Approved For Release 2001/08/22 : CIA-RDP84-00 199 R00020017000 1 Efocutive Registry

JUL 1985

The Honorable Desn Rust Secretary of State Department of State Washington, D. C.

Dear Dean:

I have your memorandum of June 23, requesting comments on revised articles for a U.S. Non-Proliferation Treaty.

It score to me that most of the issues involved in the proposed changes are matters of policy, and of primary concern to the Department of State, the Department of Defense, and the Atomic Emergy Commission. I understand, however, that the new language is not intended to bar the MLM, the AMT, or similar alliance arrangements. It so, the Soviets are not likely to find it more acceptable than the language it replaces.

It cannot be excluded, however, as you suggested at the recent recting of the Principals, that the Soviets are now willing to gamble that the MLF is dead and to sign a non-proliferation treaty which permits the MLF. If such is the case, the new language could provide the Soviets with a face saving means of adhering to a non-proliferation treaty satisfactory to us.

CONCUR:

25X1A

Sincerely.

/s/ Richard Helms Richard Helms

Director

hus depoty original interiornee

Distribution: Orig & 1 - fowward 2 - DCI

- D/OSI

1 - O/NE

25X1A

25X1A 25X1A

pproved for Release 2001/08/22 : CIA-RDP8/-6449

- DDS&T (Mr.

x 6710 (29 Jun 66

lde-2827/1

29 June 1966

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

THROUGH : Deputy Director for Intelligence &

SUBJECT: Revised Articles for U.S. Non-Proliferation Treaty

1. Paragraph 8 contains a recommendation for action.

- 2. At the meeting of the Committee of Principals on 17 June, Secretary Rusk noted that the Deputy Chief of the Soviet delegation at Geneva, Vorontsov, had said that the crux of the problem of non-proliferation was "physical access" to nuclear weapons by West Germany, and had indicated that a U.S. amendment to cover physical access would be an important move. The Secretary said that he would be circulating new treaty language embodying this phrase. A draft of the new language is attached. Also attached is a copy of the present U.S. draft treaty, and a cable from Geneva reporting Vorontsov's remarks.
- 3. The revised articles do not necessarily constitute a complete treaty by themselves; they directly replace only Articles I, II, and VI of the present draft. The remaining articles could be retained, but the Secretary does not make clear whether and to what extent this would be done. Nor is his staff clear on this point.
- 4. The U.S. will take the position that barring "physical access" to nuclear weapons does not rule out the MLF, the ANF, and similar alliance arrangements because such arrangements do not confer physical access. Thus, the new language represents no concession on this issue. It remains doubtful, therefore, that the Soviets will buy it, because they apparently remain adamant in opposing what they consider to be a German finger on the nuclear trigger.

Approved For Release 2001/08/22 : CIA-RDP84-00499R000200170001-6

- 5. If this is so, the new language will not break the log jam at Geneva. It might, however, demonstrate to the world a U.S. desire to reach meaningful disarmament agreements and a U.S. willingness to go as far as possible in meeting Soviet wishes, and thus place the onus for lack of progress on the USSR. On the negative side, the new language might make it more difficult for the U.S. to defend the ELF than the language it replaces.
- 6. It is just possible that the Soviets are now ready to do business on our terms, but need some apparent concession to save face. As the Secretary put it at the meeting of the Principals, the Soviets might be willing to gamble that the NLF is dead, whether or not we acknowledge that our non-proliferation treaty bars it.
- 7. The issues involved here are largely those of policy and the primary concern of State, Defense, and the AEC. The attached reply to Secretary Rusk has been drafted accordingly.
- S. It is recommended that you sign the attached letter to the Secretary.

Attachments:

- 1. Letter for signature
- 2. Revised articles of treaty
- 3. Draft treaty (22 Mar 66 revision)
- 4. State Incoming cable 2502 from Geneva

Distribution:

Orig & 1 - DCI w/all atts

- 1 Exec Registry w/o atts
- 1 DD/I w/o atts
- 1 DDS&T w/o atts
- 1 D/OSI w/o atts

w/o atts

25X1A

mb (29 Jun 66) x 6710

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

	DATE	1
TRANSMIT	TAL SLIP 10/. /68	-
TO:		1
		-
ROOM NO. 7 E 62	BUILDING	_
REMARKS:		
Per may be	your request. This copy retained or destroyed.	
FROM:	Eunice/ER	
ROOM NO.	BUILDING EXTENSION	
FORM NO .241	REPLACES FORM 36-8 WHICH MAY BE USED.	(47)

QECDET	*****	 • (

		Approved For F	Release 2001/08/22NsOIA-RDP84-004	199R0009770170091-6	DOCUMENT
AREA OR	COUNTRY(S)	DCI	Treaty Agreement		DATE: 29 Jun 66
		State	Agreement		1 Jul 66
					CLASS.S
	ATION OF BOOLING	NT (author, form	, addressee, title & length)		NO.:
l.	Letter to dated 1 J	The Honora	able Dean Rusk from Richal		LOCATION:
25X1A2•	Memo for	DCI from	Proliferation Treaty.	66, sub: Revise	ed <u>HS/HC-875</u>

ABSTRACT

FORM 2523 USE PREVIOUS

Letter and memo discuss revised articles for US Non-Proliferation Treaty.

Approved For Release 2001/08/22 : CIA-RDP84-00499R000200170001-6

HISTORICAL STAFF SOURCE INDEX

SECRET

E2, DECLASSIFICATION OF SOURCE (13-15) CL. BY: 007622

CASE FILE (DESCRIPTION)			INSTRUCTIONS			
HS/HC- 875 Approved For Release 2001/08/22 : CIA-RDP84			Place car pright in place of charged out folder			
CHARGE	pproved For Release	DATE	IA-RDP84-	CHARGE TO	ABOLN-Ru Lecorus	DATE
·						
	77 11 11 11			-		

Approved For ReleaseSED0ff/DBE22CHCARGEDFESEFF0@ARR0000200170001-6

LE (DESCRIPTION)			INSTRUCT	
Annuaried For De	laaaa 2004/09/22 . C		Place card upright in place	of charged out folde
		IA-RDP84-	00469R0002001700016n	
CHARGE TO	DATE		CHARGE TO	DATE
* 1		<u> </u>		
		<u> </u>		
With the second				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		<u> </u>		
A grant A specific and A specific an				

	1			