	d Law Croresting	2001/03/Q6NFRJE	FRAP84-0	naFSKHoc	2000200
	OFFI	CIAL ROUTING	G SLIP		
то	NAME AN	ID ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS	
1	E.O.		7 Aug	60	
2					
3	2/200	see org			
4					
5					
6					
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE	REPLY	
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMM	ENDATION	
	COMMENT	l fue			
		FILE	RETURN		
Rer	CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATU		
on H	CONCURRENCE  Serge - 1  The Same  If DGC a	My reacting	SIGNATU  The Congression of Congression	harta duig	
on H	CONCURRENCE  Marks:  Senge - i  the law  if the I an  th	INFORMATION	SIGNATU  The Comp  Then	harta duig	
on H	CONCURRENCE  marks:  Senge - i  the law  if the fam  the fam  the fold H	My reaction  My reaction  graph of  cept me  punting  begand for a	SIGNATU  The Comp  There  SENDER	harta duig	

FORM NO. 237 Use previous editions

## Approved/For Release 2001/03/06 : CIA-RDP84-00933R000200020010-9

STATINTL

STATINTL

dick not respond

to our inquiry through

on our proposed change to Charter.

It is probably best to wait before
proposing new registation, Current
procedures available to use provide

some neasure of protection if we choose
to use them.

(.D.

Approved For Release 2001/03/06: CIA-RDP84-00933R000200020010-9

Director of Data Processing  Director of Training  Director of Tra	SUBJECT: (Optional)  Legislative :  the Ninety-S			Second	Session of
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)  1. Director of Medital Services  2. Director of Data Processing Pags  3. Director of Training  4. ECC.  5. Acc./MS  6. Acc/MS  7. Acc./MS  10. Medital Services  11. Medital Services  12. Director of Data Processing Pags  13. Director of Data Processing Pags  14. ECC.  15. Director of Data Processing Pags  16. Acc/MS  7. Acc./MS  17. Acc./MS  18. Director of Data Processing Pags  19. Director of Data Processing Pags  19. Director of Data Processing Pags  10. Acc./MS  11. Director of Data Processing Pags  12. Comments (Number each comment to she to whom. Drow of line across column offer of the whom. Drow of line across column offer of the whom. Drow of line across column offer of the whom. Drow of line across column offer of the whom. Drow of line across column offer of the whom. Drow of line across column offer of the whom. Drow of line across column offer of the whom. Drow of line across column offer of the whom. Drow of line across column offer of the whom. Drow of line across column offer of the whom. Drow of line across column offer of the whom. Drow of line across column offer of the whom. Drow of line across column offer of the whom. Drow of line across column offer of the whom. Drow of line across column of the whom Drow of line across column of the whom Drow of line across column of the whom Drow of the whom	Acting Chief, Information	Services	Staff	EXTENSION	DATE
Director of Data Processing 2000  Director of Data Processing 2000  Training  Actual dat / Aug  Attached is a copy of a me from OLC discussing the Clegislative Program for the session of Congress. Show have any suggestions or can regard to this program forward them directly to Compare the Compare them directly to Compare them directly to Compare the Compare the Compare them directly to Compare the Compare them directly to Compare the Compare them directly to Compare them directly to Compare the Compare them directly to Compare the Compare them directly to Compare the	TO: (Officer designation, room number, and	, D	ATE		COMMENTS (Number each comment to show
Attached is a copy of a me from OLC discussing the CI Legislative Program for the session of Congress. Shot have any suggestions or congression of the method to this program forward them directly to CA lso, if you have proposed additional Legislative Program for ward them directly to CA lso, if you have proposed additional Legislative Proplease bring these to the of OLC in your response.  8.  9.  10.  11.  12.  13.		RECEIVED	FORWARDED	<u> </u>	
Director of Data Processing 2000  3. Director of Training  4. E. C. SALL G.D. Also, if you have proposal additional Legislative Properations or conformation of OLC in your response.  5. D.C. SALL G.D. Should be follow up or any suggestions or conformation of OLC in your response.  6. AC/MIS  7. Should be follow up or any suggestions or conformation of OLC in your response.  8. Should be follow up or any suggestions or conformation of OLC in your response.  9. any suggestions or conformation of OLC in your response.  9. Action taken.  11. Mo Action taken.  12. Chapty Legislation or conformation of the session of Congress. Should be required to this program for the session of Congress. Should be required to this program for the session of Congress. Should be required to this program for the session of Congress. Should be required to this program for the session of Congress. Should be required to this program for the session of Congress. Should be required to this program for where the session of Congress. Should be required to this program for where the proposal additional Legislative Proplement of Congress and Indiana Legislative Prople	Medical Services				Attached is a copy of a me from OLC discussing the CI
Director of Training  1. In regard to this program forward them directly to (Also, if you have proposal additional Legislative Proplease bring these to the of OLC in your response.  1. Should we follow up on the Action taken.  9. In any support is each serve of old?  10. We Action taken.  Planding outcome of the Action taken.  Planding outcome of the Action taken.  Other taken.  11. Other taken.  12. Other taken.  13. Other taken.	Director of		8/8	9	Legislative Program for the session of Congress. Show
additional Legislative Proplease bring these to the of OLC in your response.  7.  8.  9.  10.  No Action taken.  Planding outcome of CAC of the Control of CAC of C	Director of			<b>V</b>	have any suggestions or co in regard to this program forward them directly to 0
of OLC in your response.			<i>-</i> <b>4</b>	G.D	Also, if you have proposal additional Legislative Pro
AC/MS  7.  8.  9.  any support essentive in e.g. of?  No Action taken.  Pending outcome of the court, Legislation of the court, Legislation of the court of the c			s rue		of OLC in your response.
7.  8.  9.  and support itsembre is each of the open o					
9.  10.  No Action taken.  11.  Pending outcome of the court to the court the co					4 to all should we Police up on
10.  No Action taken.  Planting outcome of them Legislation  CIA - No factback of 13.	8.				
11.  12.  12.  13.	9.				any support also where
12.  12.  Chapter Legislation  CIA - No fuelback of	10.			-	,
13.	11.				
13.	12.				charte Legistation
14.	13.				-171 - No fuelback of
	14.				

## Approved For Release 2001/03/06 ECIA RD 24 00933R000200020010-9

OLC 79-208 PDP #9-1032 29 June 1979

MEMORANDUM FOR:

See Distribution

FROM

STATINTL

KUPI :

Chief, Legislation Division/OLC

SUBJECT

Legislative Programs for the Second Session of

the Ninety-Sixth Congress

- l. The Office of Management and Budget requires that the head of each Federal agency submit a proposed legislative program for Administration clearance each fall for the session of Congress which begins the following January. This memorandum initiates the process of formulating the Central Intelligence Agency legislative program for the Second Session of the Ninety-Sixth Congress and the Agency's contribution to the Intelligence Community program that will also be submitted by the DCI.
- 2. The following guidelines are provided to aid your component in the preparation of proposals for inclusion in these legislative programs:

--It is not necessary to repeat items in the current legislative programs; i.e., Protection of Intelligence Identities, Relief from the Freedom of Information Act, Changes in the Hughes-Ryan Amendment, Revised CIA Firearms Authority, Educational Travel Benefits, and Death Gratuities. Items not accomplished this year will be carried over.

--While the passage of Intelligence Charter Legislation this year remains possible, the likelihood is not great, and legislative proposals should be premised on the proposition that Charter will still be an issue in 1980.

## Approved For Release 2001/03/06: CIA-RDP84-00923R000200020010-9\_ INTERNAL USE ONLY

--It is not necessary to submit specific statutory language, or to specify a statute to be amended. A description of each problem or goal, accompanied by an explanation of why it is thought that legislation is necessary to solve or achieve it will be sufficient. It would also be helpful to have an outline of testimony to be presented in support of each proposal, and an indication of any potential problems (e.g., security, cover, etc.) in pursuing a suggestion through the legislative process.

--All suggestions should also be accompanied by your component's views as to whether or not the proposal has Intelligence Community applicability, i.e., whether the proposal should be included in the Intelligence Community rather than the CIA legislative program.

- 3. The Senate's inclusion of our Educational Travel Benefit in the FY 1980 Intelligence Authorization Bill (and its expected retention in Conference) sets an important positive precedent for use of the FY 1981 Authorization Bill for similar purposes next year.
- 4. Failure to include a proposal in the legislative programs submitted to OMB in the fall can considerably reduce chances of obtaining Administration support for the proposal later on.
- 5. I realize that it seems rather early to be thinking about legislative programs for next year, but I believe that sufficient lead time is necessary to insure that the CIA and Intelligence Community proposals are sufficiently thought out and presented in as specific a form as possible.
- 6. I would appreciate having your proposals by <u>3 August 1979</u> so that we can proceed to discuss and evaluate them. Your cooperation in this endeavor is much appreciated. A memorandum similar to this one will be sent to appropriate offices throughout the Intelligence Community.

cc: FPH LLM CB ABS

STATINTL

