Approved For Release 2006/02/07 : CIA-RDP86M00612R000100060032-3

## STREET.

18 August 1969

MENCRANDUM FOR: AC/S Plans & Programs, 1 LA

SUBJECT

25X1

25X1

: The CIP Approved Program for FY 1971, as Reflected in TOD II-1969: A Case Rample

1. The CIP input data provided by DIA for TOD II-1969 have been processed and compiled in the standard TOD output fermats. This entput, consisting of about a dosen volumes of computer reports, was delivered to DIA \_\_\_\_\_\_ during the first week of August.

2. The attached report is based upon these data. It identifies the top 20 units in the CIP based upon a criterica of total TOM dollars, and it shows the extent to which these units impact upon varied intelligence activities and targets.

3. The purpose of this investigation was to provide some insights as to the potential use of a TOD data base in future Department of Defense program review planning. The case example presented here is, of course, only one illustration of the variety of information that can be produced from such a data base and that will be essential in building a multi-year Defense Intelligence Plan and CDIP mechanise.

4. This report was prepared in response to interest expressed by Mr. Prochike's staff. Concurrently, I an sending this material to R. E. Morrison in ASD/Meinistration.

> Office of the Director of Control Intelligence Chairman, TOD Committee

Attachments:

Nemo, same subject, dtd 13 Aug 69, with Appendices A and E.



Approved For Release 2006/02/07 : CIA-RDP86M00612R000100060032-3

25X1

Approved For Release 2006/02/07 : CIA-RDP86M00612R000100060032-3

## 

18 August 1969

MEMORANHUM FOR: Assistant Secretary of Defense for Administration (Attm: R. E. Morrison)

SUBJECT : The CIP-Approved Program for FY 1971 as Reflected in TOD 11-1969: A Case Example.

1. I am forwarding the attached report in response to your expression of interest and in the hope that it may assist in your decisions with respect to the current CIP Review problem. This analysis was carried out by \_\_\_\_\_\_ and the DCI/SIPE Staff.

2. The report may also provide you with some insights as to the potential use of a TKD data base in future Department of Defense program review planning. The case example presented here is, of course, only one illustration of the variety of information that can be produced from such a data base and that will be essential in building a multi-year Defense Intelligence Fian and CDIP mechanism.

3. We will be pleased to talk with you further about this case example and also about the future broader development of this sort of data base.

-	4.				is report and	all its	appendice :
to		C/8 I	i saal?	and Pr	OGTERS, DIA.		I
		J					

Office of the Director of Contral Intelligence Cheirman, TOD Committee

Attachments: Nemo, same subject, dt4 13 Aug 69, with Appendices A and B.

Approved For Release 2006/02/07 : CIA-RDP86M00612R000100060032-3

25X1

25X1

25X1

Approved For Release 2006/02/07 : CIA\_RDP86M00612R000100060032-3

1; Augus t 1. A C

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: The CIP Approved Program for FY 1971 as Reflected in TOD II: A Case Example.

1. The attached Tables and Source Data (machine runs) are examples of the CIP output data from TOD II.

2. The tables and data are for the CIP Approved Program for FY 1971 (1 January 1969 FYDP update).

3. The basic purpose of the tables and source data attachments is to demonstrate the capability of TOD II in a specific problem involving the selection and assembly of data by various TOD II cost, manpower, functional and target categories.

4. The problem was to determine "a manageable portion" of the CIP for a rapid program review. The approach used in analyzing the TOD data was as follows:

(a) The totality of the CTP in FY 1971 is represented by 154 Reporting Entities (RE) (e.g., organizational units and activities) expending people. The TOA rank ordering of these RE's indicates resource dominance by a relatively small number of RE's.

(b) The analysis involved determining the relation of the Top 20 RE's (in terms of TOA outlay) in each of the key variable categories of resources, function and target. In other words, answering the question as to whether the dominant group (e.g., the Top 20 RE's) was also representative in each of the variables shown by TOD and to what degree.

(c) In those instances (variable related) where dominance of the Top 20 RE's was not maintained, the dominant RE's were determined and noted.

SECRET

25X1

## Approved For Release 2006/02/07 CIA-RDP86M00612R000100060032-3

5. The method used demonstrates that any group of category variables in TOD II can be selected and a determination made as to the optimal number of RE's (in terms of any resource category) which will be required to review dominant resources related to the selected variables. Thus the "customer" can select a given percentage of resources related to any group of variables (e.g., TOD categories) and in a short period of time be given those (RE':) which provide an optimal resource correlation in terms of the variables he has selected.

6. It can be seen that the TOD data base can be queried in terms of a large number of variables. This makes TOD II responsive in providing a basic data input for almost any resource issue. The visibility of the issue is enhanced by the number of ways the issue can be looked at in terms of the TOD categories.

7. This does not provide the intelligence resource evaluation but it does go a long way in providing an evaluation effort with a frame of reference for the evaluation as well as indications of many of the problem areas within it. In analysis, this is oftentimes 50% of the solution.

8. It remains to be said that TOD cannot and is not structured to fulfill the data input role to the intelligence program evaluation problem of those information systems supplying efficiency (intelligence systems performance and capabilities), effectiveness (consumer need) and target environment (foreign weapon system projections) criteria and data.

25X1

A/D/DCI/NTHE

Attachments: Appendix A - Tables Appendix B - TOD II Machine Runs

SECRET

Approved For Release 2006/02/07 : CIA-RDP86M00612R000100060032-3

-	OFFI	CIAL ROUTIN	ig si	LIP	<u> </u>	
	NAME AN	D ADDRESS		ATE	INITIALS	┥
1	Agnes					1
						-
2						4
3						
4						
5			_			1
		·····				-
6	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY				4
				PRFPARF	REPLY	
					ENDATION	-
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH		RECOMM	ENDATION	4
	APPROVAL COMMENT CONCURRENCE narks: On 18 Aug 69	DISPATCH FILE INFORMATION		RECOMM RETURN SIGNATU	RE	
	APPROVAL COMMENT CONCURRENCE narks: On 18 Aug 69 attaching draft to report on legal	DISPATCH FILE INFORMATION I sent Mr. Bro s of letters t There wa size paper. the attached Tables I,II,II	as the	RECOMM RETURN SIGNATU memo ( /Admin o atta • firs	buck slip and ched a	
	APPROVAL COMMENT CONCURRENCE marks: On 18 Aug 69 attaching draft td report on legal Please insert of the report ( destroy the ori	DISPATCH FILE INFORMATION I sent Mr. Bro s of letters t There wa size paper. the attached Tables I,II,II ginal first pa	o ASD s als as th I). I ge.	RECOMM RETURN SIGNATU memo ( /Admin o atta e firs Remove	buck slip and ched a	

STAT

STAT

Next 17 Page(s) In Document Exempt