

ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP

Date

6/10/83

TO: (Name, office symbol, room number, building, Agency/Post)

Initials

Date

1.

John ED

JW

13/6

2.

John 141

13 JUN 1983

3.

B.A. - pls set up Tickle

4.

File for mid-August.

5.

Action	Initials	Note and Return
Approval	For Clearance	Per Conversation
As Requested	For Correction	Prepare Reply
Circulate	For Your Information	See Me
Comment	Investigate	Signature
Coordination	Justify	

REMARKS

John,
 I picked this up at DIA this A.M. I have asked [redacted] to start action to meet this requirement. Suggest we

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposals, clearances, and similar actions

FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post)

Tickle for sometime in August.

Room No.—Bldg.

Phone No.

5041-102

OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7-76)
Prescribed by GSA
FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.206

STAT

25X1

25X1

File

ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP

Date *6/10/83*

To: (Name, office symbol, room number, building, Agency/Post)	Initials	Date
1. <i>D/ODP</i>		
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		

Action	File	Note and Return
Approval	For Clearance	Per Conversation
As Requested	For Correction	Prepare Reply
Circulate	For Your Information	See Me
Comment	Investigate	Signature
Coordination	Justify	

REMARKS



We had better start action on this requirement. I believe it might be prudent to include CAMs - what say?



DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposals, clearances, and similar actions

FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post) *DDA* Room No.—Bldg.
 Phone No.

5041-102 OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7-75)
 Prescribed by GSA
 FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.206

GPO : 1981 O - 361-523 (146)

DDA Distribution:

- Orig YRS - D/ODP w/Att
- 1 - DDA Subj w/Att
- 1 - HEF Chrono w/o Att

TS 831202

DDA REGISTRY

FILE: *100-7*

25X1

25X1

Page Denied

...filled consistent with Service priorities and within end strengths specified by the Congress.

ADP

The Committee has annually expressed its concern regarding the system design difficulties and cost overruns which continue to occur in NFIP ADP development projects. The Committee, however, has seen relatively little improvement in overall management, and considering the fiasco which occurred this year in the joint DIA/CIA Support to Analysts File Environment (SAFE) system, is not convinced that the Intelligence Community understands the extent of the Committee's displeasure. The Committee is aware that directing the Community to "do better" is not necessarily conducive to producing better work. The Committee believes, however, that a system whereby the Community must periodically report to the Congress regarding the status of its major ADP system developments improves accountability for both management and resource expenditures.

I OBJECT TO THIS!!

The Committee directs, therefore, that it be provided by September 30, 1983 with a development plan for selected, major ADP systems which identifies the specific milestones which the project manager intends to achieve and which the Program Manager and the DCI are willing to endorse. These milestones should include:

- (a) Software development goals (by function, where applicable);
- (b) hardware acquisitions;
- (c) subsystem initial operating capabilities (IOC) with a definition of what constitutes each IOC; and

TOP SECRET

10

(d) resource expenditures.

The DCI is requested to notify the Committee promptly of any significant change in any element of the plan.

Systems to be subject to this reporting requirement should include SAFE, the Integrated Automated Intelligence Processing System (IAIPS), the Consolidated Cryptologic Program Scoutmaster[®] Project, and the National Photographic Intelligence Center system upgrade as a minimum.

The Committee believes that the Committee should reestablish a Community-wide group to oversee and coordinate ADP activities. This would help establish what common problems may be causing the proliferation of ADP systems suffering development difficulties.

