Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0 CONFIDENTIAL

PM SD/HSB

MEMORANDUM FOR: Acting Chief, Physical Security Division

FROM : Chief, Headquarters Security Branch

SUBJECT : Review of Milestone Office Functions & Activities

The following are identified as the functions and activities of the Headquarters Security Branch.

I. Provide twenty-four hour security service for Agency personnel and facilities (Function).

A. Activities

· ·

1. Provide Agency personnel with initial advice and guidance on a wide range of problems concerning both security and non-security matters. Serves as a focal point for either solving these problems in the Security Duty Office or as a conduit for getting the employee to the person or office who can assist them.

2. Accepts and evaluates offers of information, inquiries and complaints from Agency and non-Agency personnel, both telephonically and in person. These types of actions are received, evaluated and action taken generally in accordance with established procedures, but in many instances they are handled on an ad hoc basis.

25X1A

25X1A

4. Reports of security violations in all Agency buildings in the metropolitan area (with the exception are received from the FPOs and preliminary inquiries and reporting conducted.

5. Takes responsive action regarding the various intrusion and fire alarms and closed circuit TV systems.

Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83800823R000700020036-0 CONFIDENTIAL • Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0

6. Coordinates and distributes information on security matters after hours, including the relaying cables and dispatches to appropriate officials within the Office of Security.

As 7. Appropriate acts as information conduit to FBI, Secret Service, and local police departments relative to arrests, accidents, deaths of personnel, bomb threats, demonstrations, threats on life of President and other governmental officials, etc.

8. Renders support to various component duty officers, including, but not limited to, Medical, Personnel, Logistics, Security, DDO and Ops. Center/DDI.

9. Maintains comprehensive logs and records of all activities and events. This includes preparation of emergency reports for senior Agency and OS officials.

10. Monitors the OS radio net which also serves senior Agency officials including the DCI, DDCI, and DDA. This activity includes operation and control of the OS paging system.

11. Effects minor after-hours repairs of safekeeping equipment.

12. Serves as the after-hours weather watch for the OS and initiates prescribed and ad hoc emergency actions, including timely notification of appropriate officials responsible for action in such emergencies.

13. Maintains phone and radio contact with the various local fire and police authorities who have concurrent jurisdiction over Agency property and officials.

14. Conducts after-hour security checks of senior offices including the D/OS and DCI suites.

15. Conducts after-hours security, safety and guard post inspections in Agency buildings in the metropolitan area.

16. This Office serves as contact point for all Agency personnel. Extension 6161 is provided as the emergency number for all new EODs and their families, for employees on TDY or leave, for the families of personnel in training at outlying sites and etc.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0

17. Upon direction by designated officials, responsible for carrying out the initial notification relative to the various OS emergency plans. These plans include CIA Emergency Plans, etc.

25X1A

II. Provide a receptionist program to process visitors into Agency facilities (Functions).

A. Activities

1. Process each visitor by completing appropriate documentation, verifying criteria for access, providing appropriate badges, and notifying the office to be visited.

2. Maintain a record of each visitor and appropriate data concening each visit.

3. Provide special arrangements for high-level officials, conference groups

25X1A

4. Provide directions to Agency buildings, information on bus schedules, issue shuttle bus tickets and request cab service.

5. Maintain a daily count on all badges assigned to visitors and follow up on those badges which are unaccounted for.

6. Maintain updated directories including names, room numbers and telephone extensions of areas which are normally visited in Agency buildings.

7. Maintains an alertness for potential security problems including cranks, unattended briefcases, suspicious packages, etc.

III. Provide maintenance on all safekeeping equipment in the Headquarters and outlying buildings (Functions).

25X1A A. Activities

CUNTUENTIAL

Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0

2. Changes combinations on all safekeeping equipment and electrical and mechanical door locks in the Metropolitan area. In addition to the routine combination changes, effects changes on all safecontainers which are compromised through security violations.

3. Installs locks on all newly constructed secure areas.

4. Provides advisory opinions to the Security Violations Officer whenever there is a possibility of a safe cabinet having been defective rather than improperly secured.

5. Provides after-hours service on major safe problems which cannot be handled by the SDO.

6. Provides service to open key locks when the keys have been lost or forgotten.

7. Maintains liaison with Office of Logistics personnel to ensure that necessary and appropriate modifications are made on inoperable safe cabinets prior to their being returned to service.

8. Maintains liaison with safe equipment manufacturer's representatives in the area in order to keep abreast of the latest trouble-shooting and repair techniques on equipment and to ensure a reliable flow of spare parts.

IV. Provide escort service for uncleared personnel, funds and classified materials (Functions).

A. Activities

Ç,

1. Serves as escort for the movement of large sums of money and bulk classified materials between various facilities, both inter and intra Agency. Maintains radio contact with the SDO and sounds the alert on any provocations.

2. Provides escort for the protection of the transcriptions of the various proceedings taken when Agency officials attend closed hearings on Capitol Hill.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0

3. Provides escort of uncleared working contractor and char force personnel working throughout Agency facilities, on a 24-hour, 7-day a week basis.

V. Maintains a system to collect collateral and fines for the Agency's parking and traffic violators (Function).

A. Activities

CONFIC Approved For Release 2000/09/03

1. Receives and processes all traffic and parking tickets issued each day by the Federal Protective Officers. Tickets are maintained according to state and license numbers.

2. Conducts follow-up on all unpaid tickets by having the Commanding Officer of the Headquarters' FPOs contact the local police jurisdictions (via telephone or letter) to establish the identity of the violator, via his license plate number.

3. Contacts the traffic violators, reminds them of their obligation. Requests their cooperation by either paying the collateral or attending court.

4. Collects forfeited collateral fines and prepares necessary forms and receipts.

5. Maintains active files on all pending tickets until each violation has been disposed of. These records are considered to be official court records and the monies collected public fund.

6. Prepares appropriate documentation relative to each incident adjundicated by the U.S. Magistrate. This requires preparing a report to reflect the disposition of each court case as well as the forfeitures and administrative adjustments.

7. Delivers all monies to the court together with written disposition of each case.

8. Provides information and guidance concerning GSA Parking Regulations, collateral fines and court appearances.

AL RDP83B00823R000700020036-0

CONFIDENTIAL Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0

VI. Maintain a badge and credential system for Agency and Agency-associated types of personnel (Functions).

A. Activities

1. Conducts preliminary security indoctrination for all professional and clerical employees, at time of their entrance on duty.

2. Fingerprints all categories of personnel and process their records through Clearance Division to the FBI for appropriate checks.

3. Operates a photographic console to take badges and credential pictures.

4. Operates laminating equipment in preparation of all types of badges. Maintains contact with the manufacturer for repairs and service. This activity includes preparation of passport photographs for VIPs and emergency TDY and PCS cases. This requires special processing.

5. Issues badges and credentials to security approved personnel.

6. Maintains a record of all badge and credential transactions in the CENBAD computer system.

7. Maintains daily liaison with OJCS on all matters concerning CENBAD. This involves troubleshooting problems in the system, training of personnel in the operation of CENBAD. Follow-up on services which CENBAD has been programmed to provide, establishing requirements for special CENBAD reports to assist OS officials in the management planning and programming and etc.

8. Receives badges and credentials of persons who have terminated their Agency affiliation. All records must be changed to reflect receipt of these items.

9. Controls and issues permanent and temporary CIA contact credentials. Work closely with OTS and CCS on all such documentation.

6

CONFIDENTIAL

Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0

10. Maintains liaison with component security and administrative officers throughout the Agency for the purpose of coordinating activities regarding Agency documentation.

VII. Oversee GSA Federal Protective Officers to ensure protection and security for Agency personnel and facilities (Functions).

A. Activities

1. Provides guidance and direction to four Federal Protective Service Zone Commanders, who have protective cognizance over all Agency occupied buildings in the Metropolitan area through verbal and written instructions.

2. Maintains continual liaison with GSA Headquarters officials.

3. Serves as coordinator and overseer on all Federal Protective Service charges, costs, overtime, staffing and manpower matters. Prepares monthly and special reports concerning these matters; coordinates closely with Zone Commanders to ensure that the Agency receives the service for which it has contracted and and is being charged.

4. Levies priorities on FPO Zone Commanders to ensure that special coverage and emergency requirements are handled on a timely basis.

5. Conducts periodic pedestrian traffic flow counts as a means of verifying the need for Protective Officer posts.

6. Maintains direct daily contact with Federal Protective Service Zone Commanders on matters of Federal Protective Officer performance, appearance and demeanor. Ensures that directives and instructions are carried out properly. Routes admonishments and commendations of Federal Protective Officers through the Zone Commander.

25X1A

Chief, Headquarters Security Branch

R.	OUTIN	G AND	RECOR	D SHEET
BJECT: (Optional) 25X1A				
OM:			EXTENSION	NO.
		l	5211	DATE
Policy and Plans Gro	oup		5311	
O: (Officer designation, room number, and wilding)	Dr	DATE	OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
suilding)	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
1. OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB			per	Under Objective B57104 the Office of Security will review and validate all Office of Security
2.				functions, activitiesto ensure effectiveness, economy and efficien The attached documents represent
3.				two milestones under that objective Milestone 1, the components identif their functions and activities.
4.				Milestone 2 was establishment of a format for evaluating these activities. It is requested that
5.				Physical Security Division/HSB review the attachment and: a) Certify that there are no
δ.		Co.	ll delo be clip.	deletions/corrections/additions to be made to the previous listing submitted by your office
7.				b) Review the Identification of Activity statement on each evaluation sheet to ensure it for
8.				equals to the comparable activi from your offices listing. — c) Use the attached format to
9.			7	evaluate each individual activi
10.				
11.				
12.				
13.				
14.				
15.				
-				DP83BOMERAR0007000200200020002000

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security 00020036 ponsible Approved For Release 2000/09/03 r Cleir Drg 3 H 0923 F 0007000200 36 ponsible

and parking tickets issued each day by the Federal Protective Officers.

III, A, (b), 37 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security ACTIVITY CODE: Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A Support of U.S. Magistrate in carrying out his functions re traffic violations on U. S. Federal property. Could find no reference to cover this function other than Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes<u>x</u> No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? U.S. Government. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes ____ No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes_____ No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more. important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFApproved Por Release 2000/09/03 fight RDP83Bb0823R000700020036-Dd for conducting follow-up on 2002007000200

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 38 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security

65

Division/HSB

tickets.

DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Support of U.S. Magistrate in carrying out his functions re traffic violations on U.S. Federal property. Could find no reference to 25X1A cover this function other than No Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? U.S. Government Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No χ If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes_____NoX____ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes ____ No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes ____ No ___ If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, what? Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/03 coffee RDR83 B00823 R000/00020036-0 fines and prepares necessary forms and receipts.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 39 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Support of U.S. Magistrate in carrying out his functions re traffic violations on U.S. Federal property. Could find no reference to cover this function other than 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

U.S. Government.

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes χ No Do (es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes χ No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No χ If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No <u>X</u> If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No χ If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Approved For Release 2000/09/03 Offic RDF83B00823R000700020036 Oponsible for maintaining active files on all pending tickets until each violation has been redeemed (These records are considered to be official court records and the monies collected public fund.) ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 40 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB <., EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Support of U.S. Magistrate in carrying out his functions re traffic violations on U.S. Federal property. Could find no reference to cover this function other than Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? 25X1A Yes<u>x</u>No Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? U.S. Government. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes χ No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes χ No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No x If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No χ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes ____ No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY. Approved For Release 2000/09/09 OCHA RDP83B00823B000700020036-0 for preparing appropriate documentation relative to each incident adjudicated by the U. S. Magistrate.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 41 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB *...* EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Support of U.S. Magistrate in carrying out his functions re traffic violations on U.S. Federal property. Could find no reference to cover this function other than Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? 25X1A · Yes X Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? No U.S. Government. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes χ Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes χ Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No No X Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No__X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No χ If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No <u>X</u> If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes_____No__X___ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/03 decity RDP838200823R0007000200360 grt

together with written disposition of each case

 ACTIVITY CODE:
 III, A, (b), 42
 COMPONENT:
 OS/PTOS/Physical Security

 Division/HSB
 Division/HSB

 <u>DATE</u>:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

U.S. Government.

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No χ If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No χ If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No χ If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/19/03 r G/AtRDP83E90823R000700020036r0e concerning GSA Parking Regulations, collateral fines and court appearances.

.•,

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 43 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security
<u>EVALUATION</u> : <u>DATE</u> :
<pre>What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Support of U.S. Magistrate in carrying out his functions re traffic violations on U.S. Federal property. Could find no reference to cover this function other than Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No 25X1A Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?</pre>
U.S. Government.
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, where and why?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No χ If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No χ If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No χ If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/03cpCtA:RDPB3B00823R909700929936rD formation on security matters after hours, including relaying cables and dispatches to appropriate officials within the Office of Security.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 7 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB • EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes χ No_____ Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agency as whole. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes χ No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes χ No -Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No x If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No XIf so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No χ If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/03 rsClArRDF83B90823R000700929036-0

duty officers, including, but not limited to, Medical, Personnel, Logistics, Security, DDO and OPS. Center/DDI.

COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security III, A, (b), 9 ACTIVITY CODE: Division/HSB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes <u>x</u> No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agency as whole. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes χ No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes Nov If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No x If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/03esCIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0 personnel. Extension 6161 is provided
as the emergency number for all new EODs and their families, for employees on TDY or leave, for the families of personnel in training at outlying sites and etc.
ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 17 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB
<u>EVALUATION</u> : <u>DATE</u> :
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes χ No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
Agency as whole
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes χ No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes χ No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, where and why?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No_X_ If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No χ If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No_X If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTI Approved For Release 2000/09/01 fickA-RDF83B00823R000700020036 for for providing twenty-four hour security service for Agency personnel and facilities.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 1 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security

Division/HSB

DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Sec. Act of 1947, as amended, Sect. 102(3); Federal Property & Administration Services Act of 1949, as amended; 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No____ Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Agency as a whole. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes X No X If so, how? Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes XDo you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes____ No_X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what? Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY. Approved For Release 2000/09/03 Of AcRDP83E90823R000700020036-0 for maintaining an alertness for potential security problems including cranks, unattended briefeesee. Sucrisions machanese of a briefcases, suspicious packages, etc. . . .

ACTIVITY CODE:	III, A, (b), 24	COMPONEN	τ. <u>Ος/</u> ΡΤΟς/ΡЪ	vsical Security
EVALUATION:		COULD ON LIV	Division/F	ISB
			DATE	:
				· · ·
What is (are) ou	ar reference(s) f al Sec. Act of 194	for this activ	vitv? Data(a)	10
Property an	nd Administration	Services Act	of 1949, as a): Federal mended;
Is (are) the ref Who is (are) the	erenco(c) atil	• •	•	
Agency as a	whole.	· ð		
Do vou feel the	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	é		
Do you feel the a Do(es) the benef: Can the activity If so, how?	iciary(ies) feel be done more eff	l necessary? it is still : ficiently or	Yes <u>x</u> No necessary? Y less costly?	es <u>x</u> No YesNo_X
			•	
Do you feel that to another OS c If so, where an	responsibility f component or to a d why?	for the activ nother Office	ity should be ? Yes ?	transferred to X
				_
Can another compo More properly?	nent do the acti Yes No_X_	vity more eff If so, whic	iciently? Le h component a	ess costly? nd why?
				· · ·
Does the activity Watergate? Yes	include anything NoX_If	g questionabl so, what?	e in the ligh	t of
Can this activity significant effe	be reduced in sc ect on overall Ag	cope/size or d	liscontinued	without
If so, how?	a contract rig	,ency security	Yes	Νο <u>χ</u>
Is there any activ important than t	ity not now bein his one? Yes	g pursued by NoXIf	OS that you 1 so, what?	feel is more
			_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
•				

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component that Approved For Release 2000/09/03tach4-RDP33B00823R0007000290360

assigned to visitors and initiates action on unaccounted for badges.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 22 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security <u>EVALUATION:</u> What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Sec. Act of 1947, as amended, Sect. 102(3); Federal 25X1A Property & Administration Services Act of 1949, as amended; Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No____

Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity?

Agency as a whole.

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes <u>x</u> No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes <u>x</u> No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes <u>No x</u> If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No χ If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY. Approved For Release 2000/09/03e: CfA-RBP83B00823R000700020036-0hat provides Agency personnel with directions to Agency buildings, issues shuttle bus tickets, requests cab service and provides information on bus schedules.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A	, (b), 21	COMPONENT	OS / PTOS / Phys	sical Security
EVALUATION:		CONTONENT.	Division/HS DATE:	B B
			\underline{DATE} .	
What is (are) our refer National Sec. Federal Property &	itamini strat	ion services	Act of 1949,	; as amended;
Is (are) the reference(Who is (are) the benefi	'e) c+=11 ==	C c		
Agency as a whole.	· .		•	•
Do you feel the activit Do(es) the beneficiary(Can the activity be done If so, how?	y is still n ies) feel it e more effic	ecessary?) is still ne iently or le	Yes <u>x</u> No ecessary?Ye ess costly?	s <u>ý</u> No YesNo <u>X</u>
	· ·		· · ·	
Do you feel that respons to another OS componen If so, where and why?	sibility for it or to ano	the activit ther Office?	y should be YesN	transferred
				· .
Can another component do More properly? Yes	the activit NoX	ty more effi If so, which	ciently? Les component an	s costly? d why?
Does the activity includ Watergate? YesN	e anything c o <u>X</u> If sc	uestionable , what?	in the light	of
			•	
Can this activity be redusing significant effect on of If so, how?	uced in scop overall Agen	e/size or di cy security?	scontinued w YesN	ithout o_ <u>x</u>
Is there any activity not important than this one	now being ? Yes	pursued by O No <u>X</u> If s	S that you fe o, what?	eel is more
		· · · ·		
			· · ·	· · · ·

The Office of Security component that IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Approved For Release 2000/09/03idGIA-BDP83B00823B000700020036-0hlevel officials, conference groups and foreign nationals visiting Agency facilities. COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security III, A, (b), ₂₀ ACTIVITY CODE: Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Sec. Act of 1947, as amended, Sect. 102(3); 25X1A Federal Property & Administration Services Act of 1949, as amended; Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes <u>x</u> No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agency as a whole. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes χ No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes χ No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No x If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No χ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No χ If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/03prCtARDP83B00823R0007000290360 on Agency facility.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 19 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Sec. Act of 1947, as amended, Sect. 102(3); 25X1A Federal Property & Administration Services Act of 1949, as amended; Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agency as a whole. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes χ No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes $\dot{\chi}$ No -Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes Nox If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No χ If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

TDENTIFICATION C			_			-
IDENTIFICATION O Approved Fo	or Release 2000/	09/03: CfA-F	CP83B0082 Sction by d	BR00070000 esignated	20036-D at official	,
		is respon	isible for c	carrying ou	ut the	و د
	25X1A	various C	notificatior S emergency	n relative v nlans	to the	
	25X1A	include C	CIA Emergence	cv Plans,		
ACTIVITY CODE:	III, A, (b),	<u>18</u> COM	MPONENT: OS	S/PTOS/Phys	c. sical Sec	curity
EVALUATION:			U	Division/HS	5B	
				<u>DATE</u> :	•	• • • • • • • •
What is (are) our	r reference(s) for this	~ activity?	n nata (a)	-	
National Sec	c. Act of 1947 perty & Admini	7. as amend	ded Sect '	102(3)		ded;
Is (are) the refe Who is (are) the	erence(s) sti	11 in fore		v		•••••
Agency as a	•	1.00 y 1.1.	15 accaver,	y :	•	
Do you feel the a Do(es) the benefi Can the activity If so, how?	ILIAIVIIESI TA	AAI IT IC (c+-11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	es <u>x</u> Yes	No No_X
	н. Н				· · ·	
Do you feel that to another OS c If so, where an	omponent of t	ly for the to another	activity s Office?)	should be YesN	transfer lo <u>X</u>	'red
				i de la companya de la compa		• . •
Can another compor More properly?	nent do the a YesNo_	ctivity mc X If sc)re efficie), which cc	ently? Le Component a	ss costl nd why?	у?
Does the activity Watergate? Yes_	include anyt No_X	hing quest If so, wh	ionable in at?	the ligh	tof	•
	· ·				•	
Can this activity significant effe If so, how?	be reduced in ect on overal	n scope/si 1 Agency s	ze or disc ecurity?	ontinued v Yes ?	without No_X	· .
Is there any activ important than t	vity not now l this one? Ye:	being purs sNo	ued by OS X If so,	that you f what?	Teel is a	more

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY OF A CTIVITY OF A problems concerning both security and non security matters. . م

-

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), a composition of the sector	
<u>COMPONENT:</u> OS/PTOS/Physical Secur	it
LVALUATION:	
<u>DATE</u> :	
	۰.
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?	
25X1A National Sec. Act of 1947, as amended, Sect. 102(3); Federal Property & Administration Services Act of 1949, as amended; Is (are) the reference(s) still in fem. 2	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes χ No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this patimity No	+ -
y (100) of this activity?	
Agency as a whole.	
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still	
Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or heresary? Yes X No	
	X
Do you feel that may	
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? You	Л
to another OS component or to another Office? YesNo_X	Ĺ
Can another component i i	
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No_X_ If so, which component and why?	
	•
Does the activity i	
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No x If so what?	
Watergate? Yes No χ If so, what?	
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No_X If so, how?	
Is there any activity and	
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, what?	
	· • `

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/03ceCtA:RDP88B00823R000700020030f0 information, inquiries and complaints from

Agency and non-Agency personnel, both telephonically and in person.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 3 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
25X1A National Sec. Act of 1947, as amended, Sect. 102(3); Federal Property & Administration Services Act of 1949, as amended;

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes χ No_____ Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

Agency as a whole

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes____ No_X_ If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFIAppioved For Release 200009/03 CLA RDP83B00823R00070802009605 of maintains

all activities and events concerning the Headquarters Building and sub-building complexes.

III, A, (b), 10 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security ACTIVITY CODE: DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Sec. Act of 1947, as amended, Sect. 102(3); Federal Property & Administration Services Act of 1949, as amended; 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No_____ Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agency as a whole Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do you teel the activity is still necessary: res_____NO____X No____NO____X No____NO____NO____X No____NO____X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes____ No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No x If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes____ No_X_ If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what? Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Approved For Release 2000/09/03 CtA-RDF83E00823R000700020036-0 ponsible for monitoring the Office of Security radio net which also serves senior Agency officials including the DCI, DDCI, and DDA. (This activity includes operation and control of the Office of Security paging system.) ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 11 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Sec. Act of 1947, as amended, Sect. 102(3); Federal 25X1A Property & Administration Services Act of 1949, as amended; Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agency as a whole No -Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes____ No x If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what? Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0

The Office of Security component responsible IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Approved For Release 2000/09/03e:fctA RDP83B00823R000700020036-0irs of safekeeping equipment. COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security III, A, (b), ₁₂ ACTIVITY CODE: Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Sec. Act of 1947, as amended, Sect. 102(3); Federal X1A Property & Administration Services Act of 1949, as amended: 25X1A Yes X Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? No ' Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agency as a whole. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No -Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes ____ No χ ___ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF Approved For		Dha Officilen P831	ዾኯኯዸኇጜቘኯኯኇዿ	ት ጓቡ_በ _{3 ተ}
~~~·~·~·			ATCOL HOULD WEALNE	
	İ	for the Office	of Security and i	initiates
	1	including timel	ad hoc emergency ly notification of	fannronriate
	0	officials respo	onsible for action	in such
ACTIVITY CODE:	TTT A (b)	emergencies	T: OS/PTOS/Physic	
EVALUATION:	•		Division/HSB	-ar, 00000100,
			DATE:	
		•		
What is (are) our National Sec 25X1A Property & A	reference(s) . Act of 1947, .dministration	for this activ as amended, Se Services Act o	vity? Date(s)? ect. 102(3); Feder f 1949, as amended	ral d;
Is (are) the refea Who is (are) the b	rence(s) still beneficiary(ie	in force? s) of this act	Yes <u>X</u> No tivity?	-
Agency as a w	whole	2 <b>4</b>		
Do you feel the ac Do(es) the benefic Can the activity b If so, how?				<u>X No</u> sNo_X
Do you féel that r to another OS co If so, where and		for the activ another Office	ity should be tra e? YesNo	ansferred X
			÷	
Can another compone More properly?		<u> </u>	cn component and	why?
Does the activity j Watergate? Yes	include anythi No_XI	ng questionabl f so, what?	te in the light o	)f
Can this activity b significant effec If so, how?	e reduced in : t on overall .	scope/size or Agency securit	discontinued wit y? Yes No	
Is there any activi important than th	ty not now be: is one? Yes_	ing pursued by NoX_ If	OS that you fee so, what?	l is more

IDENTIFICATION OF Release 2000/09/030 fciA RDP83B00823R660760620036-0 act for maintaining phone and radio contact with the various local fire and police authorities who have concurrent jurisdiction over Agency property and officials. III, A, (b), 14 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security ACTIVITY CODE: Division/HSB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Sec. Act of 1947, as amended Sect. 102(3); Federal X1A Property & Administration Services Act of 1949, as amended; 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agency as a whole. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes <u>X</u> No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes_ No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes ____ No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes____ No_X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for Approved For Release 2000/09/92 c GIA-BDP83B90823B007499,20036a0e protective cognizance over all Agency occupied buildings in the Metropolitan area. III, A, (b), 56 ACTIVITY CODE: COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Sec. Act of 1947, as amended, Sect. 102(3), Federal 25X1A Property & Administration Services Act of 1949, as amended; Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agency as a whole Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes Nox If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Secutity component responsible for maintaining continual liaison with GSA Approved For Release 2000/09/09 rGHA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0 ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), ⁵⁷ COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Sec. Act of 1947, as amended, Sect. .02(3), Federal 25X1A Property & Administration Services Act of 1949, as amended; Yes X Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? No ' Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Agency as a whole Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes  $\chi$  No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes  $\chi$ No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes Nov If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS' component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No _X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

The Office of Security component responsible IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: for serving as coordinator and overseer on all Approved For Release 2000/09/03 :IG Ae RD P8 3B00823R000700020036 Oertime, staffing and manpower matters. III, A, (b), 58 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security ACTIVITY CODE: Division/HSB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Sec. Act of 1947, as amended, Sect. 102(3), Federal 25X1A Property & Administration Services Act of 1949, as amended; Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No ' Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agency as a whole Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No x If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS" component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes_____ No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for conducting periodic pedestrian traffic flow counts Approved For Release 2000/09/03 e 6 A RDP83 B008 23 R0007 009 2003 c 9 ive

Officer posts.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 59 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security **Division/HSB** EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Sec. Act of 1947, as amended, Sect. 102(3), Federal 25X1A Property & Administration Services Act of 1949, as amended; Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agency as a whole Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS" component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No  $\frac{X}{X}$  If so, what? Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0
## IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/02akGIAg RDCF3B90823R000709020036-Dg the various intrusion and fire alarms and closed

circuit TV systems.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 6 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A National Sec. Act of 1947, as amended, Sect. 102(3), Federal Property & Administration Services Act of 1949, as amended; Yes X No Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Agency as a whole Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No -Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No x If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No  $\chi$ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No  $\chi$  If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office Destroy and the Approved For Release 2000/09/03 maintaining updated directories including

names, room numbers and telephone extensions of areas which are normally visited in Agency buildings.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 23 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Sec. Act of 1947, as amended, Sect. 102(3), Federal 25X1A Property & Administration Services Act of 1949, as amended; Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agency as a whole Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes XNo Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No -No If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No XIf so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes ____ No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes ____ No ___ If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes_____No__X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what? Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0

IDENTIFAPProved For Release 2000/09/03 nd AtRop 83 B09823 R000700020036 0 ret Service and local police departments as appropriate concerning arrests, demonstrations threats on life of President, ect. ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 8 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A No Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes XWho is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes  $\chi$  No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes  $\chi$ No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes_____ No_X___ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes ____ No  $\chi$  If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes____ No_X_ If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No  $\chi$  If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY Approved For Release 2000/0 25X1A ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 4 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes _X Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? No Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes <u>x</u> Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No No x Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes <u>x</u> and No <u>x</u> If so, where and why? This activity more or less belongs to Central Cover but they do not have the means or capability to perform the duty so it probably should remain as is. Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes ___ No _X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes_____ No X Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY Approved For Release 2000/	/09/03 6 CHA: R PP83 EQ0823 R 000700020036 0 ponsible
	Large Sums of money and bulk classified
	inter and intra Agency. (Maintains radio
	any provocations.)
ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b),	
EVALUATION:	Division/HSB
	<u>DATE</u> :
	25X1A
What is (are) our reference(s	s) for this activity? Date(s)?
National Sec. Act of 194	7, as amended, Sect. 102(3);
Is (are) the reference(s) sti Who is (are) the beneficiary(	ill in force? Yes <u>X</u> No (ies) of this activity?
Agency as a whole.	*
Do you feel the activity is s Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) f Can the activity be done more If so, how?	
11 SO, NOW!	A
Do you feel that responsibilit to another OS component or the If so, where and why?	ty for the activity should be transferred to another Office? Yes <u>No X</u>
Can another component do the a More properly? Yes No_	activity more efficiently? Less costly? X If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include onet	
Watergate? Yes No X	thing questionable in the light of If so, what?
· ·	
Can this activity be reduced i significant effect on overal If so, how?	n scope/size or discontinued without 1 Agency security? YesNo_X
Is there any activity not now important than this one? Ye	being pursued by OS that you feel is more sNo_X_ If so, what?
Approved For Release 2000/	/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0

•

÷

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/19/03r Cold RDP 838098238000700920036 Don of the transcriptions of the various proceedings taken when Agency officials attend closed hearings on Capitol Hill. ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 35 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Security Act of 1947, as amended, Sect. 102(3); 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes  $\chi$  No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agency as a whole. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No y If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No  $\chi$  If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes ___ No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No _X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY. Approved For Release 2000/09/03: CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0 sponsible for conducting after-hour security checks of senior offices including the D/OS and DCI suites. ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 15 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes  $\chi$ Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? No Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes XDo(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No -If so, how? No X Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? YesX and NoX If so, where and why? This activity is a drain on the time of the SDO but there is no other component to which the responsibility can be transferred. Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes  $\chi$  No If so, which component and why? The office reponsible could do this function more efficiently but because of the high levels involved believe their Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes____ No___ If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes____ Noχ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes ___ No X __ If so, what?

&Approved For Release 2000 a	he Office of Security component that is <b>9/93</b> c <b>CIA-RDR 83B99823R000700929936</b> r9 FPO nd conducts preliminary inquiries and eports on the violation.
•	
ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 5	COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB
EVALUATION:	<u>DATE</u> :
What is (are) our reference(s)	for this activity? Date(s)?
	25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) stil Who is (are) the beneficiary(i	
Agency as a whole	i.
Do you feel the activity is st Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) fee Can the activity be done more of If so, how?	ill necessary? Yes X No el it is still necessary? Yes X No efficiently or less costly? Yes NoX
Do you feel that responsibility to another OS component or to If so, where and why?	y for the activity should be transferred o another Office? Yes No_X
Can another component do the ac More properly? Yes No_y	ctivity more efficiently? Less costly?
Does the activity include anyth Watergate? Yes No_ <u>x</u>	ning questionable in the light of If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in significant effect on overall If so, how?	n scope/size or discontinued without l Agency security? YesNo <u>x</u> _
Is there any activity not now l important than this one? Yes	being pursued by OS that you feel is more $NOX$ If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Approved For Release 2000/09/03 ClarRDP8 88008238000700020036 gponsible for conducting after hours security, safety
for conducting after-hours security, safety and guard post inspections in Agency buildings in the metropolitan area.
ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 16 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security
EVALUATION: DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes <u>x</u> No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
Agency as a whole
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes NoX If so, where and why?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No $\chi$ If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No $\chi$ If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, what?
Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0

\$	
IDENTIFAPProved For Release 20	000/09/03 destruction of parts on safekeeping
	and renjacement of Dails on Satercepting
25X1A	equipment in Agency facilities in the
	Metropolitan area, including overt and
ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b)	), 25 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB
	DATE:
EVALUATION:	
What is (are) our reference	e(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
	25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) who is (are) the beneficia	still in force? Yes <u>No</u> ry(ies) of this activity?
	*
Agency as a whole	i i
Do you feel the activity is	s still necessary? Yes X No
$D_{1}$	$1 + \alpha \alpha \beta \gamma
Can the activity be done m	ore efficiently or less costly? YesNoX
If so, how?	
Do you feel that responsib	ility for the activity should be transferred
to another OS component	or to another Office? Yes No $X$
If so, where and why?	
Can another component do t More properly? Yes	he activity more efficiently? Less costly? No X If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include	anything questionable in the light of
Watergate? Yes No	$\underline{\chi}$ 11 SO, what:
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Can this activity be reduc	ed in scope/size or discontinued without
significant effect on ov	verall Agency security? Yes <u>No X</u>
If so, how?	
To those any activity not	now being pursued by OS that you feel is more
important than this one?	? Yes No X If so, what?
Turbor care or an out of the	
· ·	

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: equipment should be surplused. ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 26 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes  $\chi$ Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? No Agency as a whole. Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No  $\chi$  If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No  $\chi$  If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Approved For Release 2000/09/03 h Clear By Company of a sponsible component as ponsible approved For Release 2000/09/03 h Clear By Company of a sponsible company of a sponsible company of a sponsible company of a sponsible sponsible company of a sponsible company of a sponsible sponsible company of a sponsible company of a sponsible sponsible company of a sponsible sponsibl equipment and electrical and mechanical door locks in the Metropolitan area. ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 27 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes  $\chi$  No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agency as a whole Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No  $\chi$  If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes____ No_X__ If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No x If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes ___ No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/03 n CIALRDP83B00823R000700020036 of structed secure areas.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 28 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB

DATE:

EVALUATION:

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

25X1A

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes  $\chi$  No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

The Agency as a whole

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes <u>X</u> No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes <u>X</u> No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes <u>No x</u> If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Approved For Release 2000/09/03 f CIAeRDP 83B00823R00070002003600 ides advisory opinions to the Security Violations Officer whenever there is a possibility of a safe cabinet having been defective rather than improperly secured. III, A, (b), 29 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security ACTIVITY CODE: Division/HSB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes  $\chi$ No ' Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agency as a whole Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No -Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No  $\chi$  If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/03 Clark Pproviding 848008738000/0002003610 major safe problems which cannot be handled by the SDO. ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 30 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agency as a whole Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No -Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes NoX If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/03r:0614-RQP83B00823R000760029036-0ks

when the keys have been lost or forgotten.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 31 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB DATE: EVALUATION: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes  $\chi$  No_____ Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Agnecy as a whole Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes XNo -Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No XIf so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes____ No_X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what? Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for maintaining liaison with Office of Logistics Approved For Release 2000,09,03 me CIA-RDR83B99823R999700020036 Oriate

modifications are made on inoperable safe cabinets prior to their being returned to service.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 32 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security <u>EVALUATION:</u>
<u>DATE:</u>

What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?

25X1A

Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes <u>x</u> No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?

Agency as a whole

Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how?

Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS' component or to another Office? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, where and why?

Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, which component and why?

Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No  $\chi$  If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, how?

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No  $\chi$  If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY. Approved For Release 2000/09/03 OCIA RDP83B00823R000700020036-0 sponsible for maintaining liaison with safe equipment
manufacturer's representatives in the area
in order to keep abreast of the latest trouble-shooting and repair techniques on equipment and to ensure a reliable flow of
ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 33 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security
<u>EVALUATION</u> : <u>DATE</u> :
71
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes <u>x</u> No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
Agency as a whole
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No If so, where and why?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes NoX_ If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No $\chi$ If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for Approved For Release 2000/09/0311 GA BLP 83B 04823 Reference of the provided at the provided a time of their entrance on duty. III, A, (b), 44 ACTIVITY CODE: COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Security Act of 1947, as amended, Sect 102(3); 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes ^X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes . X. No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No  $\chi$ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes ____ No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No x If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for fingerprintsing all categories of personnel Approved For Release 2000/09/03:GIA:RDP83B00828R000700020036-0 Division to the FBI for appropriate checks. III, A, (b), 45 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security ACTIVITY CODE: Division/HSB DATE: EVALUATION: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Security Act of 1947, as amended, Sect. 102(3); 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another  $OS^*$  component or to another Office? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes ____ No___ If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No  $_X$  If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Sec	curity component responsible for ographic console to take badges
Approved For Release 2000/09/03 de GtAaRDI	P83B00823R000700020036-0
ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 46 COMPON	ENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB
EVALUATION:	DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for this ac National Security Act of 1947, as amended, S 25X1A	tivity? Date(s)? Sect 102(3); 25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this	Yes X No activity?
Do you feel the activity is still necessar	y? Yes v No v
Do you feel the activity is still necessar Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is sti Can the activity be done more efficiently If so, how?	11 necessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for the ac to another OS component or to another Of If so, where and why?	tivity should be transferred fice? YesNo_X
Can another component do the activity more More properly? Yes <u>No X</u> If so,	efficiently? Less costly? which component and why?
	noble in the light of
Does the activity include anything question Watergate? Yes No_X_ If so, what	?
	line and in and without
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size significant effect on overall Agency sec If so, how?	or discontinued without urity? Yes No_X
Is there any activity not now being pursue important than this one? Yes No X	d by OS that you feel is more _ If so, what?
Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDI	P83B00823R000700020036-0

· "-

٠

<u>_</u>.` . IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:

The Office of Security component responsible for Approved For Release 2000/09/03 aC ARDP83B00823R000700020036-0 of all types of badges.

III, A, (b), 47 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security ACTIVITY CODE: Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Security Act of 1947, as amended, Sect 102(3); 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes Х No ' Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes XNo Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No y If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS" component or to another Office? Yes No x If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No x If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No  $\chi$  If so, what? Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY:
The Office of Security component responsible for Approved For Release 2000/09/03 ⁱⁿ CIA RDP83B00823R000700020036 ⁱ Cemergency TDY and PCS cases.
ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 48 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security
<u>EVALUATION</u> : <u>DATE</u> : 25X1A
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Security Act of 1947, as amended, Sect 102(3); 25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes $\chi$ No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No $\chi$ If so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, where and why?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?
Con this activity la la la
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes <u>No x</u> If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No $X$ If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible Approved For Release 2000/09/03 CTA-RDF 33B00823R000700020036-0^{ty} approved personnel.

III, A, (b), 49 ACTIVITY CODE: COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Security Act of 1947, as amended, Sect 102(3) Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No ' Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes XNo Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No v____ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No x If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for maintaining a record of all badge and credential Approved For Release 2000/09/03 ct CLA-RDP8.3B00823R090.4002.003.00

III, A, (b), 50 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security ACTIVITY CODE: Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A National Security Act of 1947, as amended, Sect 102(3) 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes <u>No x</u> If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes Noy If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for Approved For Release 2000/09/09 an ClarRDB 83B00823R000700020036-0 III, A, (b), 51 ACTIVITY CODE: COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(c)? National Security Act of 1947, as amended, Sect 102(3); 25X1A 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No ' Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS" component or to another Office? Yes No___ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No  $\chi$  If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes_____ Х No If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No  $\frac{X}{X}$  If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for establishing requirements for special CENBAD reports Approved For Release 2000/09/03:1 GIA:RDP83B00823R009700920036 management plannings and programming. ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 52 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A National Security Act of 1947, as amended, Sect 102(3); 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No 1 Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes NoX If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No  $\chi$  If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No x If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes ___ No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for retrieving badges and credentials of persons who Approved For Release 2000/09/03 er@1A+ ROP38B00823R000700020038-0 changing records to reflect receipt of these items. III, A, (b), 53 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security ACTIVITY CODE: Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Security Act of 1947, as amended, Sect 102 (3); (2) (d) 25X1A Yes X Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? No ' Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes  $\chi$  No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes  $\dot{\chi}$ No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes Νο χ If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No X If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No X If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No v If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No x If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for controling and issues permanent and temporary Approved For Release 2000/09/03 nt A-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0

ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 54 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? National Security Act of 1947, as amended, Sect 102(3); 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No 1 Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes Nox If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No  $\chi$  If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes_____ No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what?

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: The Office of Security component responsible for
maintaining liaison with component security and Approved For Release 2000/09/03 stC1AiRDP33B09823R000700020936.Oy for the purpose of coordinating activities regarding
Agency documentation.
ACTIVITY CODE: III, A, (b), 55 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security
EVALUATION: DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A
National Security Act of 1947, as amended, Sect 102(3);
25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
and the construction (103) of this activity:
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X- If so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes <u>NO X</u> If so, where and why?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No $\chi$ If so, which component and why?
n en
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes <u>No X</u> If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No $\chi$ If so, what?

Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0

.....

IDENTIFICATION OF ACTIVITY: Approved For Release 2000/09/03 rovid Rhg 83800823 R000700020036 ponsible contractor and char force personnel working throughout Agency facilities, on a 24-hour, 7-day a week basis. III, A, (b), 36 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Physical Security ACTIVITY CODE: Division/HSB EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A National Security Act of 1947, as amended, Sect 102(3); 25X1A Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes X No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity? Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes X No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes X No -Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No X If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes_____No____ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No  $\chi$  If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No X If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No X If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No X If so, what? Approved For Release 2000/09/03 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020036-0