ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 1 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A 30 May 72 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Agency employees, Office of Personnel, and Office of Security Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes II so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes ____ No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDEN Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1 component respon-

sible for exit interview briefings of staff and contract internal personnel.

IDENTIFICATION For Release 2000/09/04 CIA RPP83B00823R000700920017pbnent responsible for official foreign travel briefings of staff and contract internal personnel. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 2 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A 26 Oct 72 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No____ Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? The Agency and its employees. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes_____ No_X___ If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?

Nore properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes_____ No_X_ If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

TT	י איירי ד	App	roved	For _t F	Releas	e 200	Q/Q9/
1 117	X: 1 I	1-1-1-1-1		CUP	AL.	1 1 1 1	

	ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 3	COMPONENT:	OS/PSI/EAB		
	EVALUATION:		DATE:		
	What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activit	y? Date(s)	?	
25.	X1A	•	21 Oct 6	8	
	Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) on The Agency and its employees.	force? Y f this activ	Yes X No_ vity?		
	Do you feel the activity is still n Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effic Iî so, how?	is still ne	ecessary? Y	es X N Yes_	o_X
	Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to ano If so, where and why?	the activit	ty should be? Yes	transfer No <u>X</u>	red
-	Can another component do the activi More properly? Yes No_X	ty more effi If so, which	iciently? In component	ess costland why?	y?
	Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No X If s	questionable o, what?	e in the lig	tht of	÷
	Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	pe/size or on on one or	discontinued y? Yes	l without No_X	
,	Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by	OS that you so, what?	ı feel is	more

IDENTIFICATION For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R00070002001771 nent responsible for personal foreign travel briefings.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 4	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s)	for this activity? Date(s)?
25X1A	13 April 66
Is (are) the reference(s) still Who is (are) the beneficiary(ie	in force? Yes X Nos) of this activity?
The Agency and the employe	ees
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feed Can the activity be done more estimated to the so, how?	ll necessary? Yes X No l it is still necessary? Yes X No fficiently or less costly? Yes NoX
Do you feel that responsibility to another OS component or to If so, where and why?	for the activity should be transferred another Office? YesNo_X
Can another component do the ac More properly? Yes No	tivity more efficiently? Less costly? X If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anyth Watergate? Yes No_X	ing questionable in the light of If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in significant effect on overall If so, how?	scope/size or discontinued without Agency security? YesNo_X
Is there any activity not now be important than this one? Yes	eing pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 5	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION:	<u>DATE</u> :
What is (are) our reference(s) for 5X1A	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	of this activity?
Office of Security	
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel is Can the activity be done more efficient in so, how?	it is still necessary? Yes X No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	or the activity should be transferred nother Office? Yes No_X
Can another component do the active More properly? YesNo_X	vity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? YesNo_X_ If	
Can this activity be reduced in so significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	cope/size or discontinued without gency security? Yes No X
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	ng pursued by OS that you feel is more

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1 ponent IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security responsible for making the Office of Security decision concerning the separation in absentia of an Agency employee. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 6 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? This is an administrative procedure to unsure that Absentia separation employees return all Agency property and sign Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No Secrecy Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agreements Agreements. OS and Office of Personnel Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes___ NOX Iî so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No XIf so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes____ No_X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No $\frac{X}{X}$ If so, what?

-	of Se emplo	nsible for making the Office curity decision concerning an yee's intent to take outside yment while still an Agency	
	ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 7	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB	and any construction of the second of the se
	EVALUATION:	DATE:	
	What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?	
25	25X1A	5 Feb 70	25X1A
	Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	of this activity?	
•	Employees component		
	Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel to Can the activity be done more efficient in so, how? By having the employees administration.	it is still necessary? Yes	X NO
	Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	or the activity should be transtorned or the activity should be transtorned by the should be transtorned by the should be transfer to the should be	nsferred —
	The employees administrative if such employment will effective Can another component do the active More properly? Yes x No	with more efficiently? Less	costly?
	Same as above.		
	Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No X If	g questionable in the light on so, what?	of
	Can this activity be reduced in so significant effect on overall Again so, how?	gency security? Yes_X No_	
	By rewriting the regualtion only if there is security so Is there any activity not now beling important than this one? Yes	- indicating that approval is ignificance. If you see that you fee No x If so, what?	required el is more

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security Component responsible for making the Office of Security decision concerning an employee's intent to engage in a non-Agency training program. OS/PSI/EAB ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 8 COMPONENT: DATE: EVALUATION: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 2 April 1964 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? No Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Employees component Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes X Iî so, how? By having the employees administrative component adhere to the intent of the regulation. Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes X No If so, where and why? The employees administrative component. If the employee is taking too many courses it could interfere with his Agency Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes x No If so, which component and why? As indicated above, the employee's administrative component has the vested interest in this type of activity. If there is no security significance, the employees component should approve the Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of request. Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes X No____ If so, how?
By rewriting the regulation - indicating approval is required only if there is security significance. Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

responsible for making the Office of Security decision relative to an employee's intent to make public appearances. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 9 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 5 Feb 70 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? OS and Assistant to the Director Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes Nox If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?

More properly? Yes____ No__X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1
FICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security Component

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1 onent FICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security Component responsible for making the Office IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: of Security decision relative to an employee's intent to present a manuscript to a publisher for publication. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 10 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 5 Feb 70 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No_____ Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? OS and Assistant to the Director Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____NoX Iī so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No χ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

responsible for making the Office of Security decision relative to an employee's intent to visit a foreign embassy. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 11 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 1 April 61 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? The Office of Security,, Cover and Commercial Staff, and the employee componenet, and employees. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes x Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes x Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?

More properly? Yes____ No_X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes____ No X If so, what?

ID Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1 component

responsible for counseling Agency employees relative to foreign social contacts. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 12 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 1 April 61 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X___ Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? OS, CCS and Agency employees Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? YesX. Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes_ If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____NoX If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes____ No_X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No_X_ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No x If so, what?

IDE Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1 The Office of Security component

IDEApproved For Release 2000/09/02: CIARDP82F90823R909700029017-Lomponent responsible for counseling Agency employees relative to court appearances. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 13 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 2 April 64 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No____ Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? CCS, OS and Agency employees Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes___ If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes____ No_X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how?

important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more

IDE Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1
The Office of Security component responsible for counseling Agency employees relative to jury duty. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 14 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 2 April 64 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes x Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? CCS,OS,OGC and Agency employees Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes Iī so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____No_x If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes____ No_X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes____ No_X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes_____No_X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

counsels Agency employees relative to questions they may have to answer on applications (school, community organizations) concerning their employment. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 15 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 7 June 71 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes χ No_ Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? CCS and Agency employees Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes x Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes_. If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes____ No_ X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No χ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDE Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1
The Office of Security component that

IDENTIPAPPKOVED For Release 2000/09/02 CIA RPP83B90823R000700920017p1 nent that counsels Agency employees concerning their Summaries of Agency Employment.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 16	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION: 25X1A	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) o	force? Yes X No No State of this activity?
Agency employees and Office of	
Do you feel the activity is still n Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effic Iî so, how?	ecessary? Yes X No is still necessary? Yes X No iently or less costly? Yes No X
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to ano If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred ther Office? Yes No_X_
Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes No_X	ty more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything of Watergate? Yes No_X_ If so	questionable in the light of o, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scor significant effect on overall Ager If so, how?	pe/size or discontinued without acy security? Yes No_ <u>x</u>
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

documents the security file relative to Agency decisions on each individual case. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 17 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 30 May 72 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No____ Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Office of Personnel Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes NoXIf so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIPAPPKQVed,For Release 2000/09/02 TifelA RPP83B08823R00070002001770 onent that

reviews all retirement actions and

prepares responses to correspondence received by the Agency or the DCI relative to matters under purview of the Office of Security. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 18 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? UNKNOWN Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? O/DCI and other Agency components Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes x Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yesx In so, how? Index name of correspondent and provide brief description as to type of correspondence. Destroy actual correspondence rather than filing in SRD. Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes X No If so, how? Review correspondence for threat only. Index as noted above, and destroy. Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No $\frac{X}{X}$ If so, what?

IDENTI Approved For Release 2009/09/02 The RPP8380082380007000200171 conent that

of Security decision relative to an employee's intent to join a club or organization. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 19 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 2 Mar 65 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No____ Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? OS and Agency employees Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes \underline{X} No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes \underline{X} No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X Iī so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes X No If so, how? By having the employee submit requests for approval only for those organizations which they feel may be questionable. Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTI Approved For Release 2000/09/02 The BDR 3B00823R000700020017-1

responsible for making the Office

IDENTIF**Approved For Release 2000/09/02 h Clar RPP83B00823R0007700920017** bnent that counsels Agency employees relative to public media contacts.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 20 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 13 July 65
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes x No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
Assistant to the Director Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X Ii so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? The responsibility for this activity belongs to the Assistant to the DCI, not the Office of Security. EAB acts as a channel for such requests.
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No_K If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes NoX If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENT Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1
The Office of Security component that makes the Office of Security decision relative to an Agency employee's application for Federal Employee Compensation. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 21 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 18 Jan 73 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Krrnanamakanaka Cover and Commercial Staff Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes x Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No χ Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes_____No_X_ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

relative to bestowing an Honor or Merit Award on an employee. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 22 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 10 Dec 73 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No_ Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Honor and Merit Awards Board Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes_____Nox_ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENT | Approved for Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1 The Office of Security component that

makes the Office of Security decision

makes the Office of Security decision relative to Agency employees hospitalization insurance applications. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 23 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 22 Nov 65 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No____ Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? All Agency personnel Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes x No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X II so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes_____ No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENT Approved for Release 2000/09/02 The RPP\$38088238008700020017.1 that

makes the Office of Security decision concerning an Agency employee's intent to sponsor an alien. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 24 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 5 Feb 70 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes χ NoWho is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? OS and Agency employees Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X Iî so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes____ No_X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No x If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENT Approved Gar Belease 2009/09/02: FIR-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1 that

IDENT Approved For Belease 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1 The Office of Security component that madvex xvivx xvivx xviv xviv xviv xviv xviv
 ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 25 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION: 25X1A
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
4 Feb 75
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
Suggestion Awards Committee
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X II so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No_X If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No_X If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that assigns and processes covert site investigations.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 26 CON	MPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION: 25X1A	<u>DATE</u> :
What is (are) our reference(s) for this	s activity? Date(s)?
•	1 April 61
Is (are) the reference(s) still in fore Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of the state of the st	ce? Yes X No his activity?
OL/Safehouse Branch	
Do you feel the activity is still nece Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is Can the activity be done more efficien Iî so, how?	still necessary: ies a no
Do you feel that responsibility for th to another OS component or to anothe If so, where and why?	e activity should be transferred r Office? Yes No_X
Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes No_X If	more efficiently? Less costly? so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything que Watergate? Yes No_X If so,	stionable in the light of what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/ significant effect on overall Agency If so, how?	size or discontinued without security? Yes NoX
Is there any activity not now being puring important than this one? Yes N	irsued by OS that you feel is more $X = X$ If so, what?

of cover. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 27 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 22 Nov 65 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes x No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Cover and Commercial Staff Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes x No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes x No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X Iī so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No x If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes___ No X If so, what?

IDENT Approved for Belease 2000/09/02: GIA-RDP83B00823R90070092001751mponent

responsible for giving the Office of Security coordination on use

T TO 171	······· Amproved For	Release 2000/09/02	
1111		I TEICEAG AUDDIOPIOZ	



ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 28	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION: 25X1A	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) fo	r this activity? Date(s)?
	22 Nov 65
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	of this activity?
The Agency	
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel : Can the activity be done more eff Iî so, how?	necessary? Yes X No it is still necessary? Yes X No iciently or less costly? Yes No X
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	or the activity should be transferred nother Office? YesNo_X_
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes NoX	vity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No χ If	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in so significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	cope/size or discontinued without gency security? Yes No_X
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

of CIA Investigator Credentials, True/ Alias. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 29 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 22 Nov 65 Hq Manual Sect Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No____ Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Office of Security Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes_ No x Iī so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No χ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes____ No_X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENT Approved for Release 2009/09/02: Ale-RDP83B00823R000700020017011ponent that

makes the Office of Security decision relative to the approval and issuance

I DENT Approved For Belease 2000/09/02

ACTIVITY CODE:	I, B, (b), 30	COMPONENT:	OS/PSI/EAB	
EVALUATION:	25X1A		DATE:	
What is (are) ou	r reference(s) fo	r this activity	? Date(s)? 22 Nov 65	
Is (are) the ref Who is (are) the	erence(s) still i beneficiary(ies)	n force? Ye of this activi	s X No No	
Office of	Security			
Do(es) the benef	activity is still iciary(ies) feel be done more eff	it is still nec	ASSATY? VAS V No	οX
Do you feel that to another OS If so, where a	component or to ar	or the activity nother Office?	should be transferr YesNo_X	ed
Can another compo More properly?	onent do the activ	vity more effic If so, which o	iently? Less costly component and why?	?
Does the activity Watergate? Yes	y include anything No x If	questionable i	in the light of	
Can this activity significant eff	y be reduced in so Eect on overall Ag	cope/size or distency security?	scontinued without YesNo_X	
s there any acti important than	vity not now bein	g pursued by OS No X If so	that you feel is mo	ΣΥŒ

IDENT Approxed For Release 2009/p9/02



ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 31 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAE	3
EVALUATION: 25X1A DATE:	:
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s))?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes χ No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?	
Office of Security	•
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes χ No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes the Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? If so, how?	es X No Yes No X
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be to another OS component or to another Office? Yes If so, where and why?	transferred No_X
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Lower More properly? Yes No_X If so, which component a	ess costly? and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light watergate? Yes No_x_ If so, what?	ht of
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes	without No_X
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?	feel is more

יייני מי מ	Approved	For Re	elease₁2	1000109	/02
11111111	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	1-112- 111	F* XX Y - -	1 7/ F 1 F	

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 32 COMPONENT:	OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION: 25X1A	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity	
	7 April 71
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Ye Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity	
DCI/Security Staff	•
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Ye Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary the activity be done more efficiently or less Iî so, how?	ressarv? Yes Y No
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity to another OS component or to another Office? If so, where and why?	should be transferred YesNo_X_
Can another component do the activity more effic More properly? Yes No X If so, which	ciently? Less costly? component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?	in the light of
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or di significant effect on overall Agency security? If so, how?	iscontinued without YesNo_X
Is there any activity not now being pursued by C important than this one? Yes No_X If s	OS that you feel is more so, what?

makes the Office of Security decision relative to the certification and issuances of CIA Firearms Permit. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 33 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB DATE: EVALUATION: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 7 April 71 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No_____ Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? The Agency Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes. No X Iî so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes_____No_X_ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY : CHA-ROP83B00823R0007000290170 Imponent that

25X1A·

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CLA PDP83P00833P000700030017
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 34	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION: 25X1A	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
	22 Nov 65
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes χ No of this activity?
Cover and Commercial Staff	
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi Iî so, how?	t is still necessary? Yes A No
Do you feel that responsibility fo to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? YesNo_X_
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No_X	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? YesNo_X_ If	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in so significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	cope/size or discontinued without gency security? Yes No_X
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	ng pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

IDENT Approved For Release 2009/09/02 TICIA RDP83B00823R000790020017mponent responsible for the processing of OS personnel cover requirements.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 35	COMPONENT: 0	S/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION:		<u>DATE</u> :
25X1A		
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity?	Date(s)?
	•	30 Nov 59
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)		
Covert OS Personnel		
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi Iî so, how?	t is still nece	ssary? Yes X No
Do you feel that responsibility fo to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	r the activity other Office?	should be transferred Yes No_X
Can another component do the activ More properly? Yes No X	ity more effici If so, which c	ently? Less costly? omponent and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No_X If		n the light of
Can this activity be reduced in sc significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	ope/size or dis ency security?	continued without Yes No_X
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS No X If so	that you feel is more, what?

IDENTApproved For Release 2000/09/02: TOLA-RDP83E00823R00070002901701ponent responsible for giving personnel briefings on Office of Security cover.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 36 CO	MPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION: 25X1A	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for thi	s activity? Date(s)? 30 Nov 59
Is (are) the reference(s) still in for Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of the state of the sta	ce? Yes X No his activity?
Covert OS Personnel	
Do you feel the activity is still necess Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is Can the activity be done more efficient If so, how?	still necessary? Yes X No
Do you feel that responsibility for the to another OS component or to another If so, where and why?	
Can another component do the activity of More properly? Yes No_X If s	
Does the activity include anything questions watergate? Yes No_X If so, we have a substitute of the second statement of th	
Can this activity be reduced in scope/s significant effect on overall Agency If so, how?	size or discontinued without security? Yes No_X_
Is there any activity not now being pur important than this one? Yes No	rsued by OS that you feel is more $\frac{X}{X}$ If so, what?

IDENT Approxed for Release 2000/09/02

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 37	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION: 25X1A	<u>DATE</u> :
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)? 1 Apr 67
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes X No of this activity?
Operating components of the	Agency.
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi Iî so, how?	t is still necessary? Yes X No
Do you feel that responsibility fo to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? YesNo_x_
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes NoX	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No_X If	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sc significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

IDENT APPROXPE FOR Release, 2009/09/0



ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 38	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION: 25X1A	<u>DATE</u> :
What is (are) our reference(s) fo	or this activity? Date(s)? 1 Apr 61
Is (are) the reference(s) still i Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	
Operating components of the	Agency.
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel Can the activity be done more eff Iî so, how?	necessary? Yes X No it is still necessary? Yes X No iciently or less costly? Yes No X
Do you feel that responsibility f to another OS component or to a If so, where and why?	for the activity should be transferred another Office? Yes No_X_
Can another component do the acti More properly? Yes NoX	vity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anythin Watergate? Yes NoX_ If	
Can this activity be reduced in s significant effect on overall A If so, how?	cope/size or discontinued without gency security? Yes No_x
Is there any activity not now bei important than this one? Yes	ng pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

IDENTAPPROXED For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1 The Office of Security component responsible for the procurement of federal personnel records. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 39 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 22 Nov 65 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Office of Personnel Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes_X Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes____ No_X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more

important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b) , 40	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION: 25X1A	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
	1 Apr 61
Is (are) the reference(s) sti Who is (are) the beneficiary(Operating components of	IPSI OT TOIC COLLEGE
Do you feel the activity is s Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) f Can the activity be done more Iî so, how?	till necessary? Yes X No eel it is still necessary? Yes X No efficiently or less costly? Yes NoX
Do you feel that responsibilito another OS component or If so, where and why?	ty for the activity should be transferred to another Office? Yes No X
Can another component do the a More properly? Yes No_	activity more efficiently? Less costly? X If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anytwatergate? Yes No X	hing questionable in the light of If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced i significant effect on overal If so, how?	n scope/size or discontinued without l Agency security? Yes No_X
Is there any activity not now in important than this one? Yes	being pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 41	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION: 25X1A	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)?
	22 Nov 65
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? Yes χ No of this activity?
Operating components of the	Agency
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi Iî so, how?	necessary? Yes X No t is still necessary? Yes X No ciently or less costly? Yes No X
Do you feel that responsibility fo to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes No_X_
Can another component do the activ More properly? Yes NoX	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No_X If	
Can this activity be reduced in sc significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No_X_
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	g pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CA-RDP83B00823R000700020017-1
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:



ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 42	COMPONENT: O	S/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION:	5X1A	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	or this activity?	Date(s)?
	•	22 Nov 65
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) Operating components of the) of this activit $ar{y}$	No
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel Can the activity be done more eff Iî so, how?	arana Tlita of tr	Comre Van
Do you feel that responsibility f to another OS component or to a If so, where and why?	or the activity s nother Office? Y	hould be transferred esNo_ <u>x</u>
Can another component do the acti More properly? Yes No_X	vity more efficients If so, which con	ntly? Less costly? mponent and why?
Does the activity include anythin Watergate? Yes No_X_ If	g questionable in so, what?	the light of
Can this activity be reduced in so significant effect on overall Again so, how?	cope/size or discogency security?)	ontinued without es No_X_
s there any activity not now beir important than this one? Yes	ng pursued by OS t	hat you feel is more what?