COM FAPPTOVOOF POR Release 9209 1/08/09 CIA RDP86 099/49/R000/100190028-6 **Building Temporarily Halted**

in the first round of the action development of the counted-on open space of the nearby federal property belonging presently to the Department of Agriculture. court has the binding force of

The Department of the Treasury, the agency desiring to law and has the same effect that build their new Consolidated an injunction would have, had Law Enforcement Training the proceeding gone further. Center on a 500-acre segment of Agriculture property, agreed in court on Friday, May 18 that against the General Services further construction will not Administration and the Departproceed until such time as a new environmental impact statement has been filed as requested by the Prince vironmental Policy Act which George's County Planning Board of the Maryland-National Capital Park and Plan- regarding the Training Center ning Commission.

It will probably be at least research farm. three months before the statement can be prepared; and after it is filed 90 days must clapse to provide time for as required by the Environmental Protection Act.

filed with and reviewed by the. Council on Environmental and policy-making body. Par- CCA pact statement.

somewhat surprisingly, early in the proceedings as Judge Howard Corcoran interrupted Counsel for the plaintiff David Freishtat, after only several minutes of his opening argument, to ask why on the basis of the facts presented the parties were in court.

When it was answered that Treasury would not agree for-

The people of Prince mally, in writing not to begin George's County have prevailed construction although they had verbally done so, Judge Corto deter fragmentation and coran requested that they agree to wait. Lawyers for Treasury complied with the judge's requests. Such stipulation in

The Commission filed suit ment of the Treasury last February for their alleged violation of the National Enrequired than an Environmental Impact Statement be issued proposed for a portion of the Department of Agriculture

The Calverton Citizens Association voted to enter the litigation (see THE OUTLOOK, public "review and comment" May 1972) and a motion to intervene has been filed by Attorney Michael S. Yaroschuk, 13237 Bellvue Street, who is The impact statement will be representing CCA in this action.

In the memorandum...in sup-Quality, the President's advisory port of motion to intervene, states that ties to the suit against Treasury Association has steadfastly opmay then request a hearing by posed the Government's that council if they dispute the proposal which would result in facts or conclusions of the im- the destruction of the existing open green space in the area Treasury's agreement came, and which will have a direct ad-

verse effect upon the existing water and sewer facilities and support facilities, such as fire protection, if the proposed expansion is permitted to continuc."

The memorandum further states that "...citizens have not only a right to the preservation of natural surroundings, the environment, as it presently exists, the Plaintiffs may well choose interest in the development of this property.

"Further, the burden upon existing sewer and water facilities will be multiplied. At the present time, building has been curtained (sic) in the Anacostia River Basin and Parkway River basin because of. lack of sufficient sewage treatment facilities. Both Prince George's County and Montgomery County have adopted staying policies to stem the tide of "urban sprawl" and to give direction to the uncontrolled development of the present] open lands and to establish a hierarchy of commitment of the available tax revenues."

"The proposal of the Treasury Department to expand its present facilities ignores the needs of the community and the impact upon the quality of life to which these citizens are entitled.

"In passing the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969...Congress sought to impose restraints on the federal Act 'to avoid environmental degradation, preserve historic, cultural, and natural resources and promote the widest range of beneficial uses of the environment without...undesirable and unintended consequences."

Further reason for intervention in the suit is stated thus: "The interest of the Intervenor is not identical to that of the Plaintiff. While each seeks to restrain the construction of the project, the Intervenor is the only one who can speak for the local residents who will bear the burden of the Government's

'Clearly, under the recent cases, the Intervenor represents a sufficient interest in the suit which must be recognized.

'As Governmental bodies. but also have a direct economic to conclude the matter on grounds unsatisfactory to the Intervenor. The only way that the Intervenor can be assured that it is heard, is to participate

Calverter Outlook Rellantle Silver Spring Ferre 1772

Approved For Release 2001/08/09: CIA-RDP86-00244R000100190028-6 believes bear directly upon its membership.