Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0 CONFIDENTIAL

OFFICE OF SECURITY FUNCTIONS

Personnel Security and Investigations Directorate

Tab	1. Staff Personnel Clearances
	2. Covert Clearance and/or Approvals
	3. Staff-like Clearances
	4. Industrial Security Approvals
	5. Investigations (Field)
	6. Security Interview and Polygraph Examination -
X1A	7. Research and Training (Polygraph)
	$\sqrt{8}$.
	9. Furnish Security Advice/Guidance to Agency Employees
	10. Security Support to Operations
	11. Operational Support (Field)
	12. Employee Reinvestigations
	13. Security Liaison and Agency Panel Responsibility
	14. Certification and other Security Actions 25X1A
	Publications Research Service Approvals and AEC "Q"
25X1A	16. Cover Support and Documentation
	17.
	18.

25X

CONFIDENTIAL

E2 IMPDET CL BY 061626

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0

Policy and Management Directorate

Tab 20. Case Processing and Control

- 21. Master Index Activity
- 22. Systems Support Activity
- 23. Security File Control
- 24. Case Analysis and Information Support
- 25. Security File Storage Control
- 26. Registry and Courier Service $^{
 u}$

25X1A

- 27. Records Administration
- 28. Release of Investigative Data to Other Agencies
- 29. Centralized Compartmented Clearance Records
- 30. Provide Policy Guidance Concerning Compartmented Intelligence Security Activities
- 31. Staff Security Assistance to a National Office
- 32. Security Support and Policy Guidance to Certain Activities of the Intelligence Community
- 33. Investigation of Intentional, Unauthorized Disclosures of Compartmented Intelligence Information in Public Information Media Channels
- 34. Information Systems Security Program Management, Policy Development and External Support
- 35. Computer Security Guidance
 - 36. Provide Policy and Planning Coordination for the Office of Security

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0 CONFIDENTIAL

Policy and Management Directorate

Tab	37. Budgetary and Fiscal Administration	
	38. Logistical Administration	
	39. Personnel Administration	
	40. Training	
	Physical, Technical and Overseas Security Directorate	
	41. Provide Security Staff Guidance/Assistance to Agency Overseas Installations	
	42. Plan, Develop and Conduct an Agency Safety and Fire Prevention Program	
25X1A	43. Provide Security Staff Guidance/Assistance to Agency Installations	
	44. 25X1A	
	45. Service Security Safekeeping Equipment in the Headqua	rters
	46.	
	47. Reception Program 25X1A	
	48. GSA Guard Program	
	49. GSA Guard Program Coordinator	
	50. Security Duty Officer Program	
	51. Parking Ticket Program	
	52. Identification Program	
	53. 25X1A	

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0 CONFIDENTIAL

Physical, Technical and Overseas Security Directorate

Tab 54.

55. Physical Security Equipment Program

56.

Office of the Director of Security

25X1A

25X1A 57.

25X1A

58. Management and Supervision

OFFICE OF SECURITY FUNCTIONS

Personnel Security and Investigations Directorate

ľab	1. Staff Personnel Clearances	
	2. Covert Clearance and/or Approvals	
	3. Staff-like Clearances	
	4 Industrial Security Approvals	25X1A
	5.	
	6. Security Interview and Polygraph Examination	25X1A
	7. Research and Training (Polygraph)	
	$\sqrt{8}$.	
	9. Furnish Security Advice/Guidance to Agency Empl	oyees "
	10. Security Support to Operations	
	11. Operational Support (Field)	
	12. Employee Reinvestigations	
	13. Security Liaison and Agency Panel Responsibilit	25X1A
	14. Certification and other Security Actions	25/1/
	t 15.	
	16. Cover Support and Documentation	
	17.~	
	18.	
	19	.1A
		PDET 061626

CONFIDENTIAL

Policy and Management Directorate

- Tab 20. Case Processing and Control
 - 21. Master Index Activity
 - 22. Systems Support Activity
 - 23. Security File Control
 - 24. Case Analysis and Information Support
 - 25. Security File Storage Control
 - 26. Registry and Courier Service
 - 27. Records Administration
 - 28. Release of Investigative Data to Other Agencies
 - 29. Centralized Compartmented Clearance Records
 - 30. Provide Policy Guidance Concerning Compartmented
 Intelligence Security Activities

 25X1A
 - 31. Staff Security Assistance to
 - 32. Security Support and Policy Guidance to Certain Activities of the Intelligence Community
 - 33. Investigation of Intentional, Unauthorized Disclosures of Compartmented Intelligence Information in Public Information Media Channels
 - 34. Information Systems Security Program Management, Policy Development and External Support
 - 35. Computer Security Guidance
 - 36. Provide Policy and Planning Coordination for the Office of Security

CONFIDENTIAL

Policy and Management Directorate

- Tab 37. Budgetary and Fiscal Administration
 - 38. Logistical Administration
 - 39. Personnel Administration
 - 40. Training

Physical, Technical and Overseas Security Directorate

- 41. Provide Security Staff Guidance/Assistance to Agency Overseas Installations
- 42. Plan, Develop and Conduct an Agency Safety and Fire Prevention Program

25X1A

- 43.
- 44.
- 45. Service Security Safekeeping Equipment in the Headquarters Area

25X1A

- 46.
- 47. Reception Program
- 48. GSA Guard Program
- 49. GSA Guard Program Coordinator
- 50. Security Duty Officer Program
- 51. Parking Ticket Program

25X1A

- 52. Identification Program
- 53.

CONFIDENTIAL

25X1A

Physical, Technical and Overseas Security Directorate

Tab 54.

55. Physical Security Equipment Program

25X1A 56.

Office of the Director of Security

25X1A 57.

58. Management and Supervision

sible for exit interview briefings of staff and contract internal personnel. COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 1 DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A 30 May 72 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No____ Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Agency employees, Office of Personnel, and Office of Security Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes NoX If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No XIf so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No_X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by 05 that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X . If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security Component

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0 IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for official foreign travel briefings of staff and contract internal personnel. ACTIVITY CODE: 1, B, (b), 2 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A 26 Oct 72 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No____ Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? The Agency and its employees. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No_ Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? Nore properly? Yes____ No_X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No_X_ If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more

important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Approved For Release IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

25X1A Approved For Release 2000/09/02 CIA-RDP83R00823R000700020018-0

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 3 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A 21 Oct 68 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No_ Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? The Agency and its employees. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes. No X Iī so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for personal foreign travel briefings.

ACTIVITY CODE: 1, B, (b), 4	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	r this activity? Date(s)?
25X1A	13 April 66
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	n force? Yes X No of this activity?
The Agency and the employees	5
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel : Can the activity be done more eff: Iî so, how?	it is still necessary? Yes X No
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	or the activity should be transferred nother Office? Yes No_X
More properly? Yes No_X	vity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? YesNo_X If	g questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in so significant effect on overall Again so, how?	cope/size or discontinued without gency security? YesNo_X
Is there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	ng pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

ACTIVITY CODE: 1, B, (b),	5 COMPONENT:	: OS/PSI/EAB	
EVALUATION:		DATE:	
What is (are) our reference	e(s) for this activi	ty? Date(s)?	
	25X1A		
Is (are) the reference(s) s Who is (are) the beneficiar	still in force? cy(ies) of this acti	Yes X No	
Office of Security			•
Do you feel the activity is Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) Can the activity be done mo Iî so, how?	feel it is still n	ecessary? Yes	s X No Yes No X
Do you fèel that responsibi to another OS component o If so, where and why?	lity for the activing to another Office	ty should be t ? Yes No	transferred X
Can another component do the More properly? Yes	e activity more eff: No If so, which	iciently? Les h component ar	ss costly? id why?
Does the activity include an Watergate? Yes No X	nything questionable If so, what?	e in the light	of
Can this activity be reduced significant effect on over If so, how?	in scope/size or deall Agency security	discontinued w	vithout So X
s there any activity not no important than this one?	ow being pursued by Yes No_X If	OS that you f	eel is more
		•	y extension

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for making the Office of Security decision concerning the separation in absentia of an Agency employee. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 6 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? None. This is an administrative procedure to insure that Absentia separation employees return all Agency property and sign Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No Secrecy Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agreements. OS and Office of Personnel Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes NOX Iî so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No $\frac{X}{X}$. If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:	The Office of Security component responsible for making the Office of Security decision concerning an employee's intent to take outside employment while still an Agency employee.
ACTIVITY CODE: 1, B, (b), 7	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
ACTIVITY CODE: 1, 2, (3),	DATE:
EVALUATION:	
25X1A	
What is (are) our reference((s) for this activity? Date(s)? 5 Feb 70
Is (are) the reference(s) st Who is (are) the beneficiary	rill in force? Yes X No
Employees component	
If so, how? By having the employe	re efficiently or less costly? les X No es administrative component adhere to the ion.
to another 03 component of	lity for the activity should be transferred r to another Office? Yes X No
The employees adminis	strative component who should determine Il effect his performance. The activity more efficiently? Less costly? No If so, which component and why?
Come as above	
Does the activity include a Watergate? YesNo	anything questionable in the light of X If so, what?
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
STENITIFIER GIRGE OF ST.	ed in scope/size or discontinued without erall Agency security? Yes X No
By rewriting the reg only if there is sec Is there any activity not important than this one?	ualtion - indicating that approval is required urity significance by OS that you feel is more now being pursued by OS that? Yes No_X If so, what?
•	

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0

Approved For Release IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:	2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0 The Office of Security component responsible for making the Office of Security decision concerning an employee's intent to engage in a non-Agency training program.
ACTIVITY CODE: 1, B, (b), 8	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION:	<u>DATE</u> :
What is (are) our reference	(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
25X1A	2 April 1964
Is (are) the reference(s) st Who is (are) the beneficiary	rill in force? Yes x No No No
Employees component	
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) Can the activity be done mon II so, how?	still necessary? Yes X No No Seel it is still necessary? Yes No No See efficiently or less costly? Yes X No
the intent of the regular to another OS component of If so, where and why?	tity for the activity should be transferred to another Office? Yes X No
The employees administ	crative component. If the employee is es it could interfere with his Agency
job.	e activity more efficiently? Less costly? No If so, which component and why?
As indicated above, the	this type of activity. If there is no of the employees component should approve the thing questionable in the light of request.
significant effect on over If so, how? By rewriting the regular only if there is secure.	or being pursued by OS that you reel is more
important than this one?	Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for making the Office of Security decision relative to an employee's intent to make public appearances. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 9 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A 5 Feb 70 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No____ Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? OS and Assistant to the Director Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes Nox If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes_____No_X_ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes_____No_X___ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more. important than this one? Yes No X . If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0

The Office of Security component IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: responsible for making the Office of Security decision relative to an employee's intent to present a manuscript to a publisher for publication. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 10 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A 5 Feb 70 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes χ No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? OS and Assistant to the Director Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes Iî so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No x If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No^{X} If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes NoX If so, how?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No $\frac{X}{I}$ If so, what?

an employee's intent to visit a foreign embassy. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 11 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A 1 April 61 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No_____ Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? The Office of Security,, Cover and Commercial Staff, and the employee componenet, and employees. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes x No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes____ No_X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X . If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R0007Q0020018-0

responsible for making the Office of Security decision relative to

IDENTIFICAPPROVED FOR Release 2000/09/92:eCIA-RDE83B00823R000700020018-0

responsible for counseling Agency employees relative to foreign social contacts.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 12	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for 25X1A	r this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	n force? Yes X No
OS, CCS and Agency employees	;
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel : Can the activity be done more eff: Iî so, how?	necessary? Yes X No it is still necessary? Yes X No iciently or less costly? Yes No X
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	or the activity should be transferred nother Office? YesNoX
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No_X	vity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No X If	g questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in so significant effect on overall Again so, how?	cope/size or discontinued without gency security? Yes No_X
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	ng pursued by OS that you feel is more No_x [f so, what?
	•

IDENTIFICATION OF FOR Release 2000/09/P2: CJA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0 responsible for counseling Agency employees relative to court

appearances.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 13	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for 25X1A	r this activity? Date(s)? 2 April 64
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	of this activity?
CCS, OS and Agency employees	
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel to Can the activity be done more efficient is so, how?	necessary? Yes X No it is still necessary? Yes X No iciently or less costly? Yes No X
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	or the activity should be transferred nother Office? Yes No_X
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No X	vity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No X If	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in so significant effect on overall Again so, how?	cope/size or discontinued without gency security? YesNo_X
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	ng pursued by OS that you feel is more No X . If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0 IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for counseling Agency employees relative to jury duty. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 14 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? 2 April 64 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? CCS,OS,OGC and Agency employees Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X Iî so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X

important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more

If so, how?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that counsels Agency employees relative to questions they may have to answer on applications (school, community organizations) concerning their employment. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 15 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 7 June 71 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes χ No Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? CCS and Agency employees Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes x No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes. If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No x If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what? Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security Component that counsels Agency employees concerning their Summaries of Agency Employment.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 16	COMPONENT	: OS/PSI/EAB		
EVALUATION:		DATE:	•	•
25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activ	ity? Date(s) 30 May 7		
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	force? of this act	Yes X No ivity?		
Agency employees and Office	of Security		,	
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi Iî so, how?	17 16 61111	HP1533611	roo A	No_X
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	or the activ	ity should b	e transfe No <u>X</u>	erred
Can another component do the active More properly? Yes No_X	vity more ef If so, whi	ficiently? .ch component	Less cost	tly? ?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No X If	g questional so, what?	ole in the li	ght of	
Can this activity be reduced in so significant effect on overall A If so, how?	cope/size or gency securi	r discontinue ity? Yes	ed withou No <u>X</u>	t.
Is there any activity not now bei important than this one? Yes	ng pursued No X	by OS that yo	ou feel i	s more

to Agency decisions on each individual case. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 17 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB DATE: EVALUATION: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 30 May 72 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No____ Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Office of Personnel Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X Ii so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No XIf so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0

The Office of Security component that reviews all retirement actions and documents the security file relative

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:	The Office of Security component that prepares responses to correspondence received by the Agency or the DCI relative to matters under purview of the Office of Security.
ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 18	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
UNKNOWN	
Is (are) the reference(s) st Who is (are) the beneficiary	ill in force? Yes No No (ies) of this activity?
O/DCI and other Agency	components
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) Can the activity be done mor II so, how? Index name of description as to type correspondence rather	
Do you feel that responsibil to another OS component or If so, where and why?	ity for the activity should be transferred to another Office? YesNo_X_
Can another component do the More properly? Yes N	activity more efficiently? Less costly? o X If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include an Watergate? Yes NoX	ything questionable in the light of If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced significant effect on over If so, how? Review corres	in scope/size or discontinued without all Agency security? Yes X No pondence for threat only.

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes $\frac{No^{-X}}{1}$ If so, what?

responsible for making the Office of Security decision relative to an employee's intent to join a club or organization. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 19 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 2 Mar 65 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? OS and Agency employees Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X Iī so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes X No If so, how? By having the employee submit requests for approval only for those organizations which they feel may be questionable. Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0

The Office of Security component

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that counsels Agency employees relative to public media contacts.

ACTIVIT	Y CODE: $1, B, (b), 20$	COMPONENT: 08	S/PSI/EAB
EVALUAT	10N: 25	X1A	DATE:
What is	(are) our reference(s)	for this activity?	Date(s)? 13 July 65
Is (are)) the reference(s) stil (are) the beneficiary(i	l in force? Yeses) of this activity	<u>x</u> No
-	Assistant to the Directo	r	
Do(es) to Can the	feel the activity is st the beneficiary(ies) fe activity be done more , how?	el it is still neces	sary? Yes X No
to and If so,	feel that responsibility other OS component or to where and why? The responsibility for to the DCI, not the Offi for such requests.	o another Office? Y his activity belongs ce of Security. EAB	to the Assistant acts as a channel
	ther component do the according No No		
Does the Waterg	e activity include anythate? Yes No_&	ning questionable in If so, what?	the light of
Can this signif If so,	activity be reduced in licant effect on overall how?	n scope/size or disc L Agency security?	continued without YesNoX_
Is there	e any activity not now leant than this one? Yes	peing pursued by OS No_X If so,	that you feel is more what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 CIA-RDR83B00828R000700020018-0 makes the Office of Security decision relative to an Agency employee's application for Federal Employee Compensation. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 21 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB DATE: EVALUATION: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 18 Jan 73 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Aganaxxampkaxaaa Cover and Commercial Staff Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X Iī so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?

More properly? Yes____ No_X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes_____No_X__ If so, how?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more

important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0 IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that makes the Office of Security decision relative to bestowing an Honor or Merit Award on an employee. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 22 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 10 Dec 73 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X___No__ Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Honor and Merit Awards Board Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X Iî so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No XIf so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes NoX If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No $\frac{X}{X}$ If so, what?

IDENTIFICAPPROVED FOR Release 2000/09/02: CIA RDP83B00823R000700020018-0 makes the Office of Security decision relative to Agency employees hospitalization insurance applications.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 23	COMPONENT	: OS/PSI/EAB	
EVALUATION:	25X1A	DATE:	
What is (are) our reference(s)	for this activi	ity? Date(s)? 22 Nov 65	
Is (are) the reference(s) still Who is (are) the beneficiary(ie	in force? es) of this acti	Yes X No	
All Agency personnel		•	
Do you feel the activity is sti Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) fee Can the activity be done more e II so, how?	l it is still n	lecessary? Yes 🗶	No No X
Do you feel that responsibility to another OS component or to If so, where and why?	for the activi another Office	ty should be trans? YesNo_X	sferred -
Can another component do the ac More properly? Yes No	tivity more eff X If so, whic	iciently? Less con h component and wi	ostly? ny?
Does the activity include anythmeter watergate? Yes No X	ing questionabl If so, what?	e in the light of	
Can this activity be reduced in significant effect on overall If so, how?	scope/size or Agency securit	discontinued without y? Yes No_X	out —
important than this one? Yes_	eing pursued by	OS that you feel so, what?	is more

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0 IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that makes the Office of Security decision concerning an Agency employee's intent to sponsor an alien. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 24 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes x No____ Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? OS and Agency employees Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X Iī so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No XIf so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No χ If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

The Office of Security component that madage xxdx xxdx xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx xxxx xx	
ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 25 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB	
EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A	
ZOKTA	
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?	
4 Feb 75	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?	
Suggestion Awards Committee	
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No No If so, how?	
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why?	
	-
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?	
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?	·
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how?	
Is there any activity not now being pursued by 0S that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?	е

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that assigns and processes covert site investigations.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B,	(b), 26	COMPONENT: OS	/PSI/EAB	
EVALUATION:	25X1A		DATE:	
What is (are) our ref	erence(s) for th	ais activity?	Date(s)?	
	•		l April 61	
Is (are) the reference Who is (are) the benefit	e(s) still in fo ficiary(ies) of	rce? Yes) this activity:		
	•	25X1A	•	
Do you feel the activity Do (es) the beneficiary Can the activity be do	(11es) teel it i	c ctill magage	. 0 m 2	No
Iī so, how?			•	
Do you feel that respo to another OS compon If so, where and why	ent or to anoth:	he activity sher Office? Ye	ould be transfsNo_X	erred
Can another component More properly? Yes	do the activityNoIf	more efficien so, which com	tly? Less cos ponent and why	tly? ?
Does the activity included Watergate? Yes	ude anything que No X If so,	estionable in what?	the light of	
Can this activity be resignificant effect or If so, how?	educed in scope/ n overall Agency	size or discortise Ye	ntinued withoutesNoX	Ė.
Is there any activity no important than this o	not now being pu one? Yes N	rsued by OS the 0×1 If so, the 0×1	nat you feel is what?	s more

Approved For Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0 IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for giving the Office of Security coordination on use ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 27 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 22 Nov 65 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No No who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Cover and Commercial Staff Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes χ No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes χ No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No. X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No x If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No^{X} If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

2EV1	ΙΛ.
- Z D A T	М

ACTIVITY CODE: 1,	B, (b), 28	COMPONENT:	OS/PSI/EAB	23/1/
EVALUATION:	25X1A		DATE:	
What is (are) our	reference(s) for	this activit		
Is (are) the reference who is (are) the be	ence(s) still in eneficiary(ies)	force? Y	22 Nov 65 es X No ity?	
The Agency				
Do you feel the act Do(es) the benefic: Can the activity be If so, how?	iary(ies) feel i	t is still ne	cessary? Yes	X No s No X
Do you feel that re to another OS con If so, where and	iponent or to an	r the activit other Office?	y should be tr YesNo_	ansferred X
Can another compone More properly? Y	nt do the activ	ity more effi If so, which	ciently? Less component and	costly? why?
Does the activity i Watergate? Yes	nclude anything No <u>x</u> If s	questionable so, what?	in the light	of
Can this activity be significant effect of so, how?	e reduced in sco t on overall Age	ope/size or diency security:	iscontinued wi? Yes No	thout X
Is there any activing important than the	ty not now being is one? Yes	g pursued by (OS that you fee	el is more

Approved For Release

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 29	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION:	DATE:
25X1A	
What is (are) our reference(s) for t	his activity? Date(s)?
	22 Nov 65
Is (are) the reference(s) still in f Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of	orce? Yes X No this activity?
Office of Security	
Do you feel the activity is still ne Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effici Iî so, how?	is still necessary: is a no
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to anot If so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred her Office? YesNo_X
Can another component do the activit More properly? Yes No_X I	y more efficiently? Less costly? f so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything of Watergate? Yes No_X If so	uestionable in the light of , what?
Can this activity be reduced in scop significant effect on overall Ager If so, how?	oe/size or discontinued without ncy security? Yes No_X
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No X . If so, what?

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 30 CO	OMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION:	DATE:
25X1A	
What is (are) our reference(s) for the	is activity? Date(s)? 22 Nov 65
Is (are) the reference(s) still in for Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of t	
Office of Security	
Do you feel the activity is still necession to be beneficiary (ies) feel it is Can the activity be done more efficient if so, how?	s still necessary? Yes X No
Do you feel that responsibility for the to another OS component or to another If so, where and why?	ne activity should be transferred er Office? Yes No_X_
Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes No_X If	
Does the activity include anything que Watergate? Yes No χ If so,	
Can this activity be reduced in scope/ significant effect on overall Agency If so, how?	
Is there any activity not now being purimportant than this one? Yes N	rsued by OS that you feel is more o X If so, what?

25X1C:

Approved For Release 2000/09/02
1DENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

ACTIVITY CODE	a: 1, B, (B), 31	COMPONENT: (JS/PSI/EAB	
EVALUATION:	25X1A		DATE:	
What is (are)	our reference(s) for t	this activity?	? Date(s)?	
		•	22 Nov 65	
Is (are) the Who is (are)	reference(s) still in f the beneficiary(ies) of	force? Yes f this activit	х No	
Office	of Security			
Do(es) the be	he activity is still ne neficiary(ies) feel it ity be done more effici	is still nece	ssary? Yes	X No s No X
Do you feel to to another If so, where	hat responsibility for OS component or to anot e and why?	the activity her Office?	should be tra YesNo_	ansferred X
Can another co	omponent do the activit ly? Yes No X I	y more effici f so, which c	ently? Less omponent and	costly? why?
Does the activ Watergate?	vity include anything q Yes No_X If so	uestionable i, what?	n the light (of
Can this actives significant If so, how?	vity be reduced in scop effect on overall Agen	e/size or dis cy security?	continued wit	thout X
Is there any calls important the	activity not now being an this one? Yes	pursued by OS No X - Hf so	that you fee	el is more

Approved For Release 20 IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 32 CO	OMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION: 25X1A	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for thi	is activity? Date(s)? 7 April 71
Is (are) the reference(s) still in for Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of	rce? Yes <u>x</u> No this activity?
DCI/Security Staff	
Do you feel the activity is still necessary (ies) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is can the activity be done more efficient is so, how?	e erilli nedessary: 195 A
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to anoth If so, where and why?	he activity should be transferred er Office? Yes No_X_
Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes No_X If	more efficiently? Less costly? so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything que watergate? Yes No X If so,	estionable in the light of what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope significant effect on overall Agence If so, how?	/size or discontinued without y security? Yes No_X
Is there any activity not now being primportant than this one? Yes	oursued by OS that you feel is more No_X If so, what?

				· .
ACTIVITY CODE: I,	B, (b), 34	COMPONEN	IT: OS/PSI/EAB	
EVALUATION:	25X1A		DATE:	
What is (are) our re	eference(s) for	this acti	vity? Date(s)?	
Is (are) the referent Who is (are) the ben	nce(s) still in neficiary(ies)	force? of this ac	$ \begin{array}{ccc} 22 & \text{Nov } 65 \\ \text{Yes} & \underline{X} & \text{No} \\ \text{tivity?} \end{array} $	
Cover and Com	mercial Staff			•
Do you feel the acti Do(es) the beneficia Can the activity be Iî so, how?	IVIIACI TAAI 3	* > ~ ~ * - 7 7		X No Yes No X
Do you feel that restone to another OS compared in the so, where and w		the activother Offic	rity should be t e? Yes No	ransferred X
Can another component More properly? Yes	do the activi	ty more ef If so, whi	ficiently? Les ch component an	s costly? d why?
Does the activity inc Watergate? Yes	lude anything No_X If s	questionab o, what?	le in the light	of
Can this activity be significant effect If so, how?	reduced in scor on overall Age	pe/size or ncy securia	discontinued wity? Yes No	ithout X
Is there any activity important than this	not now being one? Yes	pursued by	os that you for so, what?	el is more

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0

Approved For Release 2000/99/02: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for the processing of OS personnel cover requirements.

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b),	35 CO	MPONENT: (OS/PSI/EAB	}	
EVALUATION:	25X1A		DATE:		
What is (are) our reference	ce(s) for this	s activity?	Date(s)	?	
	•	·	30 Nov 59)	-
Is (are) the reference(s) Who is (are) the beneficia	still in forcery(ies) of the	ce? Yes	<u>X</u> No_		
Covert OS Personnel				÷	
Do you feel the activity in Do(es) the beneficiary(ies Can the activity be done making so, how?				es X Yes	No_X
Do you feel that responsible to another OS component of If so, where and why?	ility for the or to another	activity s Office? Y	should be res N	transfe No <u>X</u>	rred
Can another component do the More properly? Yes	ne activity mo	ore efficie o, which co	ently? Le omponent a	ess cost and why?	1y?
Does the activity include a	marth in a		_		
Does the activity include a Watergate? Yes No x	nything quest	cionable in mat?	the ligh	t of	
				•	
Can this activity be reduced significant effect on over If so, how?	d in scope/si rall Agency s	ze or disc ecurity?	ontinued Yes	without No_X	
Is there any activity not no important than this one?	ow being purs Yes No_	ued by OS a	that you : what?	feel is	nore

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0 IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for giving personnel briefings on Office of Security cover. ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 36 COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB DATE: EVALUATION: 25X1A 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 30 Nov 59 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No_____ Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes__ NoX If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____No_X_ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?

More properly? Yes____ No_X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ No_X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more

important than this one? Yes No X . If so, what?

ACTIVITY CODE: I, B, (b), 37	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION:	DATE:
25X1A	ZALL.
What is (are) our reference(s) for	this activity? Date(s)? . 1 Apr 67
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of	force? Yes X No of this activity?
Operating components of the A	gency.
Do you feel the activity is still n Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it Can the activity be done more effic Iî so, how?	
Do you feel that responsibility for to another OS component or to another so, where and why?	the activity should be transferred ther Office? Yes No x
Can another component do the activit More properly? YesNo_X	y more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything q Watergate? Yes No X If so	uestionable in the light of , what?
Can this activity be reduced in scop significant effect on overall Agen If so, how?	e/size or discontinued without cy security? Yes No X
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	nureuad by OS Ababas S. a. a.
important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more

Approved For Release 2005/89/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

IDENTIFIARPROYED FOR Release 2000/00/

ACTIVITY CODE: 1, B, (b), 38	COMPONENT: OS/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION:	DATE:
25X1A	Andrew September 1
What is (are) our reference(s) for	r this activity? Date(s)? . 1 Apr 61
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)	of this activity?
Operating components of the	Agency.
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi Iî so, how?	t is still many
Do you feel that responsibility fo to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? YesNo_X_
Can another component do the active More properly? YesNoX	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No X If	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in sco significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No_X
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for the procurement of federal personnel records.

ACTIVITY CODE: 1, B, (D), 39	COMPONEN	T: US/PS1/	'EAB	
EVALUATION: 25X1A		<u>D</u> F	MTE:	
What is (are) our reference(s) for	r this acti	vity? Date	e(s)?	
	•	22 No	v 65	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)			No	
Office of Personnel			•	
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi	it is still	necessary?	Yes X	No_X
Do you feel that responsibility fo to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	or the actinother Offi	vity should ce? Yes	l be transi No_X	ferred
Can another component do the activ More properly? Yes NoX				
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No_X_ If		ble in the	light of	
Can this activity be reduced in so significant effect on overall Ag If so, how?	ope/size o ency secur	r discontin	ued withou No <u>X</u>	ıt -
s there any activity not now bein important than this one? Yes	g pursued No X	by OS that If so, what	you feel i	is more

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 · CLA
IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

ACTIVITI CODE. 1, D, (U)	, 40 COMPONE	NI: U3/P31/EAB	
EVALUATION:	0EV4A	DATE:	
•	25X1A		
What is (are) our referen	ce(s) for this act	<pre>ivity? Date(s)?</pre>	
	•	1 Apr 61	
Is (are) the reference(s) Who is (are) the benefici Operating component	ary(ies) of this a	Yes X No	
Do you feel the activity Do(es) the beneficiary(ie Can the activity be done Iî so, how?	s) feel it is stil	1 necessary? Yes	X No es NoX
	•		
Do you feel that responsit to another OS component If so, where and why?			
Can another component do a More properly? Yes			
Does the activity include Watergate? Yes No_			of
Can this activity be reduce significant effect on or If so, how?	ced in scope/size verall Agency secu	or discontinued writy? Yes N	ithout o <u>X</u>
Is there any activity not	now being pursued	by OS that you f	eel is more
important than this one?	e ies No A	ir Su, wildt:	

Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0

Approved For Release 2050/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020018-0

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:



10111111 CODE. 1, D, (D), 41	COMPONENT: US/PSI/EAB
EVALUATION:	DATE:
25X1A	
What is (are) our reference(s)	for this activity? Date(s)?
	22 Nov 65
Is (are) the reference(s) still Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies	in force? Yes χ No_s) of this activity?
Operating components of the	ne Agency
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel Can the activity be done more ef Iî so, how?	ll necessary? Yes X No No No Service No X No X
Do you feel that responsibility to another OS component or to If so, where and why?	for the activity should be transferred another Office? YesNo_X_
Can another component do the act More properly? Yes NoX	ivity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anythi Watergate? Yes No_X I	ng questionable in the light of f so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in significant effect on overall . If so, how?	scope/size or discontinued without Agency security? Yes No_X_
s there any activity not now be important than this one? Yes_	ing pursued by OS that you feel is more No X If so, what?
•	

THE CODE.	, b, (b), 42	COMPONENT: OS	5/PSI/EAB	
EVALUATION:	25X1A		DATE:	
What is (are) our	reference(s) for	this activity?	Date(s)?	
Tr. () ii		•	22 Nov 65	
and 25 (are) the	rence(s) still in beneficiary(ies) o	r this activity	No	
Operating c	omponents of the Ag	gency		
no (ca) the benefit	ctivity is still ne ciary(ies) feel it be done more effic	is still narge	carred Vac i	(No X
Do you feel that no to another OS co	responsibility for pmponent or to anot why?	the activity sher Office? Yo	hould be trai esNo <u>x</u>	nsferred —
Can another compon	ent do the activit	y more efficien	ntly? Less	costly?
More property?	YesNo_XI	f so, which com	mponent and m	vhy?
Does the activity Watergate? Yes_	include anything q No_X If so	uestionable in , what?	the light of	E .
				•
Can this activity significant effects, how?	be reduced in scop ct on overall Agen	e/size or disco cy security? Y	ontinued with es No	iout X
Is there any activing important than the	ity not now being phis one? Yes	pursued by OS t	hat you feel what?	is more