## on scientific information?

HE RUSSIANS are get- scientists don't agree to this, he mation is printed? Would it want United States and putting it to their work. use in their military buildup, Apart from his blatant atsays Adm. Bobby R. Inman. The deputy director of the CIA thinks a key means of stopping this is for scientists to let U.S. intelligence agents examine their papers before they're published. They should do this voluntarily - or else

That was the message Adm. Inman delivered recently to a panel-session at the annual meeting of the American Association for the Advancement of Science: He said congressional investigations now in progress will demonstrate that as the Soviets have expanded their military, "the bulk of new technology which they have em-Maybe the United States would ployed has been acquired from want to choke off sales of such

an intelligence review of scientifice country effectively police tists' work to see if any of it all of the hundreds of publica- public understands this situation

ting a lot of technical in- predicts a "tidal wave" of public formation from the outrage and of laws restricting

> tempt to throw fear into the scientific community, the admiral's approach is wrong on a couple of counts. For one thing, it implies that scientists are somehow, responsible for what he calls a "hemorrhage of the country's technology." They're

The Soviets get technology from the West mainly by purchase of our goods and by reading our technical publications. In most instances there's no way to predict or control use. A computer and its programs can be employed in many ways, in both military and civilian sectors. the United States." equipment - although that Part of his remedy would be seems doubtful - but could a should be stamped secret. If tions in which technical infor- better than he thinks.

to?

Another problem is that keeping scientific knowledge secret for very long is virtually impossible. No country has a monopoly on brains or resources. It frequently happens that scientists in different countries, who don't even know of each other's existence, arrive at similar findings near the same

- It can make sense not to broadcast information on especially sensitive matters with a strictly military application, like the H-bomb formula; but even data in so narrow an area as this cannot be indefinitely bottled up. The kind of lid Adm. Inman wants to clamp on scientific information could never spread wide enough or hold tight enough to be effective. It is undignified and inappropriate for him to threaten scientists with a backlash in public opinion. The