## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

# HEARINGS

# BEFORE THE COMMITTEE

#### on

STANDARDS OF OFFICIAL CONDUCT HEARINGS ON HOUSE RESOLUTION 1042

Wednesday, September 15, 1975

Washington, D. C.

**Official Reporters to Committees** 

Gro 16-75107-1

 $\underline{C} \quad \underline{O} \quad \underline{N} \quad \underline{T} \quad \underline{E} \quad \underline{N} \quad \underline{T} \quad \underline{S}$ 

### TESTIMONY OF

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Clay S. Felker, Editor in Chief and Publisher of the Village Voice

-- Accompanied by

Theodore W. Kheel, Attorney

Aaron Latham, -- Accompanied by Theodore W. Kheel, Attorney

Sheldon Zalaznick, -- Accompanied by Theodore W. Kheel, Attorney

Daniel Schorr, -- Accompanied by Joseph A. Califano, Jr., Attorney

1324

PAGE

1274

1285

		Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0
	1	HEARINGS ON HOUSE RESOLUTION 1042
() Mills	2	
	3	Wednesday, September 15, 1976
	4	
	5	House of Representatives,
	6	Committee on Standards of Official Conduct,
•	7	Washington, D. C.
	8	The committee met, pursuant to recess, at 10:00 a.m.
•	9 10	in Room 2360, Rayburn House Office Building, the Honorable
	10	John J. Flynt, Jr. (chairman of the committee) presiding.
	12	Present: Representatives Flynt, Price, eague, Hebert,
	13	Foley, Bennett, Spence, Quillen, Hutchinson, Quie, Mitchell,
	13	and Cochran.
	14	Also present: John M. Swanner, Staff Director;
•	15	John Marshall, Legal Counsel; David Bowers, Investigator;
		Harvey Harkness, Associate Counsel; Jay Jaffe, Staff Member;
. ·	17	Andrew Whalen, Staff Member; Miss Jan Loughrey, Committee
	18	Staff.
•	19	
	20	Mr. Flynt. The committee will come to order.
	21	The Staff Director will call the roll.
(-)	. 22	
`	23	Mr. Swanner. Mr. Flynt.
	24	Mr. Flynt. Here.
	25	Mr. Swanner. Mr. Spence.
		Mr. Spence. Here.
· · ·		
•		Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

Approved For Relea CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0 /11/14 n റെ

1	Mr.	Swanner. Mr. Price.
2	<u>(</u> No	response.)
3	Mr.	Swanner. Mr. Quillen.
4	Mr.	Quillen. Here.
5	Mr.	Swanner. Mr. Teague.
6	Mr.	Teague. Here.
7	Mr.	Swanner. Mr. Hutchinson.
8	Mr.	Hutchinson. Here.
9	Mr.	Swanner. Mr. Hebert.
10	(No	response.)
11	Mr.	Swanner. Mr. Quie.
12	(No	response.)
13	Mr.	Swanner. Mr. Foley.
14	Mr.	Foley. Present.
15	Mr.	Swanner. Mr. Mitchell.
16	Mr.	Mitchell. Here.
17	Mr.	Swanner. Mr. Bennett.
18	Mr.	Bennett. Here.
19	Mr.	Swanner. Mr. Cochran.
20	Mr.	Cochran. Here.
21	Mr.	Swanner. Mr. Chairman, nine Members answered
22	present,	three Members absent, not voting.
23	Mr.	Flynt. Nine members having responded to their
24	names whe	en the roll was called, a quorum is present.
25	At t	he beginning of the hearing on this subject, the
	•	

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

1

2

.3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

-22

23

24

25

Chair made an opening statement, a copy of which has beem made available to all witnesses who have appeared before this committee during these hearings.

The Chair is not going to again read the opening statement
in its entirety. But the Chair is now going to read certain
portions of the opening statement, and now proceeds to do so.

In recent months, the Congress of the United States has sought to take a more active role in the conduct of this nation's foreign policy, and its concommitant intelligence operations.

In furtherance of these efforts, the House of Representatives established a Select Committee on Intelligence to conduct an inquiry into the organization, operations, and oversight of the intelligence community of the United States Government.

Section 2 and Section 6 of House Resolution 591 required that Select Committee to establish and implement such rules and procedure as it deemed necessary to prevent the unauthorized disclosure outside the Select Committee of "any information relating to the activities of the Central Intelligence Agency, or any other department or agency of the federal government engaged in intelligence activities obtained by the Select Committee during the course of its study and investigation." And to prevent "the disclosure outside the Select Committee of any information which would adversely affect the intelligence activities of the Central Intelligence

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

Agency in foreign countries, or the intelligence activities in foreign countries of any other department or agency of the federal government."

The House of Representatives, on February 19, 1976, adopted House Resolution 1042, which authorized and directed this committee to inquire into the circumstances surrounding the publication of the text and of any part of the report of the Select Committee on Intelligence, and to report back to the House its findings and recommendations thereon.

The House of Representatives has the authority, indeed the duty, to investigate possible violations of its resolutions, and protective orders, by those subject to its jurisdiction in order to protect the integrity of the legislative process.

These hearings are being held for the purpose of inquiring into, as fully as possible, the circumstances surrounding the publication of the text and of any part of the report of the Select Committee on Intelligence, and reporting back to the House its findings and recommendations.

The Congressional power in question concerns the internal 19 processes of Congress moving within its legislative command. 20 It involves the utilization of the Committee on Standards of Official Conduct, to secure testimony and evidence needed 22 to enable the House to investigate and exercise legislative 23 functions, properly belonging to the House of Reprsentatives 24 under the United States Constitution. 25

PDP91-0096

1 Committee Counsel will proceed. 2 Mr. Marshall. Call as the first witness Mr. Clay 3 S. Felker. 4 TESTIMONY OF CLAY S. FELKER, ACCOMPANIED BY THEODORE 5 W. KHEEL, ATTORNEY 6 Mr. Flynt. Mr. Fleker, would you remain standing and 7 let me administer the oath? Do you solemnly swear that the 8 testimony you will give before this committee in the matters 9 now under investigation will be the truth, the whole truth, 10 and nothing but the truth, so help you God? 11 Mr. Felker. I do. 12 Mr. Flynt. Be seated. 13 Mr. Marshall. Mr. Felker, would you state your name and 14 address for the record, please? 15 Mr. Felker. Clay S. Felker, 755 Second Avenue, New York 16 City. 17 Mr. Marshall. You are appearing here with counsel? 18 Mr. Felker. Yes, I am. 19 Mr. Marshall. Counsel, you may identify yourself. 20 Mr. Kheel. My name is Theodore W. Kheel of New York. 21 I am counsel generally for the New York Company which is 22 the owner of New York and the Village Voice, and I am here 23 representing Mr. Felker, as well as Mr. Zalaznick and Mr. 24 Latham. 25 Mr. Marshall. Mr. Felker, you are here pursuant to a

<u> Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0</u>

	Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0 1272
1	subpoena duces tecum which was served upon you prior to
2	this hearing.
.3	Mr. Felker. That is right.
4	Mr. Marshall At the time that the subpoena was served
5	upon you, you received copies of House Resolutions 1042 and
6	1054, authorizing this investigation; a copy of the Rules
7	of the House of Representatives and the Rules of this
8	Committee; a copy of the Investigative Procedures which were
9	adopted by this committee; and a copy of the Chairman's
10	opening statement for these hearings; is that correct?
11	Mr. Felker. Yes.
12	Mr. Marshall. In the event your evidence or testimony
13	may involve material from an executive session of the House
14	Select Committee on Intelligence, or information which is
15	classified or information which may tend to defame, degrade
16	or incriminate any person, would you please advise this
17	committee so that it may take appropriate action under the
18	Rules of the House of Representatives?

Mr. Felker. Yes.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Marshall. The subpoend duces tecum served upon you prior to the hearing demanded that at this hearing you produce certain papers, including any and all copies or drafts of the report prepared by the House Select Committee on Intelligence pursuant to House Resolution 591. Do you have any of those papers with you to produce at this hearing?

1273 1 Mr. Felker. No, we have no papers. 2 Mr. Marshall. Your statement is you have no papers in 3 your cutody, control, or possession to produce? 4 Mr. Felker. That is right. 5 Mr. Marshall. Do you have a statement which you wish to 6 file or make to this committee? 7 Mr. Felker. Yes, I have a very brief statement. 8 Mr. Marshall. You may proceed. 9 Mr. Felker. Last February a draft report of the Select 10 Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives 11 was published in two successive issues of the Village Voice. 12 We three individuals, I, as the Editor in Chief and Publisher 13 of the Village Voice, and two Senior Editors of New York 14 Magazine, a sister publication, were responsible for deciding to print and for preparing for publication the material 15 16 published in the Village Voice. Our role and that of our publication began only after the report became available 17 for publication. We did not then and we do not now think that 18 discovering the source of the report would add to public 19 20 understanding of its contents and we therefore make no . effort to identify the source. We do not know the source. That 21 being said, we wish to emphasize our support for the position 22 taken by Dan Schorr. We believe he acted responsibly as a 23 journalist and a citizen in making the text of the draft 24 report available for publication, and we believe that he will 25

act in the highest traditions of our profession and of 1 the First Amendment itself by refusing to disclose to this 2 committee or to any other governmental body the identity of his 3 source. We would do the same today if we knew the identity 4 of the source just as we would have refused to have disclosed 5 the identity of our source, Dan Schorr, himself, had he not 6 made it public. As Dan Schorr at considerable personal risk 7 defends the journalistic and constitutional principles at 8 take today, we honor him, and we believe he deserves the honor 9 and thanks of our nation. 10

1274

Mr. Kheel. Mr. Marshall, may I say that that statement is
also submitted in behalf of Mr. Zalaznick and Mr. Latham.

Mr. Marshall. It will be so received.

Mr. Felker, what is your position with the newspaper named the Village Voice?

Mr. Felker. I am the Editor in Chief and the Publisher of the Village Voice.

18 Mr. Marshall. Did you hold that position with the
19 Village Voice when the editions of February 16 and
20 February 23, 1976, were published?

21

13

Mr. Felker. I did.

Mr. Marshall. Now, the February 16 and 23 editions of
the Village Voice printed a part of the text of the report
prepared by the House Select Committee on Intelligence. Your
opening statement said that Mr. Schorr made the text of that

1275

1 report available to the Village Voice for publication 2 on that date, is that right? • 3 Mr. Felker. That is right. 4 Mr. Marshall. When did Mr. Schorr first contact you concern-5 ing the report? 6 Mr. Felker. Mr. Schorr never contacted me. A representa-7 tive of the Reporters Committee on Freedom of the Press 8 contacted me, and said that the report was available for 9 publication in the Village Voice, or the report was 10 available for publication in any of our publications, and 11 was I interested. And I said that I was. And subsequently 12 that report was made available. 13 Mr. Marshall. When was that contact by the representative 14 of the Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press? 15 Mr. Felker. It was on a Wednesday night that I first 16 heard about it, prior to publication the following week 17 on Wednesday. And the report came into our hands on mid-day 18 Friday. 19 Mr. Marshall. Was the representative of the Reporters 20 Committee who contacted you a member of that committee, or 21 was it someone you understood to be acting on behalf of that 22 committee? 23 Mr. Felker. He was someone who made it very plain 24 in the first sentence that he spoke to me that he was 25 acting as a representative of that committee.

1	
1	Mr. Marshall. Who was that person?
2	Mr. Felker. Peter Rufo.
3	Mr. Marshall. Mr. Tufo is an attorney?
4	Mr. Felker. He is a New York Attorney, yes.
5	Mr. Marshall. Now, who first received the text
6	of the report on behalf of the Village Voice, that is the
7	physical document?
8	Mr. Felker. My assistant, Susan Parker.
9	Mr. Marshall. Is it correct that Mrs. Parker came
10	to Washington to pick up that document from Mr. Schorr?
11	Mr. Felker. Yes.
12	Mr. Marshall. All right. And the document was delivered
13	to Mrs. Parker on February 6, 1976, is that correct?
14	Mr. Felker. Yes.
15	Mr. Marshall. Now, during the time that the Village
16	Voice had the document, did you deal directly with Mr.
17	Schorr concerning the publication, or did someone on your
18	staff of the newspaper, or the newspaper staff handle those
19	responsibilities?
20	Mr. Felker. No one at any time had any contact with $\frac{1}{r}$
21	Mr. Schorr prior to publication of this document.
22	Mr. Marshall. At the time you were contacted by Mr.
23	Tufo, did somebody else handle the negotiations
24	with Mr. Schorr about deliery of the document?
25	Mr. Felker. I do not know who was in contact with Mr.

1277

	1	Schorr, but we were not.
	2	Mr. Marshall. Now, do you have any knowledge whatsoever
	3	how or from whom Mr. Schorr obtained the copy of the
	4	report he made available to the Village Voice for
	5	publication?
۰ ۰	6	Mr. Felker. None whatsoever.
	7	Mr. Marshall. Did Mr. Schorr ever communicate to you or
• .	8	to anyone else to your knowledge how or from whom he obtained
. ·	9	the report?
	10	Mr. Felker. Never.
	11	Mr. Marshall. Did you or anyone else to your knowledge
	12	ask Mr. Schorr from whom he obtained the report or how
	13	he obtained the report?
	14	Mr. Felker. No.
	15	Mr. Marshall. Do you know of anyone other than Mr.
	16	Schorr himself who may have knowledge about how or from whom
	17	he obtained the report?
	18	Mr. Felker. No.
· ·	19	Mr. Marshall. After Mr. Schorr made the text of the
	20	report available to the Village Voice, did your staff
	21	or anyone acting on behalf of the Village Voice make copies
	22	of that document Mr. Schorr delivered?
	23	Mr. Felker. Yes, we made two or three copies.
	24	Mr. Marshall. Do you know how many copies precisely?
	25	Mr. Felker. Not exactly certain. It is either two or

1278

1	three.
2	Mr. Marshall. And where are those copies now?
3	Mr. Felker. They have been thrown away.
4	Mr. Marshall. Do you know that based on your personal
5	knowledge, or have you simply been informed that by someone
6	else?
7	Mr. Felker. I am reasonably certain that they have
8	been thrown away.
9	Mr. Marshall. To whom were those copies delivered
10	at the time copies were made of the document Mr. Schorr
11	supplied?
12	Mr. Felker. Well, one was delivered to me, one was
13	delivered to Mr. Zalaznick, and one to Mr. Latham.
14	Mr. Marshall. Did you personally destroy the copy that
15	was delivered to you?
16	Mr. Felker. After I read it, I threw it away, I put
17	it in my wastebasket and threw it away.
18	Mr. Marshall. So it went out with the garbage?
19	Mr. Felker. That is right.
20	Mr. Marshall. When you read the copy of the report
21	which was supplied to you, did the report have any markings
22	and handwriting on the margin which were xeroxed markings
23	at the time?
24	Mr. Felker. I really cannot recall whether it did
25	or not. I was reading the report very rapidly, and to

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

• ~

z

et 🔨

	Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0 1279
1	the best of my knowledge, I cannot recall any markings.
2	Mr. Marshall. Did any pages appear to be missing?
3	Mr. Felker. Yes, there were at least one page and perhaps
4	several although I was just skimming the report to
5	establish certain kinds of information, and I was not aware
. 6	of how many pages precisely were missing.
7	Mr. Marshall. Did the copy of the report which you read
8	have footnotes in the text?
9	Mr. Felker. Yes.
10	Mr. Marshall. Were these footnotes published?
11	Mr. Felker. Some were and some weren't.
12	Mr. Marshall. In the Village Voice at the time of
13	publication, there was a statement that some of the footnotes
14	had been trimmed because of space problems in publication.
15	Is that statement correct, sir?
16	Mr. Felker. Yes.
17	Mr. Marshall. Who made the decision about trimming
18	certain portions of the report?
19	Mr. Felker. These this was an editorial decision
20	reached by the editors involved in the editing of this
21	document.
22	Mr. Marshall. This was a joint committee type
23	decision?
24	Mr. Felker. It was a decision that was made by the editors
25	of the magazine and the newspaper.

1280

Mr. Marshall. When you say the magazine, do you mean 1 the New York Magazine? 2 Mr. Felker. Yes. 3 Mr. Marshall. And the editors of the Village Voice? 4 Mr. Felker. Yes. 5 Mr. Marshall. Now, did the Village Voice pay any money 6 to any person, firm or corporation in return for publishing 7 the report in the editions of the Village Voice for February 8 16 and February 23, 1976? 9 Mr. Felker. No. 10 Mr. Marshall. Were there any discussions with any 11 persons, firm or corporation about payment of money? 12 Mr. Felker. There was no discussion about the payment 13 of money for this report. 14 Mr. Marshall. Did you have any discussions with 15 Mr. Schorr concerning the payment of money for the report? 16 Mr. Felker. I never talked to Mr. Schorr. 17 Mr. Marshall. To your knowledge, did anyone acting on 18 behalf of the Village Voice or the New York Magazine have 19 any discussions with Mr. Schorr about the payment of 20 money for the report? 21 Mr. Felker. No one acting on the part of our organization 22 had any discussions of any nature at any time with Mr. Schorr. 23 Mr. Marshall. There have been certain statements in the 24 Press that financial arrangements were made with the 25

Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press in return
 for the Village Voice being given access to the report
 and being given the ability to publish the report. Are those
 statements incorrect to your knowledge?

Mr. Felker. They are incorrect.

6 Mr. Marshall. Did the Village Voice or New York Magazine, 7 or anyone acting on behalf of those publications, or those 8 legal entities, have any negotiations at all with the 9 Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press about making a 10 money payment to the Reporters Committee in return for access 11 and publication of the report?

Mr. Felker. There were no negotiations per se.
There was a discussion, a request, that we consider making
a contribution to the Reporters Committee. However, the request
was not made contingent upon the publication of the report.
The report was made available to us, no strings attached.

Mr. Marshall. Who made the request that the Village Voice consider making a contribution or payment to the Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press?

20

21

22

17

18

19

5

Mr. Felker. Mr. Tufo.

Mr. Marshall. Did you understand him to be acting on behalf of Mr. Schorr at that time?

23 Mr. Felker. He was acting on the part of the Reporters
24 Committee.

25

Mr. Marshall. Is it your testimony that Mr. Schorr

proved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004

	1282
1	supplied the report to the Village Voice, but that the
2	Reporters Committee, without any relationship to that report
3	being made available, then sort of out of the blue made
4	a request for contributions to your magazine?
5	Mr. Felker. I would imagine that the Reporters Committee
6	in order to carry on its work, is probably always looking
7	for money.
8	Mr. Marshall. But is it your testimony that the
9	Reporters Committee had no reasonable relationship to the
10	report being made available at the time that it made the
11	request for a contribution?
12	Mr. Felker. I do not know what the relationship was.
13	It had nothing to do with the publishing of this report.
14	Mr. Marshall. Did you have any information that making the
15	report available to the Village Voice and the request
16	from the Reporters Committee had any connection whatsoever?
17	Mr. Felker. I did not feel there was any connection.
18	Mr. Marshall. The two were totally unrelated in
19	your judgment?
20	Mr. Felker. In my jdugment, they were unrelated.
21	The report was made available to us, no strings
22	attached.
23	Mr. Marshall. Were you the person that talked directly
24	with Mr. Tufo concerning the Reporters Committee's request?
25	Mr. Felker. Yes.
	Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

.....

¢

· · 1283

	1283
. 1	Mr. Marshall. During those conversations, did Mr. Tufo
2	at any time mention to you that his request was because of
3	Mr. Schorr's making the report available to you?
4	Mr. Felker. No.
5	Mr. Marshall. Thatsubject was never discussed?
6	Mr. Felker. The subject of that we were discussing,
7	had to do with whether we would be interested in publishing
8	the report. After that was discussed and settled, we
9	began to talk about the possibility of a contribution on the
10	part of our company.
- 11	Mr. Marshall. The two matters were then related in time.
12	You first discussed having the report being made available
13	for publication, and then you and Mr. Tufo discussed the
14	contribution to be made to the Reporters Committee, is that
15	correct?
16	Mr. Felker. One was not contingent upon the other.
17	Mr. Marshall. That was not my question, sir. I am
18	saying insofar as point of time is concerned, you first
19	made whatever arrangements were made in connection with the
20	publication of the report and getting access to it, and you then
21	dealt with Mr. Tufo on the subject of making a contribution
22	to the Reporters Committee, is that your testimony?
23	Mr. Felker. It took place within the same framework of
24	time, yes.
25	Mr. Marshall. And you understood the two to be related

	Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0 1284
1	somewhat, did you not?
2	Mr. Felker. No, I didn't understand the two to be related.
·3	Mr. Marshall. Those are all the questions I have at
4	this time, Mr. Chairman.
. 5	Mr. Flynt. Mr. Price.
6	Mr. Price. No questions.
7	Mr. Flynt. Mr. Spence.
8	Mr. Spence. No questions.
9	Mr. Flynt. Mr. Teague.
10	Mr. Teague. No questions.
11	Mr. Flynt. Mr. Hutchinson.
12	Mr. Hutchinson. No questions.
13	Mr. Flynt. Mr. Hebert?
14	Mr. Hebert. No questions.
15	Mr. Flynt. Mr. Quie.
16	Mr. Quie. No questions.
17	Mr. Flynt. Mr. Foley.
18	Mr. Foley. No questions.
19	Mr. Flynt. Mr. Mitchell?
20	Mr. Mitchell. No questions.
21	Mr. Flynt. Mr. Bennett.
22	Mr. Bennett. No questions.
23	Mr. Flynt. Mr. Cochran.
24	Mr. Cochran. Mr. Chairman, I have one question for
25	the witness.

2

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

	285
1	Mr. Felker, at the time the Village Voice actually
2	published the report, were you aware that the House of
3	Representatives had voted by a substantial margin to refrain
4	from permitting publication of that document?
5	Mr. Felker. Yes.
6	Mr. Cochran. I have no further questions, Mr. Chairman.
7	Mr. Flynt. Counsel?
8	The witness may step down.
9	Will Committee Counsel call the next witness.
10	Mr. Marshall. Call Mr. Aaron Latham to the witness
11	stand.
12	TESTIMONY OF AARON LATHAM, ACCOMPANIED BY
13	THEODORE W. KHEEL, ATTORNEY
14	Mr. Flynt. Mr. Latham, would you raise your right hand
15	please? You do solemnly swear that the testimony you will
16	give before this committee in the matters now under considera-
17	tion will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
18	the truth, so help you God?
19	Mr. Latham. I do.
20	Mr. Flynt. Please be seated.
21	Mr. Marshall. Mr. Latham, would you state your name
22	and address for the record, please?
23	Mr. Latham. Aaron Latham.
24	Mr. Marshall. Where do you live, sir?
25	Mr. Latham. 223 East 72nd Street, New York City.

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

1286

1	Mr. Marshall. Mr. Latham, you are appearing here with
2	counsel?
З	Mr. Latham. I am.
4	Mr. Marshall. Counsel, you may identify yourself
5	for the record.
6	Mr. Kheel. Theodore W. Kheel. My affiliations
7	have previously been entered in the record.
8	Mr. Marshall. Thank you.
9	Mr. Latham, you are here pursuant to a subpoena duces
10	tecum which has been served upon you prior to your appearance
11	today?
12	Mr. Latham. That is correct.
13	Mr. Marshall. At the time the subpoena was served upon
14	you, you also received copies of House Resolution 1042 and
15	1054, copies of the Rules of the House of Representatives,
16	and the Rules of thisCommittee, a copy of the Investigative
. 17	Procedures which were adopted by this committee, and a copy
18	of the Chairman's Opening Statement for these hearings,
19	is that correct?
20	Mr. Latham. That is correct.
21	Mr. Marshall. In the event that your testimony or
22	evidence may involve material from an executive session of
23	the House Select Committee on Intelligence or information
24	which is classified, or information which may tend to defame,
25	degrade or incriminate any person, would you please advise
	this committee so that it may take appropriation action under
[	Approved For Poloace 2006/11/14 - CIA PDD01 000668000800010004 0

the Rules of the House of Representatives?

Mr. Latham. I will.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Marshall. The subpoena duces tecum served upon you prior to this hearing demandsthat you produce at this hearing certain papers, including any and all copies or drafts of the report prepared by the House Select Committee on Intelligence pursuant to House Resolution 591. Do you have those papers with you?

1287

Mr. Latham. I haven't brought anything. I don't have 9 anything that I thought was covered by the subpoena. 10

Mr. Marshall. It is your statement, then, that there were no papers in your custody, control or possession which 12 were covered by the subpoena?

Mr. Latham. Well, I have no copies of the Pike 14 Committee Report in my possession. 15

Mr. Marshall. Do you have a statement that you wish to make or file with the committee at this time?

Mr. Latham. Well, I would like to say just a few words. I think that it tends to have a chilling effect upon journalists to be compelled to come before a Congressional committee. I believe that no matter how benign the questions, these questions tend to erod the First Amendment. And I believe that any intimidation of reporters, intentionalor unintentional, tends to compromise what the First Amendment sought to protect. Therefore, I feel that I shouldn't have

Approved For Release 2006/11/14

1288

1	been compelled to come here, or that Daniel Schorr should not
2	have been compelled to compelled to come here.
3	That is all I have to say.
4	Mr. Marshall. Mr. Latham, where are you presently
5	employed?
6	Mr. Latham. At New York Magazine.
7	Mr. Marshall. And could you give us a description
8	of your duties with the Magazine?
9	Mr. Latham. Well, my official title is Senior Editor.
10	What that really means is that I cover Washington for
11	New York Magazine.
12	Mr. Marshall. Mr. Latham, were you employed in any
13	capacity by the Village Voice when the February 16 and
14	February 23, 1976, editions of that paper were published?
15	Mr. Latham. Well, this is a tiny bit complicated. I
16	am employed by New York Magazine. New York Magazine
17	owns a controlling interest in the Village Voice. And I
18	was assigned to write the introduction to the Pike Committee
19	papers. However, I have never been on the payroll of the
20	Village Voice.
21	Mr. Marshall. Now, when the text of the report was
22	published in the February 16 and February 23, 1976, editions
23	of the Voice, is it your testimony that you wrote the intro-
24	duction to that text?
25	Mr. Latham. That is correct.

1 Mr. Marshall. Did you have anything else to do with 2 the publication of the report in those editions of the Village 3 Voice? 4 Mr. Latham. I was the one to xerox the report. 5 Mr. Marshall. All right. 6 How many copies did you make? 7 Mr. Latham. I believe that I xeroxed three copies 8 of the report. And we were trying to keep this terribly secret 9 that we had the report within our company. And so everybody 10 formed a line behind me at the xerox machine, and I told everyone 11 I was xeroxing a copy of a novel that I had written. 12 Mr. Marshall. I see. 13 After making three copies, you then had a total of 14 four copies in your possession? 15 Mr. Latham. That is my memory, right. 16 Mr. Marshall. All right. Now, to whom did you deliver 17 those copies? 18 Mr. Latham. I believe that one went to Shelley Zalaznick-19 no, two went to Shelley Zalaznick, one went to Clay Felker, 20 and I took one. Mr. Marshall. Where is your copy of, the report, that 21 22 is the copy you took? 23 Mr. Latham. After we published the report, we decided 24 that we should return the report to Daniel Schorr, who had given it to us in the first place. I contacted his lawyer's 25 office. They said they would recieve it. And I carried it Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

Approved For Release	2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP9	91-00966R000800010004-0

1 290

1	ver to Mr. Califano's office, and delivered it back to
2	Mr. Schorr in that manner.
3	Mr. Marshall. On what date was the report delivered
4	back to Mr. Schorr?
5	Mr. Latham. Well, it was shortly after we published
6	it, but I do not know exactly when.
7	Mr. Marshall. When you say "shortly after we published
8	it," do you mean at the time the February 16 edition was
9	made public and published?
10	Mr. Latham. We published it on two successive weeks.
11	It was after we had completed publishing both weeks, that
12	I returned my copy to Daniel Schorr's lawyer.
. 13	Mr. Marshall. Now that leaves three copies to account
14	for.
15	Do you know what happened to Mr. Felker's copy?
16	Mr. Latham. Well, he has told me that he threw it
17	away.
18	Mr. Marshall. What about Mr. Zalaznick's two copies?
19	Mr. Latham. He has told me that he threw those away.
20	Mr. Marshall. Now, prior to the time you wrote
21	the introduction to the piece that appeared in the February
22	l6 and February werd editions of the Village Voice, did you
23	have any contact with Mr. Schorr?
24	Mr. Latham. I did not have any contact with Mr. Schorr
25	having to do with the publication of the report.

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

	1. 291
1	Mr. Marshall. Did you have any contact with Mr. Schorr
2	dealing with the subject matter of the piece that you were
3	going to write an introduction to?
4	Mr. Latham. No, I didn't .
5	Mr. Marshall. Your contacts were totally unrelated
6	to that subject?
7	Mr. Latham. Right. I mean just to make it clear,
8	I hadn't I hadn't talked to him for about a year before
9	we got the copy of the report.
10	Mr. Marshall. Now, do you have any knowledge whatsoever
11	how or from whom Mr. Schorr got the copy of the report
12	he made available to the Village Voice for publication?
- 13	Mr. Latham. Well, I have some trouble personally answering
14	questions about sources at all. We have said in our opening
15	statement that we do not know who Mr. Schorr's source
16	was. And I don't know who Mr. Schorr's source was. To the
17	broader question of any information whatsoever, I would
18	respectfully deline to answer.
19	Mr. Marshall. On what grounds?
20	Mr. Latham. The First Amendment protection of sources.
21	Mr. Flynt. Mr. Latham, at this time I must advise
22	you that this committee is acting pursuant to the authority
23	vested in it by Resolutions 1042 and 1054 of the House -
24	of Representatives, 94th Congress.
25	Copies of those resolutions and the opening statement of

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

1.291

1292

the Chairman of this committee setting out the legislative
 purposes of these hearings were served upon you prior to your
 appearance as a witness here today.

The subject of these hearings is an inquiry into
the circumstances surrounding the publication in the Village
Voice of the text and of any part of the report of the
House Select Committee on Intelligence so that this committee
can report back to the House its findings and recommendations
thereon.

10 The question put to you is pertinent to the subject under 11 inquiry in that the identity of the person from whom the text 12 and any part of the report were obtained and the method by 13 which the text and any part of that report were obtained 14 constitute evidence of the circumstances surrounding publication 15 of the text and any part of that report.

Your answer to the question put to you is necessary
for this committee to carry out the mandate of the House of
Representatives.

If you continue to refuse to answer the question, your refusal will be deemed by this committee to constitute a willful failure to answer a question pertinent to the subject under inquiry and will subject you to prosecution and punishment by fine or imprisonment or both under Title II of the United States Code, Sections 192, 193 and 194. Your refusal to answer will also subject you to prosecution

25

1293

and punishment for contempt of the House of Representatives. 1 Accordingly, you are hereby advised that your objection 2 to the question and your grounds for refusing to answer 3 the question are hereby overruled. 4 As Chairman of this committee, I hereby demand and 5 direct that you answer the question put to you. 6 Mr. Kheel. Mr. Chairman, if I may, the witness 7 has testified under oath that he does not know who the 8 source was. I am a little at a loss to know what is the 9 question that you say he has refused to answer bearing on this 10 subject. 11 Mr. Marshall. If I may respond, Mr. Chairman. 12 Mr. Flynt. Counsel for the committee will respond. 13 Mr. Marshall. The question put to the witness asked 14 for a response as to whether he had any knowledge of who Mr. 15 Schorr's source was. As I recall his response, it was that 16 hedid not personally know, but that he was unwilling to 17 provide this committee with any knowledge he might have of 18 the source. And it is that question which the witness is being 19 asked to answer at this time. 20 Mr. Kheel. Do you understand that to be the question? 21 Mr. Latham. Well, I would hate to pretend to know 22

something that I don't. And I do not know who Mr. Schorr's
source is. But as to the question of do I have any knowledge
whatsoever, I respectfully decline to answer.

1294

. 1	Mr.Marshall. Let me inquire of the witness did
2	you understand the statement made by the Chairman
3	Mr. Latham. I did.
4	Mr. Marshall as to the possible consequences of your
5	refusal to answer?
6	Mr. Latham. Yes, sir.
7	Mr. Marshall. And do you still persist in that refusal?
8	Mr. Latham. Yes, sir.
9	Mr. Flynt. Committee Counsel will now put the next
10	question to the witness.
11	Mr. Marshall. Going back to the copies that you said
12	that you made of the report when it was delivered to the
13	Village Voice, did those copies have any handwritten markings
14	on any page at the time you made the xerox copy?
15	Mr. Latham. Well, what I took home and read was
16	a xerox of a xerox of a xerox, so it was impossible to
17	tell what generation the marks were. However, there were
18	some marks on the report.
19	Mr. Marshall. Were they markings which appeared in
20	the margin of the report as though someone had made notes at the
21	time the report was being read?
22	Mr. Latham. There were a few such markings.
23	Mr. Marshall. Were they in handwriting?
24	Mr. Latham. Well, I remember there were markings
25	on the manuscript.

	Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0 1295	
1	Mr. Marshall. What I am trying to ascertain, Mr.	
2	Latham, is the nature of those markings, if you can recall.	
.3	Mr. Latham. It was almost virtually a clean copy.	
4	It seems to me that there may have been one or two words written	
5	in the margin.	
6	Mr. Marshall. Do you remember what those words were?	
7	Mr. Latham. No, I don't.	
8	Mr. Marshall. Were any lines of the report underlined	-
9	in pencil or pen or other marking that you recall?	
10	Mr. Latham. As I recall there were some underlinings.	
11	Mr. Marshall. Were any pages of the report missing	
12	as they appeared to you at the time you xeroxed the	
13	report?	i
14	Mr. Latham. As we stated when we published the report,	
15	there were I believe we stated there were two pages missing	
16	and we put a bracket in what we published, saying there is	
17	a page missing here.	
18 19	Mr. Marshall. Do you recall what pages those were?	
19 - 20	Mr. Latham. I remember one page was the beginning	
21	of the whole section on whether we did or did not betray the $\frac{1}{2}$	
22	Kurds in their rebellion in Iraq. The other page I don't	
23	remember at all.	
23 24	Mr. Marshall. Were the references made in the Village	
25	Voice at the time the report was published correct insofar	
	as they referred to the pages that were missing?	

Approved F : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0 Release /14 2006

Ш

1	Mr. Latham. They were correct.
2	Mr. Marshall. Now, did the copy of the report that you
· 3	xeroxed have any footnotes on it?
4	Mr. Latham. It did.
5	Mr. Marshall. As I understand the earlier testimony,
6	some of those footnotes were published and others were not,
7	is that correct?
8	Mr. Latham. That is correct.
. 9	Mr. Marshall. Now, did you take part in any discussions
10	about making a money payment to anyone in return for
11	the Village Voice having the report made available to it?
12	Mr. Latham. I did not.
13	Mr. Marshall. Those are all the question I have
14	at this time, Mr. Chairman.
15	Mr. Flynt. Mr. Price.
16	Mr. Price. No questions.
17	Mr. Flynt. Mr. Spence?
18	Mr. Spence. No questions.
19	Mr. Flynt. Mr. Teague?
20	Mr. Teague. No questions.
21	Mr. Flynt. Mr. Quillen?
22	Mr. Quillen. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
23	Mr. Latham, I believe you stated that you had had -
24	no contact whatsoever with Mr. Schorr.
25	Mr. Latham. I hadn't had any contact with Mr.

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

J296

Schorr for perhaps a year before we acquired this report.
 That is correct.

Mr. Quillen. Did anyone from the New York Magazine or the Village Voice have any contact with Mr. Schorr in regard to the report before it was published or after?

6 Mr. Latham. I believe -- although I had nothing to do with
7 it -- that a few days after we published, there was some
8 contact. After we published the report.

Mr. Quillen. What was that contact, Mr. Latham? Mr. Latham. Well, I am not your best witness on this, but I believe Dan Schorr had the courtesy to call Clay Felker and read him a statement in which Dan Schorr admitted that he had been our source for the report.

Mr. Quillen. I believe the report was picked up from
Mr. Schorr's home here in Washington, D. C.

Mr. Latham. Right.

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

Mr. Quillen. By a secretary to Mr. Felker? Mr. Latham. Right.

Mr. Quillen. If no contact had been made with Mr.
Schorr prior to that, how did the Village Voice or
the New York Magazine know where that report was?

Mr. Latham. Through -- well, again I had nothing to do with these negotiations, but my understanding is that it was through the intermediary who arranged for us to acquire the report.

Mr. Quillen. Who was that intermediary?

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

1298

Mr. Latham. Well, as has been published several times, 1 2 it was the lawyer Peter Tufo. 3 Mr. Quillen. I beg your pardon? I say as has been reported in the press, 4 Mr. Latham. the negotiator -- or the person who supplied the report to 5 us, or contacted us, was Peter Tufo, the New York lawyer. 6 Mr. Quillen. You know to your personal knowledge 7 that he made the contact with Mr. Schorr and set up the delivery 8 time, and someone dispatched a secretary to Washington D. C. 9 to pick up something they didn't know what it was? 10 Mr. Latham. Of my own personal knowledge, I don't know 11 any of that. 12 Mr. Quillen. The copy of the report that you had was 13 returned to Mr. Schorr? 14 Mr. Latham. It was returned to the law offices of 15 Joseph Califano, his attorney. 16 Mr. Quillen. Was that the copy that was picked up in 17 Washington, D. C., to your knowledge? 18 Mr. Latham. Well, this is speculation, but I believe 19 that it was a copy of the copy that we picked up in 20 Washington, 21 Mr. Quillen. When you wrote your introduction, did you 22 know that the House of Representatives had acted by tremendous 23 majority vote not to release that report? 24 Mr. Latham. Well, I knew that a third of the Congress 25

4

8

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

had voted to release it. But also I made a note of the 1 fact that the Congress had voted not to release the report in 2 the introduction itself. 3

1299

Mr. Quillen. Because it contained classified and secret Did you know that, Mr. Latham? material? 5

Mr. Latham. All I knew was that the House had voted 6 not to release the report. 7

Mr. Quillen. Did you know that, Mr. Latham?

Mr. Latham. I knew the House had voted not to release the 9 report until the President had sanitized it. 10

Mr. Quillen. Did you read the colloquy in the Congressional Record where it was pointed out that it did in fact contain 12 secret and classified information? 13

Mr. Latham. Well, I didn't read the colloquy in the Record. However, in anything that you publish, there is a certain balancing and a certain trade-off. And we thought we were doing the country a service by publishing this report, which -- we thought Congress had done the service in compiling the report.

Mr. Quillen. What country do you think that you provided 20 a service for in publishing the report? 21

I believe the United States of America. Mr. Latham. 22 We showed that we could investigate our own wrong-doing. 23

Mr. Quillen. In other words, irrespective of the action 24 of the Congress of the United States, you, in writing your 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

introductory remarks, and as the Senior Editor, felt that you had the authority and the ability to go beyond the dictation of the Congress, and that you knew more about what was good for the United States of America than 435 Members elected by the people?

Mr. Latham. I believe that that is why the First Amendment was written, to give the Press that authority. And I did feel that we were doing the country a service, and again that the committee, the Pike Committee, had done the country a service in bringing to light some of the seamier details of espionage work and trying to make sure it doesn't happen again.

13 Mr. Quillen. Mr. Chairman, I will not prolong this. 14 But I am compelled to say that the security of my country 15 means more than anything else that I can remember at this 16 point. We only have one country, and when that country is 17 gone, we have something else. Newspapers come and go. But 18 our country remains forever. And a free press helped 19 maintain that freedom, and helps maintain the insurance that 20 our country will go on forever. But I am appalled that 21 someone would take in their own hands the determination, against 22 the will of Congress, that certain material, materials 23 were not classified or secret. And I think that it is just 24 unbelievable to me here this morning that we would have that 25 attitude reflected by great publications in this country.

<u>pproved For Release 2006/11/14 CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0</u>
Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

	Mr.	Flynt. Mr. Hebert.
÷	Mr.	Hebert. No questions.
	Mr.	Flynt. Mr. Hutchinson.
	Mr.	Hutchinson. No questions.
	Mr.	Flynt. Mr. Foley.
	Mr.	Foley. No questions.
	Mr.	Flynt. Mr. Quie?
	Mr.	Quie. No questions.

Mr. Flynt. Mr. Bennett?

11 Mr. Bennett. Well, I am not quite sure that I understood 12 the question and the answer which gave rise to the discussion. 13 I am not sure whether or not you are saying that you don't 14 have any knowlege or opinion, or that you won't express 15 what that knowledge or opinion is. So I would like to 16 ask you, to clarify it -- maybe it is already in the record--17 do you have any opinion or knowledge as to who may have 18 given the material to Mr. Schorr?

19 Mr. Latham. Well, I don't know who gave the material
20 to Mr. Schorr. And as to whether I have any opinion, I
21 decline to answer.

23

22

25

Mr. Flynt. Mr. Bennett, would you suspend? Mr. Latham, I must at this time --Mr. Mitchell. Mr. Chairman -- I'm sorry.

Mr. Bennett. No further questions.

<u>pproved For Release 2006/11/14 CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-</u>

5

6

7

8

11

17

18

20

21

Mr. Latham, I must at this time advise you Mr. Flynt. 1 that this committee is acting pursuant to the authority 2 vested in it by House Resolutions 1042 and 1054 of the 3 House of Representatives, 94th Congress. 4

Copies of those resolutions and the opening statement of the Chairman of this committee setting out the legislative purposes of these hearings were served upon you prior to your appearance as a witness here today.

The subject of these hearings is an inquiry into the 9 circumstances surrounding the publication in the Village Voice 10 of the text and of any part of the report of the House Select Committee on Intelligence so that this committee can report 12 back to the House its findings and recommendations thereon. 13

The question put to you is pertinent to the subject 14 under inquiry, in that it would be evidence as to the source 15 from which the unauthorized publication took place, the source 16 from which Mr. Schorr obtained it. And that this constitutes evidence of the circumstances surrounding publication of the text and any part of that report. Your answer to the question 19 put to you is necessary for this committee to carry out the mandate of the House of Representatives.

If you continue to refuse to answer the question, 22 your refusal will be deemed by this committee to constitute 23 a willful failure to answer a question pertinent to the 24 subject under inquiry, and will subject you to prosecution 25

<sup>•</sup> Release 2006/11/14 · CIA-RDP91-00966R000

1 3 0 3

and punishment by a fine or imprisonment or both, under 1 Title II of the United States Code, Sections 192, 193, and 2 194. 3 Your refusal to answer will also subject you to prosecution 4 and punishment for contempt of the United States House 5 of Representatives. 6 Accordingly, you are hereby advised that your objection 7 to the question and your grounds for refusing to answer the 8 question are hereby overruled. 9 As Chairman of this committee, I hereby demand and direct 10 that you answer the question put to you. 11 Mr. Latham. I respectfully deline to answer. 12 Mr. Kheel. Mr. Chairman, may I state for the record 13 again that the witness has said under oath that he has no 14 knowledge -- that he does not know who the source is, and that 15 the question, does he have any knowledge, calls for speculation 16 at best, and that the record should so indicate. 17 Mr. Bennett. I think counsel used the word "opinion." 18 You said "knowledge." 19 I believe Mr. Marshall said that the questio Mr. Kheel. 20 was did he have any knowledge. 21 Mr. Bennett. On that question he replied that he had 22 no knowledge, and he said he did not want to express his 23 opinion. And in your summary, you used the word "knowledge." 24 Thank you very much. And I say that that Mr. Kheel. 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1303-A

is speculation. Mr. Marshall. So that the record may be clear-is it Mr. Latham's position that under oath he has no knowledge of the circumstances under which Mr. Schorr obtained the report, and he is making that statement under oath? Mr. Kheel. He has stated under oath that he had no knowledge of who the source is. Mr. Marshall. Let me ask him if he will reaffirm that statement under oath, because it may be we can clear up simply a matter of semantics here. Mr. Kheel. Yes, I think that would be well to do. Mr. Latham. Well, I do not know who the source is, and I decline to express any opinion or to say whether I have any knowledge whatsoever, which is the question put to me. Mr. Marshall. You understand that the question that was put to you earlier, by me, asked not for your opinion, but whether you had any knowledge concerning the source, Mr. Schorr's source. Did you understand that question? Mr. Latham. Well, I believe I do, and I would like to stand on my answer. Mr. Marshall. All right. And you understand, in standing on your answer, and on those grounds, that the Chairman has instructed you as to consequences of that position? Do you understand, sir? Mr. Latham. That is correct.

Mr. Marshall. I think the record is now clear, Mr. Chairman.

1303-B

Mr. Flynt. Mr. Bennett.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

18

19

24

25

Mr. Bennett. I think his answer to my question was clear. The only thing I was trying to clarify, I think counsel just by a slip of the tongue put the word "knowledge" in when it should have been "opinion," because he went on to explain it as if he was talking about opinion. I think the record is clear.

Mr. Marshall. Mr. Bennett, so that the record will be 10 clear, my intent was to ask the question concerning knowledge and not opinion. 12

Mr. Kheel. Mr. Marshall, knowledge of what?

Mr. Marshall. Knowledge of the circumstances surrounding 14 Mr. Schorr's obtaining of the Select Committee's report. 15 Any knowledge relating to those circumstances including knowledge 16 of the source. 17

Mr. Kheel. Well, he has no knowledge of the source. He doesn't know who the source is.

Mr. Marshall. If the witness will state that he has 20 no knowledge of the source, then I think that will make the 21 record clear. But so far we have had your statement and not 22 the witness' statement to that effect. 23

> Mr. Kheel. I thought that is what the witness said. Mr. Marshall. All he has to do is simply state, "I have

For Release 2006/11/14 · CIA

1303-C

no knowledge of the source," and I think the record will 1 be clear, and we can go on to something else. 2 Mr. Latham. I would like to stand on my prevous З answer. 4 Mr. Marshall. Then you understand the consequences 5 of your standing on your previous answer? 6 Mr. Latham. That is correct. 7 Mr. Marshall. And you understand the Chair has directed 8 you to answer the question? 9 Mr. Latham. I do. 10 Mr. Marshall. All right. I think we may go on. 11 Mr. Flynt. Mr. Mitchell. 12 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Mitchell. 13 Mr. Latham, one of the responsibilities of our committee 14 is to make recommendations to the House on how to prevent 15 such an occurrence from happening again. 16 I want to assure you that I also have great respect 17 for the First Amendment, and I do not want to do anything to 18 erode the First Amendment. And I am sympathetic with your 19 job, the public's right to know, and all the news that is 20 fit to print. But would you agree, Mr. Latham, that our 21 nation has secrets that must be kept? 22 I agree that our nation has secrets that Mr. Latham. 23 must be kept. 24 Mr. Mitchell. Would you agree also that the Congress 25

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-

1304

of the United States must have an intelligence oversight 1 capability? 2 Mr. Latham. I am very much in favor of the Congress 3 having that capability. 4 Mr. Mitchell. And to do that, the Congress of the United 5 States has to be able to keep secrets. I think you can follow 6 that logic. 7 Mr. Latham. I believe the Congress has to be able to 8 keep secrets. 9 Mr. Mitchell. Were you aware -- we learned this in our 10 testimony, and I do not know that it came out before the report 11 was published -- but were you aware of the fact that the 12 CIA classified that report as containing something like 25 13 percent top secret -- 25 percent classified information? 14 I wasn't aware of that information. Mr. Latham. 15 Mr. Mitchell. You didn't feel that the report contained 16 any top secret information? 17 Mr. Mitchell. Well, I felt that the committee who 18 prepared the report had been willing to and wanted to release 19 the report. 20 I also realized that the committee had done its work from 21 sanitized documents supplied by the agency. So it first 22 went through the sanitizing process of the documents, -23 sanitized, and then turned over to the committee. Then the 24 committee sanitized this information before it put it in 25 I was also aware that there were not names of the report.

<u>proved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0</u>

1304-A

	L 3 0 4 -	A
1	any station chiefs, no names of any agents. And I felt	
2	that since it had gone through that many screenings,	
3	and the committee had voted, that we were not subjecting the	
4	United States to any immediate danger, and that in the balancing	
5	process that you go through in deciding to publish or	
6	whether not to publish by the way, I did not make the	
7	decision whether to publish. I recommended that we publish.	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	But I thought that a part of protecting the nation is the	
· 9	nation should stand for something, and a part of what the	
10	nation stands for is cleaning up its own mistakes. And I felt	
11	this was in that tradition.	
12	Mr. Mitchell. If you had known that the CIA had	
13	classified 25 percent of this document, hypothetical	
14	situation 25 percent of this document as top secret, would	
15	you have reported it anyway? Would you have participated	
16	in the publishing?	
17	Mr. Latham. That is a hypothetical question. However,	
. 18	I know that those classifications are sometimes used to cover	
19	mistakes, and that one person's top secret is another person's	
20	normal, every-day news.	
21	Mr. Mitchell. You were aware also, Mr. Latham, that	
22	the President of the United States had requested that the report	
23	not be published until such time as it could be sanitized	
24	properly?	
25	Mr. Latham. I was aware of that. But as I stated	

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

1304-в

1	in the introduction I actually quoted from the committee's
2	
	own report, that once documents are subjected to being sanitized
3	by the Executive Branch, they often come out with whole pages
4	blank, and pages with only one sentence on them. And I
5	didn't feel that the President had the exclusive right to
6	decide
7	Mr. Mitchell. I didn't get the last sentence.
8	Mr. Latham. I didn't feel the President had the exclusive
9	right to decide whether this report should be published.
10	Mr. Mitchell. It wasn't exclusive. The House of Repre-
11	sentatives also voted two to one not to have it published.
12	Mr. Latham. But the committee itself that prepared the
13	report voted to publish it.
14	Mr. Mitchell. I have no further questions, Mr. Chairman.
15	Mr. Flynt. Mr. Cochran?
16	Mr. Cochran. I have no questions.
17	Mr. Flynt. Committee Counsel?
18	Mr. Marshall. Mr. Latham, I have one more question.
19	Who or what is the basis for your information that
20	sanitized documents were supplied to the Select Committee
21	in connection with their preparation of the report?
22	Mr. Latham. The report itself. It gives a long _
23	explanation of the frustrations of dealing with sanitized
~ •	

24 documents supplied by the Central Intelligence Agency.

Mr. Marshall. So that your opinion that the report

	Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0 1304~C
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	dealt with or came from documents which were sanitized
2	was exclusively an opinion based upon what you read
•	in the report, is that right?
(_) 4	Mr. Latham. That is correct.
5	Mr. Flynt. Mr. Spence.
6	Mr. Spence. Mr. Latham, I have been interested in
7	your responses. Are you an authority on intelligence matters?
SH fls 8	
l a.m. . 9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
•	Approved For Release 2006/11/14 CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

•

SOC Hanback 11 a.m.

"'s. Mills

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Mr. Latham. Having covered the Intelligence Committee for New York Magazine I would not say I am a supporter. Mr. Spence. Do you think you in all honesty have some qualifications to decide for yourself and everyone who might read your publication whether or not something you are printing would be harmful to the national security of this country?

Mr. Latham. I believe that the First Amendment gives me the authority to make that decision. I didn't make the decision, I only recommended. It was up to other people to finally make the decision.

Mr. Spence. What about someone else, say, who is not in your position, but an individual, nonetheless, would he have an equal right to determine for himself and the public before the whole world something that could be harmful to national security?

Mr. Latham. I believe that the First Amendment gives the press the right to make the decision what to publish.

Mr. Spence. You said earlier that you thought this country had to have some secrets.

Mr. Latham. I believe it does. Mr. Spence. It's necessary to have secrets. Mr. Latham. I believe it does.

Mr. Spence. Now you are saying any one person can determine for himself what is secret or should be held secret and what should not?

25

<u> Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-</u>

1	Mr. Latham. I am saying that the	
2	Mr. Spence. THat is not consistent.	
3	Mr. Latham. I am saying under the First Amendment the	
4	press is allowed to make the decision whether to publish or	
5	not to publish.	
6	Mr. Kheel. Mr. Chairman, for the record, on behalf of	
7	the New York Magazine, the New York Company, the Village Voice	
8	and all of the people I represent today, that I don't want to	
9	sound sanctimonious about this, but we consider ourselves to	
10	loyal Americans and we are concerned about the security of the	
11	United States.	
12	We are also journalists and publishers and editors with	
13	the responsibility to provide the public with information it	
14	is entitled to know, and that decisions were made in pursuance	
15	of that responsibility.	
16	Mr. Spence. Mr. Chairman, I think the witness should	
17	answer the question and not his counsel.	
18	Mr. Kheel. If I may I am practically finished and	
19	I would like this in the record. It is our position that these	ŀ
20	decisions were made on balance, taking all of the factors into ${}^{\prime}_{\ell}$	
21	account which we believe should be taken into account in the	
22	discharge of our First Amendment rights and obligations.	
23	Thank you.	
24	Mr. Flynt. Any other questions?	
25	Mr. Spence. No, sir.	
	Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0	3+6

Mr. Flynt. Mr. Latham, you may step down. 1 2 Committee Counsel will call the next witness. Mr. Marshall. Mr. Sheldon Zalaznick. 3 Mr. Flynt. Mr. Zalaznick, would you remain standing 4 and raise your right hand while I administer the oath? 5 Do you solemnly swear that the testimony you will give 6 before this committee in the matters now under consideration 7 will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, 8 so help you God? 9 Mr. Zalaznick. I do. 10 Mr. Flynt. You may be seated. 11 Mr. Marshall. Mr. Zalaznick, would you state your name 12 and address for the record? 13 TESTIMONY OF SHELDON ZALAZNICK, ACCOMPANIED BY 14 THEODORE W. KHEEL, ATTORNEY 15 Mr. Zalaznick. Sheldon Zalaznick, 458 West 2463, Bronx, 16 New York. 17 Mr. Marshall. You are appearing here with counsel? 18 Mr. Zalaznick. Yes, sir. 19 Mr. Marshall. For the record, counsel, will you introduce 20 yourself? 21 Mr. Kheel. Theodore W. Kheel. 22 Mr. Marshall. Mr. Zalaznick, you are here pursuant to 23 a subpoena duces tecum which was served on you prior to this 24 hearing; is that correct? 25

1307

<u>peroved For Release 2006/11/14 · CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0</u>

Zalaznick. That is correct.

Mr. Marshall. At the time the subpoena was served upon you you were also served with copies of House Resolution 1042 and 1054, the Rules of HOuse of Representatives and the rules of this committee, a copy of the investigative procedure which has been adopted by this committee and a copy of the Chairman's opening statement. Is that correct, sir?

.1308

Mr. Zalaznick. Correct.

Mr.

1

2

3

Δ

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Marshall. In the event your testimony or evidence may involve material from an executive session, Select Committee on Intelligence or information which is classified or information which may tend to defame, degrade or incriminate any person, would you please advise this committee so that it may take appropriate action under the Rules of the House of Representatives?

Mr. Zalaznick. I will, sir.

Mr. Marshall. Now, the subpoena duces tecum served upon you prior to the appearance demanded that you produce certain papers at this hearing, including any and all copies of, or drafts of the report prepared by the House Select Committee on Intelligence pursuant to House Resolution 591.

Do you have those papers with you?

Mr. Zalaznick. I have no such papers, sir.

Mr. Marshall. All right, you had no such papers in your custody, possession or control at the time of the service of

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 CIA-RDP91-00966R00080001000

1309 the subpoena? 1 Mr. Zalaznick. That is correct. 2 Mr. Marshall. Do you have a statement which you wish to 3 make to this committee, sir? 4 Mr. Zalaznick. I believe my beliefs are covered by 5 the statement made by Mr. Felker and the statement made by 6 Mr. Latham. 7 I would only add that personally I have no objection in 8 principle to the purposes of this committee. I am profoundly 9 concerned with the committee compelling journalists to assist 10 them in these purposes. 11 Mr. Marshall. Mr. Zalaznick, where are you presently 12 employed? 13 Mr. Zalaznick. I have just left New York Magazine. As it 14 happens, I am now the managing editor of Forbes Magazine. 15 Mr. Marshall. At the time you were with New York Magazine, 16 what were your duties? 17 Mr. Zalaznick. I was the senior editorial director of 18 New York Magazine. 19 Mr. Marshall. Did your duties require you to perform 20 any duties as well for the Village Voice? 21 Mr. Zalaznick. Not in the ordinary course of business. 22 Mr. Marshall. Were you employed by the Village Voice 23 when the editions of February 16 and February 23 were published 24 by the Village Voice? 25

<u> Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0</u>

P

Transfer of	1	Mr. Zalaznick. No, sir.
	2	Mr. Marshall. Did you have anything to do in connection
	3	with the publication of the text of the report in those
·	4	editions?
	5	Mr. Zalaznick. Yes, sir.
	6	Mr. Marshall. What were your responsibilities in that
	7	regard, if you can tell us generally?
•	8	Mr. Zalaznick. Quite simply, once the decision had been
	9	made to publish the Pike Report, I was the editor in charge
	10	of the process of getting it into print.
÷	11	Mr. Marshall. Now, in connection with the discharging
	12	of those responsibilities, did you obtain two copies of the
	13	report which Mr. Schorr supplied to the Village Voice from
	14	Mr. Latham?
	15	Mr. Zalaznick. Did you say two copies, sir?
•••	16	Mr. Marshall. Yes, sir.
	17	Mr. Zalaznick. Well, we received a copy of the report,
	18	and my best recollection is I know that Aaron Latham made
	19	additional copies, and my best recollection is we made three
	20	additional copies, making a total of four.
	21	Mr. Marshall. How many copies did you receive?
	22	Mr. Zalaznick. I kept two.
	23	Mr. Marshall. All right, sir. Now, where are those
	24	copies now?
	25	Mr. Zalaznick. They were thrown out.
		Approved For Release 2006/11/14 · CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

	Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0 1311
	Mr. Marshall. When you say they were thrown out, did you
•	throw them out?
	Mr. Zalaznick. I threw my two copies out.
	Mr. Marshall. Did you put them in the garbage or burn
:	them or what did you do with your copies?
·	Mr. Zalaznick. Well, as it happened, I burned them in my
;	back yard.
{	Mr. Marshall. And in your charcoal grill?
<u> </u>	Mr. Zalaznick. Yes.
10	Mr. Marshall. You weren't cooking anything else at the
11	time, were you?
12	Mr. Zalaznick. It was cold, nothing else was going on.
13	Mr Marshall After the two copies were delivered to you
14	what did you do with them?
15	Mr. Zalaznick I and a small group of New York Magazine
16	personnel went to a type setting house which New York Magazine
17	ordinarily uses in its normal business.
18	Mr. Marshall. Sterling Graphic Arts.
19	Mr. Zalaznick, Correct
20	Mr. Marshall. All right, sir, and what did you do there?
	Mr. Zalaznick. We did normal editorial things of
21	preparing the material for publication. We got it going.
22	Mr. Marshall. All right. Now, did you take the two
23	copies back from Sterling Graphic Arts after you had completed
24	your work there?
25	

 $\bigcirc$ 

£

P

ŝ

11

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

2

5

	1312
1	Mr. Zalaznick. Yes, sir.
2	Mr. Marshall. And then did you return them to your office
3	or did you take them directly home at that point?
4	Mr. Zalaznick. As it happens, once the movement, the
5	project was, as we say, closed, I took everything and I stopped
6	in at the office and then went promptly home with it.
7	Mr. Marshall. Did you have any communications with Mr.
8	Schorr or any connection with carrying out your responsibilities
9	on behalf of the Village Voice?
10	Mr. Zalaznick. No, sir.
11	Mr. Marshall. With regard to the copies that were supplied
12	to you, did any pages appear to be missing?
13	Mr. Zalaznick. Yes, sir.
14	Mr. Marshall. Was the description of those pages appearing
15	to be missing accurate insofar as it appeared in the Village
16	Voice?
17	Mr. Zalaznick. Yes, sir.
18	Mr. Marshall. Were any pages illegible?
19	Mr. Zalaznick. A few of the pages, and I couldn't be
20	certain, but it was in the magnitude of two or three rather ${_{\ell}}$
21	than twenty or thirty, a very few of the pages were in that
22	runny, ripply, the way you sometimes get when a Xerox is
23	catching, a reproducing machine is catching.
24	There were a few pages that were difficult to read, not
25	impossible.

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

	Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0 1313
1	Mr. Marshall. Were there any marks on the copy of the
. 2	report that you received at the time you received it?
3	Mr. Zalaznick. Yes, sir.
- 4	Mr. Marshall. Could you describe what those marks were?
5	Mr. Zalaznick. A few underlines, and a kind of random
6	mark or two in a margin.
7	Mr. Marshall. Do you recall what those random marks or
8	two in the margin were?
9	Mr. Zalaznick. No, sir.
10	Mr. Marshall. Were they words or
11	Mr. Zalaznick. No, sir.
12	Mr. Marshall. Symbols?
13	Mr. Zalaznick. To the best of my recollection, it was
, 14	just a kind of vertical mark in a margin.
15	Mr. Marshall. As though a particular part of the report
16	was being referenced for later attention?
17	Mr. Zalaznick. I can't say, sir.
18	Mr. Marshall. Did the report have footnotes at the time
19	you received the copy?
20	Mr. Zalaznick. Yes, sir.
21	Mr. Marshall. Now, were any changes made in the text
22	prior to publication?
23	Mr. Zalaznick. Changes in the text?
24	Mr. Marshall. Yes, sir.
25	Mr. Zalaznick None that I can recall.

Ç.

Ŧ.

**₽** 

- 3

•

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 · CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

		Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0 1314
	1	Mr. Marshall. All right. So it's your testimony that
	2	whatever was given to the Village Voice by Mr. Schorr, subject
	3	to the earlier testimony about footnotes, and so forth, was
	4	what the Village Voice published; is that right?
	. 5	Mr. Zalaznick. That is correct.
.*	6	Mr. Marshall. Now, do you have any knowledge whatsoever
	7	how or from whom Mr. Schorr got the report he made available
•	8	to the Village Voice for publication?
	9	Mr. Zalaznick. No, sir.
	10	Mr. Marshall. Did you or anyone else, to your knowledge,
	11	ask Mr. Schorr how he obtained that information?
	12	Mr. Zalaznick. I did not.
•	13	Mr. Marshall. To your knowledge did anyone else?
	14	Mr. Zalaznick. No, sir.
·	15	Mr. Marshall. All right. Do you know of anyone else
	16	other than Mr. Schorr who may have knowledge about how or
	17	from whom Mr. Schorr obtained the report?
	18	Mr. Zalaznick. No, sir, I do not.
	1.9	Mr. Marshall. Did you take part in any discussions about
	20	the Village Voice making a money payment to anyone in return
	21	for the report being made available to the Village Voice for
	22	publication?
	23	Mr. Zalaznick. I dealt with nobody.
	24	Mr. Marshall. So, you have no knowledge on the subject
	25	of any financial payment made by the Village Voice to the

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

reporter on the committee for Freedom of the Press?

Mr. Zalaznick. That is correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1.9

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Marshall. That is all of the questions I have, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Flynt. Mr. Zalaznick, is it your testimony that as the editor in charge of preparing this material for publication that you made no additions, no deletions, and no alterations of any kind to the text which you received, other than footnotes?

Mr. Żalaznick. No, sir. That would not be correct. There was, for example, in the discussion of the Secretary of State's conduct of American foreign policy with respect to the Kurds, the report, as I recall, did not specify that the country that figured at some point in the negotiations and the strategy was Iran.

It was common knowledge that the country was, in fact, Iran, and so, for example, as part of the normal editing process, where the report had referred to a foreign government, we put the word "Iran" in, in a correct, grammatical context, but I hope we were conscientious about signalling that editing change in the conventional way with brackets, which is a conventional signal to a reader that an editor has intervened. Mr. Flynt. The reason I ask the question, and I want you to understand the reason, I understood you to tell counsel in

response to a similar question that there were no changes made.

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-

1316 Mr. Zalaznick. Well, I am sorry for having appeared to 1 mislead the committee. 2 Mr. Flynt. Mr. Price? 3 Mr. Price. No questions. 4 Mr. Flynt. Mr. Spence? 5 Mr. Spence. No questions. 6 Mr. Flynt. Mr. Teague? 7 Mr. Teaque. Mr. Zalaznick, when we say the press, what 8 are we talking about, who is the press? 9 Mr. Zalaznick. I suppose those people who hold themselves 10 out to be the press. 11 Mr. Teague. Anybody who writes, for example, members 12 of Congress, most of them write newsletters. Are we members 13 of the press? 14 Mr. Zalaznick. Apart from certain advantages and the 15 franking privilege, sir, I don't know what would distinguish 16 you from the press. 17 Mr. Teague. Well, does the news media try in any way to 18 define? 19 Mr. Zalaznick. I am sorry, sir, I didn't hear. 20 Mr. Teague. Does the news media have any standards or 21 do they have a definition that covers the press? 22 Mr. Zalaznick. Sir, I think it's the American public that 23 finally decides who is and who is not the press to the extent 24

25

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

that they accept or reject this or that publication.

	1317
1	Mr. Teague. I have a young lady that writes newsletters
2	for me. Is she a member of the press?
3	Mr. Zalaznick. I would think she would be more properly
4	characterized as a member of the public relations establish-
5	ment.
6	Mr. Teague. Are you saying PR firms are not press?
7	Mr. Zalaznick. Not in my judgment, no. They are para-
8	press or ancillary to, but not the press itself.
9	Mr. Teague. That is all, Mr. Chairman.
10	Mr. Flynt. Mr. Quillen?
11	Mr. Quillen. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
12	When you took these two copies of the report to your
13	back yard, were they hot enough to grill your steaks?
14	Mr. Zalaznick. It was February, I believe, Mr.
15	Congressman, and there was nothing going on besides burning
16	the papers.
17	Mr. Quillen. Did you burn every sheet?
18	Mr. Zalaznick. Yes, sir.
19	Mr. Quillen. Were you satisfied with that operation?
20	Mr. Zalaznick. I don't mean to be uncooperative, Mr.
21	Congressman, I don't know what the point of that is.
22	Mr. Quillen. The point is that in burning and destroying
23	the report you were convinced in your own mind that it contained
24	secret and classified information.
25	Mr. Zalaznick. That is not the case at all, sir.
	Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

1318

	1	
	T	Mr. Quillen. Others testified that they threw them in
· . · ·	2	the trash barrel. Then why did you take them home and burn
· ·	3	them?
	4	Mr. Zalaznick. It seems crazy at the time, sir, but it
•	5	did occur to us that just such an inquiry as we are now present
· ,	6	at might get going, and it seems prudent to do what we possibly
	7	could to avoid ever inadvertently compromising the integrity
•	8	of anybody's sources.
	9	Burning seems to me better than a wastebasket.
•	10	Mr. Quillen. You took it on yourself as one of the
	11	editors to tighten the restrictions, even over and above that
	12	performed by your publisher and editor?
·	13	Mr. Zalaznick. Yes.
	14	Mr. Quillen. Were you saying that he did wrong when he
• .	15	did what he did?
	16	Mr. Zalaznick. No, sir.
· .	17	Mr. Quillen. You just got through saying that you did the
	18	right thing.
	19	Mr. Zalaznick. There were several courses, several roads
	20	one might take with it for the same objective, sir. One is
	21	as good as another, as it turned out.
	22	Mr. Quillen. I think a moment ago you made a little
	23	mockery out of freedom of the press. I hope you were not really
	24	serious in your remarks.
	25	Mr. Zalaznick. I was not, sir.

pproved For Release 2006/11/14 CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

II

1319

1	Mr. Quillen. We all believe in freedom of the press. I
2	was a publisher for a newspaper at age ninetten, started another
3	one at age twenty-two and I likewise believe in the freeom of
4	the press, but somehow I don't think one should hide behind
5	the First Amendment as they do sometimes behind a smoke-screen.
6	I think it's a very serious situation. We have publications
7	in this country that would take it upon themselves to publish
8	secret and classified material believing that they have more
9	knowledge than 435 Members of Congress, or the will of the
10	people of this Nation, and I think it's a very serious matter
11	and something we should not make light of.
12	That is all, Mr. Chairman.
13	Mr. Flynt. Mr. Hebert?
14	Mr. Hebert. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
15	I had not intended to ask my questions, but the development
16	of the colloquy compels me to make these remarks or these
17	observations at this time.
18	I think my position is well known on this particular
19	subject matter. I will not ask any newspaper man under any
20	circumstances, under any condition to reveal his source, period.
21	I will not do it.
22	Now, starting from there, however, I do intend to question
23	the activity of an individual who does gain information, and
24	how he handles it.
25	Now, the previous witness, Mr. Latham I think his name

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

.1320

was, he had an opportunity to say something on a flat statement, he elected to subject himself to contempt proceedings. Why he did that I don't know.

Then, the attorney for him, in encouraging and embracing the First Amendment with its obligations, now, I am just wondering, his witness, his client says the First Amendment gives the newspaperman the right to tear up the Constitution, laws meaning nothing, and that is the right under the First Amendment to publish any and everything, you know, and you are the sole judge of it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

24

25

This is what concerns me.

Mr. Kheel. Sir, I don't believe that is a correct statement of our position. We think that as journalists and as editors and as publishers we have a responsibility to weigh the information that comes to our attention and to decide whether or not in a particular instance it should be published, and that in this instance, on balance, taking into account all of the circumstances, the decision was made to publish the report.

We are ever mindful of the security problems of the 20 United States, we are lawful Americans, and we are concerned about protecting the United States as much as anyone else is. 22 I could buy what you said, you repeated Mr. Hebert. 23 what you said, but left off one sentence, and that is the confirming the First Amendment with its obligations.

CIA-RDP91-00966R00080001000

11

1321

1	Mr. Kheel. Right.
2	Mr. Hebert. Now, in effect, but your client didn't say
3	that, your client says the First Amendment gives him the right
4	to do what he damn pleases. Now that is what he said. I
5	didn't say it.
6	Now, look at that Supreme Court Building over there,
7	which I don't agree with very much. But they have a big sign
8	up here, "This is a Government of laws and Government of men."
9	Mr. Kheel. That is
10	Mr. Hebert. Wait now
11	Mr. Kheel. Excuse me.
12	Mr. Hebert. If anybody is so naive and so foolish to
13	think we live under a Government of laws today, they better
14	have their heads examined, and this is the kind of expressions
15	that concern me.
16	If you don't like the law, violate it. That is what we
17	are confronted with.
18	Now, what you have expressed and I, by what you say, the
19	First Amendment with obligations, becuase if, you know the
20	canons of the American press, they set up their rights and I $_{\prime}$
21	agree with their rights, but the greater those rights, the
22	greater responsibility to be very, very careful of how they
23	protect those rights, and that is what concerns me, and
24	that is all I want to say now.
25	Mr. Kheel. , We are equally concerned now.

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

Ш

(

1322

	Mr. Flynt. Mr. Hutchinson?
i	Mr. Hutchinson. No questions.
	Mr. Kheel. It is a legal question as to the extent of
	the First Amendment rights.
	5 Mr. Flynt. Mr. Foley?
. (	Mr. Kheel. I personally recognize limitations on First
	Amendment rights. At present the Supreme Court is divided on
. 8	these, on the extent to which the rights, what rights go, and
	for the purposes of this proceeding it is our position that
10	whatever may be the ultimate extent of the rights of journalists
1	under the First Amendment that we made a decision on balance
. 12	that was the right decision in the interest of the First Amend-
13	ment and the people of this country.
14	Mr. Flynt. Mr. Foley?
15	Mr. Foley. Mr. Chairman, I had no intention to ask any
16	questions, or particularly to make any statement.
· 17	I want to make a very brief statement in view of some of
18	the other comments by committee Members.
19	I do not believe that today's proceedings will assist
20	the committee in developing any useful information with respect
21	to its responsibility under H.R. 1042 or 1054, in addition,
22	more seriously, the subpoena of these witnesses raises delicate
23	Constitutional policy questions which I regret have been raised.
24	I want to reaffirm my concern that the subpoenas have
25	been issued, and that the questions that the committee is

pproved For Release 2006/11/14 · CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

1323

	1323
1	placing today before these witnesses have been asked. I
2	disagree with that decision respectfully, and I regret it.
3	Mr. Flynt. Mr. Quie?
4	Mr. Quie. No questions.
5	Mr. Flynt. Mr. Bennett?
6	Mr. Bennett. No questions.
7	Mr. Flynt. Mr. Mitchell?
8	Mr. Mitchell. No questions.
9	Mr. Flynt. Mr. Cochran?
10	Mr. Cochran. No questions, Mr. Chairman.
11	Mr. Kheel. Mr. Chairman, may I say one thing further
12	and that is that I hope the record is clear that there was no
13	payment made, and indeed, there was no discussion of any
14	payment for the report. There was a discussion of a possible
15	contribution.
16	Mr. Marshall. It is not appropriate at this time for
17	you to argue your case.
18	Mr. Kheel. I am not arguing it.
<b>i</b> 9	Mr. Marshall. You may make any point you wish to make
20	to protect the rights of your clients.
21	Mr. Kheel. I want to make sure the record is clear. Sir,
22	I believe you would want to make sure the record was clear on
23	this subject and I am merely inquiring whether it is.
24	Mr. Flynt. Counsel, the record will speak for itself.
25	Mr. Bennett. Mr. Chairman?

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 · CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

1324

Mr. Flynt. Mr. Bennett? 1 Mr. Bennett. I am not quite sure that I understood about 2 whether a contribution was made. Was there any contribution? 3 Mr. Kheel. There was no contribution made. Thank you. 4 Mr. Flynt. You may step down. 5 Mr. Zalaznick. Thank you. 6 Mr. Flynt. Committee Counsel will call the next witness. 7 Mr. Marshall. Mr. Daniel Schorr to the witness stand. 8 Mr. Flynt. Mr. Schorr, would you remain standing and 9 raise your right hand and be sworn? 10 You do solemnly swear that the testimony you will give to 11 this committee in the matter now under consideration will be 12 the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so help 13 you God? 14 Mr. Schorr. I do. 15 Mr. Flynt. You may be seated. 16 Mr. Marshall. Mr. Schorr, would you state your full name 17 and address, please? 18 TESTIMONY OF DANIEL SCHORR, ACCOMPANIED BY JOSEPH A. 19 CALIFANO, JR. ATTORNEY 20 Mr. Schorr. My name is Daniel Schorr; my address is 21 313 Woodley Road, Northwest, Washington, D. C. 22 Mr. Marshall. You are apprearing here with counsel? 23 Mr. Schorr. Yes, sir. 24 Mr. Marshall. Counsel, you may identify yourself for 25

<u>pproved For Release 2006/11/14 · CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0</u>

1325

1	
1	the record.
2	Mr. Califano. I am Joseph A. Califano, Jr. I am a
3	Washington attorney, member of the bar in Washington, D. C.
4	Mr. Marshall. Mr. Schorr, you are here pursuant to a
5	subpoena duces tecum which was served upon you earlier.
6	Mr. Schorr. Yes, sir.
7	Mr. Marshall. At the time the subpoena was served upon
8	you you were also served with copies of House Resolutions 1042
9	and 1054, a copy of the Rules of the House of Representatives
10	and the rules of this committee, a copy of the investigative
11	procedures adopted by this committee and a copy of the
12	Chairman's opening statement to these hearings; is that not
13	correct, sir?
14	Mr. Schorr. That's correct.
15	Mr. Marshall. In the event that your testimony or evidence
16	may involve material from an executive session of the Select
17	Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives,
18	information which is classified, or information which may tend
19	to defame, degrade or incriminate any person, would you please
20	bring that to the attention of this committee in a timely way,
21	so that it may take appropriate action under the rules of
22	the House of Representatives?
23	Mr. Schorr. Understood.
2.5	

Mr. Marshall. Do you have a statement to make for the committee?

pproved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

24

Mr. Schorr. I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Marshall. You may proceed to make that statement. Mr. Schorr. Mr. Chairman, I appear before this committee today under protest, in response to a subpoena whose issuance I deeply deplore.

1326

I had hoped that this committee, which has already learned a great deal about Congressional procedures for handling intelligence information, could have completed these hearings without crossing that Constitutional Great Divide which separates the roles of the Congress and the press.

Whatever happens hereafter at this hearing, it is my belief that your subpoena, commanding the appearance of a reporter to discuss his journalistic activities, its effect can only be to establish an atmosphere of intimidation for the press.

Now, this subpoena requires me to produce all records, papers, documents, correspondence, et cetera, and this is not inclusive, "which relate in any way" to the subject of your inquiry. It's a broad statement, and I have tried to interpret it, and I will divide the material in my possession into four general categories.

Firstly, many of the records in my possession are material in the public domain, such as speeches that I have made, newspaper articles and I guess copies of Village Voice containing the report of the House Intelligence Committee.

To the extent that these public materials are not already

1

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

available to the committee and are desired by the committee, I am willing to provide them.

Secondly, since the publication of the report in the
Village Voice I have received several thousand letters and
telegrams. If the committee feels a need for such correspondence
I should like first to seek the permission of the persons
involved out of respect for their privacy.

Thirdly, I have notes taken during the coverage of the House Intelligence Investigation and I have draft scripts that were written in preparation for broadcasts.

Now, because of the internal news decision-making and the editing process, some of those scripts vary from what I actually did broadcast, and in fact, others were not broadcast at all.

All of this work product I must respectfully decline to submit, I believe that it falls under the category of reporters' notes, protected by the First Amendment. I take now the same position that Dr. Frank Stanton, who was then President of CBS, Incorporated, took in 1971.

He refused to comply with the House Commerce Committee subpoena demanding the scripts and the so-called out-takes of interviews filmed in preparation for the CBS television documentary, "The Selling of the Pentagon."

His position and mine today is that the internal process of preparing news for publication or for broadcast cannot be

<u> Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-</u>

1328



Now, this also means, obviously, and we may as well say it now, that I shall not respond to direct questioning about confidential sources, for in some forty years of practicing journalism I have never yielded to a demand for a disclosure of a source that I had promised to protect, and I cannot do so now.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

At the appropriate time, Mr. Chairman, Mr. Califano is ready to explain why, given the circumstances of this case, my role in the publication of the report, and my right to withhold the source, indeed, protected by the Constitution.

But let me add that even if our legal position were not as strong as I believe it is, I could still not tell you my source, because for me this is a personal matter, and almost a visceral matter.

<u>pproved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0</u>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

Mr. Chairman and Members of the committee, we all build our lives around certain principles, and without those principles our careers simply lose their meaning.

1329

For some of us, doctors, lawyers, clergymen, and yes, journalists, it is an article of faith that we must keep confidential those matters entrusted to us only because of the assurance that they would remain confidential.

Now, for a journalists, the most crucial kind of confidence is the identity of a source of information. To betray a confidential source would mean to dry up many future sources for many future reporters.

The reporter and the news organization would be the immediate losers, but I would submit to you that the ultimate losers would be the American people and their free institutions.

And if you will permit me one last personal word, without all of this Constitutional argument, I would like to go beyond all of this. To betray a source would be for me to betray myself, my career, and my life, and to say that I refuse to do it isn't quite saying it right.

I cannot do it.

That concludes my statement.

Mr. Marshall. Mr. Schorr, with regard to the first two categories of documents which you have described in response to the subpoena duces tecum, that is the speeches, newspaper articles and public materials and letters and telegrams that

<u> Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-</u>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you stated you have received, appropriate arrangements will be made and have been made with your counsel to handle the production of those documents; is that correct, Mr. Califano?

Mr. Califano. Yes, Mr. Marshall. I would divide those two items. With respect to the first item, the speeches, the articles that are in the public domain, yes, we can make arrangements to provide those.

With respect to the correspondence, I would simply note, as Mr. Schorr noted in his opening statement, that there are rights of privacy involved, as you know, from individuals writing letters. There are thousands of letters involved that came to Mr. Schorr after publication, and if the committee wants these, those people would have to be contacted before we would be free to turn them over to the committee.

Mr. Marshall. Now, with regard to the third category, that is notes taken during coverage of the House Select Committee and scripts, as well as the copies of the report of the House Select Committee on Intelligence prepared pursuant to House Resolution 591, on behalf of the committee I now direct that you produce all copies and drafts of the report prepared pursuant to House Resolution 591 in your possession, custody or control.

Mr. Schorr. Sir, I must respectfully decline to do so for the reasons stated, that I believe that they are a work product, protected by the First Amendment in the first place,

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0
1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1331

and secondly and more importantly, could conceivably assist you in ascertaining the source.

Mr. Marshall. So the record will also be clear, I am making an additional demand, solely related to the report of the Select Committee prepared pursuant to House Resolution 591, and am directing on behalf of the committee that you produce those copies of that report in your possession, and that you produce them at this time.

Mr. Schorr. My answer remains the same.

Mr. Califano. Mr. Marshall, may I just briefly note that there are two types of documents involved here, as you have noted.

With respect to one item, memoranda, internal reporters' notes, out-takes, if you will, may I cite to the Chair, may I ask of the Chair if he is going to direct the witness to answer, direct separately because with respect to notes and out-takes there is a precedent in the House of Representatives.

That precedent was when Dr. Frank Stanton testified and refused to provide similar material. The House voted at that time 226 to 181 not to cite Dr. Stanton for contempt for refusing to provide that material.

Mr. Flynt. I have carefully studied the legal memorandum, Mr. Califano, which you have filed with the committee.

I must at this time advise the witness that this committee

7

8

9

10

11

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is acting pursuant to the authority vested in it by Resolutions
1042 and 1054 of the House of Representatives, 94th Congress.
Copies of those resolutions and the opening statement of
the Chairman of this committee setting out the legislative
purpose of these hearings were served upon you prior to your
appearance as a witness here today.

The subject of these hearings is an inquiry into the circumstances surrounding the publication in the Village Voice of the text and of any part of the report of the House Select Committee on Intelligence, so that this committee can report back to the House its findings and recommendations thereon.

The papers described in the subpoena duces tecum, including any and all copies or drafts of the report prepared by the House Select Committee on Intelligence, pursuant to House Resolution 591, are pertinent to the subject under inquiry in that these papers may identify or lead to the identification of the person from whom the text and any part of that report were obtained.

This report of the House Select Committee on Intelligence, pursuant to House Resolution 591, is further pertinent to the subject under inquiry, in that it may constitute evidence or lead to evidence as to the method by which the text and any part of the text of that report were obtained.

These matters are part of the circumstances surrounding the publication of the text and any part of the report of the

proved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004

1333

House Select Committee on Intelligence. Production of the 1 copy of this report is necessary to carry out the mandate of 2 the House of Representatives. 3

If you continue to refuse your copy of this report, 4 notwithstanding the fact that you have been duly served with a 5 subpoena duces tecum, your refusal will be deemed by this 6 committee to constitute a willful refusal to produce your 7 copy of this report upon a matter pertinent to the subject 8 under inquiry, and will subject you to prosecution and punishment 9 by a fine or imprisonment or both, under Title 2 of the United 10 States Code, Sections 192, 193 and 194.

Your refusal to produce your copy of this report will also subject you to prosecution and punishment for contempt of the House of Representatives.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Accordingly, you are hereby advised that I overrule your refusal to produce your copy of this report described in the subpoena duces tecum, served upon you, including your refusal to produce any and all copies of the drafts of the report prepared by the House Select Committee on Intelligence pursuant to House Resolution 591.

As Chairman of this committee, I hereby demand and direct that you produce your copy of this report.

Mr. Schorr. Mr. Chairman, for the reasons stated, that I cannot engage in a venture aimed at ascertaining the source, I must repeat that I respectfully decline to provide any copies

Release 2006/11/14 RDP91-00966R000800010004

of the report.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 Mr. Flynt. Counsel, you may proceed to your next 3 witness.

1334

Mr. Marshall. Mr. Schorr, did you obtain a copy of the
text or any part of the report prepared by the House Select
Committee on Intelligence of the 94th Congress pursuant to
House Resolution 591?

Mr. Schorr. I am sorry, I didn't get the question.

Mr. Marshall. The question is did you obtain a copy of the text or any part of the report prepared by the House Select Committee on Intelligence pursuant to House Resolution 591? Mr. Schorr. Did I obtain a copy of the report?

Mr. Marshall. Yes.

Mr. Schorr. I did.

Mr.Marshall.All right. This committee has received testimony under oath from Congressman James V. Stanton that approximately one week after that report was published in the Village Voice Congressman Stanton talked with you in the Speaker's lobby of the House of Representatives.

I have supplied counsel, our counsel, with a copy of  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Mr. Stanton's testimony in public sessions of this hearing.

At that conversation, Congressman Stanton testified that you told him that you obtained a copy of the text of the report from the Central Intelligence Agency, and that you also said, "Of course, I would deny that if anyone asked me."

1335 Did you make those statements to Congressman Stanton? 1 Mr. Schorr. Mr. Marshall, I have never discussed with 2 anyone the source from which I obtained the report other than 3 two privileged persons. 4 Mr. Marshall. Did you have that conversation with 5 Congressman Stanton? 6 Mr. Schorr. I have never discussed with anyone anything 7 relating to the source of that report other than two privileged 8 persons. 9 Mr. Marshall. Do you mean by that response that your 10 answer is no, you did not have conversation? 11 Mr. Schorr. I think --12 Mr. Marshall. With Mr. Stanton? 13 Mr. Schorr. My response must speak for itself. 14 Mr. Marshall. Who were the two privileged persons that 15 you discussed the report with? 16 Mr. Schorr. My counsel, Mr. Califano and my wife. 17 Mr. Marshall. Mr. Schorr, is it your statement to this 18 committee that you will not answer further the question that 19 I have aksed you with regard to the conversation with Congress-20 man Stanton approximately one week after the report was 21 published in the Village Voice, that conversation having taken 22 place in the Speaker's lobby of the House of Representatives? 23 Mr. Schorr. I think my reply given before is adequate. 24 Mr. Marshall. You will not answer further as to whether 25

	Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0 1336
1	that conversation did or did not take place?
2	Mr. Schorr. That is correct.
3	Mr. Marshall. Did you have any conversation with
4	Congressman Stanton with regard to where you obtained the
5	report?
6	Mr. Schorr. I decline to discuss my conversations with
7	any persons pursuant to my news gathering activities.
. 8	Mr. Califano. Mr. Chairman, may I briefly make an state-
9	ment to the source point.
10	Mr. Marshall. On the legal position?
11	Mr. Califano. Yes.
12	Mr. Marshall. In other words, you wish to present your
13	legal position to the committee?
14	Mr. Califano. Briefly.
. 15	Mr. Flynt. You may proceed, Mr. Califano.
16	Mr. Califano. Mr. Chairman, it is our position in the
17	papers we have filed with this committee and which we have
18	requested by made a part of the record of this committee,
19	that Mr. Schorr has an absolute, complete right in these
20	proceedings not to reveal the identity of a source, that that
21	is protected by the First Amendment.
22	It is our position that his actions in making available
23	that report for publication in the newspaper are protected by
24	the First Amendment.
25	The First Amendment protects not only the publication of

•

\$

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

information, but by necessity the gathering of news. The Supreme Court of the United States has recognized that by saying that without protection for news gathering the First Amendment would be eviscerated.

The Department of Justice has recognized that principle by setting forth guidelines under which it will not proceed to subpoena reporters for their confidential sources.

In the context of this hearing, at the time the public record indicates Mr. Schorr received the report, namely, sometime subsequent to the time the House Select Committee on Intelligence voted by a bipartisan 9 to 4 majority to release the report and make it public, and prior to the time of the House Resolution, the suppression resolution, no rule, regulation or term of employment of the House of Representatives or that committee was or could have been violated by any source, whether that source is in the Executive Branc, the Legislative Branch, a Member of Congress, a staffer or an independent citizen, and no rule or regulation could have been violated by Mr. Schorr in receiving that report.

The House Resolution designed to supress publication of the report was not directed at Daniel Schorr, it was by its specific terms directed at the Select Committee on Intelligence That resolution said: "Resolved that the Select Committee on Intelligence shall not release any report."

pproved For Release 2006/11/14 · CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004

1

2

3

4

5

-6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

**†**9

20

21

22

23

24

25

In those circumstances we believe that the identify of Mr. Schorr's source is not relevant to this investigation, and that the identity of Mr. Schorr's source is protected by the First Amendment.

I would note that it is difficult to draw the line, it's easy to know that Mr. Schorr under those circumstances should not answer the question, "Who is your source?" At what point prior to that the line is to be drawn is difficult. And we can spend the entire morning and afternoon in a game of Twenty Questions, asking Mr. Schorr did he speak to A, or did he speak to B, or did he speak to C, and we can spend the morning in a cat and mouse game trying to catch Mr. Schorr in some question in which he will answer this committee, where, at some point later the court would say that might have constituted waiver of his right to protect his source.

I respectfully submit to this committee that the values at stake are too precious to play Twenty Questions with, that the values at stake are too fundamental to our system of Government to play cat and mouse with.

Mr. Schorr's position is clear, I would urge this committee to ask him directly the central question that it has asked virtually every witness that has appeared before this committee, the question to which it devoted nine or ten hours yesterday in hearings, and set the issue, because he holds his belief as firmly as Members of the House of

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010

1339

Representatives hold their belief.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He respects, as I do, the beliefs of the Members of the House of Representatives, and I have a long and personal association with many Members of this House, including the Chairman of this committee.

I understand how you feel, I think Mr. Schorr understands how you feel.

We ask that you recognize what is at stake here is not a game. What is at stake here for Mr. Schorr is the core of his entire belief, what is at stake here for this country is the belief of those practitioners of the First Amendment as to what is at the core of enabling them to practice it, and I ask that you get directly to the question, ask Mr. Schorr the question, and let us face up to this issue beyond that.

Mr. Chairman, I would close simply by asking that also part of this record include my letter to you and the response of counsel Marshall, my letter requesting that this committee identify what, if anything, in the committee report that the majority of the Select Committee thought should be made public and is now considered to be classified, or rather is now considered to be information that would harm the national security, and this committee's reponse indicating that it would not identify such information because to do so would in some way damage the national security.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

( )

1	Mr. Flynt. Thank you. Without objection, the letter from
2	Mr. Califano and the response of the Chairman of this committee
3	will be made a part of the record.
4	(The letters follow:)
5	COMMITTEE INSERT:
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
t9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Approved For Release 2006/11/14 CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Marshall. Mr. Califano, to respond directly to your comments, this committee does not feel that it is engaged in a cat and mouse game with Mr. Schorr, nor does this committee intend to ask Mr. Schorr twenty questions.

As you yourself have acknowledged, the First Amendment rights which may be in question here, may be rights which are in unchartered waters and at times the line may be difficult to draw.

Nevertheless, the line may be drawn, and it is appropriate that we make a record here which will allow whoever must draw that line to draw it as carefully as it can be drawn, so that not only the rights of the public to know, and the duty, if there is one, of the press to publish may be protected, but also so that the responsibilities and duties of this committee and the House of Representatives, the Congress and this entire Government can be carried out.

Now, I am going to question Mr. Schorr in some detail concerning the source. No one desires to trip him up. With able counsel such as yourself I am confident that will not happen. But this committee does intend to pursue such questions as will lead it to carry out the mandate put upon it by the House of Representatives.

Now, this committee has received testimony from Congressman James V. Stanton, a copy of which I supplied to you before this hearing so you would have a full record from which to make

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 · CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-

whatever position you wished to make.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

25

That testimony from Congressman Stanton was that Mr.
Schorr, approximately one week after the report had been
published in the Village Voice, talked with Mr. Stanton, and
that at that time Mr. Stanton said that Mr. Schorr reported to
him that Mr. Schorr obtained a copy of the report from the
Central Intelligence Agency.

1342

At that time Mr. Schorr also said, according to Mr. Stanton's testimony, that Mr. Schorr would, "of course, deny that if anyone asked me."

Now, in an effort for this committee to carry out its responsibilities, I am going to ask the Chair to tell and tell the Chairman that I insist on an answer to my question concerning that conversation as to whether it ever took place. And will you answer, Mr. Schorr, or do you refuse to answer that question further?

Mr. Schorr. I refuse to answer any questions furtner in those terms.

Mr. Flynt. Mr. Califano, I have listened carefully to your oral statement and your oral argument. I have also carefully studied the written legal memorandum which you filed with this committee.

I must at this time advise the witness that this committee is acting pursuant to the authority vested in it by House Resolutions 1042 and 1054 of the House of Representatives,

94th Congress.

1

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

Copies of those resolutions and the opening statement of the hairman of this committee setting out the legislative purposes of these hearings were served upon you prior to your appearance as a witness here today.

The subject of these hearings is an inquiry into the circumstances surrounding the publication in the Village Voice of the text and of any part of the report of the House Select Committee on Intelligence so that this committee can report back to the House its findings and recommendations thereon.

The question put to you is pertinent to the subject under inquiry in that the identity of the person from whom the text and any part of the report were obtained and the method by which the text and any part of that report were obtained constitute evidence of the circumstances surrounding publication of the text and any part of that report.

Your answer to the question put to you is necessary for this committee to carry out the mandate of the House of Representatives.

If you continue to refuse to answer the question, your refusal will be deemed by this committee to constitute a willful failure to answer a question pertinent to the subject under inquiry and will subject you to prosecution and punishment by fine or imprisonment or both under Title 2 of the United States Code, Sections 192, 193, and 194.

1344

Your refusal to answer will also subject you to prosecution 1 and punishment for contempt of the House of Representatives. 2 Accordingly, you are hereby advised that your objection 3 to the question and your grounds for refusing to answer the 4 question are hereby overruled. 5 As Chairman of this committee, I hereby demand and direct 6 that you answer the question put to you. 7 Mr. Schorr. Mr. Chairman, I am not sure that the answer I 8 have given is really unresponsive. I have said to you that I 9 recall no conversation with any person other than the two 10 mentioned in which I discussed my source. 11 Mr. Flynt. The question was did you have such a con-12 versation with Representative James V. Stanton? That question 13 is very clear. 14 Your answer does not appear to be responsive to the 15 question. You are therefore, again, directed to answer the 16 question. 17 Mr. Schorr. I find it sufficiently responsive, and I 18 find that I cannot answer it in precisely the terms that you 19 put it, because I have no intention of starting down a list of 20 people to whom I may or may not have spoken, and that would 21 be the head of the list, I assume. 22 And you refuse to answer the question? Mr. Flynt. 23 Mr. Schorr. In the terms in which it is now stated, I 24 refuse, although I consider my answer sufficiently responsive. 25

1345

Mr. Flynt. Counsel will proceed.

Mr. Marshall. Mr. Schorr, from whom did you obtain the copy of the report of the Select Committee on Intelligence, that report being prepared pursuant to House Resolution 591? Mr. Schorr. Counsel, I respectfully decline to answer that question on the grounds that I feel that my right to withhold the source is protected by the First Amendment and absolutely essential to the functioning of a free press in this country.

Mr. Flynt. I must at this time advise the witness that this committee is acting pursuant to the authority vested in it by Resolutions 1042 and 1054 of the House of Representatives, 94th Congress.

Copies of those resolutions and the opening statement of the Chairman of this committee setting out the legislative purposes of these hearings were served upon you prior to your appearance as a witness here today.

The subject of these hearings is an inquiry into the 18 circumstances surrounding the publication in the Village Voice of the text and of any part of the report of the House Select Committee on Intelligence so that this committee can report back to the House its findings and recommendations thereon.

The question put to you is pertinent to the subject under inquiry in that the identity of the person from whom the text and any part of the report were obtained and the method by

d For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

which the text and any part of that report were obtained constitute evidence of the circumstances surrounding publication of the text and any part of that report.

4 Your answer to the question put to you is necessary for
5 this committee to carry out the mandate of the House of
6 Representatives.

If you continue to refuse to answer the question, your refusal will be deemed by this committee to constitute a willful failure to answer a question pertinent to the subject under inquiry and will subject.you to prosecution and punishment by fine or imprisonment or both under Title 2 of the United States Code, Sections 192, 193, and 194.

Your refusal to answer will also subject you to prosecution and punishment for contempt of the House of Representatives.

Accordingly, you are hereby advised that your objection to the question and your grounds for refusing to answer the question are hereby overruled.

As Chairman of this committee, I hereby demand and direct that you answer the question put to you.

Mr. Schorr. Mr. Chairman, as a matter of deep professional conscience, and fully convinced that I am protected by the First Amendment to the Constitution, I decline to answer that question.

Mr. Flynt. Counsel will proceed.

1347

Mr. Marshall. Mr. Schorr, did you obtain a copy of the 1 report prepared by the House Select Committee on Intelligence 2 from a member, officer, agent, employee or a staff member 3 of the House of Representatives? 4 Mr. Schorr. For the reasons stated, and I won't bore 5 you by repeating them, I decline to answer that question. 6 Mr. Marshall. You understand, so you may fully be 7 aware of the consequences of your refusal to answer, that the 8 Chairman must, upon your refusal, state to you those conditions! 9 Mr. Chairman, I insist on an answer to the question and 10 I would ask you that you read. 11 Mr. Califano. Counsel, I would also like to state for 12 the record that our memorandum, I assume, is a part of the 13 record, our memorandum of law and that the arguments in that 14 memorandum apply to all of these questions as part of the 15 reason why Mr. Schorr is not responding, so we don't have to 16 repeat that every time. 17 Mr. Marshall. We understand your urging those reasons 18 on behalf of Mr. Schorr. 19 Mr. Flynt. Mr. Califano, I will state again, I listened 20 carefully to your oral argument, and I have carefully studied 21 the legal memorandum which you filed with this committee. 22 I must at this time advise the witness that this committee 23 is acting pursuant to the authority vested in it by House 24 Resolutions 1042 and 1054 of the House of Representatives, 25

94th Congress.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Copies of those resolutions and the opening statement of the Chairman of this committee setting out the legislative purposes of these hearings were served upon you prior to your appearance as a witness here today.

1348

The subject of these hearings is an inquiry into the circumstances surrounding the publication in the Village Voice of the text and of any part of the report of the House Select Committee on Intelligence so that this committee can report back to the House its findings and recommendations thereon.

The question put to you is pertinent to the subject under inquiry in that the identity of the person from whom the text and any part of the report were obtained and the method by which the text and any part of that report were obtained constitute evidence of the circumstances surrounding publication of the text and any part of that report.

Your answer to the question put to you is necessary for this committee to carry out the mandate of the House of Representatives.

If you continue to refuse to answer the question, your refusal will be deemed by this committee to constitute a willful failure to answer a question pertinent to the subject under inquiry and will subject you to prosecution and punishment by fine or imprisonment or both under Title 2 of the United States Code, Sections 192, 193, and 194.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

Your refusal to answer will also subject you to prosecution and punishment for contempt of the House of Representatives.

1349

Accordingly, you are hereby advised that your objection to the question and your grounds for refusing to answer the question are hereby overruled.

As Chairman of this committee, I hereby demand and direct that you answer the question put to you.

Mr. Schorr. Mr. Chairman, as a matter of professional conscience, I am convinced that the First Amendment of the Constitution was written precisely to guarantee that I would not have to provide that source and to protect the free press I respectfully decline to answer.

Mr. Flynt. Counsel will proceed.

Mr. Marshall. Mr. Schorr, did you obtain a copy of the report of the House Select Committee on Intelligence prepared pursuant to House Resolution 591 from a member or a staff employee of the House Select Committee on Intelligence?

Mr. Schorr. As a matter of conscience, and in invoking my First Amendment protection, I respectfully decline to reply to that question.

Mr. Flynt. I must at this time advise the witness that this committee is acting pursuant to the authority vested in it by House Resolutions 1042 and 1054 of the House of Representatives, 94th Congress.

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 CIA-RDP91-00966R000800

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

**†**9

20

21

22

23

24

25

1350

Copies of those resolutions and the opening statement of the Chairman of this committee setting out the legislative 2 purposes of these hearings were served upon you prior to your appearance as a witness here today.

The subject of these hearings is an inquiry into the circumstances surrounding the publication in the Village Voice of the text and of any part of the report of the House Select Committee on Intelligence so that this committee can report back to the House its findings and recommendations thereon.

The question put to you is pertinent to the subject under inquiry in that the identity of the person from whom the text and any part of the report were obtained and the method by which the text and any part of that report were obtained constitute evidence of the circumstances surrounding publication of the text and any part of that report.

Your answer to the question put to you is necessary for this committee to carry out the mandate of the House of Representatives.

If you continue to refuse to answer the question, your refusal will be deemed by this committee to constitute a willful failure to answer a question pertinent to the subject under inquiry and will subject you to prosecution and punishment by fine or imprisonment or both under Title 2 of the United States Code, Sections, 192, 193, and 194.

Your refusal to answer will also subject you to prosecution and punishment for contempt of the House of

1351-

Representatives.

**t**9

Accordingly, you are hereby advised that your objection to the question and your grounds for refusing to answer the question are hereby overruled.

As Chairman of this committee, I hereby demand and direct that you answer the question put to you.

Mills fls. 12 noon

Mills 12N,

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Schorr. Mr. Chairman, for the Constitutional and reasons of personal conscience heretofore stated, I must continue to decline to reply to that question.

Mr. Flynt. Counsel will proceed.

Mr. Marshall. Mr. Schorr, did you obtain the copy of the report prepared by the House Select Committee on Intelligence pursuant to House Resolution 591 from any person or agency employed in the Executive Branch of the United States Government?

Mr. Schorr. Mr. Counsel, as a matter of personal conscience and relying on my First Amendment protection, I also decline to reply to that question.

Mr. Flynt. I must advise zhe witness that this committee is acting pursuant to the authority vested in it by Resolution 1041 and 1054 of the House of Representatives, 94th Congress. Copies of those resolutions and the opening szatement of the Chairman of this committee setting out the legislative purposes of these hearings were served upon you prior to your appearance as a witness here today. The subject of these hearings is an inquiry into the circumstances surrounding the publication in the Village Voice of the text and of any part of the report of the House Select Committee on Intelligence, so that this committee can report back to the House its findings and recommendations thereon. The question put to you is pertinent to the subject

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-

	Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0
1	under inquiry, in that the identity of the person from
2	whom the text and any part of that report were obtained and
3	the method by which the text or any part of that report were
4	obtained constitute evidence of the circumstances surrounding
5	publication of the text and any part of that report. Your
6	answer to the question put to you is necessary for this
7	committee to carry out the mandate of the House of Representa-
8	tives. If you continue to refuse to answer the question,
9	your refusal will be deemed by this committeee to constitute
10	a willful failure to answer a question pertinent to the
11	subject under inquiry, and will subject you to prosecution
12	and punishment by a fine or imprisonment or both, under Title
13	II of the United States Code, Sections 192, 193 and 194.
14	Your refusal to answer will also subject you to
15	prosecution and punishment for contempt of the House of
16	Representatives. Accordingly, you are hereby advised that
17	your objection to the question and your grounds for refusing
18	to answer the question are hereby overruled.
19	As Chairman of this committee, I hereby demand and direct
20	that you answer the question put to you.
21	Mr. Schorr. Mr. Chairman, for the personal reasons and
22	on the Constitutional grounds stated, I must continue to
23	decline to reply to that question.
24	Mr. Flynt. Counsel will proceed.
25	Mr. Marshall. Mr.Schorr, on what date did you obtain

£

•'

\$

II

.

1363

1	the copy of the report prepared by the House Select Committee
2	on Intelligence pursuant to House Resolution 591?
3	Mr. Schorr. For Constitional reasons, or on Constitutional
4	ground, and for personal reasons, because it is not my intention
5	to provide you with any information which could possibly
6	help you to ascertain the source, I respectfully decline
7	to reply to that question.
1	

Mr. Flynt. I must at this time advise the witness that 8 this committee is acting pursuant to the authority vested 9 in it by House Resolution 1042 and 1054 of the House of 10 Representatives, 94th Congress. Copies of those resolutions 11 and the opening statement of the Chairman of this committee 12 setting out the legislative purposes of these hearings were 13 served upon you prior to your appearance as a witness here 14 today. 15

The subject of these hearings is an inquiry into the 16 circumstances surrounding the publication in the Village Voice 17 of the text and of any part of the report of the House Select 18 Committee on Intelligence os that this committee can report 19 back to the House its findings and recommendations thereon. 20 The question put to you is pertinent to the subject under 21 inquiry in that the identity of the persons from whom the 22 text and any part of that report were obtained and the 23 methods by which the text and any part of that report 24 were obtained constitute evidence of the circumstances , 25

1

2

З

4

5

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

surrounding publication of the text and any part of that report.

Your answer to the question put to you is necessary for this committee to carry out the mandate of the House of Representatives.

If you continue to refuse to answer the question, your
refusal will be deemed by this committee to constitute a
willful failure to answer a question pertinent to the subject
under inquiry, and will subject you to prosectuion and punishment by a fine or imprisonment or both, under Title II of the
United States Code Sections 192, 193 and 194.

Your refusal to answer will also subject you to prosecution and punishment for contempt of the House of Representatives. Accordingly, you are hereby advised that your objection to the question and your grounds for refusing to answer the

question are hereby overruled.

As Chairman of this committee, I hereby demand and direct that you answer the question put to you.

Mr. Schorr. Sir, on the grounds and for the reasons stated, I must continue to decline.

Mr. Flynt. Counsel will proceed.

Mr. Marshall. Mr. Schorr, did you show the copy of the report which you obtained on public television over CBS News on January 28, 1976?

Mr. Schorr. That is readily apparent to the entire

<u> Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004</u>

1365

country. 1 Mr. Marshall. I take it your answer is yes? 2 Mr. Schorr. Yes. 3 Mr. Marshall. Did you exhibit it on public television 4 on any other dates other than January 28, 1976? 5 Mr. Schorr. I believe I did. 6 Mr. Marshall. Could you tell us what those dates were, 7 sir? 8 Mr. Schorr. I am relying on memory. The first date 9 was January the 25th. I think again on the morning of January 10 26. And perhaps one or two times between the 26th and the 11 28th. 12 Mr. Marshall. Did you exhibit a copy of the report on 13 public television, either on CBS or any other network, at any 14 time after January 28, 1976? 15 Mr. Schorr. I frankly don't remember. 16 Mr. Marshall. When you obtained a copy of the report, ... 17 that you testified to, did you make additional copies 18 from the copy you got? 19 I did. Mr. Schorr. 20 Where are those copies now? Mr. Marshall. 21 Mr. Schorr. In my possession. 22 Mr. Marshall. How many copies did you make of 23 the original copy you received? 24 Mr. Schorr. How many did I make? 25

Mr. Marshall. Yes.

Mr. Schorr. How many are in my possession?

Mr. Marshall. Yes.

1

2

3

4

15

16

17

23

24

25

Mr. Schorr. There are four.

Mr. Marshall. Those are two separate questions. How 5 many copies did you make, and of those copies, how many are 6 in your possession. 7

Mr. Schorr. Answering the second question first, there 8 are four copies in my possession. With respect to the first 9 question, as to how many copies I made, I must respectfully 10 decline to answer because I cannot answer that question 11 without entering into the internal editorial process of pre-12 paring news for dissemination which I believe is protected 13 by the First Amendment. That is to say, that I could not answer 14 that question by telling you something about the internal news workings which I believe are protected by the First Amendment.

Mr. Flynt. With regard to the question which you 18 just refused to answer, I must at this time advise the witness 19 that this committee is acting pursuant to the authority 20 vested in it by House Resolution 1042 and 1054 of the House 21 of Representatives, United States Congress. 22

Copies of those resolutions and the opening statement of the Chairman of this committee setting out the legislative purposes of these hearings was served upon you prior to your

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 CIA -RDP91-00966R000800010004

1

2

3

4

5

6

11

appearance as a witness here today. The subject of these hearings is an inquiry into the circumstances surrounding the publication in the Village Voice of the text and any part of the report of the House Select Committee on Intelligence, so that this committee can report back to the House its findings and recommendations thereon.

7 The question put to you is pertinent to the subject 8 under inquiry in that the identity of the person from whom 9 the text and any part of that report were obtained, and the 10 method by which the text and any part of that report were obtained constitute evidence of the circumstances surrounding 12 publication of the text and any part of that report.

13 Your answer to the question put to you is necessary 14 for this committee to carry out the mandate of the House 15 of Representatives. If you continue to refuse to answer 16 the question, your refusal will be deemed by this committee 17 to constitute a willful failure to answer a question pertinent 18 to the subject under inquiry, and will subject you to prose-19 cution and punishment by a fine or imprisonment or both under 20 Title II of the United States Code, Sections 192, 193, and 21 194.

22 Your refusal to answer that part of the question which 23 you have refused to answer will also subject you to prosecution 24 and punishment for contempt of the House of Representatives. 25 Accordingly, you are hereby advised that your objection

d For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004

1

2

3

4

5

6

25

to that part of the question which you refuse to answer, and your groundsfor refusing to answer that part of the question are hereby overruled.

As Chairman of this committee, I hereby demand and direct that you answer that part of the question put to you which you have not previously answered.

7 Mr. Schorr. Mr. Chairman, I continue to decline. And 8 just so that it is fully understood why I decline, let me 9 say that it is my firm belief that there is a process which 10 goes on in news organizations in which people must be 11 able to speak freely to each other, make arrangements and 12 decisions and hold consultations. And that entire process 13 is protected by the First Amendment. Because if it were 14 known that it would be possible in retrospect for a Congressional 15 committee or any governmental body to look back over the 16 shoulders of those who were engaged in preparing news for 17 dissemination, the effect would be chilling. And therefore, 18 I cannot go into the internal process by which news is 19 prepared.

20 Mr. Flynt. Do you continue to refuse to answer that 21 part of the question which you have heretofore refused to 22 answer?

23 Mr. Schorr. I do, Mr. Chairman, just as Dr. Stanton
24 declined to provide out-takes.

Mr. Flynt. Counsel will proceed.

<u> Approved For Release</u> 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-

1	Mr. Marshall. Did you deliver one of the copies that
2	you made to a newspaper, the Village Voice, for publication
· 3	in its February 16th and February 23rd, 1976, editions of
4	that newspaper?
5	Mr. Schorr. The strict answer to that would be no,
6	I did not deliver it. But if I understand the purpose of your
7	question, I arranged to have it delivered, yes.
8	Mr. Marshall.Was that copy of the report picked up
9	from your home here in Washington and delivered to the
10	Village Voice?
11	Mr. Schorr. You have had testimony to that effect,
12	and that testimony is correct.
13	Mr. Flynt. I couldn't hear you.
14	Mr. Schorr. You have had testimony to that effect,
15	and that testimony is accurate.
16	Mr. Marshall. Is accurate? All right.
17	And that pickup of your copy of the report was made
18	on February 6, 1976, is that correct?
19	Mr. Schorr. If that was a Friday, the answer is yes.
20	Mr. Marshall. Now, at the time you made arrangements
21	for the Village Voice to obtain the copy of the report,
22	had you discussed with the Village Voice whether it, in fact,
23	was going to publish the report?
24	Mr. Schorr. I did not.
25	Mr. Marshall. Did you ever have any discussions with

nproved For Release 2006/11/14 CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

)

	1370
	the Village Voice about publication of the report?
2	Mr. Schorr. I did not.
3	Mr. Marshall. Is it your testimony you were simply
4	making the report available to the Village Voice for the
5	Village Voice to do with it what it would?
6	Mr. Schorr. Well, I didn't in fact even make the copy
7	available as far as I knew to the Village Voice.
8	Mr. Marshall. To whom did you think you were making
9	the copy available at the time the person arrived to
10	pick it up from your home in Washington, D. C.?
11	Mr. Schorr. I thought I was making it available to Mr.
12	Felker for publication in one of three ways: Either in the
13	Village Voice, or in New York Magazine, or in some third
14	way, as a separate tract or pamphlet.
15	Mr. Marshall. Well, is my understanding correct, then,
16	that there was no question about whether Mr. Felker would publish
17	the report? The only question in your mind at that time was
18	what the vehicle for publication would be.
19	Mr. Schorr. And the time, yes.
20	Mr. Marshall. All right.
21	Was that to be Felker's choice, or were you to participate
22	in that decision?
23	Mr. Schorr. I'm sorry.
24	Mr. Marshall. Was the decision as to the vehicle
25	for publication to be Mr. Felkers' sole choice, or were you
	Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

	Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0
	1371
1	to participate in that decision?
2	Mr. Schorr. I had no part in that process.
3	Mr. Marshall. Now, at the time you made the report
4	available to Mr. Felker, in the manner in which you have
5	testifeid to, did you know that the House of Representatives
6	had voted by resolution on January 29, 1976, not to release
7	the report to the public until certain conditions were met?
8	Mr. Schorr. I knew that the House had voted to direct
9	the Select Committee not to release the report.
10	Mr. Marshall. And were you also aware that the House
11	did not receive the Select Committee's copy of the report
12	until January 30, 1976?
13	Mr. Schorr. I accept that. I didn't know that.
14	Mr. Marshall. Was the text of the report printed in the
15	February 16th and February 23rd, 1976 editions of the
16	Village Voice the same as it appeared to you as the text of the
17	copy of the report you made available to Mr. Felker?
18	Mr. Schorr. To avoid possible error, let me say that
19	I have never made a line-by-line examination. But it appears
20	to me that in substance it was the same.
21	Mr. Marshall. Was the copy that you made available
22	to Mr. Felker and subsequently published in the Village
23	Voice later returned to you?
24	Mr. Schorr. It was.
25	Mr. Marshall. And is that one of the copies you have

in your possession now that you refuse to produce under subpoena duces tecum?

Mr. Schorr. It is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Marshall. Now, Mr. Schorr, did you contact any person, firm or corporation other than Mr. Felker about the copy of the report that you were going to make available for publication?

Mr. Schorr. Yes. Let me say in a general way that my original intention and hope was that the report be published in the form of a book, a paperback or other book, a paperback because it could be done fastest. But I considered that to be the most neutral way of bringing the contents of the report available, making them available to the public. And in the course of doing that I contacted several persons who I thought might be able to make arrangements, or make inquiries of book publishers to find out whether it could be published as a book.

Mr. Marshall. And who were those persons that you contacted?

Mr. Califano. Let me make one thing clear, Mr. Counsel, so that this committee understands at least the theory under which Mr. Schorr is testifying today, and why he is testifying about what happened, if you will, with the Village Voice publication and Mr. Felker.

Mr. Schorr was not a part in any way of the editorial

1	process that went on at the Village Voice, as he has
2	testified, and he is willing to testify with respect to any
3	contacts that were made of an outside nature, outside of his
4	ordinary employment in a sense, with CBS. He will not discuss
5	any activities that went on within CBS. As he has indicated
6	earlier they are part of an editorial process that he considers
7	to be privileged, a part of an editorial process that we have
8	argued is privileged, and part of an editorial process that
9	we believe the House ruled on in 1971 when they refused to
10	cite Dr. Stanton for contempt. So he will respond to your
11	question in line with those theories.
12	Mr. Marshall. Will you respond to my question, please?

Mr. Schorr. Yes.

I discussed it with -- I first asked -- I first asked the Reporters Committee through the person of Fred Graham, who is a trustee of the Reporters Committee.

Mr. Marshall. Mr. Schorr, I cannot quite hear you. Would you speak more directly into the microphone?

> I'm sorry. Mr. Schorr.

I haven't used microphones for a long time.

I couldn't even hear that comment. Mr. Marshall.

The comment was I have not used microphones Mr. Schorr.

for a long time. 23

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

The reply to your question is through the person of Fred Graham, as trustee of the Reporters Committee, I discussed

-

) $\bigcirc$  1374

E I	
1	with the Reporters Committee the idea that if the thing
2	was published as a book, and inevitably a publisher wished
3	to pay royalties for it, I was very anxious to avoid any
4	check arriving in my mail box because the one thing I was
5	clear about was I had to avoid any remuneration for this.
6	And therefore, I suggested that if royalties were offered,
7	I should like to say whatever proceeds your think should go
8	to me, I would prefer to go to a charity, in this case the
9	Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press, because I thought
10	that was associated with the purpose of what I was doing.
11	So I talked to Fred Graham, who I understand had discussions
12	with others in the Reporters Committee for Freedom of the
13	Press. That resulted in my having telephone contact with Peter
14	Tufo, who was designated as a person, attorney, but who
15	apparently was knowledgeable of the publishing industry
16	in New York, and who undertook to see whether he could
17	find a publisher. I believe there were contacts made with
18	perhaps two publishers.
19	In addition, I talked to my own business adviser, because
20	he is in New York, and has been involved in publishing
21	arrangements before. His name is Richard Liebner, of the firm
22	of N. S. Bienstock, Inc.
23	That was basically it.
24	There were other discussions that came in the form of
25	mainly inquiries from some who had seen me show the thing

1375

on television, to ask me whether I would be interested 1 in publishing it, and offering assistance in publishing it, 2 but they were just inquiries that never resulted in anything. 3 Mr. Marshall. Who were the two publishers that were 4 contacted as you understand it by Mr. Tufo? 5 Mr. Schorr. I believe they were Bantam and Dell Publishing 6 Companies. 7 Mr. Marshall. Did any of the persons who were contacted 8 by Mr. Tufo, insofar as you know, give any reason for refusing 9 to publish the report? 10 Mr. Schorr. It was represented to me by Mr. Tufo that 11 one of the problems was that an atmosphere prevailed in 12 Washington, generated by the CIA, perhaps, and by the Adminis-13 tration, which consisted of a kind of backlash against investiga-14 tion of the intelligence community and a spreading fear that 15 anything you now publish with regard to intelligence would kill 16 an agent in the way that the tragic murder of Richard Welch 17 took place in Athens. So that was a rather chilling atmosphere 18 in which I was told that publishers were very nervous 1.9 about public documents that concerned intelligence. I felt 20 they misunderstood. I knew that the document could not possibly 21

have that effect, because it mentioned no agents. But I was given that as one of the reasons, a nervousness in the publishing industry as a result of a kind of secrecy backlash in Washington.
1376

1	Mr. Marshall. Were there any other reasons which were
2	given to you for those parties refusing to take part in
3	publiation of the report?
4	Mr. Schorr. None that I can recall.
5	Mr. Marshall. Now
6	Mr. Flynt. The bells have sounded.
7	Three bells have sounded, indicating a quorum call is
8	in progress in the House presently. Accordingly, the committee
9	will stand in recess until 2:00 o'clock, at which time,
10	Mr. Schorr, you and Mr. Califano are requested to return.
11	Mr. Schorr. Thank you, sir.
12	Mr. Flynt. The committee stands in recess until 2:00
13	o'clock.
13 14	o'clock. (Whereupon, at 12:30 o'clock p.m., the committee
14	(Whereupon, at 12:30 o'clock p.m., the committee
14 15	(Whereupon, at 12:30 o'clock p.m., the committee
14 15 16	(Whereupon, at 12:30 o'clock p.m., the committee
14 15 16 17	(Whereupon, at 12:30 o'clock p.m., the committee
14 15 16 17 18	(Whereupon, at 12:30 o'clock p.m., the committee
14 15 16 17 18 19	(Whereupon, at 12:30 o'clock p.m., the committee
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(Whereupon, at 12:30 o'clock p.m., the committee
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Whereupon, at 12:30 o'clock p.m., the committee
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 23 24	(Whereupon, at 12:30 o'clock p.m., the committee
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(Whereupon, at 12:30 o'clock p.m., the committee

		Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0
SOC Hanback		AFTERNOON SESSION
2 p.m. Fls. Mills	1	
15/76	2	Mr. Flynt. The committee will come to order.
	3	The Chair would just like to state that the announced
	4	recess was until two o'clock. I think perhaps everyone in
	5	the hearing room is aware of the fact that quorum calls and
	6	recorded votes frequently throw our schedules a little awry.
<b>is</b> .	7	The slight delay in reconvening was unavoidable because
•	8	a recorded aye and nay vote was called shortly before two
2 - 1 2 - 1	9	o'clock.
	10	A quorum is present for the purpose of taking testimony
• •	11	and receiving evidence.
	12	Counsel will proceed.
	13	Mr. Marshall. Mr. Schorr
-	14	Mr. Flynt. Let the record also show that the witness is
	15	Mr. Daniel Schorr, and that, as before the recess, is
	16	accompanied by his counsel, Mr. Joseph Califano.
	17	Mr. Marshall. Mr. Schorr, I remind you that you are still
	18	under oath. Would you respond for the record that you are aware
•	19	of that?
	20	Mr. Schorr. I am aware of that.
	21	Mr. Marshall. Mr. Schorr, when we recessed at the end
	22	of the morning session we were discussing publishers who might
	23	have been contacted concerning the report, and you had testified
	24	concerning reasons that you were aware of as to why certain
	25	publishers were unwilling to publish the report.

1378

(

	1	Was Mr. Clay Felker the only person who was willing to
	2	publish the report, to your knowledge?
•	3	Mr. Schorr. It was so represented to me by Mr. Tufo.
	4	Mr. Marshall. Did Mr. Tufo state any other persons who
	5	had been contacted other than the persons you identified in
	6	the morning session?
	7	Mr. Schorr. Not that I can now recollect.
	- 8	He made some general statement at one point that he had
	.9	canvassed the field, but those are the only two publishers
	10	that I recall his mentioning specifically.
	11	Mr. Marshall. The only two publishers?
•	12	Mr. Schorr. Dell and Bantum, yes, were the only two
•	13	whose names I recall his mentioning, although he gave general
. ·	14	indication that he had canvassed the field.
	15	Mr. Marshall. When you say canvassed the field, did you
·**	16	understand him to mean that he had looked at other persons
	17	and attempted to get them to consider to publish the report?
	18	Mr. Schorr. Yes, but I would not want my testimony to
1 .	19	indicate specifically that he had done something which I
	20	am not sure he did. These conversations were brief and $\frac{1}{2}$
	21 ′	general, and on the telephone with someone I had never met,
	22	and I might have misinterpreted, but he did leave with me the
	23	impression that whatever could be done to find a publisher
	24	he had done. But as to names of specific publishers, I
	25	recall mention of only two.

 $\bigcirc$ 

ŝ,

I	
1	Mr. Marshall. Now, you heard Mr. Felker's testimony in
2	the morning session concerning financial arrangements which
3	were discussed between the Village Voice and the Reporters'
4	Committee for Freedom of the Press.
5	Mr. Schorr. I did.
6	Mr. Marshall. Did you take any part in the financial
7	discussions that were, that took place?
8	Mr. Schorr. No. On the contrary, at one point when Mr.
9	Tufo proposed to explain to me the financial discussions that
10	he was involved in I cut him short and said I have nothing to
11	do with your financial discussions, and I don't want to know
12	about them.
13	Mr. Marshall. Was it your idea that the payment to the
14	Reporters' Committee for Freedom of the Press was an appropriate
15	way for the Village Voice to express its appreciation for
16	receipt of the report?
17	Mr. Schorr. If you will amend Village Voice to say the
18	Felker enterprises, it was my hope that a contribution would
19	be made to the Reporters' Committee for Freedom of the Press.
20	Mr. Marshall. Did that idea originate with you?
21	Mr. Schorr. Yes, it did.
22	Mr. Marshall. And did you pass that idea along to Mr.
23	Tufo?
24	Mr. Schorr. Yes, I did. Well, I passed it along to
25	the Reporters' Committee, which then contacted Mr. Tufo
	Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

. N 1

9

	1380
1	informing him of my desires, so that by the time I first
2	spoke to him he was aware of my wish.
3	Mr. Marshall. Is it your testimony than that once you
4	thought ot the idea you went to the Reporters' Committee, who
5	then contacted Mr. Tufo?
6	Mr. Schorr. That is correct.
7	Mr. Marshall. Did the Reporters' Committee state to you
8	that it was willing to receive such a contribution?
9	Mr. Schorr. Mr. Graham represented to me that the available
10	trustees of the Reporters' Committee had been contacted by
11	telephone and were so willing, yes.
12	Mr. Marshall. At the time you contacted Mr. Graham for
13	the Reporters' Committee, did you advise Mr. Graham, the
14	circumstances surrounding your making the report available to
15	Mr. Felker?
16	Mr. Schorr. At the time that I contacted Mr. Graham,
17	Mr. Felker had not yet entered into the picture. This was at
18	an earlier stage when it was still unknown where it would be
19	published.
20	The arrangement was whoever published it, if the Reporters $\frac{1}{4}$
21	Committee were willing to receive it, I would designate any
22	proceeds that anybody thought they would want to pay me,
23	especially since at an earlier stage I thought of writing an
24	introduction and doing some other editorial work myself, so
25	the original concept was that for the complete publication,

CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0 Approved For Release 2006/11/14

1381 including my interpretation, and notation and introduction, 1 whatever proceeds might be considered as being due to me, 2 I didn't want to receive, because I didn't want any money; but 3 that was at a point where there was no specific place of 4 publication in mind. 5 Mr. Marshall. Now, with regard to your obtaining the 6 report from the original source that you have earlier refused 7 to identify, did you make any money payment to that source in 8 return for the report? 9 Mr. Schorr. My hesitation, Mr. Counsel, is only on the 10 grounds that I don't want to open up a series of questions 11 with regard to the source. 12 My inclination would be very happily to reply to that 13 question, and I am only troubledat this point about possible 14 waiver. Would it satisfy you if I were to say that no money 15 was ever discussed with anyone in connection with my receipt 16 of the report? 17 Mr. Marshall. If you are referring, responding to the 18 question I have asked, and you mean by that response that you 19 made no payment to the person from whom you received the 20 report, then that would be an answer. 21 Mr. Schorr. No money ever changed hands in any way in 22 any connection with the report. I couch it generally only; 23 not that I wish to be unresponsive to the question. I am rather 24 anxious to be responsive to that question, but I am only afraid 25

1382

anything that would open the door to other questions about 1 the source. 2 Mr. Marshall. Would you agree with me that when you couched 3 your answer generally that it also refers to specifics? 4 Mr. Schorr. It seems to be a reasonable interpretation, 5 doesn't it? 6 Mr. Marshall. Would you agree with that? 7 Mr. Schorr. Certainly it's reasonable. 8 Mr. Marshall. I need an answer to the question, Mr. 9 Schorr. 10 Mr. Schorr. I agree with that. I am advised that. 11 Mr. Marshall. I have no further questions at this time. 12 Mr. Flynt. Mr. Teague? 13 Mr. Teague. No questions. 14 Mr. Flynt. Mr. Spence? 15 Mr. Spence. Yes, Mr. Chairman. 16 Mr. Schorr, in the Washington Star of September 3, 1976, 17 you were quoted as saying: "If I got it, others could have 18 gotten it. Perhaps the Soviet intelligence could have gotten 19 it. They have got to keep order in their own house." I suppose 20 you are speaking of the House of Representatives. 21 Did you say this to the reporter in the Washington Star? 22 Mr. Schorr. I did. 23 That is your problem, you see. Mr. Spence. We are 24 trying to keep order in our own house, and we have asked question 25

of people all over the place, and we haven't been able to get the answers. And so there you are, and you have the answer, and I can understand your position, but we have a problem too.

1383

Mr. Spence, may I address myself to your Mr. Schorr. problem?

Mr. Spence. Yes, sir, that is what I wanted to have you do.

I am not an absolutist on this question of Mr. Schorr. publication versus the sensitivity of keeping confidential material confidential either by the Executive Branch of Government or by the Legislative Branch of Government.

I do deeply appreciate your problem. I believe your .... 12 functioning as the House of Representatives and as a part of Congress is to legislate and as part of legislating to get information which occasionally is classified and confidential 15 and to be able to maintain enough security over that information so that you can hope to get further information from the Executive Branch.

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

16

17

It is not a problem that I am not unaware of.

But, my position and my deep feeling on the subject is that as I suggested in this interview with the Evening Star, that to the extent that you can, by policing your own house, small "h", find out what went wrong, and then recommend procedures for improvement of it, is a perfectly legitimate and necessary function of a body of this Congress.

25

1/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004

1	But when the point comes that you cross over and try
2	once the horse, or in this case, the report has left the
3	stable, to recapture it and chase the reporter and say either
4	give it back or tell us how you got it, then you cross that
5	very delicate, fragile, important line, that has to do with
6	what is your legitimate function, and what is our legitimate
7	function.

1384

It seems to me you already know enough to know the procedures left something to be desired.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

23

24

25

Well, I do have a little problem too with the Mr. Spence. fact, as I indicated earlier this morning to another witness, that one person, no matter who that person might be, be he or she a reporter or just a member of the public or member of Congress, that one person could have enough knowledge to be able to say if this publication will or will not harm national security.

You see, at least we are selected by the people to look 17 out for them in national security, and then here's a person 18 who hadn't been elected by anybody, might have been hired by 19 somebody, but who doesn't have the authority to speak for 20 anybody else really.

Mr. Schorr. Mr. Spence, nine members of this body had voted that that report would not harm national security and these were nine members of thirteen that had worked with that material, who had dealt with the CIA, made certain changes,

made certain deletions at their request, and had voted not to make other deletions. It really wasn't a person, it was 2 a committee of this austere body. 3 Mr. Spence. And overwhelmingly we rejected too their 4 decision, and I might add that I put them in that same 5 category. I don't think they have the power or the authority 6 to do that. As a matter of fact, the House made it pretty 7 clear they didn't have. 8 Mr. Schorr. Would you forgive me if I didn't enter into 9 disputes with others in this House? 10 Mr. Spence. Yes, sir. You said in your statement, and 11 I can understand that, "I have never yielded to a demand for 12 the disclosure of a source that I had promised to protect." 13 Is this just something that you take a position on in 14 this particular case? 15 Mr. Schorr. No, sir. 16 Mr. Spence. Is there any case you can think of, any 17 situation where you would reveal your source? 18 Mr. Schorr. No. I cannot conceive of a situation where 19 I would reveal a source that I had promised to protect. 20 Mr. Spence. Well, you see, when you talk about national 21 security, that is a vague thing. People can hide behind it, 22 and everything. It doesn't get down to the life of a person. 23 Mr. Schorr. Right. 24 Mr. Spence. It might be the life of a whole country, 25

<u> Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0</u>

1386 and our way of life and freedom of the press and all of the 1 rest. 2 Mr. Schorr. Right, right. 3 Mr. Spence. But, what if a man were going to be executed 4 tomorrow for the crime of murder, and you had information from 5 a source that that person was not guilty? To save his life 6 would you reveal your source? That is getting it down where 7 everybody can understand it, the life of one person versus 8 this protection you think you have. 9 Now, that is getting it down where we can all understand 10 it. 11 Mr. Schorr. Mr. Spence, I respectfully submit to you the 12 question is so hypothetical I don't know how to adress, I 13 don't understand what a situation would be where the identity 14 of a source would save a person's life. 15 The information might, but why the source? 16 Mr. Spence. If you tell who the source is, they can go 17 and find out who the source is, and save that man's life. 18 That fellow's life is pretty important and he would disagree 19 with you. 20 Mr. Schorr. Mr. Spence, I am not an absolutist about 21 anything, and I would not say flatly that if a life of a person 22 could be saved by revealing a source, although I can't 23 understand how that would work, I make no absolute statements. 24 I have never known of a situation where the balance between 25

Ć

1	the importance of protecting a source was ever in my forty	
2	years in hournalism outweighed by other consideration.	
3	Mr. Spence. That's the answer I wanted, you see, because	
4	it's not in all cases, this right is not absolute that you	
5	claim you have.	
6	Mr. Schorr. Very little in life is.	
7	Mr. Spence. Well, that is what I am getting around to,	
8	but it has been portrayed as being an absolute thing. For	
9	forty years it's a personal thing, and principle, and all of	
10	this, and I hate to ever make flat statements, and I didn't	
11	think you wanted to.	
12	Thank you very much.	
13	Mr. Flynt. Mr. Foley?	
14	Mr. Foley. Mr. Chairman, I don't have any questions.	
15	I find myself very much in agreement with Mr. Schorr's judgment	
16	that this Congress and committee have the power and perhaps	
17	the responsibility to make a diligent inquiry as to whether	
18	its officers, employees or members of the House of Representa-	
19	tives had violated any of its rules. But I share with him the	
20	concern that when the committee calls members of the press	
. 21	and seeks to inquire into sources, it crosses or may begin to	
22	cross a very delicate Constitutional barrier.	
23	I have no questions of him. I will state again my belief	
24	that it would have been better for the committee not to engage	
25	in the issuance of the subpoenas, or pose questions that have	

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

been posed to the witnesses today.

Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Flynt. Mr. Quillen?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Quillen. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Schorr, in answer to an earlier question you said that or rather you refused to reveal the number of copies of the report you made and went further to say that the news process was also protected by the First Amendment.

Do you mean to tell me that the news process, how many Xerox copies you made of a report and the process that you went through in the development of the story is also protected under the First Amendment?

Mr. Schorr. I so believe, Mr. Quillen. I do believe that in this case the number of copies made implies a question about the distribution of the copies, begins to get into the issue of who makes what decision when, would you consult about, who says what you will do, and what you will not do with the news, and how it will be handled, and the first general question in that whole general area, which I believe to be the process of the work product inside of a news organization, would have to have been the number of copies made, and on that ground I respectfully decline to reply.

Mr. Quillen. Well then, by inference you have led this Member to believe that what happens in that process is secret as far as the reporter is concerned, as far as others in the news process are concerned. Yet, at the same time you defy

<u>Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-(</u>

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Congress in saying that anything a committee does, anything
 that the House of Representatives does, is open to the public.
 Now, which side, which show is on, and which side of the
 mouth are we talking out of?

1389

Mr. Schorr. Mr. Quillen, I submit to you that that is only a superficial paradox. What happens inside a news organization is not necessarily secret, it's written up in journalism books.

The time, however, when it must become secret is when a subpoena is used to try to compel the production of information about the specifics of that process, because the element of compulsion involved in this is a chilling element for future consultation.

Mr. Quillen. I don't question your sincerity, Mr. Schorr. Quite frankly, I think you are a dedicated reporter. Would you classify yourself as an investigative reporter? Mr. Schorr. Others have. I think at sixty it's a little old to be an investigative reporter; but if it's meant as a compliment I accept it and if it's meant otherwise, I reject it.

Mr. Quillen. Well, let's do it in a favorable way as a compliment then. Would you say that in your career, and you have had a distinguished careed, and you still will have many more years of fruitful labor I am sure, have you ever made any mistakes in your reporting that you later regretted?

Mr. Schorr. Yes, sir.

1

2

Mr. Quillen. Did youprotect yourself under the First Amendment? 3

Mr. Schorr. In what sense, by not correcting my mistakes, 4 or not saying that this was an error? 5

1390

Mr. Quillen. Maybe some expose stories, or cover-ups or 6 things that maybe that youlater found out that you were not 7 exactly accurate in reporting, did you stand up to it, did 8 you face up to it, did you stand under the First Amendment? 9 Mr. Schorr. Do I understand your question to be would 10 I use the First Amendment simply to cover up the journalistic 11 error in order to avoid correcting that error? 12 Mr. Quillen. That is one way of putting it, yes. 13 Mr. Schorr. No. Usually the organization I worked for 14 wouldn't let me. 15 Mr. Quillen. Well, I am sure -- have you worked for only 16 one organizations, Mr. Schorr? 17 Mr. Schorr. No, sir, only for the past twenty-three years 18 for one organization. But there have been others before then. 19 Mr. Quillen. Did you ever send any material to the 20 Village Voice or the New York Magazine and receive compensation 21 for it? 22 Every time I hesitate it's because I have Mr. Schorr. 23 to ask my lawyer if there is a waiver problem here. The answer 24 is no. 25

1391

- 1	Mr. Quillen. How many people, and this question is phrased
2	a little differently, how many people know of your source?
3	Mr. Schorr. How many people know of my source?
4	Mr. Quillen. Yes.
5	Mr. Schorr. I don't know how many people know of my source.
6	Mr. Quillen. You don't?
7	Mr. Schorr. No. Couldn't possibly.
8	Mr. Quillen. In other words, you wouldn't know whether
9	it's one? It's bound to be one, the person who handed it
10	to you.
11	Mr. Schorr. Mr. Quillen, let me try to understand the
12	question.
13	Mr. Quillen. Or would it be two?
14	Mr. Schorr. The question you are asking is how many people
15	know of my source. The only question you can ask is how many
16	people know of my source from me, since I have no knowledge
17	of how otherwise people might learn of my source.
18	You are asking a question to which I possibly cannot
19	answer. If you understand what I mean. If your question is
20	how many people have I told of my source, how many people of $\frac{1}{2}$
21	my knowledge do I know know my source, the answer is two.
22	Mr. Quillen. Well, I think that puts it in prospective.
23	I was getting around to that.
24	Thank youfor helping me.
25	Mr. Schorr. Those two are my attorney and my wife, both

of whom I regard as privileged, and the reason I have trouble with you here is that I can only tell you what I know of my knowledge and I cannot tell you about the knowledge of other people.

1392

Mr. Quillen. Of course there is one other. The person who gave you the report, and that's the one that you say that you are not going to reveal.

Well, Mr. Schorr, I don't know, I was in the newspaper business as a young man. I would think that the secrets of my country, particularly after the Congress, the House of Representatives voted overwhelmingly not to make it public, that I would have in my newspaper career, I would have respected that opinion, but I guess times have changed, and things move on.

I am not going to delay this, but I wish you would come forth and for the benefit of our country. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Schorr. Sir, I respect your opinion, and let me only say that I by my rights respect my rights and your rights, but by my rights I cannot come forward with it for the benefit of the country.

Mr. Quillen. I understand your sincerity, Mr. Schorr, and I respect it.

CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004

··· -----

Approved For Release 2006/11/14

Mr. Flynt. Mr. Price?

Mr. Price. No questions.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1393

Mr. Flynt. Mr. Hutchinson? 1 Mr. Hutchinson. No questions. 2 Mr. Flynt. Mr. Hebert? 3 Mr. Hebert. No questions. 4 Mr. Flynt. Mr. Mitchell? 5 Mr. Mitchell. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 6 Just for the record, I thought counsel's characterization 7 of our hearings as some kind of a game was unfortunate. Ι 8 think counsel was guilty of one significant omission in his 9 statements, and that was the fact that Congress voted two to 10 one requesting that we determine some way to preserve our 11 Nation's secrets, at least as part of our recommendations. 12 I think that is part of our job, and believe me, Mr. 13 Counsel, it's been anything but a game. 14 The Congress, as you know, has spent upwards of \$150,000. 15 We have spent day after day after day in the various committee 16 hearings listening to testimony. 17 We have had investigators questioning many, many people, 18 hundreds of hours of interrogation. There are reputations at 19 stake. Some individuals feel that the intelligence capability 20 of our country may well be at stake until we can clamp down 21 and determine some way to keep secrets. 22 Now, I want to say to you and Mr. Schorr that perhaps you 23 can help us in our final report. This is one of our duties 24 too, and I am pleased to learn that you are not an absolutist, 25

1394

because maybe that will help us a little bit there too.

You would agree that our Nation must be able to keep some secrets?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Mr. Schorr. Yes, sir.

Mr. Mitchell. And you would agree that we must have an intelligence capability in this United States, and the Congress must be able to take a look at what the intelligence agencies are doing and prevent abuses, would you agree?

Mr. Schorr. I wish they would take a better look than they have.

Mr. Mitchell. Right. But in order to do that would you agree we must be able to keep secrets while we are overseeing the intelligence agencies?

Mr. Schorr. Yes, sir.

Mr. Mitchell. You are aware that the House, of course, you are aware the House voted two to one not to release that document and you are aware probably too that a vote by the House of Representatives overrules a vote by a committee.

You mentioned nine members of a committee. Well, more than 200 members of the HOuse voted to overrule that particular committee action.

Would you agree, Mr. Schorr, that in an extreme situation the inability to keep secrets and/or provide oversight capability could conceivably destroy the very country that is offering these freedoms?

25

1395

1	Mr. Schorr. Yes, sir, I would agree with that. The
2	difficulty is determining whether or not that situation exists.
3	There have been situations where the President, at least one
4	where a President of the United States, on the ground of
5	national security, asked a newspaper not to publish information
6	and later said he wished the paper had published the information
7	and I refer to President Kennedy in the Bay of Pigs.

There have been situations in which a President of the United States has cloaked a cover-up of illegality with invocation of national security. If we could define it we would have no problems between us.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Mitchell. Well, one thing the committee certainly learned in these hearings, Mr. Schorr, was we do need an improved system of classification and we do need an improved system of declassification.

It's highly doubtful or it's questionable at least that that committe of the House could declassify those documents. The Senate has a provision for doing so; they call the entire Senate in and vote to do so. The HOuse doesn't even have a procedure.

Let's take a look at a hypothetical situation. I get the feeling from your testimony you just don't believe there was anything in the report that should have been kept secret.

Mr. Schorr. Well, I had to rely on the fact that a committee of the House believe that there was nothing that

1396

should have been kept secret, and my own reading of the 1 report did not give me any reason to doubt that. 2 Mr. Mitchell. And the only agency, as I know it, that 3 has the power to classify, or the only agencies are the 4 intelligence agencies, the CIA. 5 Mr. Schorr. I beg your pardon? 6 Mr. Mitchell. The only people who can classify information, 7 as I understand it, are the Executive Branch, people in the 8 Executive Branch of Government. The CIA has said that, I 9 don't know whether they said it prior to your getting the 10 report, but they told us that more than 25 percent of the 11 document was highly classified. 12 The President of the United States had asked that the 13 report not be published. The Congress voted not to publish 14 the report. 15 Let me set up a hypothetical situation, Mr. Schorr. 16 Mr. Schorr, I feel that you just don't believe those 17 people who felt that the document should be kept secret until 18 it could be sanitized, and I know many people in the country 19 have that same problem, and God knows people in Government 20 have given them many, many reasons for lack of trust of 21 public officials. 22 But, let's take a hypothetical situation just so I can 23 help get a feeling for a newsman's thinking. 24 Say the United States has an excellent system of 25

classification, and I would be the first to admit it does not at the moment.

You respect that system as a reporter. Under those 3 circumstances, you secure by one means or another a document 4 that is stamped "Top Secret."

Would you, under those circumstances, feel compelled to publish that report?

Mr. Schorr. My frank answer to you, Mr. Mitchell, is 8 that while I do have a great respect for national security, I 9 have very little respect for the system of classification. And that thirty years of experience with information, some of which was derived from highly classified information, has not increased my respect for the system of classification.

Therefore, let me address myself to what I think is your central question, and I think your question is the question that is frequently asked of journalists and a question that journalists have to consider and I guess really that question is if I have a piece of information which I can realize involves the security of, really the security as I recognize it, of the country or the security of persons or soldiers, troops, troop ships, and CIA agents, would I in some absolute way say to hell with everybody, say to hell with everybody, I got it, I am going to publish it?

24

25

1

2

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The answer is two-fold. One is it's very rare you get such information because the people in Government are mostly

DP91-00966R000800010004 Approved For Release 2006/11/

1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

quite loyal, and what is leaked tends to be overclassified material that people are outraged about. And we come to your 2 ultimate question. 3

I am an American. I would be given pause if I thought 4 it would do harm. It is only that in all these years of 5 having gone through all of these things of the Pentagon papers, 6 which they said would harm the national security, but the 7 Executive Branch was unable to prove to the satisfaction of 8 the judge in chambers that there was any significant damage 9 to be done by publication, though they claimed that there 10 would be irreparable damage, after having gone time after time, 11 where the claim was made of damage, and being unable to 12 discover a damage, you would -- I remain a little dubious about 13 classification as an instrument in itself. 14

Mr. Mitchell. I think we have all learned to be a little dubious, Mr. Schorr, but in the hypothetical situation --

.Mr. Schorr. In the hypothetical situation I would be given great pause if I thought great harm would be done, yes, sir Mr. Mitchell. Thank you, Mr. Schorr.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Flynt. Mr. Bennett?

Mr. Bennett. Mr. Schorr, would you consider it improper for you to identify your source if your source advised you that he or she had no objection to your revealing the source? Mr. Schorr. Mr. Bennett, is that a hypothetical question?

11

) () 1399

1	Mr. Bennett. No. I did not intend it, because there is
2	nothing in the record so far that indicates that your source
3	has any objection.
4	Mr. Schorr. I haven't, frankly, approached that kind
5	of question. I am not quite sure the answer is probably I
6	would refuse, I don't know. I haven't thought it through.
7	Mr. Bennett. Maybe I will ask you my next question and
8	you can answer them both together. Maybe I will ask you the
9	next question and you will get in context what I am trying to
10	get at.
11	Since your statement to this point of time has not included
12	a statement that your source objects to revealing the source,
13	would you want to make a statement as to your source objecting
14	or not objecting to revealing the source? And before you
15	answer, I must say that as a former lawyer I somewhat question
16	whether you have made a good case for the point that you are
17	taking, because I know of nothing in the First Amendment which
18	would say that you have a right to protect something that the
19	source doesn't desire for you to protect.
20	Mr. Schorr. The quick answer to your question, sir, is
21	that I said in my opening statement that we must keep
22	confidential those matters entrusted to us only because of the
23	assurance that they would remain confidential, and the most
24	crucial kind of such confidence is the identity of a source of
25	information.
ł	

1400

1	Mr. Bennett. I read your statement with great care and
2	then I paused and looked it over very carefully. The strongest
3	statement you make is in the second paragraph on page 3 where
4	you say, "In some forty years of practicing journalism I never
5	yielded to a demand for the disclosure of a source that I had
6	to protect. I cannot do so now."
7	But, this, in fact, fails to say that the source has
8	not relieved you by now, or that he promised or that you
9	promised him in this particular case. In other words, you
10	have gone all around it, but you haven't said it forthrightly,
11	and it would seem to me from the standpoint of helping you make
12	your case factually that perhaps I should have you and your
13	lawyer confer as to whether or not you are asserting a right
14	to remain silent when your source may not object.
15	Mr. Schorr. I am having difficulty coping with the
16	question. Any source that, any source that had no objection
17	to being revealed could act on the source's own initiative.
18	Mr. Bennett. Surely.
1-9	Mr. Schorr. And if that has not happened
20	Mr. Bennett. Is it difficult for you to say that your
21	source objects? That should be really easily solved by saying
22	he does or doesn't.
23	Mr. Schorr. The only difficulty, sir, frankly, is this
24	minefield that lawyers tell me is called the waiver problem.
25	There are a great many things which as a layman would not be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

very difficult for me to answer because the answers would come rather easily, but I am told you start on what is called, they are things that lawyers talk about called slippery slopes and waivers and things like that that you start on and open the door in certain areas, that then somebody claims that you have given up the right when it comes to more important things.

1401

That is the only reason for my hesitation, but let me find out.

The source requested confidentiality, and the source has never released me from that request and promise.

Mr. Bennett. Then my final question to you is that did you ever say to your wife or your attorney that the CIA gave you this report?

Mr. Califano. Mr. Bennett, let me, as Mr. Schorr's attorney, say that, and I believe you are an attorney as well -

Mr. Bennett. I used to be. I don't practice now.

Mr. Califano. That his conversations with his attorney and his wife we regard as privileged.

Mr. Bennett. Well, they are privileged, but I think they could be waived. So, I am asking the question.

Mr. Schorr. With due respect, I choose not to waive , anything right now.

Mr. Bennett. I want to reassure --

Mr. Schorr, I must at this time advise the witness that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

. 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this committee is acting pursuant to the authority vested in it by Resolutions 1042 and 1054 of the House of Representatives, 94th Congress.

1402

Copies of those resolutions and the opening statement of the Chairman of this committee setting out the legislative purposes of these hearings were served upon you prior to your appearance as a witness here today.

The subject of these hearings is an inquiry into the circumstances surrounding the publication in the Village Voice of the text and of any part of the report of the House Select Committee on Intelligence so that this committee can report back to the House its findings and recommendations thereon.

The question put to you is pertinent to the subject under inquiry in that the identity of the person from whom the text and any part of the report were obtained and the method by which the text and any part of that report were obtained constitute evidence of the circumstances surrounding publication of the text and any part of that report.

Your answer to the question put to you is necessary for this committee to carry out the mandate of the House of Representatives.

If you continue to refuse to answer the question, your refusal will be deemed by this committee to constitute a willful failure to answer a question pertinent to the subject under inquiry and will subject you to prosecution and punishment

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1403

by fine or imprisonment or both under Title 2 of the United States Code, Sections 192, 193, and 194.

Your refusal to answer will also subject you to prosecution and punishment for contempt of the House of Representatives.

Accordingly, you are hereby advised that your objection to the question and your grounds for refusing to answer the question are hereby overruled.

9 As Chairman of this committee, I hereby demand and direct10 that you answer the question put to you.

Mr. Schorr. Mr. Chairman, on the ground of the First Amendment privilege, and in this case on the additional ground of attorney-client privilege, and wife-husband privilege, I respectfully decline to answer that question.

Mr. Flynt. Mr. Bennett, you may proceed.

Mr. Bennett. Well, in view of the fact that your lawyer has allowed you to answer the basic question which I really wanted to inquire about, you can really see I was not trying to be slippery. I really felt there was a defect in what you had said, and it's now been clarified for the record, and I appreciate it.

I am not in any way attempting to do anything slippery or open up anytyhing that would be hurtful. I haven't briefed the laws on the subject. I just thought it was an essential part of the First Amendment protection of source

.1404

that if the source had no objection, the press could not decline on that basis.

Mr. Flynt. Mr. Cochran?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Cochran. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Schorr, I noticed in your statement that you made initially you pointed out your regret that the committee had issued a subpoena to you, and how this is somewhat like crossing the Constitutional Great Divide, which separates the Congress from the press.

I want to observe in respect of that statement aht I frankly think that that great divide has been cross twice before this committee ever commenced its investigation, and it was crossed first of all when you elected in your own wisdom and in accordance with your conscience and good judgment, to cause a copy of the report of the Select Committee to be published, and secondly, I think that great divide was crossed by the House when it adopted the Stratton Resolution directing this committee to commence this investigation, which is a pretty broad directive to the committee to investigate, circumstances surrounding the publication of the Select Committee Report.

I don't really feel as though, therefore, that this committee ought to bear the brunt of these feelings, but that if the feelings are well placed, they ought to be placed at the feet of the entire Congress and not just this committee.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

We are doing a job none of us asked to do, and it's a very difficult job, as you can appreciate.

So that the issuance of the subpoena is certainly not a reflection of our view toward you as a person, but rather a view toward our obligation that was placed upon us by the full House of Representatives to fully explore the circumstances surrounding the publication of the report.

Since you cause it to be published, it follows that we certainly have a duty to ask you at least where you got the report, and what you thought you were authorized to do under the Constitution with respect to a report that the House had voted to be kept secret.

In that regard I think the counsel, in stating in his remarks to the committee that the resolution the House adopted to keep the report secret was not directed at you, but rather was directed at the Select Committee on Intelligence, may not tell the whole story.

The Constitution doesn't just exist to contain the First Amendment, which we are glad it does, but it also contains an article, in Article 1, Section 5, a provision that empowers the House of Representatives to keep certain of its proceedings secret.

Thatprovision was referred to by counsel in a brief he filed before the committee, but it says simply that each House shall keep a journal of its proceedings and from time to time

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

publish the same except such parts as may, in their judgment, require secrecy.

1406

3	Now, I suppose my question then to you is how can that
4	provision, which empowers the House to keep certain of its
5	proceedings secret, have any utility whatsoever if it only
6	seeks to bind members of the House or a committee of the House?
7	It seems to me that to have any utility whatsoever, and I
8	ask you if you do not agree, that it has to bind all of those
9	who might have access to such proceedings?
10 <sup>.</sup>	Mr. Schorr. Mr. Cochran, I most emphatically do not
11	agree.
12	Leaving aside the question as to whether the provision
12 13	Leaving aside the question as to whether the provision concerning the journal is even applicable to a report of a
13	concerning the journal is even applicable to a report of a
13 14	concerning the journal is even applicable to a report of a committee, which I understand is itself a contentious matter,
13 14 15	concerning the journal is even applicable to a report of a committee, which I understand is itself a contentious matter, and coming directly to the heart of your argument, I
13 14 15 16	concerning the journal is even applicable to a report of a committee, which I understand is itself a contentious matter, and coming directly to the heart of your argument, I emphatically do not agree that the House has the right to
13 14 15 16 17	concerning the journal is even applicable to a report of a committee, which I understand is itself a contentious matter, and coming directly to the heart of your argument, I emphatically do not agree that the House has the right to reach out and try to enforce its rules by reaching out to the

21 this House and the function, of the press are quite different 22 as viewed by the Constitution.

This House was created to be responsive to the political will of its constituency, which may change from time to time, and the House as it did on January 29th, regarded itself as

2

5

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

.1407

1	responding to what its constituents wanted when it decided
2	that a report which the taxpayers' money had paid for and had
3	been commissioned by the House should not in that form be
4	released, and I appreciate that as a proper function of the
5	House, responsive to the will of the people, which may in
;	different climates change.

The press was given a different function. The press, as I see it in the Constitution, was given the function of monitoring what the Government does, of giving people information, even information which may at that moment not be popular.

Perhaps information which people didn't want at that time. Because how could they ever change their minds or be informed if they didn't get all of the information possible?

The line that goes there is yes, the House has a full right and the necessary powers to be able to clean its house, to close its doors, to plug its leaks. I understand that.

There is a necessary tension between what you do and what I do. But once it's out, if you go and try to chase it down, and call it back and punish the one who has published it, then I think you have gone too far.

Because, if I may just conclude in one line, because the function of the press, as stated by some of the writers of the Constitution, was to expose the secrets of Government, and to let the people know everything that it was doing, and how in God's name can we expose the secrets of Government and let the

people know what this Government is doing if we can only expose what you say we can expose?

Mr. Cochran. Okay. Well, it may be a good point that you make if, in fact, the publication of the report had occurred at a time prior to the vote of the full House of Representatives on the question of whether or not to permit the publication of the House Select Committee's report, in my judgment.

Certainly we would not want to exercise the power to go out and try to retrieve a document that had previously been given to you at a time when that release was neither unlawful nor violative of any House rule.

Mr. Schorr. Is it unlawful to your mind now?

Mr. Cochran. I think that there is a serious question about whether or not it is. I have not reached that decision yet, and that is why I am exploring this line of questioning with you, to try to help make up my mind about it. I don't think it's as simple, for instance, as counsel and as you have just seemed to indicate it is.

For instance, if you try to compare, as you did in the questioning with Mr. Mitchell, the gentleman from New York, the classification system which the House has the power to impose, and that which the Executive Branch, through its CIA or FBI, or whatever agency seeks to impose, I think that is an error too, and that there is not just one classification system in the Government.

25

1

2

З

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

<u>pproved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0</u>

But that the Congress is peculiarly entrusted with a power because it does, in fact, represent the people of the country to keep whatever proceedings it may chose, whether wise or not, secret, and when it exercises that power, then that power, I think, must be given very strong weight.

I think that it's not just as in the Pentagon Papers case, where it must be shown that the publication of the report or the papers actually contained information that is harmful, in fact, to the country. Therefore, I think, too, that a good argument can be made for the fact that it matters not whether the content of the report did, in fact, contain harmful information.

The power that is entrusted in the House seems to be that it can, as a lawful exercise or proper exercise of its powers, keep its proceedings secret.

I know counsel in his brief suggested that it was probably not appropriate in this case to invoke Article 1, Section 5 of the Constitution because the House Select Committee's report was not a part of the journal.

He makes that point. But, of course, there is no Supreme Court case that has decided whether or not a report has to be a part of the journal as it is known now in custom and usage, or not, or at least I haven't been able to find a Supreme Court case that says that.

25

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

As a matter of fact, the following section of that same

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1410

Article 1 grants to members the right not to be questioned in
 any other place for something they have said in speech or
 debate in either House and has been expanded to include what
 is said or what is contained in a committee report or any
 proceeding in either House.

Therefore, I think you could just as easily say that a report of a committee of the House is a proceeding of the House, whether or not it is in the journal as it was suggested by counsel that it should be.

I haven't come to a conclusion about it, and I am not suggesting that I have decided that this is violative of that power or the exercise of that power.

I want to say further that I support you one-hundred percent, or if the vogue is one-thousand percent or whatever it is in your refusal to disclose your source. I don't think you ought to be made or asked to. We can ask you and if you say you are not going to tell us, I think we ought to let it go at that, and that is my view of that.

But I think there is a serious question, I really do, with respect to the other Constitutional confrontation that has befallen us, and it's not of this committee's making. I want to say certainly not of mine.

Mr. Schorr. If you would like me, Mr. Cochran, to say I regret not only the subpoena, but the Stratton Resolution, I will be happy to.

	1411
1	Mr. Cochran. I understand you probably would regret
2	that, but I did feel that that point ought to be made.
3	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
4	Mr. Flynt. Counsel to the committee?
5	Mr. Marshall. Mr. Schorr, so that the record will be
6	clear, I will ask a very few brief questions. At the time you
7	obtained the report from whatever source you obtained it, you
8	were employed as a reporter by CIS, is that right?
9	Mr. Schorr. Yes.
10	Mr. Marshall.And you were not employed as a reporter by
11	any other legal entity?
12	Mr. Schorr. Yes, sir.
13	Mr. Marshall. At the time you made the report available
14	to Mr. Felker, you were employed solely by CBS?
15	Mr. Schorr. Yes, sir.
16	Mr. Marshall. Am I correct in understanding your
17	testimony that both at the time you obtained the report and at
18	the time you made the report available to Mr. Felker, your
19	sole means of employment was as a reporter for CBS. Is that
20	correct?
21	Mr. Schorr. Yes.
22	Mr. Marsahll. All right. Now, you were not employed by
23	Mr. Clay Felker at either of those two times, were you?
24	Mr. Schorr. No, sir.
25	Mr. Marshall. And you were not employed by the Village
	Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

	Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0
	1412
1	Voice at either of those two times?
2	Mr. Schorr. No, sir.
3	Mr. Marshall. And you were not employed by the New York
4	Magazine at either of those two times?
5	Mr. Schorr. No.
6	Mr. Marshall. Then you were not employed by any other
7	mmeber of the media, that is press or TV or other publication
8	type organization?
9	Mr. Schorr. No, sir, solely and exclusively by CBS
10	News.
11	Mr. Marshall. That is all I have.
12	Mr. Flynt. Further questions on my right? Or further
13	questions on my left?
14	Mr. Quillen. Mr. Chairman, just one other question, an
15	observation, and maybe a question.
16	I think the impression which has been left here today is
17	that the media and press throughout the country is unanimously
18	behind you, Mr. Schorr. And that is not true.
19	I remember reading an editorial I believe in Editor and
20	Publisher where they did not agree with your leak of the
21	report, and there have been literally hundreds of editorials
22	in newspapers throughout the country who do not agree with what
23	you did, and I thought for the record we ought to say that the
24	media itself, or collectively, I won't say in majority, but
25	in good numbers, do not agree with what you did. And they also
£.J	

Ż

2

(

1	take exception to the standing behind the First Amendment.
2	So I just wanted to make that statement, and if you have
3	any comment I would be happy to receive it.
4	Mr. Schorr. Yes, sir, I have one brief comment on that.
5	The gentleman is correct in saying there has been something
6	less than unanimity and a great chorus of personal praise for
7	me since last January and February, to which I would only add,
8	in response to what you have said about the First Amendment,
9	that since the subpoena was issued that the criticism is less
10	and the support has become much greater.
11	Mr. Quillen. Well, I haven't been out into the hinter-
12	land to get the observation, but time will tell. Has Editor
13	and Publisher changed its position?
14	Mr. Schorr. I don't know, sir, I have not been in
15	consultation with them, I have been very busy preparing for
16	today.
17	Mr. Quillen. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
18	Mr. Flynt. Mr. Bennett?
19	Mr. Bennett. Well, as a person who thought we ought to
20	have the subpoena, I am somewhat trouble by your point of
21	view. Perhaps we don't have a complete communication here.
22	I don't know,but I understood that you did not want to
23	voluntarily come before the committee, and the committee was
24	given the responsibility, which we didn't ask for, by Congress,
25	to look into all of the circumstances, and I never felt when

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

1413

5

6

7

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1414

voted for the subpoena, in fact, I think I offered the
 amendment or offered the motion, that it had anything to do
 with other than fulfilling the responsibility that was on
 our shoulders to get all of the facts.

If you had voluntarily come before the committee it wouldn't have been necessary to have the subpoena, but the subpoena does not necessarily move toward anything destructive to you.

9 You are an American citizen, we are asking you to help the 10 committee get -- it's ordered by Congress, information, and I 11 think perhaps it's so close to you that maybe you took a little 12 umbrage at something which really so far is not justified by 13 the record, or by the feeling of certainly some members who 14 voted for the subpoena.

I hope you will think about that at least. I am not necessarily asking for a response, but I do think the record ought to be clear that just because the committee voted to have a subpoena in no way prejudged you.

It was just ordered that, this committee was just ordered to bring in all of the information, it could obtain by volunteerin on your part to come in and give the information.

The committee was under orders from Congress to put, as I see it, to have a subpoena to have you bring in whatever you wanted to bring in or were willing to bring in under subpoena, so I don't think you should take the issuance of a subpoena as

1415 1427

being any affront to you, or judgment on you, but simply
 carrying out the processes that Congress has ordered this
 committee to fulfill.

Mr. Schorr. Thank you, sir.

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

lls fls.

3:05

Mr. Bennett. I have no further questions.

Mr. Flynt. Mr. Schorr, you and your counsel, Mr.
7 Califano, may step down.

You are excused from your subpoena. Strike that. We do not excuse you from your subpoena, because we continue to demand the answering of questions which you have previously refused to answer, and for the production of and your refusal to produce the documents, the committee still insists upon its right to the answers to the questions which you refuse to answer, and the production of the documents which you refuse to produce.

You may step down, and you will be notified in the event the committee has any further questions at any further time.

Mr. Schorr. Thank you, sir.

Mr. Flynt. The committee will adjourn for the purpose of taking testimony and receiving evidence, pursuant to the provisions of House Resolution 1042 and 1054.

The committee will reconvene for the purpose of considering H.R. 15, and related bills at this point.

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004

1 Mills Mr. Flynt. The committee will come to order. p.m. 2 The committee will reconvene for consideration of 3 H. R. 15, the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1976, and related bills. 4 Mr. Quillen. Mr. Chairman, since there was an objection 5 to a unanimous consent request to conduct business other than 6 hearings, I so make a point of order. I will not object 7 and could not object to any hearings this committee would 8 take on any measure providing that no official action is 9 taken. 10 Mr. Bennett. Providing what, sir? 11 Mr. Quillen. No official action -- is my motion 12 in accordance with the request? 13 Mr. Flynt. The Chair has never heard a restricted point 14 of order made before. 15 Mr. Quillen. I just make a point of order, Mr. 16 Chairman. I was trying to work it out -- you got permission 17 to sit in hearings this afternoon. 18 Mr. Flynt. That is correct, for the purpose of receiving 19 testimony and taking evidence. 20 Mr. Quillen. That is right. 21 Mr. Flynt. Does the gentleman make a point of order? 22 Mr. Bennett. Would you reserve it just a moment? Or 23 do you want to put it down? Is he making a point of order? 24 Mr. Flynt. I don't know. 25 Mr. Bennett. Before you do --

Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0

1429

1 I make a point of order on the conducting Mr. Quillen. 2 of any business other than hearings. 3 I would like to testify as a witness. Mr. Bennett. 4 I have got a little testimony. 5 Mr. Flynt. The gnetleman from Florida. 6 Mr. Bennett. I testify as a witness. What I wanted to 7 say to you is the hearings we had -- are you hearing me 8 down there? 9 Mr. Hutchinson. No. I think it is better to meet 10 somewhere where we can sit around a table. 11 Mr. Bennett. I will shout out real loud, befause it is 12 a real small thing I want to bring to your attention. As 13 to the hearing we had the other day, during the hearing it 14 occurred to me that possibly a very simple bill might solve 15 our problem. And I indicated at that time that I thought 16 I had introduced such a simple bill. I have looked through my 17 files, and I find that I did, in 1965. And I have re-introduced 18 it into the current Congress as 15538. Now, what this bill 19 does, it does what the GAO said that ought to be done with 20 regard to the lobbying law. It said that the reports ought to 21 go to somebody that was authoritative, that would look into 22 the reports, and see if they were properly made, as required 23 by the '46 law. And it suggested itself as the proper person 24 to do that and the proper organization. So my bill, all it 25 does is require the GAO to receive these reports. You can't

<u>Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0</u>

ŷ.

1 hear me? 2 I just bring this bill to your attention, that is all. 3 Mr. Flynt. I would like to ask the gentleman -- has the 4 bill been printed? 5 Mr. Bennett. Yes. H. R. 15538, as a favorable 6 report. 7 Mr. Flynt. When was it printed? When was the bill 8 introduced? It has a very high numerical number. 9 Mr. Bennett. Two or three days ago. I just got out my 10 old '65 bill, which had a favorable report, and re-introduced 11 it. 12 Mr. Flynt. Has it been referred to this committee? 13 Mr. Bennett. It has, only to this committee. 14 Mr. Flynt. Mr. Hutchinson. 15 Mr. Hutchinson. Mr. Chairman, I make a point of order 16 against sitting on 15, because the Rules of the House do 17 not permit it. 18 Mr. Flynt. What rule? 19 Mr. Hutchinson. Well, you have to get unanimous 20 consent to sit under the Five-Minute Rule. 21 Mr. Flynt. Is the gentleman making a point of order 22 that the committee cannot sit because the House is under 23 the Five-Minute Rule? 24 Mr. Hutchinson. That is right. 25 Mr. Flynt. Then the point of order stands.

<u> Approved For Release 2006/11/14 : CIA-RDP91-00966R000800010004-0</u>

1431 1 Now, ---2 Mr. Spence. Are we recessed? 3 Mr. Flynt. I would like to get some idea from the 4 Members of the committee. 5 If the committee of the whole rises, and if the 6 House goes into session as the House itself, prior to 5:30 7 p.m. this afternoon, is it the will of the committee that 8 the committee stands in recess until 10 minutes after the 9 committee rises on this afternoon? 10 Mr. Price. You mean come back at 5:30? 11 Mr. Flynt. Come back whatever time the committee rises, 12 assuming it rises before 5:30. Mr. Price. I could not return after 5:00 o'clock. 13 14 Mr. Flynt. All right. In the alternative, the notices have already gone out 15 for a meeting of this committee to convene tomorrow at 16 17 10:00 o'clock a.m., Thursday, the 16th of September, 1976 for further consideration of the bill H. R. 15, the Lobbying 18 Disclosure Act of 1976, and related bills which have 19 20 been referred to this committee. So in view of the objection raised by Mr. Price -- and am I correct in assuming concurred 21 22 in by Mr. Bennett, because of the time? Mr. Bennett. I cannot come. 23 Mr. Flynt. You concur in what Mr. Price said? 24 Mr. Bennett. I do. 25

1432



P91-00966R000800010004-0