DD/S 70-0994 with 70-0540 -Approved For Release 2003/04/291: CFA RDP84-00780R003600140014-5

STAT



and a company of the second second

STAT

Note: Action is being taken by OL/RE+CD.

	UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDEN	TIAI		SECRET
	OFFI	CIAL	ROUTING	5 SI	LIP	
0	NAME AN		RESS	C	ATE	INITIALS
1	Executive Direc Rm 7D59, Hqs	tor-C	omptroller	41	13	LKW/61
2	Deputy Director Rm 7D18, Hqs	for S	Support			
3	•					
					<u> </u>	
;						
5						
	ACTION	D	IRECT REPLY		PREPARE	REPLY
	APPROVAL		DISPATCH			ENDATION
	COMMENT	- F			DETHON	
_						
er	concurrence narks:		NFORMATION		RETURN SIGNATU	RE
ler						RE
ler	narks; FOLD :	HERE T	NFORMATION		SIGNATU	
er	narks; FOLD :	HERE T	NFORMATION		SIGNATU	RE

STAT

PPB 70-0474 ---

SECRET

Approved For Release 2003/04/29 : CIA-RDP84-00780R003600140014*5cutive Registry

70-1260 DD/S 70-0994

1 1 MAR 1970 E I L E Records

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Director-Comptroller

Colonel White:

This is a very large — if less than popular — problem. We are convinced that some additional capacity must be created. This means that we do not see any chance really reversing the continuing net growth in holdings at least for a period of years and we must accommodate the materials now at Suitland.

I believe it would be best if we could discuss this matter fully after you have had the opportunity to read the attached paper. Since additional resources are also involved in that proposal, you may wish to talk about the archives problem (DD/S 70-0739) at the same time.

R. L. Bannerman

Att DD/S 70-0540



SECRET

Approved For Release 2003/04/29 : CIA-RDP84-00780R003600140014-5

1 1 MAR 1970 Mecoutive Registry 70-1260

70.0540

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Director-Comptroller

SUBJECT

: Augmentation of the Agency Records Storage Capacity

1. This memorandum contains a recommendation for your <u>approval</u>; such recommendation is contained in paragraph 8.

2. This memorandum is addressed to the problem of providing additional records storage space to meet our need to relocate Supplemental Distribution documents temporarily stored at the Washington National Records Center (WNRC) in Suitland by December 1970 and to provide future capacity for records growth. The volume of Supplemental Distribution material stored at WNRC has ranged from 16,000 to 20,000 cubic feet these past twelve months. If the present volume of Supplemental Distribution documents were returned in December 1970 it would put us over our operating capacity by 5,000 cubic feet. Our records growth for the past ten years has averaged 6,500 cubic feet per annum. Therefore, to meet our immediate need to relocate Supplemental Distribution material temporarily stored at WNRC and to provide required growth capacity for the succeeding five years (1971 through 1975), we must take action NOW to obtain an additional 38,000 cubic feet of storage capacity.

3. Increased records storage facilities are but one aspect of our attempts to resolve the overall records management problem, but efforts on other elements of the program have led to an inescapable conclusion that we have an immediate need for additional storage capacity. We hope to provide long-range solutions to our records storage problem by reducing the flow of records to our storage facility through improved management of records creation, as well as reducing the volume by use of new microform systems now being developed. However, significant reduction of records storage requirements through these programs will require time, funds for microform equipment, a reversal of management's past deferential approach to records problems, and most important of all a willingness to commit more manpower to the records problems in each Agency component in spite of the current and continuing overall reduction in Agency personnel. Our proposals to meet our immediate need of a 38,000 cubic-foot increase in storage capacity have been narrowed to the alternatives discussed below, in order of preference.

4. A recently completed review of the Agency's emergency relocation planning posture resulted in the decision that emergency equipment stored adjacent to our Records Center) be moved, thus freeing this building for current operations. We propose to convert this building to a temporary storage facility for our Supplemental Distribution documents as a means of gaining some short term relief pending the development of longer term solutions to the records problems. The Offices of Security and Logistics have proposed moderate structural and security modifications for this building that will provide minimum security protection utilizing closed circuit TV (CCTV) at a cost of about \$82,000. This building can handle approximately 13,000 cubic feet of records and we suggest that this be the first increment of the required 38,000 cubic feet to be obtained through other means.

5. The feasibility study on installation of motorized shelving Records Center has been favorably concluded. Preliminary estiat mates indicate that total conversion of the present storage area to motorized shelving would provide a net gain of some 50,000 cubic feet at an estimated cost of \$900,000. To obtain the needed 25,000 cubic-foot increase would require converting half of our present[records facility to motorized shelving at an estimated cost of \$450,000. In addition to this figure we would require FY 71 funds of about \$17,000 to employ five cleared contract personnel to provide for security escorts and the manual labor involved in the handling and rehandling of our records as the shelving installation is in process. Upon approval of the concept in principle we will prepare detailed performance specifications and seek firm vendors' bids. Installation is expected to take approximately six months.

6. As a third option to gain increased storage capacity we surveyed six different locations with a view towards moving the Supplemental Distribution documents and function	25X1 25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

manpower to staff a separate records facility as well as additional couriers, vehicles and security measures to move material to and from Headquarters. In view of the foregoing and the recent developments on the use of (detailed in paragraph 4 above) we do not at this time recommend the use of an site as an answer to our records storage problem.

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

7. Other alternatives that were given serious consideration but discarded from consideration were the use of the Federal Records Center at Suitland (WNRC) and a massive microfilm project to reduce our current hard copy holdings The Office of Security undertook a security evaluation of the use of WNRC for permanent storage of our inactive record holdings and concluded that because of security inadequacies we should not use this facility. It was also concluded that, although microfilm holds some hope for the future, a program to reduce current holdings would be prohibitively expensive and was not recommended by the experts in the microfilm field. Although the pointed out that we could get 38,000 cu.ft. of vault construction for about \$560,000, the best option in their view, we have not recommended construction as a solution because of the continuing moratorium on new construction.

8. It is recommended that the Executive Director authorize commitment of \$532,000 in FY 1970 year end funds to augment our records storage facilities through renovation

and installation of motorized shelving in our main Records Center.

R. L. Bannerman J Deputy Director for Support

25X1 25X1

25X1

25X1



The recommendation contained in paragraph $\underline{8}$ is approved:

25X1		3April 70
	L. K. White Executive Director-Comptroller	Date
25X1	DDS/SSS/RHW:mjk (26 Feb 1970) Distribution	
- - -	Orig - Adse (Return to DD/S) 1 - ER 1 - DD/S Subject 1 - DD/S Chrono	
t	2 - SSS $1 - Ex. DirCompt.$	
25X1	/ Approved For Release 2003/04/29 : CIA	-RDP84-00780R003600140014-5



SECRET

Approved For Release 2003/04/29 : CIA-RDP84-00780R003600140014-5

DD/S 70-0994

11 MAR 1970

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Director-Comptroller

Colonel White:

This is a very large — if less than popular — problem. We are convinced that some additional capacity must be created. This means that we do not see any chance really reversing the continuing net growth in holdings at least for a period of years and we must accommodate the materials now at Suitland.

I believe it would be best if we could discuss this matter fully after you have had the opportunity to read the attached paper. Since additional resources are also involved in that proposal, you may wish to talk about the archives problem (DD/S 70-0739) at the same time.

(signed) John W. Coffey

A R. L. Bannerman

Att

DD/S 70-0540

ADD/S:JWC/ms (11 Mar 70) Distribution: Orig - Adse, w/O& of Att *

1 - DD/S Chrono

1 - DD/S Subject, w/cy of Att & DD/S 70-0739**

1 - Chief, SSS

*Memo dtd <u>1 1 MAR 1970</u> for Ex. Dir.-Compt. fr DD/S, subj: Augmentation of the Agency Records Storage Capacity **Memo dtd 10 Mar 70 for Ex. Dir.-Compt. fr DD/S, subj: CIA Archives



1 1 MAR 1970

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Director-Comptroller

SUBJECT : Augmentation of the Agency Records Storage Capacity

This memorandum contains a recommendation for your approval; 1. such recommendation is contained in paragraph 8.

2. This memorandum is addressed to the problem of providing additional records storage space to meet our need to relocate Supplemental Distribution documents temporarily stored at the Washington National Records Center (WNRC) in Suitland by December 1970 and to provide future capacity for records growth. The volume of Supplemental Distribution material stored at WNRC has ranged from 16,000 to 20,000 cubic feet these past twelve months. If the present volume of in December Supplemental Distribution documents were returned 1970 it would put us over our operating capacity by 5,000 cubic feet. Our records growth for the past ten years has averaged 6,500 cubic feet per annum. Therefore, to meet our immediate need to relocate Supplemental Distribution material temporarily stored at WNRC and to provide required growth capacity for the succeeding five years (1971 through 1975), we must take action NOW to obtain an additional 38,000 cubic feet of storage capacity.

Increased records storage facilities are but one aspect of 3. our attempts to resolve the overall records management problem, but efforts on other elements of the program have led to an inescapable conclusion that we have an immediate need for additional storage capacity. We hope to provide long-range solutions to our records storage problem by reducing the flow of records to our storage facility through improved management of records creation, as well as reducing the volume by use of new microform systems now being developed. However, significant reduction of records storage requirements through these programs will require time, funds for microform equipment, a reversal of management's past deferential approach to records problems, and most important of all a willingness to commit more manpower to the records problems in each Agency component in spite of the current and continuing overall reduction in Agency personnel. Our proposals to meet our immediate need of a 38,000 cubic-foot increase in storage capacity have been narrowed to the alternatives discussed below, in order of preference.

25X1

1. L. I

de marcalar and

Application (1991)

deune [

Approved For Release 2003/04/29 : CIA-RDP84-00780R003600140014-5

5. The feasibility study on installation of motorized shelving at _____Records Center has been favorably concluded. Preliminary estimates indicate that total conversion of the present storage area _______ to motorized shelving would provide a net gain of some 50,000 cubic feet at an estimated cost of \$900,000. To obtain the needed 25,000 cubic-foot increase would require converting half of our present _______ records facility to motorized shelving at an estimated cost of \$450,000. In addition to this figure we would require FY 71 funds of about \$17,000 to employ five cleared contract personnel to provide for security escorts and the manual labor involved in the handling and rehandling of our records as the shelving installation is in process. Upon approval of the concept in principle we will prepare detailed performance specifications and seek firm vendors' bids. Installation is expected to take approximately six months.

6. As a third option to gain increased storage capacity we surveyed six different locations with a view towards moving the Supplemental Distribution documents and function

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1



J.U.L

Approved For Release 2003/04/29 : CIA-RDP84-00780R003600140014-5

manpower to staff a separate records facility as well as additional couriers, vehicles and security measures to move material to and from Headquarters. In view of the foregoing and the recent developments on the use of ______ (detailed in paragraph 4 above) we do not at this time recommend the use of an ______ site as an answer to our records storage problem.

7. Other alternatives that were given serious consideration but discarded from consideration were the use of the Federal Records Center at Suitland (WNRC) and a massive microfilm project to reduce our current hard copy holdings The Office of Security undertook a security evaluation of the use of WNRC for permanent storage of our inactive record holdings and concluded that because of security inadequacies we should not use this facility. It was also concluded that, although microfilm holds some hope for the future, a program to reduce current holdings would be prohibitively expensive and was not recommended by the experts in the microfilm field. Although the pointed out that we could get 38,000 cu.ft. of vault construction for about \$560,000, the best option in their view, we have not recommended construction as a solution because of the continuing moratorium on new construction.

8. It is recommended that the Executive Director authorize commitment of \$532,000 in FY 1970 year end funds to augment our records storage facilities through renovation and installation of motorized shelving in our main Records Center.

(signed) John W. Coffey

for Support

fn R. L. Bannerman Deputy Director 25X1

25X1

25X1

L. K. White

Executive Director-Comptroller

The recommendation contained in paragraph 8 is approved:

Date

DDS/SSS/RHW:mjk/ (26 Feb 1970) Distribution Orig - Adse (Return to DD/S) 1 - ER 1 - DD/S Subject 1 - DD/S Chrono

- 2 SSS
- 1 Ex. Dir.-Compt.

Approved For Release 2003/04/29 : CIA-RDP84-00780R003600140014-5

25X1

25X1 25X1

25X1

GEGKEL

Approved For Release 2003/04/29 : CIA-RDP84-00780R003600140014-5

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Director-Comptroller

SUBJECT

: Augmentation of the Agency Records Storage Capacity

1. This memorandum contains a recommendation for your <u>approval</u>; such recommendation is contained in paragraph/8.

2. This memorandum is addressed to the problem of providing additional records storage space to meet our need to relocate Supplemental Distribution documents temporarily stored at the Washington National Records Center (WNRC) in Suitland by December 1970 and to provide future capacity for records growth. The volume of Supplemental Distribution material stored at WNRC has ranged from 16,000 to 20,000 cubic feet these past twelve/months. If the present volume of Supplemental Distribution documents were returned in December 1970 it would put us over our operating capacity by 5,000 cubic feet. Our records growth for the past/ten years has averaged 6,500 cubic feet per annum. Therefore, to meet our immediate need to relocate Supplemental Distribution material temporarily stored at WNRC and to provide required growth capacity for the succeeding five years (1971 through 1975), we must take action NOW to obtain an additional 38,000 cubic feet of storage capacity.

3. Increased records storage facilities are but one aspect of our attempts to resolve the overall records management problem, but efforts on other elements of the program have led to an inescapable conclusion that we have an immediate need for additional storage capacity. We hope to provide long-range solutions to our records storage problem by reducing the flow of records to our storage facility through improved management of records creation, as well as reducing the volume by use of new microform systems now being developed. However, significant reduction of records storage requirements through these program will require time, funds for microform equipment, a reversal of management's past deferential approach to records problems, and most important of all a willingness to commit more manpower to the records problems in each Agency component in spite of the current and continuing overall reduction in Agency personnel. Our proposals /to meet our immediate need of a 38,000 cubic-foot increase in storage capacity have been narrowed to the alternatives discussed below, in order of preference.

25X1

70-0540

declassification

5. The feasibility study on installation of motorized shelving at _____Records Center has been favorably concluded. Preliminary estimates indicate that total conversion of the present storage area _______ to motorized shelving would provide a net gain of some 50,000 cubic feet at an estimated cost of \$900,000. To obtain the needed 25,000 cubic-foot increase would require converting half of our present _______ records facility to motorized shelving at an estimated cost of \$450,000. In addition to this figure we would require FY 71 funds of about \$17,000 to employ five cleared contract personnel to provide for security escorts and the manual labor involved in the handling and rehandling of our records as the shelving installation is in process. Upon approval of the concept in principle we will prepare detailed performance specifications and seek firm vendors' bids. Installation is expected to take approximately six/months.

6. As a third option to gain increased storage capacity we surveyed six different locations with a view towards moving the Supplemental Distribution documents and function to

25X1 25X1

25X1

Approved For Release 2003/04/29 : CIA-RDP84-00780R003600140014-5

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

manpower to staff a separate records facility as well as additional couriers, vehicles and security measures to move material to and from Headquarters. In view of the foregoing and the recent developments on the use ______ (detailed in paragraph 4 above) we do not at this time recommend the use of an ______ site as an answer to our records storage problem.

7. Other alternatives that were given serious consideration but discarded from consideration were the use of the Federal Records Center at Suitland (WNRC) and a massive microfilm project to reduce our current hard copy holdings ______ The Office of Security undertook a security evaluation of the use of WNRC for permanent storage of our inactive record holdings and concluded that because of security inadequacies we should not use this facility. It was also concluded that, although microfilm holds some hope for the future, a program to reduce current holdings ______ would be prohibitively expensive and was not recommended by the experts in the microfilm field. Although _______ pointed our that we could get 38,000 cu.ft. of vault construction for about \$560,000, the best option in their view, we have not recommended construction or solution because of the continuing moratorium on new construction.

8. It is recommended that the Executive Director authorize commitment of \$525,000 in FY 1970 year and funds to augment our records storage facilities through renovation of _________ and installation of motorized shelving in our main Records Center.

> R. L. Bannerman Deputy Director for Support

The recommendation contained in paragraph 8 is approved:

L. K. White Executive Director-Comptroller

DDS/SSS/RHW:mjk/ (26 Feb 1970) Distribution Orig - Adse

1 - DD/S Subject 1 - DD/S Chrono 2 - SSS

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

Date

25X1

25X1

Approved For Release 2003/04/29 : CIA-RDP84-00780R003600140014-5

mession,

	ROUTING AND	RECOR	4-00780R003600140014-5 D SHEET
SUBJECT: (Optional) MEMORANDUM: Augm		and a Cha	Fredities
MEMORANDOM: Augu			orage Facilities.
FROM:		EXTENSION	NO.
			DATE
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and	DATE	OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show fro
building)	RECEIVED FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line across column after each
1.			
EO/DDS			Ed: Attached is the latest (and I hope final) re-write
2.			of our storage memorandum.
			I think this includes everyt
3.			that the DDS and ADDS wanted
			way in this paper.
4.			I have not seen the final p
			of the Security/Logistics j
5.			- memo on the H I did talk to the fellows i
			that were doing the final re
6.			and coordination on the Secu paper. (I understand this i
			the way out to Security for
7.			 signature and should reach y Monday afternoon or Tuesday
			2 or 3 March).
8.			So that you will have a pape
			ready for DDS signature if 1
9.			is satisfied with Security/I paperI have jumped the g
			in doing this final re-write
10.			the figure on the
			bldg used in para 4, indlude
11.			\$64,290 used in Security pap plus \$10,700 for shelving t
			be purchasedRounded it
12.			at \$75,000.
13.			Hope this does the trick and
			ean finally get this on its
14.			
15.			Hal Hal
			4-00700R003000140014-5

-

то: М г	B annorm an via Mr	Coffey	
ROOM NO.	BUILDING Via		ST
REMARKS:			
Recon	mend your signat	cure.	
	, 0		
	C		
		(P)	
	forRH	(P)	
	forret	(D)	
	forRH	YP V	
FROM:	forRHU	HD V	

Approved For Release 2003 EPREIA-RDP84-00780R003600140014-5

6 March 1970

Mr. Coffey:

Regarding your questions on the records storage paper:

a. Attached is a diagram of CCTV positions.

b. The CCTV system proposed requires ll cameras and ll monitors (9 of each will be installed, the other two will serve as spare and emergency replacement.)

> (1) Five of the units (to be installed inside the building) are motion detection systems which include the camera, the motion detector, and the monitor. These must be purchased at a cost of \$15, 350.

(2) Of the remaining six units (camera and monitor) the cameras are available from stock (salvage), the monitors must be purchased at a total cost of \$1,500.

(3) Regards the problem of welding seams on metal sheets Logistics advises they can do this without endangering structure of building. Cost is minor - about \$1,000. This is security requirement to counter possibility of entry simply by taking off an entire panel (by removing a few bolts.)

(4) The TV monitors will be located in main I confirmed with that he has been consulted and can handle the monitoring (and response) requirements of the CCTV without increased personnel. 25X1

25X1

(5) The total cost of \$82,000 includes : \$24,940 for building modifications some of which indirectly relate to security (about 6,000); \$18,000 for shelving; and \$39,000 which is directly related to security requirements.





SECRET

Approved For Release 2003/04/29 : CIA-RDP84-00780R003600140014-5

)D/S 70-0888



1. This memorandum is for your information only.

2. We have been requested to review our recommendations regarding security as a supplemental records requirements necessary to permit the use 25X1 We had previously stated storage facility that, to meet the accepted standards for open storage of materials classified SECRET, 25X1 a true vault was necessary. In the face of advice that conversion to a vault was impractical, we suggested an alternative solution providing minimum security safeguards which included a number of modifications to the building and the installation of a closed circuit television (CCTV) monitoring system. Costs for CCTV were estimated at \$70,000 to \$92,000, based upon the internal configuration selected to store records, dictating whether or not exterior cameras would be required. Office of Logistics' costs for necessary building modifications were not included in our figures.

3. In our review and in discussions with representatives of the Office of Logistics, we have again considered means whereby some reasonable security protection might be applied to the situation. Major modifications of the building, the construction of interior walls, ceilings, etc., short of vault specifications, were discarded as impractical, too costly, or as not providing enough additional security to warrant consideration. In these major modifications, the building and its footings were considered too weak to sustain the weight. All were considered and studied both separately and in concert with various detection alarm systems, such as ultrasonic and microwave, and with the question of exterior fencing and lighting. All were ruled out either as not compatible with the construction of the building or not providing the protection sought.

4. Our review, thus, led us back to the original alternatives, the modifications believed absolutely necessary and the installation of a CCTV monitoring system, with

25X1

\sim	_	`'	
•)	5	x	1
L	J	Λ	

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

SUBJECT: Proposed Records Storage Area,

the added question of how costs might be reduced from original estimates. We believe that we can salvage some TV equipment, cannibalize some other TV elements on hand, cut back on the number and type of cameras and still provide a degree of security to ______ The estimated cost for accomplishing these modifications is \$82,000. This estimate was prepared with the assistance of the Office of Logistics. Details are shown in the attached Cost Estimate for the Conversion of _______ to Records Storage.

5. Should the CCTV equipment be placed in operation prior to the end of Fiscal Year 1970, there are sufficient contingency funds to cover maintenance costs till 1 July 1970. The \$6,000 maintenance estimate for Fiscal Year 1971 should be continued for each year the system is in being. We would plan to position two CCTV environmentally enclosed cameras to cover the exterior of the building and seven fixed cameras to monitor the interior, five of which would be complete motion detection systems. There would be two additional cameras and monitors held in reserve with monitors of the type compatible with those presently being placed in use All monitors would be positioned in the Guardhouse.

6. You will note the Office of Logistics' building modifications estimate remains much the same and is exclusive of the CCTV system. If the present proposal is accepted, we envision a savings of about \$50,000 from our original estimate of the more extensive application of a CCTV system. In essence we are proposing, through the use of salvaged and other equipment, a reduction in cost with an appropriate level of security protection maintained.

25X1

Director of Security

Att

CONCURRENCE:

/ John F. Blake
Director of Logistics

3 Mart 40



COST ESTIMATE FOR THE CONVERSION OF

TO RECORDS STORAGE

COST FOR FISCAL YEAR 1970

Α.

в.

Minin	num building modifications (OL estimate)		
1.	Increase interior light level, install exterior lighting, and miscellaneous connections to existing emergency power system, as required	-	8,000
2.	Modifications to secure roof and siding		1,000
3.	Modify door openings		1,000
4.	Door contact alarms and connection to annunciator in guard office; Detex clock stations; fire detection system -	_	5,000
5.	Vinyl Asbestos flooring	-	1,800
6.	Install exhaust fan in existing louver	-	400
	Sub-Total		\$17,200
7.	Contractor percentage - 30% of \$17,200	=	5,160
8.	Contingency - 15% of \$17,200		2,580
	TOTAL		\$24,940
CCTV	System (OS estimate)		
1.	Five (5) motion detection systems	-	15,350
2.	Six (6) monitors	-	1,500
3.	Installation (Systems, Monitors & GFM)	_	5,620
	Sub-Total	-	\$22 , 470
4.	Contractor percentage - 30% of \$22,470	=	6,740
5.	Contingency - 15% of \$22,470	=	3,370
	TOTAL		\$32,580

689927 1 Excludes from automati Configuration and

Approved For Release 2003 RDB84 00780R003600140014-5

	с.	Design & Inspection Services by @ 10% for A and B above, \$2,494 + \$3,258 =	5,752	25X1
	D.	18,000 cubic feet of shelving, purchase and install (\$1.00 per cubic feet - DDS/RAB estimate)	\$18,000*	
		TOTAL PROJECT COSTS (A+B+C+D)	\$81,272	
		SAY	\$82,000	
COST	FOR	EACH FISCAL YEAR IN USE BEGINNING WITH FY 1971		
	Α.	Maintenance and servicing of CCTV System		

A. Maintenance and servicing of CCTV System (OS estimate) ----\$ 6,000

* This represents the maximum amount of shelving that this building will hold. The exact amount to be used will be determined at a later date.



DD/S 70-0994

GHUUP 1

dowograding and **ปร**ิธีชีชีอิงสาวหมะไม่อื

1 1 MAR 1970

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Director-Comptroller

Colonel White:

This is a very large - if less than popular problem. We are convinced that some additional capacity must be created. This means that we do not see any chance really reversing the continuing net growth in holdings at least for a period of years and we must accommodate the materials now at Suitland.

I believe it would be best if we could discuss this matter fully after you have had the opportunity to read the attached paper. Since additional resources are also involved in that proposel, you may wish to talk about the archives problem (DD/S 70-0739) at the same time.

loigned) John W. Coffey

. A. L. Bannerman

Att DD/S 70-0540

ADD/S:JWC/ms (11 Mar 70) Distribution: Orig - Adse, w/O& of Att *

1 - ER

1 - DD/S Chrono

1 - DD/S Subject w/cy of Att & DD/S 70-0739**

1 - Chief, SSS

11 MAR 1970 *Memo dtd for Ex. Dir.-Compt. fr DD/S, subj: Augmentation of the Agency Records Storage Capacity

**Memo dtd 10 Mar 70 for Ex. Dir.-Compt. fr DD/S, subj: CIA Archives Approved For Release 2403/04/29 : CIA-RDP84-00780R003600140014-5 Excludes from automatic