

TRANSMITTAL SLIP		DATE
		30 March
TO: DDA		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	
REMARKS:		
For discussion at Fri., 1 April, 8:00 meeting.		
<i>ea/DDA</i> <u> </u> <i>DDA</i> <u> </u>		
<div style="text-align: right;"> 31 MAR 1983 11 APR 1983 </div>		
<p> called at 1200 hrs on 31 March to say that Mr. Stein will not be attending the Friday morning meeting so the attached will be discussed at some other time. </p>		
<i>Excom held 4/8/83</i>		
FROM: ExDir		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING	EXTENSION

DCI
EXEC
REG

STAT

Executive Registry

Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, D.C. 20505

83-1813

DD/A Registry

30 March 1983

83-0870

[REDACTED]

NOTE FOR MON CHER JEAN

- I am probably one of your most ardent believers in positive Aristotelianism, in anti-bureaucratic rigidity, in not shooting oneself in the foot or removing one's nose.
- If one could assume reasonableness on the part of each involved line manager, the policy statement could acknowledge that exceptions can occur. Reasonableness does not occur and trying to list exception categories is quicksand.
- I think that you did articulate most if not all of your suggestions before, but I did commit to one more session on this with the DDs after you returned. So be it.
- Experienced persons, reasonable or otherwise, know that even Einstein's law is not immutable. A written policy can, will and must allow for exceptions: that's a given, without need for articulation.
- I agree that "needs of the Service" is too ambiguous; "unique" does have a specific dictionary meaning, which does not include "scarce".

[REDACTED]

Cys sent to
DDA, DDI, DDS&T