

STAT

Passivity of Nickel Alloys with Iron and Chromium in
Alkaline Solutions

by

A. I. Krasil'shchikov
L. M. Volchkova
L. G. Antonova

Zhur Fiz Khim, Vol XXV, No 12, pp 1445-1449,
Russian Mo per, 1951

STAT

2100 Proc. 1948 Vol XXV No. 12, 1948-49

PASSIVITY OF NICKEL ALLOYS WITH IRON
AND CHROMIUM IN ALKALINE SOLUTIONS

L. M. Volchkova,
L. G. Antonova, and
A. I. Krasil'shchikov

The high stability of nickel operating as an anode in sufficiently concentrated alkali solutions permits its isolation from among other technical metals and its consideration on the same level with noble metals such as platinum. In this connection it appeared of interest to ascertain whether anodic stability of nickel in alkali solutions is retained by its alloys with other metals, particularly with iron and chromium.

Numerous studies have been devoted to problems pertaining to passivity of iron and its alloys with chromium and nickel (1 - 9). Anodic behavior of nickel in alkali was the subject matter of our previous publications (10, 11).

The present paper is devoted to the study of anodic behavior of various alloys of nickel with iron and chromium in alkaline solutions. The investigation was conducted in 0.1 and 5.0 N solutions of chemically pure potassium hydroxide at 80 degrees Centigrade, using the method of recording the polarization curves. Measurement methods were the same as those used previously (10, 11). The anodes used in the investigation comprised various iron-chromium-nickel and iron-nickel alloys. In the graphs, anodic potentials are given in comparison with a normal hydrogen electrode.

TABLE I

Anode material	Nickel	Chromium	Iron	Manganese	Silicon	Sulfur	Phosphorus	Carbon	Aluminum
	(i n p e r c e n t)								
EI -- 334	76.9	20.1	1.94	0.88	0.56	0.01	0.02	0.13	0.06
EKhN -- 60	57.74	16.17	23.74	0.97	1.20	0.013	0.020	0.45	--
EYa -- 3S	25.10	18.70	53.12	0.51	2.16	0.010	0.018	0.38	--
EYa -- 1	8.45	17.42	72.19	0.35	0.72	0.012	0.018	0.14	--
ST -- 20	0.10	0.16	98.85	0.47	0.16	0.035	0.016	0.11	--
Chromium	--	97.81	1.74	--	0.58	--	0.06	0.04	--

Iron-Chromium-Nickel Alloys

Studies were conducted using four alloys similar in their chromium content and differing in nickel content. Composition of the materials studied is given in Table I. The results obtained are shown in figures 1 and 2. From examination of these drawings it can be seen that anodes EYa-1, EYa-3S, and EKhN-60 display analogous behavior. The polarization curves reflect the pronounced correlation between alkali concentration and the behavior of these anodes. While in a 0.1 N solution the anodes rapidly acquire passivity, in a 5N solution they retain their activity up to a certain current density. Three sharply-differentiated portions appear in the polarization curves. The first corresponds to the process of dissolution of the metal, the second to its passivity stage, and the third reflects evolution of oxygen. Examination of the curves shows that the limiting current density of passage of metal into solution in 5N KOH decreases with increasing nickel content of the alloy (Table II).

Figure 1. Electrolyte 0.1N KOH saturated with air. $t = 80$ degrees Centigrade. I, nickel; II, EYa-1; III, EYa-3S; IV, EI-334; V, EKhN-60; VI, chromium; VII, steel-20. (below horizontal axis, "Potential")

Figure 2. Electrolyte 5N KOH, saturated with air. $t = 80$ degrees Centigrade. I, nickel; II, EYa-1; III, EYa-3S; IV, EI-334; V, EKhN-60; VI, chromium; VII, steel-20. (below horizontal axis "Potential")

TABLE II

Anode material	Current density at the moment of becoming passive in 5N, KOH A/cm ²
EYa-1	1.5 · 10 ⁻⁴
EYa-3S	2.3 · 10 ⁻⁵
EKhN-60	7.5 · 10 ⁻⁶
EI-334	3.1 · 10 ⁻⁶
ST-20	2.3 · 10 ⁻⁵

Behavior of a EI-334 anode is somewhat different. It must be noted that EI-334 is essentially of chromium-nickel alloy since its iron content does not exceed 2 percent. However, although this alloy contains about 77 percent of nickel, at the beginning of polarization passage of metal into solution is observed.

In order to elucidate the question as to what component is responsible for the instability of the alloys, polarization curves were recorded for ordinary carbon steel 20 and chromium in addition to those for pure nickel and the alloys.

In its behavior on anodic polarization it differs sharply from the other anodes which were studied. Chromium polarization curves in 0.1N as well as in 5N solution extend almost vertically, with little change of potential. Direct and reverse progressions of the curve almost coincide completely. Such a progression of the curve indicates a very small polarization of the electrode: chromium passes into solution almost quantitatively.

In 0.1N alkali, all the anodes with the exception of chromium are very similar. In 5N alkali, scattering of the curves is observed at the beginning of polarization; this characterizes the dissimilar behavior of the anodes under these conditions. The same drawing clearly shows that none of the alloys, with the exception of EI-334, approximates in its behavior that of nickel. It must also be noted that in the case of steel-20, anode overvoltage of oxygen evolution is higher than in the case of all other metals.

In view of the fact that the effect of iron and chromium in a triple alloy can be concealed by various contents of nickel, it appeared expedient to determine separately the effect of various amounts of nickel present in an alloy on the stability of the latter by testing it in alloys with iron.

Iron-Nickel Alloys

Iron-nickel alloys EN-5, EN-25, and EN-46 were used as anodes. Their composition is given in Table III.

Results obtained using iron-nickel alloys are shown in the composite graphs of figures 3 and 4. From the progression of the curves and the data of Table IV, it can be seen that iron-nickel alloy anodes also acquire passivity more readily in 0.1N alkali solution than in 5N solution. The nature of the curves and their mutual positions indicate a similarity of the various anodes in 0.1N alkali, with respect to one another and with nickel. However, beginning with a current density of the order of $2.5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ amperes per square centimeter, the EN-5 anode becomes unstable and undergoes

TABLE III

Anode material	Nickel	Chromium	Iron	Manganese	Silicon	Sulfur	Phosphorus	Aluminium
	(i n p e r c e n t)							
EN-46	46.57	—	52.75	6.34	0.43	—	—	0.02
EN-25	25.0	3.0	71.65	0.40	0.25	—	—	0.3
EN-5	4.63	0.06	94.18	0.41	0.23	0.016	0.018	0.15

16

deterioration with formation of localized centers of corrosion.

Figure 3. Electrolyte, 0.1N KOH, saturated with air. $t = 80$ degrees Centigrade. I, nickel; II, EN-46; III, EN-25; IV, EN-5; V, steel-20. (below horizontal axis, "Potential")

Figure 4. Electrolyte 5N KOH, saturated with air. $t = 80$ degrees Centigrade. I, nickel; II, EN-46; III, EN-25; IV, EN-5; V, steel-20.

TABLE IV

Anode material	Current density at the moment of becoming passive in 5N ₂ KOH A/cm ²
EN-5	$7.2 \cdot 10^{-6}$
EN-25	$1.5 \cdot 10^{-6}$
EN-46	$0.98 \cdot 10^{-6}$

The curves obtained for the nickel-containing alloys in 5N solution present an entirely different appearance. On following the progression of the curves obtained for the electrodes beginning with that of iron and up to that of nickel, in Figure 4, the beneficial effect of nickel in the alloys becomes clearly apparent.

Potential of the EN-5 anode, as this can be seen in Figure 4, shifts abruptly towards the more positive values, and the nature of the polarization curve indicates a more readily-attainable passivity in the case of EN-5 anode in comparison with that of iron. The EN-25 anode, as can be seen from the progression of the polarization curve, approximates fairly closely that of nickel, even though for current densities up to $1.5 \cdot 10^{-6}$ amperes per square centimeter there apparently takes place a dissolution of the metal. Most similar to nickel is, naturally, the anode made of EN-46. Beginning with a current density of $1.0 \cdot 10^{-6}$ amperes per square centimeter, the EN-46 acquires passivity. At high current densities all three anodes retain their stability in 5N alkali solution.

The analogy in anodic behavior between alloy EN-46 and nickel is confirmed also by the fact that inclination angles of the portion of the curve corresponding to the process of oxygen liberation on the EN-46 anode approximate very closely the inclination angles of the curve for nickel. This makes it necessary to assume that the mechanism of oxygen liberation on nickel and on an anode made from EN-46 is analogous.

CONCLUSIONS

1. A study was made of iron-chromium-nickel alloy anodes in 0.1N and 5N solutions of caustic potash at 80 degrees Centigrade. On the basis of the obtained data it has been established that iron-chromium-nickel austenitic steels are unstable at the anode in concentrated alkali at 80 degrees Centigrade. The cause

of the instability is chromium, which in a pure state quantitatively passes into solution on anodic polarization in alkaline solutions.

2. Chromium, which causes the passivity of stainless steels in oxidative media, drastically decreases their passivity in alkalis.

3. A study was made of iron-nickel steels at the anode in 0.1N and 5N solutions of caustic potash at 80 degrees Centigrade.

The capability of acquiring passivity in alkaline solutions is imparted also to its alloys with iron. An addition of 5 percent nickel (alloy EN-5) produces a beneficial effect on iron even though this alloy is not completely stable at high current densities in a dilute solution. Alloys containing 25 and 46 percent of nickel approximate nickel by their stability in alkaline solutions.

Moscow

Received for publication 28 July 1950

LITERATURE CITED

1. G. V. Akimov and G. B. Klark, Doklady Akademii Nauk, 45, 399, 1944.
2. G. B. Klark and G. V. Akimov. Trudy 2-oy Konferentsii po Korrozii Metallov (Proceedings of the Second Conference on Corrosion of Metals), 2, 33, 1943.

3. B. P. Artamonov and A. I. Shultin. Trudy 2 -oy Konferentsii po Korrozii Metalov, 2, 86, 1943
4. V. V. Skorcheletti and A. I. Shultin. Korroziya i bor'ba s ney (Corrosion and Its Prevention), 4, 114, 1938.
5. F. F. Khimushin, Nerzhaveyushchiye, kisloupornyye i zharoupornyye stali (Rustproof, Acid- and Heat-Resistant Steels), Metallurgizdat, pages 159, 319, 1945.
6. B. N. Kabanov. Zhurnal Fizicheskoy Khimii, 20, 995, 1946.
7. B. N. Kabanov and D. I. Leykis. DAN, 58, 1685, 1947.
8. N. A. Izgaryshev. Issledovaniya v oblasti elektrodnykh protsessov (Studies in the Field of Electrode Processes), 1914.
9. V. A. Kistyakovskiy. Elektrokhimicheskiye reaktsii i elektrodnyye potentsialy (Electrochemical Reactions and Electrode Potentials), 1910.
10. L. M. Volchkova and A. I. Krasil'shehikov Zhurnal Fizicheskoy Khimii, 23, 441, 1949.
11. L. M. Volchkova, L. G. Antonova, and A. I. Krasil'shehikov, Zhurnal Fizicheskoy Khimii, 23, 714, 1949.