-lace, in preparation for what lay ahead, and eliminate all potential centers of effective resistance. When the terror was shut off

North Vietnam had virtually no large "North Vietnam had virtually no large businesses in the Western sense; Vietnamese termed "capitalists" by the Lao Dong were generally what we would term small busi-nessmen or merchants. Though there were inequities in land ownership in North Viet-nam, the Red River Delta had the most ex-tensive nattern of private ownership to be nam, the Red River Deita had the most ex-tensive pattern of private ownership to be found anywhere in Asia and there were vir-tually no large "feudal" holdings of the kind that existed in pre-Communist China, or even in the Mekong Deita region of South Vietnam.

after accomplishing its purpose, Ho Chi Minh made one of his celebrated weeping apologies. The next phase of the Communist pro-The next phase of the communist pro-gram, implemented during 1953 and 1054, was euphemistically labelled "Land Rent Reduction." Carefully selected and spe-cially trained teams of hardcore Communist addres (some of which emost containly had cadres (some of which amost certainly had Chinese "advisers") went quietly to each village, made friends with the poorest therevinage, made mends with the poorest there-in, organized them into cells and helped them to draw up lists classifying their more prosperous neighbors with respect to wealth, prosperous neighbors with respect to wealth, status, political leanings and revolutionary zeal. Once all was in readiness, the "land reform battalions" came out in the open, set up kangaroo courts and administered summary "people's justice" to "exploiters" and "traitors." Each land-reform team had a pressigned quota of death sentences and and "traitors." Each land-reform team had a preassigned quota of death sentences and hard-labor imprisonments to mete out and these quotas were seldom underfulfilled. In addition to calculated and extensive use of addition to calculated and extensive use of terror, the Communists marshalled all the pettiness, jealously and vindictiveness of village life to serve their political ends. The village life to serve their political ends. The punishments carried out extended not only to those actually convicted of "crimes" but also to their families, who were stripped of their possessions, turned out of their homes, denied means of obtaining a livelihood and deprived of the documentation (e.g., ration cards) essential to existence in a Commu-nist-controlled society. They became, offi-cially, "nonpersons" whom it was a crime to succor. The fact that many of those con-victed and far larger numbers of their im-mediate relatives who suffered the attendant consequences had taken an active part in the consequences had taken an active part in the resistance against the French was considered immaterial and irrelevant. No one was safe or immune from the judgments of the "peo-

or immune from the judgments of the "peo-ple's courts," not even life-long members of the Communist Party. Despite its incredible barbarity and violence, the land rent reduction campaign was lence, the land rent reduction campaign was but a preliminary—and a mild one by com-parison—to the land reform campaign proper which followed, and which lasted from 1954 until 1956. Essentially the same methods and techniques were employed but on a much larger scale (e.g. the mandatory quota of death sentences and imprisonments for each village was increased fivefold). No one will death sentences and imprisonments for each village was increased fivefold). No one will ever know the exact human cost of these two campaigns, but the number of people killed was probably on the order of 100,000, and the number who suffered dire personal hardship was probably about helf a million hardship was probably about half a million. Since North Vietnam has a population of about 18 million, these campaigns had a poabout 16 minion, these campaigns had a po-litical impact roughly equivalent to that which would be felt in America if the U.S. Government deliberately engineered the murder of over a million American citizens. The rationals for this politically motioned

The rationale for this politically motivated slaughter was rooted in the dogmatic fanati-cism of the Vietnamese Communist leadercism of the Vietnamese Communist leader-ship. The fact that only a small percentage of the party membership had genuine pro-letarian or "poor peasant" origins was doc-trinally embarrassing and made a purge doctrinally madatory. Dogma required that the "feudal-landiord" class be eliminated. Though no such class really existed in North Vietnam, it had to be created so that it could be destroyed. The object of the exer-cise was to purge the party, restructurecould be destroyed. The object of the exer-clse was to purge the party, restructure. North Vietnamese society, smash all real or potential opposition, and impose an iron grip of Communist control. The excesses, how-ever unfortunate, were "necessary."

Once the land rent reduction and land Touting any market in pre-Communist China, or that existed in pre-Communist China, or even in the Mekong Delta region of South "Teform Campaigns had accomplished their reform campaigns had accomplished thei

March 22, 1966

mitted and, by implication at least, apologies were tendered. Ho wept (again). Truong Chinh resigned as Secretary-General of the Party (though he remained as member of its Politburo); so too did the DRV's vice min-ister for land reform. General Giap made a speech to the 10th Congress of the Party Central Committee during the course of which he acknowledged a long list of "errors" and mentioned that 12,000 Party members had been released from jails to which they and mentioned that 12,000 Party members had been released from jails to which they had been unjustly consigned. (How many were imprisoned in the first place was never stated.) The apologies and explanations, however, provided an overwhelming body of irrefutable evidence regarding what had ac-tually transpired and made it abundantly clear that throughout the whole process the party (as one of its spokesmen admitted) had clear that throughout the whole process the party (as one of its spokesmen admitted) had been guided by the principle that "it is better to kill ten innocent people than to let one enemy escape." .

m

In the midst of the events we have so In the midst of the events we have so briefly described, the 1954 Geneva Confer-ence brought the Franco-Vietminh war to a close and ended the second act of Vietnam's present political drama. This conference produced a set of four interrelated docu-ments known collectively as the Geneva ac-cords. Three were cease-fire agreements (one each for Laos, Cambodia and Vietnam) and the fourth an unsigned "Final Declara-tion," whose juridical status is open to dis-pute. A variety of external political considtion," whose juridical status is open to dis-pute. A variety of external political consid-erations and pressures (including French do-mestic politics) had considerably more in-fluence on the language of the accords than the objective realities of the situation in Vietnam. The problem of extricating France the objective realities of the situation in Vietnam. The problem of extricating France from her Indochinese entanglements as gracefully as possible was effectively solved and the shooting was temporarily halted, but more fundamental questions regarding Viet-nam's political future were ignored or swept under the rug. At the time, the accords' crucial lacune and ambiguities seemed rela-tively unimportant, since most of the confercrucial lacung and ampiguities seemed rela-tively unimportant, since most of the confer-ence's participants considered it virtually in-evitable that all of Vietnam would soon be ruled by a Vietminh regime headed by the baries and for it was thought) universally ruled by a vietminn regime headed by the benign and (so it was thought) universally esteemed "Uncle Ho." Their significance did not become manifest until several years later.

Although the legal predecessor of the pres-ent Saigon government attended the confer-ence (as the "Associated State of Vietnam"), none of the documents emanating from Geneva mentioned it by name or assigned it any rights or status. The Vietnam cease-fire any rights of statuts. The victual constraint of the agreement was signed by a French general on behalf of the "Commander in Chief of the on behalf of the "Commander in Chief of the French Union Forces in Indochina" and by the DRV's Vice Minister for National Defense on behalf of the "Commander in Chief of the People's Army of Vietnam." In discussing "political and administrative measures in the two regrouping zones" (art. 14), it makes a passing reference to "general elections which will bring about the unification of Vietnam." a theme amplified but not clarified in the conference's "final declaration" (which set a July 1956 deadline). Nowhere was it speci-fied what precisely the Vietnamese were sup-posed to vote on or how the rights of various nea what precisely the vietnamese were sup-posed to vote on or how the rights of various elements within the Vietnamese body politic were to be protected. Not surprisingly, the Saigon government objected formally and strenuously to these vague and airy dicta concerning Vietnam's future fate, stressing that it was not a party to these agreements

"Hoang Van Chi, "From Colonialism to Communism." London: Pall Mall, 1964 (also Praeger, New York), p. 213. This de-tailed study of the events we have outlined by a Vietnamese scholar and former Viet-minh cadre merits the careful strantion of minh cadre merits the careful attention of anyone interested in Vietnamese affairs.

March 22, 1966

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD - SENATE

and hence could not consider itself bound by

Some of Ho's lieutenants felt that the them." Geneva settlement had cheated them of the full fruits of their victory, but on the whole this irults of their victory, but on the whole the Communists had no reason to be dis-satisfied with the results. The land-reform program was then in full cry and consolida-tion of Communist control over the north tion of Communist control over the north was the task immediately at hand. The south could wait, particularly since its chances of survival as an independent political entity seemed nil at the time.

cal entity seemed nil at the time. The Lao Dong leadership went through the motions of overt compliance with the provisions of the Vietnam cease-fire agree-ment, though in doing so they took a num-ber of store to preserve a subversive potent ment, though in doing so they took a hum-ber of steps to preserve a subversive poten-tial in the south and thus insure themselves against unfavorable political contingencies. In accordance with the agreement, some 50,000 Vietminh troops were regrouped in specified areas below the 17th parallel and taken north, along with 25,000-odd Vietminh adherents and supporters. The Communists were very careful, however, to leave behind a network of cadres, which were instructed to blend into the scenery, deny Communist afiliation and agitate in favor of the sched-uled elections. They also left behind a large number of weapons caches (3,561 of which were discovered between September 1954 and June 1959) against the day when their south-ern apparatus might have to augment politi-In accordance with the agreement, some ern apparatus might have to augment politiern apparatus might have to augment politi-cal action with armed forces. The composi-tion of the units taken north was also care-fully structured with an eye to possible future needs. The Communists made sure that many of the persons involved were young, employing both coercion and impress-ment to get the kind of neorle that they young, employing both coercion and impress-ment to get the kind of people that they wanted. Before they departed, personnel designated for regroupment were strongly encouraged or, in many cases, directly ordered to contract local marriages and family alli-ances in South Vietnam. These would stand ances in South Vietnam. These would stand them in good stead if they ever had to These would stand

In the aftermath of Geneva, the area return. south of the 17th parallel was in a state of political chaos bordering on anarchy. Ngo Dinh Diem, who became Premier on July 7, 1954, had only the shell of a government, no 1904, had only the shell of a government, ho competent civil service, and a far from trust-worthy army. In addition to all its other difficulties, the Diem government was also soon faced with an unexpected problem of major magnitude: refugees from the north major magnitude: refugees from the north. The myth that the Vietminh was a purely nationalist movement to which virtually all nationalist movement to which virtually all Vietnamese freely gave their political alle-glance and that "Uncle Ho" was almost uni-versally loved and esteemed by his com-patriots was rudely shattered soon after Geneva by what became, proportionately, one of history's most spectacular politically motivated migrations.

⁷The American position was formally enunciated by President Eisenhower in a July 21, 1954, statement, which said in part: ¹⁴** the United States has not itself been party to or bound by the decisions taken by the conference, but it is our hope that it will lead to the establishment of peace con-sistent with the rights and needs of the sistent with the rights and needs of the countries concerned. The agreement concountries concerned. The agreement con-tains features which we do not like, but a great deal depends on how they work in

"The United States is issuing at Geneva a statement to the effect that it is not pre-pared to join in the conference declaration, but, as loyal members of the United Nations, but, as 10ya1 members of the United Nations, we also say that, in compliance with the obligations and principles contained in art. 2 of the United Nations Charter, the United obligations and principles contained in the peasantry which the Communists were 2 of the United Nations Charter, the United States will not use force to disturb the set-tilement. We also say that any renewal of Communist aggression of the content of grave concern. the peasantry which the Communists were quick to exploit and exacerbate. Communist catres began their organizational efforts among the disgruntled and the ill-served us as a matter of grave concern. to be ousted before his methods of govern-ment made a Communist burner to be output t

Article 14(d) of the Vietnam cease-fire arcuite 14(d) or the vietnam cease-fire agreement promised that civilians could move freely to whichever "regrouping zone" they preferred. The Communists accepted this provision with a notable lack of en-thusiasm, hindered its implementation in a thusiasm, hindered its implementation in a variety of ways and eventually, when its ap-plication became altogether too embarrass-ing, flagrantly violated it. Despite all Com-munist intimidation, obstruction and har-assment, however, some 900,000 people fied from the north to the south uprooting themassment, however, some 900,000 people fied from the north to the south uprooting them-selves and their families in order to avoid living under Ho Chi Minh's Communist regime. (Given the relative population sizes, this was the political equivalent of 9 mil-lion Americans leaving the United States.) As many as 400,000 more wanted to leave, and were entitled to do so under article 14(d), were entitled to do so under article 14(d),

were entitled to do so under article 14(d), but were not permitted by the Communist authorities to depart. The 2-year period from 1954 to 1956 was one of political progress and achievement in South Vietnam that would have been con-sidered impossible at the time of Geneva. The situation which prevailed in the sum-mer of 1956 forced Hanoi to take stock of its mer of 1956 forced Hanoi to take stock of its The rather pro forma protests prospects. The rather provide a standard of the made by North Vietnam at the passing of the made by North Vietnam acquire suggest that Hamade by North Vietnam at the passing of the Geneva election deadline suggest that Ha-noi's rulers were not so perturbed by the fact that the elections were not held as they were over the increasing disparity between political life north and south of the 17th parallel, a contrast considerably less than flattering to their regime. The north was nattering to their regime. The north was just emerging from the threes of the land-reform campaign and was in a state of eco-nomic turmoil, while the south presented a picture of increasing political stability and includent presenter.

Hanol accordingly recognized that more incipient prosperity. Hanol accordingly recognized that more decisive action would be required if the south was to be brought under its control. In-structions were transmitted to the Commustructions were transmitted to the commu-nist network left behind in the south direct-ing these cadres to begin agitation and political organization. The Lao Dong Party set up a department of its central committee called the Central Reunification Department, called the Central Reunincation Department, which was made responsible for all matters concerning individuals who had been re-grouped to the north during the post-Geneva grouped to the north during the post-Geneva exchange of forces. The following year (1957) a PAVN major-general named Nguyen Van Vinh, who had served in various respon-sible posts in the south during the Franco-Ulertmith was named chairman of the Vietminh war, was named chairman of this Reunification Department, an office he still holds.

The 1956-58 period was unusually complex, The 1955-58 period was unusually complex, even for Vietnam. Diem, in effect, reached his political high-water mark sometime around mid-1957. After that, his methods of operation, traits of character and depend-ence on his family became set with ever horeseting relidity along lines which ultience on his family became set with ever increasing rigidity along lines which ulti-mately led to his downfall. Despite the un-deniable progress of its early years, his gov-ernment was never successful in giving the bulk of the South Vietnamese peasantry bulk of the South Vietnamese peasantry positive reasons for identifying their per-sonal fortunes with its political cause. The administrators Diem posted to the countrysonal fortunes with its political cause. The administrators Diem posted to the country-side were often corrupt and seldom native to the areas to which they were assigned, a fact which caused them to be considered as "foreigners" by the intensely clannish and provincial peasantry. Land policies, often admirable in phraseology, were notably weak in execution and frequently operated to the admiratole in phraseology, were notably weak in execution and frequently operated to the benefit of absentee landlords rather than those who actually tilled the soil.

Such factors as these, coupled with the such factors as these, coupled with the still manifest consequences of a decade of war, generated genuine grievances among the peasantry which the Communists were

preaching Marxist doctrine. Cells were formed, village committees established and small military units organized. A pattern of Marxist doctrine. politically motivated terror began to emerge, politically motivated terror began to emerge, directed against the representatives of the Salgon government and concentrated on the very bad and the very good. The former were liquidated to win favor with the peas-antry; the latter because their effectiveness was a bar to the achievement of Communist antry; the latter because their enectiveness was a bar to the achievement of Communist objectives. The terror was directed not only against officials but against all whose operaagainst officials but against all whose opera-tions were essential to the functioning of organized political society: schoolteachers, health workers, agricultural officials, etc. The scale and scope of this terrorist and in-surrectionary activity mounted slowly but steadily. By the end of 1958 the participants in this incipient insurgency, whom Saigon quite accurately termed the "Vietcong," con-stituted a serious threat to South Vietnam's stituted a serious threat to South Vietnam's political stability.

Despite the increasing trouble that Vietcong bands were causing and despite the Vietcong's initial success in organizational work, Hanoi was far from satisfied with the work, Hanoi was far from satisfied with the pace of Vietcong progress and was particu-larly chagrined at the movement's failure to win a really significant political following. Several Vietcong cadre members who were subsequently captured have reported that in late 1058 Le Duan bimself was sent on an subsequently captured have reported that in late 1958 Le Duan himself was sent on an extensive inspection trip in the south, and that upon his return to Hanoi in early 1959 he presented a list of recommendations sub-sequently adopted by the Lao Dong Central Committee and referred to in Vietcong cadre training sessions laid out the whole future course of the southern insurgency, including the establishment of a National Liberation Front to be controlled by the Central Com-mittee of the South Vietnamese branch of the Lao Dong Party and supported by a the Lao Dong Party and supported by a South Vietnamese "liberation army." The South Vietnamese "liberation army." The Front was to be charged with conducting a political struggle, backed by armed force, designed to neutralize the south and pave the way for "reunification," i.e., political the way for "reunincation," i.e., political domination by Hanoi. We can be certain that some such decisions were made about this time, for in May 1959 the Lao Dong Central Committee declared that "the time bes come to struggle hereically and the has come to struggle heroically and per-severingly to smash [the GVN]."

The consequences of these Hanoi decisions The consequences of these Hanoi decisions became increasingly apparent during the 18 months which followed the Central Com-mittee's May 1959 meeting. The scale and, intensity of Vietcong activity began to in-crease by quantum jumps. Communist milli-tary moves in Laos secured the corridor area along the North Vietnemese horder and inalong the North Vietnamese border and inalong the NOrth Vietnamese border and in-filtrators from the north began moving down the "Ho Chi Minh Trail": a few hundred in 1959, around 3,000 in 1960, and over 10,000 in 1961 in 1961.

During 1959 and 1960 further evolution of burning 1909 and 1900 further evolution of the various stresses within the South Viet-namese body politic occurred. Diem's mili-tary establishment had been designed to tary establishment had been designed to counter the threat of conventional invasion and proved ill suited to cope with insurrec-tionary warfare. The quality of government administrations grow worse rather then betadministrators grew worse rather than bet-ter as Diem became increasingly inclined, in making key appointments, to put loyalty to himself and his family ahead of ability. His himself and his family ahead of ability. His agrarian policies, particularly the disastrous "agroville" program of 1959, provided fresh sources of rural discontent. The Vietcong were quick to take advantage of the govern-ment's errors and steadily heightened the intensity of their terrorist activity. To com-plicate matters further there were rising po-litical pressures within the non-Communist litical pressures within the non-Communist camp and a growing feeling that Diem had to be ousted before his methods of govern-

6153 were

Cells

6154

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD — SENATE

concealed. In August 1958 Hanoi radio, billing itself as "the voice of the Liberation Front," broadcast instructions to the Vietcong armed forces and village cadres directing them to adapt themselves to the require-ments of the South Vietnamese political sitinents of the South vietnamese political sit-uation in order to carry out their missions. In October 1958, it openly appealed to the highland tribes to revolt, noting that "the government of our beloved Ho is standing behind you." In September 1959 and again in February 1950. Henci, comparisod and behind you." In September 1959 and again in February 1960, Hanol commented on re-cent Vietcong military forays by terming them "our attacks" and praising the "skill of our commander and the good will of our soldiers."

In September 1960 an almost open official seal was affixed to Hanoi's plans for southern insurgency when, at the Third National Coninsurgency when, at the Inita Nationia Con-gress of the Lao Dong Party, Le Duan made a lengthy speech in which he stated: "The present National Congress * * will define for the whole party and the whole people the for the whole party and the whole people the line for carrying out the socialist revolution in the north, for the completion of the national people's democratic revolution throughout the country, for the struggle to achieve national reunification." In this speech Le Duan made a public call for the creation of a "broad national united front" creation of a "broad national united front" in the south. In effect, he was making public the policy decisions which the Lao Dong Party had made during the preceding months. From the tone and temper of Le Duan's address it was apparent that the Vict-ong insurrection was about to have the cong insurrection was about to move into the stage of open war.

Toward the end of January 1961, Hanoi ra-dio announced that "various forces opposing the fascist Ngo Dinh Diem regime" had the fascist Ngo Dinh Diem regime" had formed a "National Front for the Liberation of South Vietnam" (NLF) on December 20, 1960, and that it had issued a manifesto and 10-point political program. The language of both, as broadcast by Hanoi, made the Front's political parentage abundantly clear. The program's fourth polut, for example, was The program's fourth point, for example, was the program's routen point, for cample, the "to carry out land rent reduction, guarantee the peasants' right to till their present plots of land, and redistribute communal land in or land, and redistribute communal land in proparation for land reform." To knowledge-able Vietnamese, such words as these made it chillingly obvious who was behind the Front and what lay in store for South Vietnam

IV

and what lay in store for South Vietnam should it ever come to power. On February 11, 1961, Hanol devoted a second broadcast to the NLF's manifesto and program, blandly changing the language of both to tone down the more blatant Commu-nist terminology of the initial version. How-ever, even the milder second version (which became the "official" text) borrowed exten-sively from Le Duan's September speech and sively from Le Duan's September speech and left little doubt about the Front's true spon-

sors or objectives. After the Hanoi radio announcements, the Vietcong immediately began consolidating all of its activities—military as well as political—under the NLF banner and conduct-ing intensive organizational activity in its name. A propaganda outlet, the Liberation name. A propaganda outlet, the Liberation News Agency, was promptly established and began pouring forth announcements and stories (replayed by Hanol and by Commu-nist media throughout the world) designed to portray the Front as a spontaneous, in-digenous coalition of South Vietnamese nationalists. For the first year of its alleged existence, however, the NLF was a shadowy thing with no definable structure and a face-less, unidentified leadership. The Front was but one of the two or-

The Front was but one of the two or-ganizational instruments Hanoi had deemed essential to the successful pursuit of its political objectives south of the 17th parallel. political objectives south of the Thin paints. The other-designedly less well known in the West but more important within South Vietnam itself-was first brought to light in a Liberation News Agency broadcast on Approved For Release 2004/08/30 : CIA-RDP80R01720R000500030056-9

January 13, 1962, which announced that a "conference of Marxist-Leninist delegates" had met in South Vietnam "during the last days of December 1961," and decided that "to fulfill their historic and glorious duty • • • workers, peasants and laborers in South Vietnam need a vanguard group serving as a thoroughly revolutionary party." Accord-ingly, the conference had established the thoroughly revolutionary party (PRP), which recipies Revolutionary Party (PRP), which came into official existence on January 1, 1962. The founders "warmly supported" the program of the NLF and "volunteered to join its ranks." In point of fact, the PRP im-mediately took complete control of the Front ("assumed the historic mission of playing the role of vanguard body to the southern revolution") and is currently referred to by Hanol as "the soul" of the NLF.

Captured Communist documents have since made it abundantly clear that the creation of the PRP involved what would creation of the PRP involved what would be termed in Amorican business parlance the "spin-off" of a wholly owned subsidiary. The PRP was and is, in fact, simply the southern branch of the Lao Dong. As one pertinent party directive put it: "The Peo-ple's Revolutionary Party has only the ap-pearance of an independent existence; ac-ually our party is pathing but the Loo Dong." tually, our party is nothing but the Lao Dong Party of Vietnam (Vietminh Communist Party) unified from north to south under the direction of the central executive committee direction of the central executive committee of the party, the chief of which is President Ho."¹⁰ The PRP serves as the principal vehi-cle for maintaining Lao Dong—i.e., North Vietnamese—control over the Vietcong insurgency.

As the organizational structure of the Vietcong movement has expanded over the past 4 years, its general outlines have become fairr years, its general outlines have become fair-ly well known. In the insurgency's initial phase (1054-59), the Communists retained the Vietminh's division of what is now South Vietnam into "interzone V" (French Annam below the 17th parallel) and the "Nambo" (Cochin China), with each area under Hanol's direct control. In late 1960 or early 1961, this arrangement was scrapped and field control over all aspects of the Viet-cong insurgency vested in a still existing, single command headquarters, originally known as the Central Office for South Vietnam (or COSVN)—a term still in circulation) but now usually referred to by captured Viet-cong as simply the PRP's Central Committee. This command entity, which also contains the headquarters of the NLF, is a mobile and sometimes peripatetic body, usually located in the extreme northwestern tip of Tay

* The only two of these "delegates" who • The only two of these delegates who have been subsequently identified are Vo Chi Chong, now a vice chairman of NLF's presidium and member of the PRP's execu-tive committee, and Huynh Van Tam, now the NLF's representative in Algiers, where he devotes considerable time to cultivating he devotes considerable time to cultivating Western newsmen, deceiving some of them about his own political background and the true nature of the organization he repre-sents in a manner reminiscent of Chou En-lai's similar successes during the mid-1940's.

* These phrases appear in "The Vietnamese People's Revolutionary Party and Its Historic Mission of Liberating the South," an article in the January 1966 issue of the Lao Dong Party's theoretical journal Hoc Tap. The same article notes: "The experiences of the world and our country's revolutions have shown that in order to win the greatest success, the national democratic revolution must be led by a workers' revolutionary party'

i.e. a Communist Party. ¹⁰ This particular document, dated Dec. 7, ¹⁰ This particular document, bated Dec. 7, ¹⁰ This particular document, dated Dec. 7 1961, was captured in Ba Xuyen Province. Its text may be found, among other places, in

Ninh Province in prudent proximity to the Cambodian border. Under this Central Com-

March 22, 1966

Cambodian border. Under this Central Com-mittee headquarters, the Vietcong divide South Vietnam into five numbered military regions and one special zone for Salgon and its immediate environs. Each of the five regions, in turn, is divided into provinces; each province into districts; and each dis-trict into villages.¹¹ The Vietcong's pro-vinces, districts, and villages are administra-tively comparable and roughly equivalent in area to those of the South Vietnamese Gov-ernment. But their boundaries do not coin-cide thus complicating Salgon's adminiscide, thus complicating Saigon's adminis-trative problems in reacting to insurgent activities.

Though the outlines of the Vietcong's organizational structure are fairly well known, the identities of its leaders are not. They are faceless men veteran Communist revolutionaries who have made a lifetime practice of masking their identities under various aliases and nome de guerre and who take particular pains to stay hidden in the background in order to support the political fiction that the insurgency is directed by the NLF and the Front's ostensible officers.

At the 1962 Geneva Conference on Laos, a member of the North Vietnamese delegation inadvertently commented that the published roster of the Lao Dong Party's Central Com-mittee did not include some members whose mittee did not include some inclusion inter-identities were kept secret because they were "directing military operations in South Vietnam." One of the four examples he cited was "Nguyen Van Cuc," ¹² which is one of the aliases used by the chairman of the PRP. This Lao Dong Central Committee member, whose true name we do not known, is probably the overall field director of the Vietcong insurgency in South Vietnam. The overall commander of Vietcong military forces (who would be a subordinate of Cuc's within the Communist command structure) within the Communist command structure) is almost certainly the chairman of the (PRP) Central Committee's Military Com-nittee-a man who uses the name Tran Nam Trung but whom several captured Vietcong cadre members have insisted is actually cong cause members have insisted is actually Lt. Gen. Tran Van Tra, a Deputy Chief of Staff of the Notrh Vietnamese Army and an alternate member of the Lao Dong Central Committee. The director of all Vietcong activity in Vietcong Military Region 5 (the northernmost third of South Vietnam) is Nguyen Don, a major general in the North Vietnamese Army and another alternate member of the Lao Dong Central Committee, who in 1961 was commander of the North Vietnamese 305th Division but came south late that year or early in 1962. In short, not only does the PRP control all aspects of the Vietcong movement, including the NLF, and not only is it a subordinate echelon of the North Vietnamese Lao Dong Party but the BBP's own longer approximate Party, but the PRP's own leaders appear to be individuals who themselves occupy rank-ing positions within the Lao Dong Party hierarchy.

As indicated above, for the first year of its existence the NLF was as shadowy and face-less an organization as the PRP is today. It was allegedly created "after a conference of was allegedly created "after a confidence of representatives of various forces opposing the fascist régime in South Vietnam," but the identities of these representatives or the "forces" they represented were never specified. The myth of the Front was not fleshed out with public organizational substance or overt leadership until after the PRP was presented as its vanguard element. The NLF

¹¹ In Vietnam, a "village" is not a cluster of huts but an administrative entity roughly comparable to an American township. ¹² P. J. Honey, "North Vietnam's Workers' Party and South Vietnam's People's Revolu-tionary Party," Pacific Affairs Quarterly, winter 1962-63, p. 383.

March 22, 1966

associated organizations" which,

Vietnamese of personal stature and renown, preferably individuals not immediately identifiable as Communists or Communist sym-pathizers, who could enhance the Front's prestige and political attractiveness and provide a more or less innocent facade behind which the NLF's Communist masters could

operate in secure obscurity. To date the Vietcong have been notably unsuccessful in

this regard, though the full measure of their failure is far better appreciated within South Vietnam itself than it is abroad. No Viet-namese of what could accurately be described

namese of what could accurately be described as significant personal prestige or profes-sional standing—not even one of known leftist persuasion—has ever been willing to associate himself publicly with the NLF or lend it the use of his name.

The NLF's first Central Committee was not

The NLF's first Central Committee was not announced until March 1962, well over a year after the Front's supposedly spontaneous creation. Though the committee purportedly had 52 members, the NLF was able to come up with only 31 names, most of which were virtually unknown even within South Viet-nam. The 41-member second (and cur-rent) Central Committee, announced in January 1964, is equally lacking in distinc-tion.

The Chairman of the NLF's Presidium and

gorize him as having been an "avocat sans

brefs." The NLF's present Secretary General (also the Secretary General of the "Democratic Party" and the Chairman of the NLF's

Saigon Zone Committee) is Huynh Tan Phat,

Sargon Zone Committee) is huyin Tail Finat, usually described in NLF propaganda as an "architect," though one would be hard pressed to point to any edifices he has de-signed. From 1945 until 1948 he apparently served as a member of the Vietminh/Vietcong

Executive Committee in Nambo and as the Communists' propaganda chief for their Saigon Special Zone. The NLF's First Secre-

Saigon Special Zone. The NLF's First Secre-tary General (also the Secretary General of the "Radical Socialist Party") was Nguyen Yan Hieu, now its principal traveling repre-sentative abroad. A former journalist and teacher (some say of biology, some of mathe-matice). Hieu has been a Communist mona-

tion.

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD - SENATE

who served briefly as Secretary General after Hieu and before Phat. Described in official now claims to be a coalition of over 40 biographies as an "intellectual and ardent patriot," Kiem has spent most of the past two decades as a leader in various Compast two decades as a leader in various contra-munist-front youth groups. Such figures as these are the best the Front has been able to come up with to staff its most prominent public offices. Their organizational and revolutionary talents may be impressive, but their personal stature and prestige among the South Vietnamese people are not. 77

"associated organizations" which, collec-tively, purport to represent virtually all shades and strata of South Vietnamese politi-cal and social life. This coalition includes three "political parties": the PRP, the "Democratic Party" and the "Radical Social-"ist Party." (The latter two bear al-most exactly the same names as the two minor parties allowed to exist in North Viet-nam and are obviously intended to play simi-lar roles.) In their present name or form, virtually none of its affiliated organizations antedates the founding of the NLF itself, many almost certainly exist only on paper, aganda makes it clear that a goodly number Over the past 4 years the Vietcong have labored mightly to improve their image be-yond South Vietnam's borders and to enlist a yond South Vietnam's borders and to enlist a broad spectrum of international support for their cause; to develop their oragnizational structure within South Vietnam, thus strengthening their internal political posi-tion; and to expand their military effort, to facilitate achievement of their political goals and if possible to generate an aura of invinciand a careful analysis of the factor of the goodly number aganda makes it clear that a goodly number have identical officers, directorates, and staffs. Some of these organizations, however, have acquired substance after the fact, as it were, and now play important roles in the NLF's efforts to organize and control the rural and if possible to generate an aura of invinci-bility capable of breaking their adversaries' populace. It is fairly easy to devise an organizational structure capable of lending verisimilitude to a political fiction, doubly so if one is try-ing to deceive a foreign audience unversed in local political affairs. Fleshing this struc-ture out with live, known individuals to occupy posts of public prominence is con-siderably more difficult. The Vietcong ob-viously hoped to attract to the NLF South Vietnamese of personal stature and renown, will to continue the struggle.

will to continue the struggle. The image-building campaign abroad has been designed to publicize the NLF and in-flate its prestige and reputation. Its goal has been to get the NLF generally accepted as an indigenous South Vietnamese political coalition (admitted) with some Communist. as an indigenous South Vietnamese political coalition (admittedly with some Communist members) which sprang up spontancously to combat the harsh excesses of the U.S.-sup-ported Diem regime, and which seeks only peace, democracy and reunification as pro-vided for in the Geneva agreements. Though moral—and, to some extent perhaps, physi-cal—support may be afforded by North Viet-nam and other fraternal socialist states (so the argument runs), the NLF is basically an the argument runs), the NLF is basically an the argument runs), the NLF is basically an independent political entity with a policy and will of its own. This campaign has been waged through the propaganda disseminated by the theoretics. Now by the Liberation News Agency, replayed and echoed by Communist (and non-Communist) ecnoed by Communist (and non-Communist) media throughout the world; through a steady flow of messages from the Front to foreign governments and heads of state (particularly of neutralist Afro-Asian nations); by ever increasing attendance at foreign by ever increasing attendance at ioreign conferences and meetings (generally Com-munist or leftist sponsored) by a small handful of indefatigable NLF representa-tions. and by the attability of a start nandrul of indelatigable NLF representa-tives; and by the establishment of perma-nent NLF "missions" in Havana, Pelping, Moscow, Frague, East Berlin, Budapest, Cairo, Djakarta, and Algiers. All of this activity has profited from the fact that knowledge of the realities of political life in South Vietnem realities of political life in South Vietnam does not extend much beyond its frontiers; all of it has been guided by a keen awareness of the effectiveness of incessant repetition in converting myth to assumed reality. The Chairman of the NLF's Presidium and Central Committee is Nguyen Huu Tho, a former provincial lawyer with a long record of activity in Communist-sponsored causes but of little political repute or professional standing among his former colleagues at the South Vietnamese bar, who generally cate-gorize him as having been an "avocat sans

Throughout South Vietnam, the Vietcong have developed and employed the NLF ap-paratus in their intensive effort to organize paratus in their intensive effort to organize the population (especially the rural popula-tion), involve it in their insurgency cam-paign and bring it under their political domination. The detailed application of this effort varies from locality to locality, and is materially influenced by such local factors as the relative degree of Vietcong strength in the area. The objective, how-ever, is always to secure total participation and total involvement on the part of the ever, is always to secure total partospation and total involvement on the part of the local population in order to establish total Vietcong control. They endeavor to persuade-and, if conditions permit, compelsuade—and, if conditions permit, complet-every inhabitant of a given area to join and work actively in some NLF component or-ganization. Farmers are encouraged or forced to join the Liberation Peasants' Asso-lation: Women the Liberation Women's Asciation; women, the Liberation Women's Asclation; women, the Liberation women's As-sociation; children, the Liberation Youth As-sociation. Where Vietcong control is strong, no one escapes the net. Physically fit males not sent off to some other Vietcong military teacher (some say of biology, some of mathe-matics), Hieu has been a Communist puopa-gandist since the late 1940's. The Chairman of the NLF's External RADDFROVE of F67 affairs and propaganda) Committee is Tran Buu Kiem, a Central Committee member

dig up roads to harass government forces. They serve as informants and couriers, or go on purchasing expeditions to nearby government-controlled market towns. Every-one participates and ensures that his neighone participates and ensures that his neigh-bor does so as well. All of this activity is coordinated and directed by local NLF com-mittees which where circumstances per-mit, assume the prerogatives and functions of local government. These local commit-tees in turn are directed by superior eche-lors canned at least ostensibly by the canlons, capped, at least ostensibly, by the cen-

lons, capped, at least ostensibly, by the cen-tral committee of the NLF itself. The NLF's organizational structure is paralleled and controlled at each echelon by a complementary PEP structure. Under the general command of its central committee, the FRP is organized on a geographic basis through the vertous regions, provinces, and the FRF is organized on a geographic basis through the various regions, provinces, and districts down to the village level. Each geographic echelon has a directing commitgeographic echelon has a directing commit-tee responsible for controlling all PRP-hence all Vietcong, including NLF-activities within its area. These committees vary in size and organizational complexity, even among equivalent geographic echelons, but each one has a single chairman and several subordinate members or subcommittees with subordinate members or subcommittees with specific functional responsibilities. The number and nomenclature of these functional subcommittees also varies from area tional subcommittees also varies from area to area, but they normally cover military affairs, economic and financial affairs, and what the Communists term "front affairs and civilian proselytizing," whose chairman is responsible for controlling all NLF ac-tivity in that area. If the PRP organization at that echelon is sufficiently well developed, he in turn will have subordinate members of his PRP subcommittee to direct each of the local associations affiliated with the NLF. Though captured documents indicate that Though captured documents indicate that Though captured accuments indicate that the Vietcong try to keep the level of overt PRP participation below two-fifths of the total membership of any given NLF com-ponent, the organizational structure we have ponent, the organizational status of a network of just described (reinforced by a network of covert PRP cells throughout the NLF) keeps covert PRP cells throughout the NLF', keeps all components of the NLF at every level under complete PRP control. The Vietcong's terrorist and military ap-paratus was developed and is directed by

this same organizational structure, which insures that armed activity at all levels is rigidly subordinated to political objectives and kept under tight party control. The Vietcong military establishment now has over 90,000 full-time troops (including over 12,000 North Vietnamese regulars) aug-mented and supported by something over 100,000 paramilitary personnel and part-time guerrillas. This whole force, however, was built up for political reasons, to serve political ends. The Vietcong political ap-paratus was at work laying the foundations for insurgency long before there was even so much as a Vietcong hamlet self-defense squad. this same organizational structure, which

squad. The director of the military affairs subcommittee (mentioned above) is frequently also the commander of the Vietcong force also the commander of the vietcong force attached to that geographic echelon. Vil-lage directing committees have village pla-toons under their control; district committees, district companies; provincial committees, district companies; provincial commit-tees, provincial battalions. Regional com-mittees have forces of regimental and multi-regimental size at their disposal, and the whole Vietcong military establishment is subject to the direction of PRP's Central Committee. Throughout this military struc-ture the same basic principles of organiza-Committee. Throughout this military struc-ture, the same basic principles of organiza-tion and command relationship are uni-formly applied. There is no such thing as a Vietcong military unit fr any size inde-pendent of the party's political apparatus or free from tight political control. Probably no more than a third of the Vietcong forces or free from light political control. Probably no more than a third of the Vietcong forces are party members, but by virtue of its or-ganizational mechanism the PRP controls

6156

An understanding of the Vietcong's organizational structure enables us to recognize the real significance and function of the more than 50,000 persons infiltrated into South Vietnam since the Lao Dong Party's 1959 decision to pursue its objective of po-litical conquest by waging insurgent war. Until mid to late 1963 these infiltrators were virtually all othnic southerners drawn from the pool of regrouped Vietminh forces and supporters taken north in 1954. They were not foot soldiers or cannon fodder (at least until Hanoi began sending in whole not until Hanoi began sending in whole North Vietnamese units in late 1964 or early 1965). Instead they were disciplined, trained and indoctrinated cadres and technicians. They became the squad leaders, platoon leaders, political officers, staff officers, unit commanders, weapons and communications contained with the Vietcon's military not commanders, weapons and communications specialists who built the Vietcong's military force into what it is today. They also be-came the village, district, provincial, and regional committee chiefs and key committee members who built the Vietcong's po-litical apparatus.

The earlier arrivals had had at least 5 days of indoctrination and training in North Vietor indoctrination and training in North Viet-nam, or elsewhere in the Communist bloc, before departing on their southern missions; some of the later arrivals have had nearly a decade of such preparation. Until the recent sharp rise in Vietcong battlefield casualties, approximation at blocd of all the parameters. approximately a third of all the personnel in approximately a third of all the personnel in Vietcong military units at and above the dis-trict company level were "returnees" trained in the north. At least half of the member-ship of most PRP district committees, and an even larger proportion at higher echelons, also appear to be "returnees." Without this infiltration from the north, in short, the present Vietcong organization could never have been developed.

٧I

The Vietcong insurgency is clearly a masterpiece of revolutionary organization, but its total effectiveness and real political strength are extremely difficult to assess. The bulk of the Vietcong's organizational efforts have been expended in rural areas and it is there that they are strongest. (The govern-ment controls all of the cities, major towns, and provincial capitals and all but a handand provincial capitals and all out a hand-ful of the district seats.) There are indica-tions, however, that sharply rising Vietcong taxation rates, increasingly frequent, resort to impressment to secure troops, and the Vietcong's manifest inability to deliver on po-titical province of capital litical promises of earlier years are all begin-ning to erode their base of rural support. During the past year nearly 800,000 refugees fied from the hinterland to the vicinity of government-controlled towns. Some of these were fleeing from natural disasters, some from the simple hazards of war (though the direction in which persons of this category opted to fiee is significant), but many were obviously endeavoring to get out from under obviously endeavoring to get out from under the Vietcong. Furthermore, in assessing Communist claims of control it should be noted that over half of the rural population voted in the May 1965 provincial elections, despite Vietcong orders to boycott them. In the cities, the Vietcong have an ob-vious terrorist capability but are politically unite weak—a fact of which they are super-

quite weak-a fact of which they are aware and which, according to captured documents, causes them considerable embarrassment. They have been unable to turn the urban political ferment of the past 3 years to any obvious immediate advantage. None of the participants in the genuine social revolution now taking place in the urban areas of South Vietnam has sought Vietcong support or entertained overtures of political alliance. Though they have undoubtedly penetrated such groups as the Buddhists and the students, the Vietcong have made no visible slight indeed. headway in subverting or bringing them un-der the NLF banner. Aust how was the tion is unquestionably a major factor in the Vietcong are in the cities was denotes and con-

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD - SENATE

twice last fall (on Oct. 15 and Dec. 19) when two public calls by the Vintcong for a "general strike" went totally unheeded and produced no visible change whatsoever in the pattern of urban life.

Despite its leaders' obvious organizational talents and revolutionary skills, the Viet-cong movement is beset with a number of fundamental weaknesses. It has no uni-versally appealing theme in any way com-parable to the Vietminh's espousal of antiparable to the vietminn's espousal of anti-French nationalism. Persistent propaganda efforts to portray the Americans as successor imperialists to the French have simply never taken hold. The concept of reunification has relatively little appeal for peasants who re-gard someone from the next province as an ellow. The idea of reunification does anneal The idea of reunification does appeal allen. The idea of reunincation does appear to politically minded urban elements, par-ticularly to refugees from the north, but within such circles there is a great reluctance to accept the Vietcong's identification of realien. unification with political domination by the present Hanoi regime. Having lived through the sequence of historical events we have outlined, politically conscious Vietnamese are not easily deceived by the NLF's pretensions to independence and freedom from northern control, particularly since the military side of the Vietcong insurgency is now being waged with an ever larger number of North

Vietnamese troops. The current struggle in South Vietnam is The current struggle in South Vietnam is a historically rooted, political phenomenon of infinite complexity, particularly since it involves an externally directed Communist drive for power interlarded with a genuine arive for power internation with a genuine indigenous social revolution. In analyzing such a phenomenon, "truth" is often a func-tion of one's angle of vision, and myth is not always easy to distinguish from reality. Despite the fact that there are many aspects of the current situation in Vietnam concerning which confident assertion is a mark of ig-norance or disingenuous intent, there are certain aspects of the insurgency, and of the Vietcong structure through which it is being waged, which are not open to intellectually honest dispute.

There are unquestionably many non-Communists heroically serving in various components of the National Liberation Front out of a desire to redress genuine grievances or in the honest belief that they are thereby helping to build a better political structure for their native land. As an organization, however, the NLF is a contrived political mechanism with no indigenous roots, subject to the ultimate control of the Lao Dong Party in Hanoi.

The relationship between the Vietcong and the DRV is not that of politically like-minded allies. Instead, it is essentially the relation-ship between a field command and its parent headquarters. Such relationships are never free from elements of tension and discord. Within the Vietcong movement, and even within its controlling hierarchy, there are unquestionably varying judgments (at least privately held ones) about the wisdom of present tactics and the best course of future present tactics and the best course of future action. (There are obvious differences of opinion regarding the struggle in Vietnam even within the Lao Dong Party Politburo.) Nevertheless, the whole Vietcong organiza-tional structure and chain of command has been carefully designed to minimize the risks of insubordination. Though for tactical rea-song the overt promagande outlate and anolyse sons the overt propaganda outlets and spokes-men of the NLF sometimes take political positions which differ at least in emphasis from those emanating from Hanoi, the chances of the Vietcong's developing or adopting a genuinely independent political line in opposition to orders received from North Vietnam through the Lao Dong Party apparatus are

mechanism which it controls has no serious claim to being considered, as Hanoi insists, the "sole legitimate voice of the South Viet-namese people." Were it ever to be accepted as such, the record of what has happened in North Vietnam in the years since 1951 makes it abundantly clear what lies in store for the more than 16 million Vietnamese who live south of the 17th parallel, especially for those who have resolutely fought against the Vietcong insurgency from its inception.

March 22, 1966

Mr. CLARK. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that I may yield on the same terms to the distinguished senior Senator from Florida.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. HOLLAND. Mr. President, I shall of course vote for the pending bill. I supported the bill in committee. I support the bill now.

Mr. President, the Secretary of Agriculture, the Honorable Orville L. Freeman, spoke at the Governor's Day luncheon of the Florida Citrus Showcase sponsored by the Florida Citrus Mutual in Winter Haven, Fla., on Friday, February 18, 1966, just a week after his return from Vietnam. His speech related in the main to his observations in Vietnam, with particular reference to food and the agricultural situation there.

I feel that Secretary Freeman's speech throws much light on conditions in Vietnam which are directly related to the contents of the pending bill. I therefore ask unanimous consent to have the Secretary's speech printed in the RECORD in full at this point as a part of my remarks.

There being no objection, the speech was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE ORVILLE L. FREE-

MAN'S SPEECH AT THE GOVERNOR'S DAY LUNCHEON OF THE FLORIDA CITRUS SHOW-CASE, SPONSORED BY THE FLORIDA CITRUS MUTUAL IN WINTER HAVEN, FLA., FEBRUARY 18, 1966

Mr. Toastmaster, distinguished officers and leaders of Citrus Mutual, of the Citrus Show-case, the mayor of this lovely community, members of your State cabinet, your State treasurer, your commissioner of agriculture, members of the State legislature, leaders of the citrus industry, ladies, and gentlemen, I am delighted to be here. I am flattered that you would ask me back again. I was here with you about a year and a half ago and enjoyed it thoroughly and am certainly pleased to be here once again.

I want, today, to talk to you a little bit in broad terms about agriculture in the world in which we live. Agriculture is the key to world peace. Agriculture is the key to the victory in Vietnam.

First, however, I want to express my most sincere commendation to you, your organiations and to this industry, to the leader-ship, the foresight you have shown, to your excellent job of marketing. I remember quite vividly about a year ago, when your distinguished executive vice president, Bob Rutledge, who serves you so effectively, came to my office and reviewed and discussed with to my once and reviewed and discussed with me some of your marketing plans. And I listened with special interest because I have been concerned. You had learned how, as our agriculture has generally, to produce mightily. Sometimes that really challenges us in this country. us in this country.

It is a blessed thing for which we ought to be truly thankful, but we haven't always learned how to live with that abundance, how to market it, and how to effectively get

March 22, 1966

1 .

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD - SENATE

ditions and at the price where people will utilize it. And so to note the excellent prog-ress in your new plant, the fine reception of the new concentrate, to note some of the good work you have done in marketing abroad, I am glad to have the chance as the Secretary of Agriculture to come here and say to you, well done. A week ago today, I was in a little village

A week ago today, I was in a little vinage in South Vietnam at a training camp called Vung Tau. I was sitting on a folding chair beside a canal listening to one of the most impressive men I have ever heard in my life. Dressed in a simple, black pajama, he outlined for me the course of training of the rural construction cadres, made up of peasant boys who volunteered to go back into their home provinces and villages to hold those villages, to pacify those villages, after the military has taken them away from the Viet-

Some had likened him to a saint. He said in a very soft voice that these young boys are looking for some meaning in their lives which had been wrecked by war. All their lives had been spent in a nation engaged in lives had been spent in a nation engaged in war. They have been pretty cynical, gen-erally, as to their stake in its future. And he told a little legend, very simple, about the beautiful lady and the dragon and how an accommodation between the lady and the dragon was developed and sustained. The multiple idde of life was the beautiful lady spiritual side of life was the beautiful lady, the materialistic and powerful side of life was the dragon, and the harmony of the two was what gave meaning to life.

He went on with some other legends, and then said in a soft voice, "to the Vietnamese, this is much more understandable than the material of communism. The boys who have gone out of here have learned to be for some-They go out to secure and to hold thing. They go out to secure and to note these villages and fight to hold them if necessary.'

And then he developed some of the symbolism which was a powerful part of this train-ing course. He gave me one of their gradua-tion pins. It has a T-H symbol on it, somewhat like our own 4-H Club symbol. He drew a hammer and a sickle on the blackboard, and then put the T-H over it. The hammer and the sickle were obliterated and he said these boys are obliterating that hammer and sickle everywhere around Vietnam. We then looked at the rifle range. These

men, he told me, fire more ammunition than the regular troops that are trained for actual battle. Then we saw the classrooms where they get general exposure to health, voca-tional, and agricultural training. At the conclusion of their rural construc-

tion training, the night before they grad-uate, they sit out all night long and make uate, they sit out all hight long and make up their minds whether they want to take a pledge which is part of the graduation ceremony next day, and take on the re-sponsibility for caring for the ideals which sponsibility for caring for the ideals which he outlined; self-discipline, service, honesty, mercy to the old, the sick and the needy. This was couched in the traditional Viet-namese terms rather than ours but the mean-

namese terms rather than outs but the interna-ing was exactly the same. He said that during the new year celebra-tion, the big ceremony of Vietnam, down the road in a single military camp they had 50 percent AWOL; in a military police train-ing school they had 25 percent AWOL. But there wasn't a single man in the rural con-struction cadre who left. I was tremend-ously intrested in this training center, because they have a workable system and it's based on hard experience that can win peasant support and ultimately win the war in Vietnam.

later the Vietcong infiltrate the area and take taker the viewoon ministrate the area and take the village all over again. And that's what is happening. What's got to be done is this: We've got to win the hearts and minds of those poor people who have been promised and promised and promised and abused and documeted all these vorts

decimated all these years. Last year, 1,500 local government officials were brutally murdered, some of them tor-tured and captured, simply because they were doing a good job. The Vietcong is on an organized, purposeful program of terror and destruction. This would be the equivalent in destruction. This would be the equivalent in the United States of 50,000 mayors and coun-ty commissioners. Now if 50,000 local officials in this country were murdered in one yearit's anybody's guess as to what it would mean. Now these boys who go out of this camp are to work with the military in areas being pacified or already pacified. They are camp are to WORK With the inclusion. They are being pacified or already pacified. They are to go into an area in teams of 59 men. They inclusion they are in the inclusion of th to go into an area in teams of by men. They are highly trained; highly armed. They know how to use those weapons. They are highly motivated to help the peasants, but they are ready to fight. But they don't go into the countryside to fight, they go there to build.

And as Gen. Nguyen Duc Thang, Minister of Rural Construction, a very impressive young Vietnamese general, said to me, don't go to hit and run, we go to hit and stay." And they go to the villages—their home villages in many cases—and they seek to get close to where those people live; to let them know that their government believes in them, wants to help them, to give them a stake in life.

I said to the general, "Well, now, I am the head of a cadre and I'm going into a village that has just been reclaimed. What's the first thing I do?" He said to me, "You keep that has just been reclaimed. What's the first thing I do?" He said to me, "You keep your mouth shut." I thought that was a pretty good answer. He said when those folks want some help, you help them to help thomposition. You have the thought that the toks want some help, you help them anything; themselves. You don't give them anything; because if you give them something, it's not really theirs. If the Vietcong destroy it, it is not their loss. But if you help them build something and the Vietcong destroys it, then it is their loss.

About 20,000 have been trained already, and their training will be stepped up. The Vietnamese Government has slected a number of villages to be pacified with the help of these cadres. This is a hardheaded, hardof these cadres. This is a hardheaded, hard-hitting, systematic job of pacification. And it is, as I say, a thoroughly planned and pur-poseful one. It carries within it, I think, the formula of victory. Now this is the meaning of the spirit of Honolulu. I have just been back a few days and I have been rather shocked to find a good bit of curletism chout this in some curvers.

bit of cynicism about this in some quarters. I have difficulty understanding that because in Honolulu the President dramatized for the attention of the entire world the best in principles, the best in standards, that this Nation has; that we built ourselves to greatness with service, humanitarianism, concern for the people.

This is a second front of a two-front war. We have to win the tough, hard, difficult military part of this war. But of equal im-portance has to be the second part, what they call a social revolution in Vietnam. The word revolution has a much more positive cast to it, and it is broadly used, to give an identity, to give a meaning, to give a purpose to the lives of those people so that when the Vietcong come in, the people will notify the authorities so they can be rooted out. If they hide the Vietcong, if they protect them, if they don't report them, then it is an almost impossible task. So there is a two-front, sharp, clear objective-an objective in which I think we can feel pride, that we as a nation go out not only to stop the onrush of com-

be done if agriculture is not in the forefront be done if agriculture is not in the forefront in that effort. I said when I returned that in this war, fertilizer is just as important as bullets, and so it is. The essentials for effective agricultural production are ready, and we can make striking progress in agri-culture in Vietnam.

What I found, really, was far beyond my expectations in light of the hardships under which those people live. If you ever wanted which those people live. If you even walked to see a justification for some of our dollars to be spent on helping other people, take a look at agriculture in Vietnam. It has only been 10 years and yet those little farmers, most of them tenants, or landowners with very small holdings, are using very modern practices of improved seed, fertilizer, chempractices of improven seed, tethnact, enclin-icals, and pesticides, disease control in ani-mais, and all the rest, whenever they can get it. They don't want it free. They want to buy it. But so far we haven't done as good other are no bould in making it available. buy it. But so far we haven't done as good a job as we should, in making it available. But where it has been available, they make

But where it has been attributed, they are every effort to get it. I talked to one peasant who walked 15 miles with a basket on his head to buy 50 pounds of fertilizer and get a little package of seed and walked 15 miles back to his little hamlet. He knew about fertilizer. He knew about improved seed. All he wanted was a chance to use them, because, when he does, his yield of rice goes up 50 percent. And when yields go up 50 percent, he can buy his kids some clothes, and they have a little money in the village to build a little school. They then build a little better home. school. They then build a little between home Then they have a stake in something. Then when the Vietcong come in after it, they are prepared to fight, and inform, and resist. What we need to do is to integrate agricul-ture effectively in the forefront of the second front of this two-front war.

front of this two-front war. Last Sunday, I was on the coast about in the midlands in Vietnam in an area called Phan Rang. I stood on a little plot of land on the coast that was plain sand. It had been sand dunes. It had been government land. It had been levelled off. Chinese technicians from Taiwan were there. They worked with the Vietnamese farmers on new techniques in growing vegetables. An onion, an adaptation of the Granex onion out of an adaptation of the Granex onion out of Texas that had been adapted by one of our plant technicians—took them 4 years to do it—was being planted on that hectare of land the peasant had gotten as a part of the land reform program. He netted on that 2½ acres 200,000 plastres—that's \$2,000 in American money. Growing rice in the same area, they were netting about \$20 an acre. That peasant had a little irrigation sys-

That peasant had a little irrigation system. He and a dozen others had gone to-gether to buy a little gasoline engine pump getner to buy a utile gasonine engine pump for a shallow well. They had put in a little irrigation works—some shallow ditches. We saw the water flowing out. Onions, garlic and other kinds of vegetables were growing and other kinds of vegetables were growing protusely. I had a picture taken with him with a basket of onions and vegetables be-sides, of all things, a bright red motorbike. He had made a little money, the first thing he wanted was a motorbike. Comparatively, you'd buy a Cadillac. And maybe he will one day, too. But a motorbike is a desirable status symbol; it means transportation. And in this same area. I want to the vil-

And in this same area, I went to the vil-lage where he lived. They had a little self-help program to build a warehouse. The material was made available to them by the Vietnamese Government with our help. The people built the warehouse themselves. They The people built the warehouse themselves. They were renting space in it to dry garlic. The rent was being paid. The village had made a little money and they were putting it in a school and a health clinic.

This was the only place I went in Viet-The military struggle is a bitter, difficult, complicated one. You don't know who the enemy is a good share of the time. We have the power and the resource and the fighting capacity to win the fighting war But that doesn't do much gepping war.

6158

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD - SENATE

in the fields by going to see them in the daytime. I go out and see them in the night, when they are available." He was able to do that because his area was pacified. If the Vietcong move into that area he would be notified. He was a tough soldier but he was very good with these peo-ple, and it is not a normal mandarin attitude, you know, to say. "I adapt myself to the people, and it is not a normal mandarin attitude, you know, to say, "I adapt myself to the peo-ple." Politicians do that in the United States. They do not normally do that in Asia. But this philosophy was going for-ward. He could go out at night, because if anybody inflitrated that area, he got the word. And when he got the word, he got the troops out there and the Vietcong didn't last very long. This was an example of what can

troops out there and the vietcong didn't last very long. This was an example of what can be done. It isn't easy. These peasants have been promised to death. They are pretty skeptical; pretty cynical. They have been terrorized, brutal-trood muddared to be both sides will also cynical. They have been terrorized, brutal-ized, murdered, taxed by both sides, run off their land, run back on their land, and they have been in this war for 20 years. But I feel, really, that the sense of hopelessness and complacency that seems to permeate some parts of this country is not permanent. There is a base, for real hope; that we can win this war; that we can help these people. This nation can be a real bastion for freedom if we remember that this is a two-front war, that force alone is ineffective, that to go along that force alone is ineffective, that to go along

that force alone is ineffective, that to go along with it you have to have service, accomplish-ment, and build a stake in society. A lot of people have asked me, "What about these young generals? Do they mean it and will they do it?" It's hard to tell. You can only make a judgment. I spent 3 hours in an airplane with Gen-eral Ky. He is 5 years old. He is the Prime

eral Ky. He is 35 years old. He is the Prime Minister. He was the commanding general of their air corps; very colorful, very smart, no particular background in government as such. I met all the corps commanders and such. I met all the corps commanders and all the top generals. The ruling group is about a dozen. I found them, without ex-ception, bright and alert. They said the right words, and they said them with feel-

ing. For example, General Ky said a number of times: "It is a military war and a war for the hearts of our people. We cannot win one without winning the other. But the war for the hearts of the people is more than a mili-tary tactic. It is a moral principle. We are trying to bring about a true social revolution. We are instituting a program for a better society.

society." He then went on to say, "I think that the present government by and large has the con-fidence of the people. I think it has a greater measure of support than any of the pre-vious governments. But that's not enough. We must have a government which has been freely elected by the people. Despite the freely elected by the people. Despite the many tasks we have on our hands today, I feel we can take on one more. And one, which next to winning the war I speak about, is most important and that is building democracy in Vietnam."

Prime Minister Ky said extemporaneously when the Vice President left Saigon: "I am when the vice President left Sargon: I all sure Mr. HUMPHREX, prior to his visit here, was not convinced of the ability of the young generals, sometimes called the young Turks, to rule our nation. I'm sure that now he must recognize that we are more civilian than the civilians, and we love freedom more than freemen and desire democracy more than you do in the United States.'

The Prime Minister grabbed a microphone The Prime Minister grabbed a microphone in Honolulu at the conclusion of the press conference and said, "I'm not a war lord. I'm tired of fighting. I've been shot at all my life. I risk assassination every day. I want to win this war and holp my people." He said, "I don't have a car. I don't have any property," and went on to say that his stake was one of service.

I was impressed with them, with their ability and their dedication but also with the fact that they are a smart, tough bunch. They had to be to survive. Some of them have been wounded six times. They were fighting in the jungles almost before they could walk. To survive that and the political wars, the coups and the rest, they have to have something on the ball. And they know as sure as day follows night that they can't win that war, repel that invasion, and make Vietnam a free nation unless they and make victuan a tree nation unless they can earn the support and loyalty of their people. And so for that reason if for no other reason, they are going about their business. They don't do it always like we do. They are not as efficient and effective as work Commissioner of Armenitium as your Commissioner of Agriculture here, for example, and your State Treasurer. Not as experienced in government, but they are tough minded, alert, and determined.

I went to Vietnam and took with me at the President's instructions 10 of the best agricultural specialists in this country in the agricultural specialists in this country in the fields of crops, chemicals, livestock, irriga-tion, and fishing—and we came back feeling positive; not overwhelmingly optimistic, building glowing word pictures, but feeling that there is a real purpose and that this is not a hopeless morass, that this war can be won and that it is vitally important that it should be won. We also felt real pride in our own profession of agriculture, because it is own profession of agriculture, because it is the key. Agriculture is the key in Vietnam, as it is the key around the world in the great race taking place between food and people.

On February 10, the President sent to the Congress a great message, a food-for-freedom message, calling on this Nation to mobilize its agricultural resources and to wheel them into action to help those nations who would help themselves so that this race can be won and the world will be able to feed itself. This is the greatest challenge we face down the road.

All of us who work in this great area, then, work not only to serve our Nation and our communities. We stand right at the heartbeat of the future well-being of mankind. beat of the future weil-being of mainting A world that isn't fed, a world plagued and dogged by famine and desperation and mai-nutrition, is never going to be a peaceful world. So as you skillfully carry forward beat future from the start budgetry we join in your work in this great industry, we join in seeking to use the power that comes with this great capacity to produce and as a great free Nation to use it effectively, so other people can have as great a stake in freedom as we have and there is no stake where there is no

food. The challenge down the road is a great one but is one that I think we can meet. I came back from Vietnam challenged but reassured. We'll win this one as we have won them before.

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. Mr. President, will the Senator from Pennsylvania permit us to have the yeas and nays on final passage?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second? There is a sufficient second, and the yeas and nays are ordered.

Mr. CLARK. Mr. President, there has been some discussion in the last couple of minutes about the possible bombing or mining of Haiphong Harbor. I should like to call to the attention of the Senators the statement made by Secretary McNamara on page 177 of the record where he testified that since the tonnage required for the support of enemy troops in South Vietnam is relatively small, the function of mining the harbor of Haiphong-and I imagine it would be the same result from bombing the harbor of March 22, 1966

I wonder if the committee is in accord with the view of the Secretary, that it would not presently be useful from our overall point of view to attack Haiphong either by bombing or mining.

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. That view was stated in an indirect fashion by General Wheeler, as I recall, in his testimony before the committee. I must say, with all due respect to General Wheeler—and I do have very genuine respect for him-that in my opinion it flies in the face of commonsense to say that the closing of the harbor at Haiphong has a lower priority than the bombing of the petroleum dumps, the petroleum for which has come in through Haiphong Harbor.

It seems to me it would be self-evident even to a lay mind that it would be more effective to close the stopper of a bottle than to pour out the contents and set the bottle back down to be refilled. That is all that would be accomplished by clearing the petroleum dumps, because the next day a tanker could come into Haiphong and replenish these dumps.

There are a number of ways to close this harbor other than bombing. I am not committed altogether to closing the harbor by bombing. It so happens that there is a narrow waterway leading into the harbor. Two dredges work there constantly. Those dredges could be sunk by naval gunfire to close the harbor for a short period. It could be mined, or it could, if desired, be bombed; or a naval blockade could be established with a half dozen destroyers.

But I think it is self-evident that the closing of the harbor itself would be more injurious to the war effort of the North Vietnamese than bombing supplies, even as important as a petroleum dump, which can be immediately replenished by another tanker coming into that harbor. It simply does not make sense to me to say that closing the harbor has a much lower priority than these petroleum dumps.

Mr. CLARK. So to that extent, the Senator disagrees with Secretary McNamara?

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. Oh, yes, indeed, I disagree flatly with him on that point, and also disagree with General Wheeler.

I wish to add that at one time all the Chiefs of Staff thought that closing the harbor at Halphong should have a very high priority, and it is only of late that there has been any disagreement among the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

Mr. SYMINGTON. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. CLARK. Gladly.

Mr. SYMINGTON. The Senator from Georgia brought up the point I intended to bring up, there is disagreement in testimony before the committee. So I hope the American people will be informed, and information not classified. There is disagreement among the military as to the importance of closing the harbor at Haiphong.

Why should they not close that harbor? Only two railroads come down from China. One which we have not been hitting since the resumption is the

Only time will tell hap proved For Relations would not materially affect been hitting since the resumption is the tive these young generals are.

March 22, 1966

1

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD - SENATE

Manchuria into Vietnam. The other railroad comes from west to east. From the standpoint of commonsense and economy, why would they use that? But we have been hitting that latter railroad. There are a few roads which in the main I understand are not in too good shape.

But nobody could know what is coming through the Halphong Harbor. Testimony before the committee admits, a very large majority of the oil moving into North Vietnam comes through the harbor at Haiphong. Obviously, those trucks that go down the Ho Chi Minh Trail must have that oil to be used. Statements have been made that it is thought relatively little ammunition comes through the harbor; but who knows what is in the boxes on the docks of that harbor? There is no reason for anybody to extrapolate what the many ships going into that harbor have on them. Anybody who looks at the map knows the Haiphong Harbor is the easlest, least expensive, and most effective way of getting in the tremendous amounts of supplies coming into North Vietnam to kill our troops in South Vietnam. If anybody does not think most of it is coming through the harbor, where else it is coming from?

The answer we hear is, "They don't need very much." But I was down in the Mekong Delta in recent weeks, and saw hundreds of magnificent weapons-made mostly in China, but also quite a few from Soviet Russia-on exhibit in the center of the town square in Can Tho. That exhibit showed to my satisfaction where those weapons were coming from. If they do not come over the railroad we are not attacking, and if they do not come from the harbor, where are they coming from? As we know, the Communists do not have any logistic support in the way of air power.

Mr. CLARK. I say to my friend, the Senator from Georgia, I have only one or two questions more. The hour is getting late; we are almost ready to vote. I should like, if I can, to finish it up.

On page 178, the Secretary of Defense testified that the industries in North Vietnam contribute very little to the supplies used in the south for the prosecution of the war.

He also said that wiping out the entire industry of North Vietnam would have no measurable effect upon their capability to furnish the supplies they are presently supplying to the Communist forces in South Vietnam.

Does the Senator agree with that?

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. No; I do not agree with it in toto. I think it is substantially correct. But I have information and we have had some evidence that there is an iron foundry in the vicinity of Hanoi, which manufactures literally millions of hand grenades that

are being used in this war. With that exception, I think that the Secretary's statement is approximately correct.

Mr. CLARK. I thank the Senator from Georgia for his patience. I have one final question.

It appears in the hearings that if we were to destroy the petroleum depots in North Vietnam, and they got no fuel for their trucks in the south, they-that is, the enemy-could move the quantities of supplies now being moved by animal and by manpower. At page 299 of the hear-ings, Admiral McDonald asserted that the Victoong in South Vietnam need few petroleum items, because they walk everywhere.

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. Well, that is true when one measures their equipment against the vehicles that are operated in modern warfare.

But petroleum is still a very essential element of war. Most of the materiel that is carried from Haiphong down into South Vietnam over the many branches of the Ho Chi Minh trail is carried by trucks. We have had the good luck once or twice lately to find a truck convoy exposed on the roads and attack it from the air, and have destroyed a great many of the trucks.

Those people, however, are resource-ful. We had evidence before the committee that they were using elephants to carry supplies, and that they were using large numbers of people, bearers, who can carry three or four times their own weight.

Mr. CLARK. And bicycles.

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. Ves: that they would put on a bicycle 300 or 400 pounds of equipment, and push it along. They are very resourceful people.

They have been engaged in a war of this nature now for more than 20 years. Mr. CLARK. Since the Japanese

moved in. Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. They have

had a great deal of experience in it, and are probably the most efficient guerrilla fighters on earth today, and would compare favorably with any in recorded history.

Mr. CLARK. I thank my friend the Senator from Georgia, and I yield the floor.

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

Mr. SCOTT. Mr. President, will the Senator withhold his request, and yield to me for a moment?

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. I yield to. the Senator from Pennsylvania.

Mr. SCOTT. I mention to the distinguished Senator from Georgia that the distinguished Senator from Missouri [Mr. SYMINGTON] asked a question which has not been answered, and I would appreciate the help of the Senator in supplying an answer.

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. I thank the Senator for the compliment implied. Mr. SCOTT. 1 am sure the Senator

has information which would be helpful and useful.

The reference made by the junior Senator from Pennsylvania was to page 178, the testimony of Secretary McNamara, wherein he stated:

The industry in the north is so small that it plays a very little role in the economy of the north, and I think any of the analysts who have studied the problem would say it could be completely eliminated and not re-

duce in any substantial way the contribution of the North to South Vietnam

6159

Paraphrasing the Senator from Missouri, he said that if they are getting their material through Haiphong, it does not amount to anything, and if North Vietnam is not contributing anything of substance to South Vietnam, meaning to the South Vietnamese, the anti-

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. The Vietcong.

Mr. SCOTT. Yes, the Vietcong, in other words-then said the Senator from Missouri, where are the Vietcong-if I can paraphrase him further-where are the Vietcong getting their supplies from?

What would the Senator from Georgia say to that?

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. I would say that they are getting it from a great many sources. As I stated a few mo-ments ago, undoubtedly thousands of hand grenades are being made in North Vietnam. An iron foundry, I believe, is located on the outskirts of Hanoi, or it could be on the outskirts of Haiphong, but they do have one iron foundry which does make some equipment; but, the great bulk of their equipment, all of their sophisticated equipment, such as 50 caliber machine guns, 55 millimeter recoilless rifles, their burp guns, and rifles-some of which have telescopic sights which can be favorably compared to any weapons we turn out, the great bulk of them come either from China or from Russia. Most of those which come from Russia are actually made at the Skoda Works in Czechoslovakia.

Mr. SCOTT. Therefore, they have to come down from China, not from Russia. Earlier testimony indicates that the bulk of petroleum comes in through Haiphong, yet I am told to ignore that.

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. It practically all comes in through Haiphong. Most of the weapons come in through Haiphong. That is the reason why I say that we should close the port at Haiphong. It is a natural step for us to take.

Mr. SCOTT. I completely agree with the Senator from Georgia that it should be closed in one of the several ways the Senator has mentioned, but we are asked to believe something which, to me, is a semantic impossibility. We are asked to believe that North Vietnam contributes nothing to South Vietnam, that anyway it does not matter if petroleum does come in, although it does come into Haiphong from Russia, that the economy of North Vietnam really contributes nothing to South Vietnam and therefore we should not worry about it. Admiral McDonald clarifies the situation further by saying that it does not matter about motorized transportation because everyone in Vietnam walks, anyway. He goes on to explain that last statement by saying that they walk from the 19th parallel but use motorized transportation from the north. If they use motorized transportation from the north, let me observe that I know very little about motors but I do know that they require fuel, lubricants, gasoline, yet we are told that while this comes entirely from Russia yet the

No. 49----4

Approved For Release 2004/08/30 : CIA-RDP80R01720R000500030056-9

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD - SENATE

contribution from North Vietnam really amounts to nothing to South Vietnam. Now, let me say to the Senator from Georgia, the more testimony I read, the more confused I get. Can the Senator help me find my way through this morass of semantics?

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. I had assumed that the statement referred to by the Secretary referred mainly to principal weapons which might be manufactured in North Vietnam. I do not be-lieve that the Secretary would take a position the weapons did not come through, because North Vietnam is the only place they could come from. I believe that he is referring to sources of production more than he is referring to sources of supply.

Mr. SALTONSTALL. Mr. President, will the Senator from Georgia yield?

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. I yield.

Mr. SALTONSTALL. The Senator will recall in one of the hearings that captured North Vietnamese weapons were displayed as coming from China or Russia.

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. The Senator is correct.

Mr. SALTONSTALL. Certainly, none them was manufactured in North Vietnam.

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. But they had to come in through North Vietnam. Mr. SCOTT. Well, if the contribution

of North Vietnam to South Vietnam is not worth anything, in the words of the Secretary—if the Secretary is right— "it could be completely eliminated, referring to the industry of the north. and not reduce in any substantial way the contribution of the North to South Vietnam."

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. Yes.

Mr. SCOTT. He is bypassing the fact that the North Vietnamese people are receiving oil and Chinese and Russian weapons; is that not a fact?

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. As I stated, I thought the Secretary was referring to sources of production and not sources of supply.

Of course, North Vietnam has a very limited industry. They do manufacture some weapons, but the principal weapons being utilized against us are made in China or sent from Russia. They are the two principal sources of supply. They are supplying them with vast quantities of sophisticated, deadly, and lethal weapons.

Mr. STENNIS. Mr. President, the appropriation for military construction requested in this supplemental bill amounts to \$1,238,400,000, distributed among the services as follows: Depart-ment of the Army, \$509,700,000; Department of the Navy, \$254,600,000; Depart-ment of the Air Force, \$274,100,000; and Department of Defense, emergency fund, \$200 million.

I would like to point out that this is only a further increment to military construction funds for southeast Asia. To date we have already appropriated for southeast Asia, approximately \$417,-700,000, distributed as follows: Army, \$162,200,000; Navy, \$117,600,000; Air Force, \$137,900,000.

By the way of explanation, I would

like to point out that funds spent, funds available, and the funds presently in this bill will make a total appropriation for military construction, southeast Asia, amounting to \$1,656,100,000.

In view of the urgency of this construction money for southeast Asia, the Military Construction Subcommittee of the Appropriations Committee, did not review the many projects in this bill in our usually thorough manner, meaning that we did not go into a detailed review of each individual project and the holding of extensive hearings. A great deal of the information concerning these projects is classified; however, the Department of Defense and the military services did furnish the subcommittee with classified information as to the location of projects and the intended scope of construction.

This bill contains language which will insure that the Congress will be fully informed as to how the Department of Defense and the military services expend these appropriations. I am sure everyone in this body knows my views concerning the constitutional responsibility of the Congress in matters of defense policy. There is in this supplemental bill, section 102, subsection B, language which reads as follows:

(b) Within 30 days after the end of each quarter, the Secretary of Defense shall ren-der to the Committees on Armed Services and Appropriations of the House of Repre-sentatives and the Senate, a report with respect to the estimated value by purpose, by country, of support furnished from such appropriations.

I wish to point out to my colleagues of the Senate that part of this construction money will be expended on permanent facilities both in the United States and overseas; for example, money will be spent for construction on bases for Guam, Okinawa, Wake Island, and in the United States which I will discuss later in this presentation.

A major construction effort is required to provide the proper logistic base from which to project our military operations in South Vietnam. The major portion of the military construction funds in this bill amounting to \$736,600,000 is for construction in South Vietnam; approximately \$325 million is for facilities out-side of South Vietnam to support our logistics and communication bases; and \$63,421,000 is for construction in the United States, which is solely to support our southeast Asia operations. As further examples, we plan to spend approximately \$36 million in the Republic of the Philippines mainly for supply and operational facilities including hospitals and utilities. Thirteen million, six hundred and ninety thousand dollars is earmarked for Guam for hospitals and medical facilities, operational facilities and troop housing.

I would like to close, Mr. President, by saying that the effectiveness of our highly trained forces with their modern equipment will be greatly enhanced when the items contained in this military construction program begin to be used.

GOVERN in the chair). Pursuant to the unanimous-consent agreement entered into yesterday, the Senate will now pro-ceed to vote on H.R. 13546.

The question is on the engrossment of the amendments and third reading of the bill.

The amendments were ordered to be engrossed and the bill to be read a third time.

The bill was read the third time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The bill having been read the third time the question is, Shall it pass? On this question the yeas and nays have been ordered; and the clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. LONG of Louisiana. I announce that the Senator from Tennessee [Mr. GORE] and the Senator from New Mexico [Mr. MONTOYA], are absent on official business.

I also announce that the Senator from Indiana [Mr. BAYH], the Senator from Alabama [Mr. HILL], the Senator from Michigan [Mr. McNAMARA], the Senator from Rhode Island [Mr. PASTORE], the Senator from South Carolina [Mr. Rus-SELL], and the Senator from Alabama [Mr. SPARKMAN], are necessarily absent.

I further announce that, if present and voting, the Senator from Indiana [Mr. BAYH], the Senator from Tennessee [Mr. GOREL, the Senator from Alabama [Mr. HILL], the Senator from Michigan [Mr. McNAMARA], the Senator from Rhode Island [Mr. PASTORE], the Senator from South Carolina [Mr. RUSSELL], and the Senator from Alabama [Mr. SPARKMAN], would each vote "yea."

Mr. DIRKSEN. I announce that the Senator from New York [Mr. JAVITS] is absent on official business.

The Senator from California [Mr. KUCHEL] is absent because of illness.

The Senator from Iowa [Mr. MILLER] is necessarily absent.

If present and voting, the Senator from New York [Mr. JAVITS], the Senator from California [Mr. KUCHEL], and the Senator from Iowa [Mr. MILLER] would each vote "yea.'

The result was announced-yeas 87, nays 2, as follows:

~		
	[No. 59 Leg.]	
	TT1 A CT 077	

YEAS-87					
Aiken	Fulbright	Mundt			
Allott	Harris	Murphy			
Anderson	Hart	Muskie			
Bartlett	Hartke	Nelson			
Bass	Hayden	Neuberger			
Bennett	Hickenlooper	Pearson			
Bible	Holland	Pell			
Boggs	Hruska	Prouty			
Brewster	Incuye	Proxmire			
Burdick	Jackson	Randolph			
Byrd, Va.	Jordan, N.C.	Ribicoff			
Byrd, W. Va.	Jordan, Idaho	Robertson			
Cannon	Kennedy, Mass.	Russell, Ga.			
Carlson	Kennedy, N.Y.	Saltonstall			
Case	Lausche	Scott			
Church	Long, Mo.	Simpson			
Clark	Long, La.	Smathers			
Cooper	Magnuson	Smith			
Cotton	Mansfield	Stennis			
Curtis	McCarthy	Symington			
Dirksen	McClellan	Talmadge			
Dodd	McGee	Thurmond			
Dominick	McGovern	Tower			
Douglas	McIntyre	Tydings			
Eastland	Metcall	Williams, N.J. Williams, Del.			
Ellender	Mondale				
Ervin	Monroney	Yarborough Young, N. Dak			
Fannin	Morton	Young, Ohio			
Fong	Moss	TOTUR, OHO			

Approved For Refease 2004/08/30 : CIA-RDP80R01720R000500030056-9

March 22, 1966

NAYS-2 Morse Gruening NOT VOTING-11 Pastore Russell, S.C. Sparkman Kuchel McNamara Bayh Gore Hill Javits Miller Montoya

So the bill (H.R. 13546) was passed.

Mr. MANSFIELD. Mr. President, the distinguished Senator from Georgia [Mr. RUSSELL] again has used his unmatched military expertise and his strong and articulate advocacy to obtain the Senate's overwhelming approval of the defense supplemental appropriation.

Again, that outstanding statesman has won for our fighting men, whose vital needs he knows so well, the decisive support they deserve so much. All America is grateful for his deep and abiding devotion. For all America recognizes that he, more than anyone, has assured the reality of his avowed objective: To see that our soldiers are better supplied than any other fighting men on earth. No man has worked harder to achieve that goal.

The success of this vital appropriation was due also to the efforts of the Senate's highly able patriarch, the distinguished chairman of the Appropriations Committee, the Senator from Arizona IMr. HAYDEN] who backed this measure with the wise advocacy which has characterized his many decades of outstanding service in this body. To the distinguished senior Senators from Rhode Island [Mr. PASTORE] and from Missouri [Mr. SYMINGTON], a debt of gratitude is owed for their strong and articulate support.

Additionally, we are indebted as always to the distinguished senior Senator from Massachusetts [Mr. SALTONSTALL] for his cooperative support. The eloquent plea for swift and decisive Senate action by the ranking minority member of the Appropriations Committee helped immensely to assure this great success.

We appreciate too the help given by the distinguished senior Senator from Pennsylvania [Mr. CLARK] and by the junior Senators from South Dakota [Mr. MCGOVERN] and Arkansas [Mr. Ful-BRIGHT], whose analytical discussions were typically provocative and enlightening. To the distinguished senior Senator from Oregon [Mr. Morse] goes high commendation for again applying his cooperative efforts to assure the prompt and orderly action of the Senate on this important measure.

Finally, I personally am grateful to the Senate as a whole both for its swift and efficient action and for giving its unequivocal backing to those brave fighting men who deserve it so much.

DEATH OF MILTON KELLY, OF THE ASSOCIATED PRESS

Mr. MANSFIELD. Mr. President, I have just noticed on the AP ticker a news item to the effect that an old and good friend, Milton Kelly, Associated Press reporter since 1930, died today in George Washington Hospital after a long illness.

He was a fair man. He did his job well. Senate completes its business today it ad-

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD - SENATE

I have watched him in his illness over the past several years. I have noticed him come back time after time after time, always with a cheery smile. He always did a good job. He was always considerate of others.

It is with deep sadness that I note the passing of Milt Kelly. Mrs. Mansfield and I extend our deepest sympathy to his family. Milt was a good friend and a good man.

Mr. RUSSELL of Georgia. Mr. President, I hope the Senator will per-mit me to associate myself with all he has said with respect to Milton Kelly.

It has been my pleasure and privilege to deal with hundreds of members of the press during my public career, which has stretched over a number of years, but I have never known a man I trusted more completely in discussing matters that would help him with the story, but were not for publication, than I did Milton Kelly. He was indeed a gentleman to the manner born, a man of integrity and courage. We mourn his passing, and extend our sympathy to his family.

Mr. COOPER. Mr. President, I, too, wish to associate myself with the remarks just made. It was with sadness that I learned about the passing of Milt Kelly. I knew him as a fine, searching newspaperman, a fine reporter, a man of great integrity and character.

I am saddened to hear the news of his death. I am sure all of us extend to his family our feelings of deep sadness and affection.

Mr. THURMOND. Mr. President, I was saddened to learn of the passing of G. Milton Kelly. Milt Kelly, as he was affectionately known, was a highly respected and capable journalist whose pleasant and affable way won many friends for him in his tenure as an Associated Press reporter assigned to the U.S. Senate.

His coverage of some of the most controversial and heated investigations which took place in the Senate during his service here was noted for its objectivity and fairness to all sides. Milt will be sorely missed by the Members of the Senate, his many friends, and by his colleagues in the journalism profession.

AUTHORITY TO RECEIVE MES-SAGES, FILE REPORTS, AND SIGN BILLS

Mr. MANSFIELD. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that during the adjournment of the Senate following today's session, the Secretary of the Senate be authorized to receive messages from the President of the United States and the House of Representatives; that committees be authorized to file reports; and that the Vice President or President pro tempore be authorized to sign duly enrolled bills.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection, it is so ordered.

ORDER FOR ADJOURNMENT UNTIL FRIDAY

Illness. I have known Milton Kelly for mant Mr. MANSFIELD, Mr. President, I Mr. MAGNUSON. The Senator from years. He was a man of sound interity. Oss Release 2004/09/30at @barRDP 80001720R000500030056-9 years. He was a man of sound interity. Senate completes its business today it ad-otherwise.

journ until 12 o'clock noon on Friday next.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection, it is so ordered.

TIRE SAFETY

Mr. MANSFIELD. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that when S. 2669, the tire safety bill, is reported from the Committee on Commerce it be made the pending business.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. MORSE. The bill just read is to be the pending business on Friday?

Mr. MANSFIELD. The Senator is correct.

Mr. MORSE. Does the Senator from Montana expect disposition of that bill on Friday?

Mr. MANSFIELD. I do not know. Mr. MAGNUSON. The bill as being reported by the committee has been worked over, and practically all of the committee is unanimous in the reporting of the bill. Several sections were modi-fied and amended. I do not expect too much opposition to the bill as reported. The bill merely affects uniform tire safety as against another bill on which we are holding hearings which deals with

automobile safety. Mr. MORSE. Does the Senator an-ticipate a rollcall vote on Filday?

Mr. MAGNUSON. I would like to have a rollcall vote on the bill when we are all through with it. That depends on how far we get with the bill on Friday. Mr. MANSFIELD. Would the Sena-

tor insist on a rollcall vote?

Mr. MAGNUSON. I would not insist on a rollcall vote.

Mr. MORSE. I think if the Senator wants it we should have it. It may be necessary to rearrange our programs so that those of us who do not wish to miss rollcall votes may be present.

I do not understand why we quit on Tuesday and reconvene on Friday.

Mr. MAGNUSON. This bill has not Mr. MAGNUSON. This bit has not been reported. We are working on the report. I believe it will be filed late today. It is doubtful. It may be tomor-row morning. I would be glad to accom-modate any Senators if there is sufficient interact in a willcall vote and the londer. interest in a rollcall vote and the leadership says we will vote on it at a time certain on Monday.

Mr. MORSE. I am not speaking for myself, although I am included in what I say, but I am advised that several Members of the Senate plan to be away on Friday for various party affairs-I mean political party affairs-and perhaps we could have a vote on Monday and not have a vote on Friday, in view of the fact that there is this long post-ponement from Tuesday until Friday when we are ready to stay here during the week and do business. I am ready to stay Friday, but I wish to know if it is necessary to cancel my engagement on Friday to be here to cast my vote.

Mr. MANSFIELD. No; I would not say that. We will see what we can do. I am certain this can be worked out to the satisfaction of all Senators.

Mr. MAGNUSON. The Senator from