Thrusiday afternon

ANALYSIS OF THE SUBJECT-MACHINE RELATIONSHIP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

0ve	erview	Page
I.	Statistical Analysis of the Machine Experimental Data	1
	Forward-Bakcward State Transition Analysis	
-	Experimental Data Randomness Analysis	4
	Best Strategy	15
II.	Analysis of S2 Data Responses	16
	Strategy of S2	16
-	Total Color Choices	
	State Transition Color Choice	19
	Hit Analysis	21
	Learning from Trial to Trial	21
	Learning Within a Trial	20
III.	. Miscellaneous	30
•	General Trial Information	31
·	Machine States	31
	Plots of Passes	
	Subject - Future Machine State Transition	40
	Distribution of Machine State Colors for Machine 2	41
		• •

		Page
Figure 1.1.a 1.1.b 1.1.c 1.1.d	Distribution of Machine Yellows Over Trials Distribution of Machine Greens Over Trials Distribution of Blues Over Trials Distribution of Machine Reds Over Trials	6 7 8 9
Figure 1.2	Distribution of Machine Colors When Samples are Taken Five at a Time	10
Figure 1.3	Distribution of Machine Colors When Samples are Taken 100 at a Time	11
Figure 1.4	Distribution of Machine Reds When the Samples are Taken 100 at a Time	12
Figure 1.5	Machine Color Distribution for Machine 1 and Machine 2 on a Trial to Trial Basis	14
Figure 2.1	S2 Color Choices	17
Figure 2.2	Plot of Number of Hits/Trial	22
Figure 2.3	Frequence Plot of Number of Hits	22
Figure 2.4	Cumulative Success Ratio of Subject (both machines used)	23
Figure 2.5	Accumulative Probability of Succsss on Machine 1	24
Figure 2.6	Accumulative Probability of Success on Machine 2	25
Figure 2.7	Hits vs Trial Number for Machine 2	27
Figure 2.8	Total Number of Hits Within a Trial	29
Figure 3.1	Selected Parameter Totals Listed by Trial Number	32
Figure 3.2	Color States of Machine 1 During the Experiment	34
Figure 3.3	Color States of Machine 2 During the Experiment	35
Figure 3.4	Total Number of Passes of a Trial	36
Figure 3.5	Total Number of Passes Summed of Sample Number	37
Figure 3.6	Plot of Number of Hits per Trial and Number of Passes per Trial	39

				Page
Figure	3.7	State Transitions From Subject Choice Machine State	to Future	40
Figure	3.8	Distribution of Yellows for Machine 2		42
Figure	3.9	Distribution of Greens for Machine 2		42
Figure	4.0	Distribution of Blues for Machine 2		43
Figure	4.1	Distribution of Reds for Machine 2		43

Overview

I.

An apparent phenomenon which defies the theory of probability occurs when Subject 2 plays this experimental game. He significantly exceeds his probability of success, .25, by scoring over .29. The question that this report addresses is: Is there a statistical or logical reason why he did so well? The methodology used to attack this problem and the resulting conclusions are summarized below. This summary can also serve as an outline to this detailed report.

Statistical Analysis of the Machine Experimental Data

Pre-experiment data analysis discovered a non-random characteristic through the examination of forward-backward state transitions (i.e., Red-Blue, Blue-Red). However, the coefficient of correlation between the forward and backward states of .58 for the experimental data, .49 for Machine 1 data and .48 for Machine 2 data were considered low enough that this approach was dropped. Pre-experiment state transitions had a coefficient of correlation of .93.

The experimental data randomness analysis consisted of examining the distribution of color totals and the distribution of each color taken over various combinations and permutations of the data. No evidence of non-randomness was discovered.

II. Analysis of the Subjects' Data Responses

The subject's responses were analyzed with the emphasis on the discovery of his strategy or the unveiling of a trend which would give him a statistical advantage. The possibilities investigated produces no solid reason <u>how</u> he was able to be so successful. However, in one case there is a strong indication <u>why</u> he was able to succeed. It appears that he was learning the states of Machine 2. The details of this are in Approved For Release 2003/04/18: CIA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4

Approved For Release 2003/04/18 : CIA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4 the remainder of the report. Miscellaneous

The report contains a section entitled "Miscellaneous" for the purpose of displaying detailed data which wasn't directly required by the above more general analysis. Details such as how many successful choices in the color red during the 50th trial were there, or what was the relationship of the number of passes to the number of successes.

The terminology used is as follows: the term "trial" refers to the string of machine states and corresponding choices from the time the subject begins until he makes 25 non-passing choices. A sample is a machine state and/or subject choice (including passes). There are (25 + # passes/trial) samples in each trial.

I. Statistical Analysis of the Machine Experimental Data Forward-backward State Transition Analysis

In a previous memorandum (Memo ORD 2240-75, 12 June 1975 to the question of randomness with the emphasis on state transitions as an indication of non-randomness was addressed. The data used in the investigation consisted of pre-experiment trials. The purpose of this section is to do a similar investigation using the actual data which occurred during S2's experiment.

Table 1 presents all possible transition frequencies. All transitions should have equal probability.

		YELLOW	GREEN		BLUE	RED
YELLOW		204	199		199	216
GREEN		192	218		222	207
BLUE		211	206		228	222
RED		209	206		223	221
Restructur	ing into a	two-by-six	table as in Re	f 1 prod	uces:	
	Y/G	Y/B	Y/R	G/B	G/R	B/R
FORWARD	199	199	216	222	207	222
BACKWARD	192	211	209	206	206	223

The conclusion based on pre-experimental data was that these state-pairs show a very strong relationship between forward and backward transition frequencies (coefficient of correlation =.93). However, computing the coefficient of correlation, p_{s2} actual data = .58, it becomes apparent that the degree of dependence is slightly reduced. Therefore the dependence of forward to backward states can no longer be considered as a strong indicator of non-randomness.

The data used in the above discussion consisted of trials from both machine 1 and machine 2. Since non-randomness, made apparent by the state transitions, clearly existed for pre-experimental data, the investigation of the experimental data continued to include a search for this trend in the individual machines. The transitions (including identity) are as follows: Machine 1

	YELLOW	GREEN	BLUE	RED
YELLOW	96	79	88	92
GREEN	85	87	86	88
BLUE	85	82	90	87
RED	91	91	83	92
<u>Machine 2</u>				
ن. ا	YELLOW	GREEN	BLUE	RED
YELLOW	108	120	111	124
GREEN	107	131	136	119
BLUE	126	124	138	135
RED	118	115	140	129

Computing the two coefficients of correlation,

 $\rho_{machine 1} = .4934$ s2 data

and

machine 2 = .4838 s2 data

it is obvious that the forward and backward transitions are even less dependent than in the combined case. Thus ended the search for non-randomness through state transition.

Approved For Release 2003/04/18 : CIA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4

As a by-product the following table is produced for general information.

	BOTH MA	MACHINE 1		MACHINE 2		
	MEAN	SD	MEAN	SD	MEAN	SD
FORWARD	210.8	10.7	86.6	4.27	124	9.74
BACKWARD	207.8	9.00	86.2	.3.92	121	11.25
TOTAL DATA POINTS	34	83	14	446	2	037
COEFF OF COV	. 58	43	.4	934	.48	338

Approved For Release 2003/04/18 : CIA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4

Approved For Release 2003/04/18 : CIA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4 Experimental Data Randomness Analysis

The machine data used during the S2 experiment has been combined, summarized and/or permuted in an attempt to establish evidence or randomness or nonrandomness. If an obvious indication of non-randomness would have evolved this task would be simplified because it would have become a closed form problem (i.e., the solution would be - the data has non-random characteristics). However, what has resulted is that various forms of the data have been examined with all indicating that the data is random.

Tables, plots and commentary are presented in this section to demonstrate randomness and in some cases just to provide general information concerning the machines data.

The distribution of the colors collectively and for each machine is as follows:

	 Yellow	Green	Blue	Red	Total	Mean
Machine 1	365	353	356	372	1446	361.5
Machine 2	475	505	538	519	2037	509.25
TOTAL	840	858	891	891	3483	870.75

Machine 1 was not used in as many trials as machine 2 (44 trials to 56 for machine 2), thus the difference in totals. The standard deviation of binomial distribution with n=3483 and p=1/4 is 25.56 which would imply that each separate number is reasonably close to the mean.

Accepting the distribution of the totals consider the distribution of the colors throughout the experiment. The popluations used for this investigation consisted of the first 25 samples of each trial (100 trials total). This population is acceptable since the distribution of its totals was reasonable and since the performance of S2 was approximately the same (success-29.61%) for this subset.

Approved For Release 2003/04/18 : CIA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4

The following three approaches comprise the strategy used to attack the question of color distribution.

- Each trial (abbreviated to 25 samples) as analyzed separate interval.
 Obviously this will indicate any bias within each trial.
- The data (2500 samples) is divided into intervals of five samples each. This will indicate unusual repetitions either within the interval or interval-by-interval.
- 3. The data is reformatted into 25 intervals of 100 samples, where the nth interval consists of the nth sample in each trial.

The results of approach 1 is shown in Figures 1.1.a, 1.1.b, 1.1.c, and 1.1.d.

The binomial distribution for this strategy (n=25 p=1/4) is mean 6.25 and the variance 4.69. The plots indicate randomness throughout the 100 trials.

The results of approach 2 are similar to approach 1 and are shown in the four tables in Figure 1.2. The plots indicated randomness but are not shown because of monotomy. The binomial distribution mean is 1.25 and the variance .94.

The binomial distribution mean and variance for approach 3 is 25 and 18.75 respectively (Figure 1.3). A plot of the data (Figure 1.4) for the "RED" case because of the concern for the higher variance and ranges. The 13th sample seems to have an unusually high frequency of "RED" (44%). However in general this investigation has not produced a significant non-random characteristic.

Approved For Release 2003/04/18 : CIA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4

sample size	100	
maximum	12	
minimum	3	-
range	9	
mean	6.23	
variance	4.239,494949	
standard deviation	2.059003387	
mean deviation	1.6314	
median	6	·
mode	6	
and the second		

15M М M 0 М $\mathbf{0} \cdot \mathbf{0}$ M 0 0 :0 0 0 1 OM 0 0.0 0 М 0 Number 0 0 0 0 0 0 00 M. 0 0 of 0 00 0 0 - O MO 0.00 0 0 0 Yellow 0 0 00 000 0 000 0 000 0 0 0 MO per Trial 0.0000 0 0 () 5M0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0 0 00 0 0 0 0000 0 М 0 0 0 0 0 M 0 0 0 0 M M М M M М M OM М М М М М 80 100 40 60 20 0

Trial Number

Figure 1.1.a Distribution of Machine Yellows Over Trials

Approved For Release 2003/04/18 : CIA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4

sample size	100	
maximum	12	
minimum	0	
range	12	
mean	6.13	·
variance	5.851616162	
standard deviation	2.419011402	-
mean deviation	1.9404	
median	6	
mode	57	

		15M M								
		M M			· · · ·		0			
	•	M			0.			0		
A1 . 1		1 OM MO	0.0.0) ()	00	0	00	00	0. 0	e Le rege
Number of		MO	0		00	0 0	0	0	· .	00
Green		- M O - MO O O	0 00.	0	0 00 00		-0 0 0) : 0 000	0 000	0`0_ 0
per Trial		5M 0 0 M 0	0 0000	0 0	• .	0	0	0 0	0.0	0.0
	· · ·	M O M	() (0 0		00 () ()). ()_()	0
	·.	M M	0 0	0		. ()O (
		OM M O	м 20	M () M 40	. М	M N 50	к м 80	М	м 1 ОО
		• • •								

Trial Number

Figure 1.1.b Distribution of Machine Greens Over Trials Approved For Release 2003/04/18 : CIA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4

sample size	100
maximum	🖓 11 - San Alexandria
minimum	1
range	10
mean	6.21
variance	5.218080808
standard deviation	2.284311889
mean deviation	1.8194
median	6
mode	6

• • •		15M M						· ·
·		M M						
•	Number of	M 1 OM M	0 0	0 0	A	0 00	C) · · · · ·
	Blue Per	M M M		0 0	0 0 0 0 0 - 00		0 00 0	0
	Trial	M 5M	0	000 0	0 0 0000		000 0 000 0 000	0 0 00
	• •	MC M MC	00		0 0	0000		0
	•	M) () M	M M	M M			
	. *	0		20	м м 40	м м 60	м м 80	M 100

Trial Number

sample size	100
maximum	12
minimum	1
range	11
mean	6.43
variance	4.631414141
standard deviation	2.152072058
mean deviation	1.7158
median	6
mode	6
(A) A set of the se	

· · · ·	15M	an a		
	M			
	M			0
	M TMO	0	ана на селото сел о с	•
Number of Red	1 OM M OO 0 M OO			
Per Trial	MO O M	0 0000 0 0000	0 00 0 0 00 0 0 0 00 00 0 0 0 0 0	00 00 0 00
	5M M O M O			0 0 0
	M M OM M O	M M M 20 40	0 M M M 60	0 M M M 80 100

Trial Number

Approved For Release 2003/04/18 : CIA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4 Distribution of Machine Reds Over Trials

	and a second		
Approv	9d Florikeleize 2003/04108: (CIA-RDP96-00787R00	0200150011-4
	sample size	500	
	maximum	5	
· · ·	minimum	0	
	range	5	
	mean	1.246	
	variance	0.9594028056	
	standard deviation	0.9794910952	
	mean deviation	0.784848	
	median	1 .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	mode		
	mode	•	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
and the set			
an a	Distribution of Green	500	· · · · ·
	sample size	500	
••	maximum		
	minimum	0 1 1 1 1 1 5	
	range	5 1.226	
	mean	0.9969178357	100 A
	variance	0.9984577285	
	standard deviation	0.804512	
	mean deviation	0.804912	•
	median		· · · ·
1997 - E. S.	mode	and a second	
· · ·			
	Distribution of Blue		
	dstat grp;<3		and a second s
		500	
	sample size	4	
	maximum	υ	
	minimum	4	
	range	1.242	
	mean	0.95/350/014	
	variance		
	standard deviation	0.192192	
	mean deviation	1	
	median		
	mode		in an early and a second s
	Distribution of Red		
	sample size	500	
	maximum	5	
	minimum		
· .	range	0 5	
•	mean	1.286	
	variance	1.026256513	•
1	standard deviation		
	mean deviation	1.013043194	and the second
	median	0.823216	
•	mode	\$ \$	
· · · · · ·	muue	I and a second second	a second a second s

Figure 1. 2ppioted HoriRelease 2003/04/08 101A-RDP96.007828000200180014 He at a Time

Yellow Distributio Approved For Release 2003/04/18		878000200	150011_4
maximum minimum range mean variance standard deviation mean deviation median mode	31 19 12 24.92 10.576666667 3.252178757 2.6304 24 24		
Green Distribution sample size maximum minimum range mean variance standard deviation mean deviation median mode	25 35 15 20 24.52 24.59333333 4.959166597 3.9392 25 22 25		
Blue Distribution sample size maximum minimum range mean variance standard deviation mean deviation median mode	25 34 19 15 24.84 14.47333333 3.804383437 2.9664 25 26		
Red Distribution sample size maximum minimum range mean variance standard deviation mean deviation median mode	25 44 16 28 25.72 26.71 5.168171824 3.3664 25 25		

Figure 1.3 Distribution of Machine Colors When Samples are Taken 100 at a Time

(One From Each Trial)

Approved For Release 2003/04/18 : CIA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4

Π



Number

of

Reds



Figure 1.4 Distribution of Machine "Reds" when the Samples are taken 100 at a time (one from each trial)

Approved For Release 2003/04/18 : CIA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4

Approach 1 has been repeated for Machine 1 and Machine 2 separately to check for abnormalities. The binomial distribution mean and variance are as follows:

	Trials	Mean	Variance
Machine 1	44	11	8.25
Machine 2	56	14	10.5

Approved For Rel	ease 2003/04/18 : CIA-R	DP96-00787R000200150011-4	e Antonio de la composición de la composi Antonio de la composición
Machine 1		Machine 2	
	Yello		
sample size maximum minimum range mean variance	25 16 7 9 11.4 7.75	sample size maximum minimum range mean variance	25 19 7 12 13.52 7.51
standard deviation mean deviation median mode	2.783882181 2.224 12 12	standard deviation mean deviation median mode	2.740437921 2.176 14 15
	Green		
<pre>sample size maximum minimum range mean variance standard deviation median mode sample size maximum minimum range mean variance standard deviation median mode</pre>	25 17 4 13 10.68 9.7266666667 3.118760438 2.3584 11 11 11 Blue 25 15 3 12 10.32 7.7266666667 2.779688232 2.3072 11 8 12	<pre>sample size maximum minimum range mean variance standard deviation median mode Sample size maximum minimum range mean variance standard deviation mean deviation median mode</pre>	25 24 8 16 13.84 12.7233333 3.56697818 2.7808 13 13 13 13 25 25 25 10 15 14.12 8.94333333 2.990540642 1.984 14 15
Sample size maximum minimum range mean variance standard deviation mean deviation median mode	25 Red 19 4 15 11.6 10.5 3.240370349 2.4 12 12	sample size maximum minimum range mean variance standard deviation mean deviation median mode	25 21 11 10 14.52 10.01 3.163853404 2.6624 13 11 13

Figure 1. Apprender of Release 2003/04/18: CIA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4 To Trial Basis (14)

Best Strategy

Based on the above analysis what is the best strategy to pursue? No good strategy is available based on the randomness of the data. The best possible strategy based on the above transition matrices is:

- If the subject can't distinguish between machine then press blue when blue appears, else pass.
- If the subject can distinguish them on Machine 1, press yellow when yellow occurs, and on Machine 2 press blue when red occurs.

For all its worth, of the existing data the following success would result - 26%, 26%, and 27%.

Analysis of S2 Data Responses

The attempt here is to discover a reason for S2's success at responding. The investigation was unable to give a definitive reason for his success. Although no strategies were uncovered there was in one case a indication that the subject was learning.

Two major approaches have been taken in this investigation. They are as follows:

- Strategy of S2 Was there any trends in the way he guessed? Did he respond based on the previous state of the machine? Hit analysis Did the art 1.
- Hit analysis Did the subjects' hits (correct choices) increase 2. within a run; did it increase from run to run (i.e., was he learning?)

Strategy of S2

For general information and future reference the first figure (Figure 2.1) presented is the actual choices. One item of curiosity from this is that when he passes, he tends to do it in strings. This characteristic of course wasn't pursued because of its insignificance to this report; however, observations like that are pointed out throughout the report as possible importance to those in the field.

Total Color Choices

The distribution of S2's color choice totals are shown below.



Figure 2.1 Subject 2 Color Choices for First Fifty Trials (0-yellow, 1-green,

2-blue, 3-red, 7-pass)

Figure 2.1 (Continued) S2 Color Choices for Last 50 Trials

	Yellow	Green	Blue	Red
Total Times Chosen	- 881	411	237	971
% of Total	35%	16.5%	9.5%	39%

The first inclination is to try and determine how his strategy of choosing so many yellows and reds benefitted him. Examine the following table:

	Yellow	Green	Blue	Red
Total Number of Hits	255	127	50	292
% of Total Hits	35%	17%	8%	40%
% of Success in Color	29% (Hits - Corre	31% ct Choices)	25%	30%

As can be seen his results with blue are significantly lower than the others. However, assuming the probability of success to be .25 and using the binomial distribution the expected value =69 and the standard deviation = 7. The inference from this is that the 60 Blue hits are not a statistical abnormality. However, it is curious that he did so much worse on his lowest preference. State Transition Color Choice

This investigation consists of examining the states of the machine verses the choice on the next sample of the subject (i.e., if the machine shows "red" does the subject consistently choose one color on the next turn). Consider the following table:

MACH		Yellow	Mach [.] Green	ine Blue	Red	Pass	% Pass
Yellow	•	106	119	69	314	210	26%
Green		177	25	69	316	252	30%
Blue		241	99	27	198	302	35%
Red		322	157	65	97	218	25%
			. 30				

,30

S U B J E C T

Approved For Release 2003/04/18 : CIA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4

The subject obviously avoids repeats (i.e., he assumes the machine won't repeat a color) which, based on the machine data analysis, isn't a strategy which would give him a statistical advantage. Previous analysis showed that identify transitions are approximately equally probable as nonidentity. Notice also that he passes 35% of the time after seeing a blue.

The same state transitions are shown below separated by machine.

		Yellow	Green	Blue	Red	Pass
M A	Yellow	48	49	25	150	83
C H	Green	62	13	35	153	83
I	Blue	105	36	10	78	115
N E	Red	133	72	30	58	64
•			¥2.9	Ч Ĵ		
M	Yellow	58	70	4 4	164	127
A C	Green	115	12	34	163	169
H I N	Blue	136	63	17	120	187
N E 2	Red	189	85	35	39	154
<u> </u>						

The negative state transition (i.e., relationship of the subject color choice to the machine state on the <u>next</u> sample) is considered too bizarre of a concept to be presented in this section. Results of that investigation is found in the section entitled "miscellaneous"

Approved For Release 2003/04/18 : CIA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4

This section is significantly more important than the randomization analysis of the machine data. The reason is that if he is not learning from the machine or he is not taking advantage of biases then the discovery of such non-randomness is of little value to the overall analysis. Learning from Trial to Trial

The question of whether the subject learned from trial to trial can best be answered by examining the following three plots. The first is the number of hits vs. the trial number, the second is a frequency distribution of the number of trials vs. number of hits, the third is the accumulated probability vs. the trial number.

	Approved For Release 2003/04/18 : CIA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4 15M
	M sector secto
	an dia 👖 ara-daharaharaharaharaharaharaharaharaharaha
	10M D D
•	
	5M0 0 0 0 0
	M dialate C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
	M Distance
	M D D D A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
	an an Marka an ann an Arland a
-	OM M M M M M M M M
	0 20 40 60 80 100

Trial Number

Number of Hits

Hits

per

Trial

М

М

М М

0M D

Ũ

Π.

Μ

Π

M

5

М



Figure 2.2 Plot of number of hits/trial

Approved For Release 2003/04/18 : CIA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4

М

Figure 2.3 Frequency plot of Number of Hits

0 0

10 15 20

25

	0.30M	an a		• • • • • •					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	M M	0	0	0					0
	M M 0.29M M		0 0 0 000 0 000) 00 0	00 0 0 0 00 0	00000	0 0000 0	0 0000000 000	000
Cumulative Probability of	M M M		0	0			0	0	
Success	0.28M	0 0	0			·			
	M M M	0 0 0			•				
	0.27M M M								
tan tan ang tang tang tang tang tang tan	M M 0.26M	0							
	M M M M							۰ ۱۰ ۱۰	
	0.25M M M	0				•			
	M M 0.24M 0		M 20	M M 40		M 60	м	M 80	M M 100

Trial Number

Figure 2.4 Cumulative Success Ratio of Subject (both machines used)

Approved For Release 2003/04/18 : CIA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4



Trial Number









Figure 2.6 Accumulative Probability of Success on Machine 2

Approved For Release 2003/04/18 : CIA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4

The first plot (Figure 2.2) demonstrates the randomness of the number of hits while the second plot (Figure 2.3) demonstrates the frequency distribution takes on a "normal" appearance. The accumulative probability plots, at first glance, indicates that the subject was in a learning mode for the first five trials. A closer examination of the data indicates that this can occur naturally as part of the statistical distribution.

The first three number of hits points are 7, 5, and 6 considering the first 75 points as the population with probability of success = .2936 (the final probability) then the expected value is 22 (using binomial distribution) and the variance is 15.55 (S.D=3.9). As a normal deviation from the mean (i.e., using normal distribution approximation P(x<18)=.13.

Although the observed learning can be rationalized as a natural statistical deviation it warranted further investigation. The plots of the accumulative probability of success for machine 1 and machine 2 are presented in Figure 2.5 and Figure 2.6. The plot for machine 1 (Figure 2.5) is a typical sinesodial decreasing amplitude convergent curve. The plot for machine 2 however, is very suspicious in terms of learning. The major peaks of the curve (at approximately trial 10, 23, 40 and 56) are increasing which implies his probability of success is continuing to increase instead of converging on one point. Another interesting points that the points at which he switches onto machine 2 are 1, 9, and 36.

Also of concern is the sharp upward turn during the last 8 samples. The hits totals for this period, starting at sample 49 is 10, 10, 8 11, 6, 8, 7, and 11 for a total of 71 hits out of a possible 200 for a probability of success of .36. Once again using the binomial distribution and using the probability of success of .29 (the cumulative probability up to the 49th point) the expected mean is 58 and the standard deviation 6.42. Using the

Approved For Release 2003/04/18 : CIA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4



Figure 2.7 Plot of Number of Hits on Machine 1

Approved For Release 2003/04/18 : CIA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4

Approved For Release 2003/04/18 : CIA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4 normal approximation the probability P(X 71)=.02 of such an occurrence is quite low.

Although there are only 56 data points in this population and the apparent abnormalities are statistically possible (with low probability) this investigation concludes that the subject's learning for this case must be flagged as a real possibility. Figure 2.7 (Number of hits on Machine 1) has been added to provide clarity. It appears that the subject just didn't have "low hit" days toward the end.

Learning within a Trial

The question of learning within a trial or run has been investigated by summing the number of hits of the Ith sample for the run. The results are somewhat distorted because of the inequitable distribution of passes. The lower numbered samples have significantly more hits because of this. A plot of the number of hits per sample vs. sample number is shown in Figure 2.7.

Notice that the first sample has a value of 34 hits. This means that everytime he ists down for a new 25 sample trial he hits 34% of the time on his first try. With this in mind along with the rest of the data points, it is obvious that the subject doesn't learn throughout the trial.

			and the second		
	4 O M	· · · ·			
	M			18 	
·	M				
	MO		i i		
	M			· .	
	30M	()			
	M O	0			
	M) (O			
	MOO OO	~ ~ ~ ~			
	M OOO	0.00			
÷	20M	0			
	M DO	0 00 0			
	M O		0		
	M	0	0		
• .	M	00	n	•	
	1 OM		0 0 -		
	M		0.00		
	M		00 000	00 0	
	M M				()
		M M	M		00000000
			40	60	80
	0	20			

Number of Hits

Sample Number

Figure 2.8 Total Number of Hits Within a Trial

Miscellaneous

Numerous arrays of data have been examined for the purpose of obtaining some insight into the data. Some of the data is being printed herein so that the data can be examined more closely if desired.

This first table is presented for use as a quick reference.

Last of Day Trial Tracks	Used
1 8 8	2
2 16 8	1
3 24 8	2
4 36 12	2
5 44 8	2
6 52 8	1
7 56 4	1
8 64 8	1
9 68 4	1
10 72 4	1
11 76 4	1
12 80 4	1
13 84 4	2
14 88 4	2
15 100 12	2

Approved For Release 2003/04/18 : CIA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4
The following displays are presented below with little commentary.

- I. General trial summary (Figure 3.1). Each trial (25 choices) is listed with the following information.
 - A. Machine used (1 or 2)
 - B. Total number of machine states in each color (i.e., 6 yellow,6 green) for each trial.
 - C. Total number of subject choices for each color for each trial.
 - D. Total number of hits for each trial.
 - E. Total number of passes for each trial.
 - F. Breakdown of hits by color.
- II. Machine data for machine 1 and machine 2 separately (Figures 3.2, 3.3) Just by examining these displays it may be possible to glean meaningful information. For example, machine 1 was used for the first 8 trials during which the first state of each trial was a yellow or red. If the first sample of each trial is most memorable, perhaps this is responsible for the subject's obvious preference of yellow and red (see Section 2 - Analysis of S2 Data Responses).
- III. Plots of the number of passes made.
 - A. Number of passes vs. trial number (i.e., trial is 25 or more samples) (Figure 3.4)
 - B. Number of passes vs. sample number (Figure 3.5)

.31

trial 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 8 9 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 8 9 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 8 9 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 8 9 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 9 40 41 42 43 44 45 5 16 17 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 34 45 36 37 38 9 40 41 42 43 44 45 5 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 5 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50		mach ye 11 5775836956754660487939030115760211694557110115025997	mach gren 6 9 8 4 6 5 7 7 6 5 4 2 7 5 9 2 12 9 9 13 8 12 9 9 11 4 6 6 16 19 10 9 14 4 7 7 13 14 11 3 9 6 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	blue 2 4 6 10 11 3 7 3 2 8 7 7 4 11 5 7 7 9 10 5 9 9 15 11 7 10 15 10 16 18 9 19 20 10 11 19 13 8 9 10 9 7 14 11 7 9 10	mach red 11 7 4 3 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9	sub ye1 10 7 10 11 10 9 0 10 10 8 2 10 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	supn 334343123665323522315213561546574544586687966463	subu 5 4 6 4 0 2 3 2 5 1 3 3 2 2 1 4 1 2 2 4 1 2 2 2 5 4 0 2 3 1 1 3 2 3 3 1 2 2 3 2 2 3 3 4 2 6 2 4	red 6 8 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	numb hits 56850897926289578679578292788925277679949518666	$\begin{array}{c} pas \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $	yel 3 224 2 32 4 4 3 0 4 3 2 3 2 4 1 3 3 30 2 3 1 4 6 2 1 3 2 2 2 5 3 2 0 1 1 2 1 2 0 4 5 0	2 0 1 2 3 1 0 1 2 0 1 4 3 3 4 1 3 4 1 1 0	0 1 2 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 2 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 4 1 2 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1	4 3 3 2 2 6 3 4 3 3 5 2 2 4 4 4 3 0 3 5 3 4 2 5 0 1 4 2 4 2 3 4 3 2 4 1 2 1 4 1	
47 48 49 50	1	9 9 7 9	10 10 10 12	10 7 6 1	4 6 2 7	8 8 4 9	6 3 6 3		9 10 9 9	6 6 7 6	8 7 0 4	3 2 0 3	2 1 5 0	- 1	2	

Approved For Release 2003/04/18 : CIA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4 Figure 3.1 Selected Parameter Totals Listed by Trial Number

<pre>trial mach 51 1 52 1 53 1 54 1 55 1 56 1 57 1 58 1 59 1 60 1 61 1 62 1 63 1 64 1 65 1 66 1 67 1 68 1 69 1 70 1 71 1 72 1 73 1 74 1 75 1 76 1 77 1 78 1 79 1 80 1 81 2 82 2 83 2 84 2 85 2 86 2 87 2 88 2 89 2 90 2</pre>	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	blue 10 11 7 12 19 11 15 11 9 9 10 $624105427358107108107108107108884$	red yel 8 6 9 8 6 9 12 9 14 8 14 12 8 9 12 8 6 4 11 5 8 8 10 7 7 6 9 10 8 4 7 8 8 11 9 13 8 10 17 8 8 5 9 8 10 10 8 8 5 9 8 10 10 8 8 5 12 11 9 12 9 8 14 5 12 11 11 10 13 14 12 7 9 6 12 9 8 14 5 12 11 11 10 13 14 12 7 9 14	grn 1 5535423232411049224677448623412010661357	blu blu blu blu blu blu blu blu blu blu	red 8 11 10 12 9 11 12 10 12 9 11 12 10 9 9 9 9 10 0 9 9 11 10 12 9 11 12 0 12 9 11 12 0 12 9 11 12 0 12 9 11 12 0 12 9 11 12 0 9 9 9 9 9 12 10 0 9 9 9 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	9 8 6 9 7 6 8 6 8 8 8 7 4 8 8 8 8 7 9 4 7 3 9 6 7 10 9 6 7 8 0 8 8 7 6 7 8 6 8 8 8 7 4 8 8 8 8 7 9 4 7 3 9 6 7 10 9 6 7 8 0 7 8 0 8 8 8 8 8 7 6 7 8 6 7 8 6 7 8 6 7 8 6 7 8 7 8	num pas 4 17 4 28 4 8 12 10 13 20 11 11 3 5 19 8 13 7 7 10 8 3 14 9 7 5 16 5 16 3 16 10 0 3	yel 23 32 03 31 23 03 24 13 34 40 21 40 12 73 24 72 33 25 54 21	0 2 0 1 0 0 0 2 1 1 1 1 3	0 1 0 2 1	3 3 2 5 5 4 1 1 0 1 2	
84 2 85 2 86 2 87 2 88 2	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16 10 4 9	14 12 7 9 6 12 6 17	6 6 1	0 4 1 2 4 2	13 6 5 4	7 6 7 8 7 6	31 6 3 16	3 2 5 5 4 2 1 4 1 3 2 5 2 3 2 2 3 2	0 2 1 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 1 3 2 3	0 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0	4 1 1 0 1 2 1 0 1 4 5 5 5 2 2 3	

Approved For Release 2003/04/18 : CIA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4 Figure 3.2 Color states of machine 1 during the experiment (0-yellow, 1 green, 2 blue, 3 red)

> Approved For Release 2003/04/18 : CIA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4 Figure 3.3 Color states of machine 2 during the experiment (0 yellow, 1 green, 2 blue, 3 red)

	Approved	d For Relea	se 2003/04	/18 : CIA-l	RDP96-007	87R00020	0150011	-4
	· · · ·							
· ·					1997 - 1997 -			
	50M							
. :	M		(۱.				
	M							
	M	·						
	М							
	40M		0				•	
	М		•			•		
	M				•		·	•
	М		· . · ·		0			
	М			•			0.0	11 N
	ЗОМ		. O					
	M		00	0	0		<u>o</u>	
Number	M		-				0	. • •
of	M		0		÷			
Passes	M				0.0	.		•
	20M		0	000	0.0) ()		a de la composición d
•	M)	0		0 0	
	M M		0 0	00	0	0 0	о о Э С С	
	M	0	0 0	0		0.0		
* 	1 OM		0.0	· · · · ·	0		0	0
	M	00		C	0	000		0.0
	M		, in the second s	· · · ·		0	0.0	
	M	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	00	000 0		0 0	00
	M	· .		0		0		$0 \in \Omega \setminus O$
		M 00000	М		O M	М	м	OO M
1997 - 19	0	20		40	60		80	100
-	··· • .							

Trial Number

Figure 3.4 Total number of passes summed over a trial

Approved For Release 2003/04/18 : CIA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4

26

35M			· · ·		
M Approved _M F	or Release 2003/04/	18 : CIA-RDP96-0	0787R00020	0150011-4	·
M	0 0 0				
M	0				
30M M	0	÷			
M	0.0	0			•
M M				-	
25M					
M M	0	0			
20M	0	0			
M M		0		· · ·	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		0			
1 5 1	0 0	0			
Number M		•	1.		·. ·
Passes M			•		
N N		0			
I ON M		0			
N N					
Ν.	1	0 00	0		
א 5א					
A CARACTER AND A CARACTER		000	0 0	•	
·	A literation of the second		0 00		
۱ 01	MO M M M	M M M	0 00 0 M 000	000000	
	20	40	60	80	
	Sa	mple Number	.•.		



C. Number of passes and the number of hits vs. the trail number on one plot. Investigation of the hits/passes relationship was dropped when the coefficient of correlation between the two was computed at -.114

39

per trial

Figure 3.6 Plot of number of hits per trial and number of passes

P - hits per trial

0 - passes per trial

Trial Number

0 0 0 0 · () 0 - 0 0.0.0

0

M

60

P OPP

M

0

0

0

0.

O P P O P PP

PPPPOP

()

М

80

0

0 00

0 0 0

00

P P

0P()

р

М

100

P O

0 0 00

PР

0.00

PPPP PPP

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

P

0

0 0

P 0 ·

Ρ

P P PP

20

Р

OMODODODO M

000

00

PO

MPP PP P PP PP PP POPP POPPPP POO PPPPP

0

0

РР

OOP

M

40

0

ррр РРР РР

ρ

М

50M

М M М M 40M

> М М

> M

М 30M

M

М

М М

М

M

M

M

М

М

0

MPPP

1 OM

PPP

20M

Pass

and

Hit

Total

Ι٧.

Tables of state transitions which reflect the influence of the subject on the machine. For color choices of the subject the table shows the number of colors the machine has on the next sample. For example on the first table, when the subject picked yellow, on the next sample 197 times the machine state was yellow.

	MACHINE S	TATES ON FOLLOW	VING SAMPLE		
	Yellow	Green	Blue	Red	
Yellow	88	77	87	95	· · · ·
Green	38	46	39	47	Machine 1
Blue	27	28	24	24	
Red	120	105	99	112	
Pass	84	83	98	81	
Yellow	109	124	128	141	
Green	58	47	58	66	Machine 2
Blue	25	32	42	30	
Red	121	125	136	102	
Pass	146	162	161	168	
Yellow	197	201	215	236	
Green	96	93	97	113	Both Machines
Blue	.52	60	66	54	Machines
Red	241	230	235	214	
Pass	230	245	259	249	·
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Figure 3.7 State Transitions from Subject Choice to Future Machine State

Approved For Release 2003/04/18 : CIA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4

40

V. Because of the possibility that the subject was learning the state of machine
2 the distribution of the colors are plotted in Figures 3.8, 3.9, 4.0, and
4.1. The only states used are those in which the subject didn't pass.
Therefore there is a total of 25 for each trial.

Approved For Release 2003/04/18 : CIA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4

41

Ap	proved For Release	2003/04/18:Cl	A-RDP96-00787R	0002001500
	10.OM	0	0	
	M. M		0	
	M M C	00 0 0	0 0	0
	7.5M M ()	000 0	00 0 0	0.0
		0	0 0 00	0
Number of	М 5.ОМО С	0 0 0	00 0 0 00	0
Yellow	M. M	0 0 0	0 0 0	
	M M	0 0 0		0
	2.5M M	0	O	•
	M M			
	M 0.0M	M M	M M M	M
	0	20	40	60

011-4

Trial

Figure 3.8 Distribution of Yellow for Machine 2

12.5M M		C			2
M					<u> </u>
M	•. •				
М 10.0М	0				
M IO.UM					
MC)	0	•* •	0.0	•
M M	0 0	0 0	0	00	
7.5M M	0		0	0 0	D
M 	0 0	00	0 00	00	
5.OM	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 00 0	0.0	
M M	0 0	0 00 00	0		0
M M		0	•	0	
2.5M M		0	· .	0	
M M					
M 0.0M 0	М	M 20	M M 40	М	M 60

Number of Green

Approved For Release 2003/04/18^TrcMA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4 Figure 3.9 Distribution of Green for Machine 2 42

		12.5M	
		∦ Approved For Rel	ase 2003/04/18 : CIA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4
1	ана. 1910 - Ал	M	• 0
1		N N	
~		10.0M	
		N	0 00 0
		N	
•		7.5	
	Number	Ň	
	of Blue	A A	
	Dide		4
	•	5.0	
			A AO O O O
		1	A Construction of the second se
		2.51	
			MO
			M
			Mi O
		0.0	M M M M M
			0 20 40 60
•		Figure 4.0	D Distribution of Blue for Machine 2
		12.5	n an
. 🤳			M is the state of q
	,		M
•			MO O O O O
		10.0	M
			M 00 0 00 00 0 0
	Number		M (00 0 00 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	of		M OO O O O O O
	Red	7.5	MO 000 000 0 0 0
			M
			M 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 M
		5.0	
	•		M
	•		M O O M
			M O O
	· .	2.5	
			M O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O
			M. The second seco
-		0.0	M M M M M M
•	e e e	0.	0 20 40 60
		Approved For Rele	ase 2003/04/18 : CIA-RDP96-00787R000200150011-4

d For Release 2003/04/18 : CIA-RDP96-00787R0002001 Figure 4.1 Distribution of Red for Machine 2 43 -4

Test	Description			Sec	Scoring		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Approved For Release 2003/04/18 : CIA-RDP96-00787R	000 <u>37</u> 00150	101 <u>51</u> 24	S3	S4	S5	S6
Halstead Category Test	Nonverbal test requiring abstraction of conceptual relation- ships. Score: Total errors.	7	14	33	26	6	28
Tactual Performance Test	Requires placement of 10 geometrically shaped blocks in their correct locations on a formboard while blindfolded. Separate RT, LT, and bimanual trials. Score: Total time (min.).	16,4	11.8	7.7	7.7	11.4	6.9
Speech Perception Test	Discrimination of non-word speech sounds. Score: Total errors.	4	2	0	2	5	3
Seashore Rhythm Test	Discrimination of nonverbal rhythms. Score: Number correct.	27	25	28	29	26	29
Finger Tapping Test	Measure of finger oscillation rate for 10-sec. period, both RT and LT hand trials. Score: No. taps/10 sec.	RT/LT 53/50	RT/LT 53/49	RT/LT 48/47	RT/LT 54/53	RT/LT 47/47	RT/LT 48/43
Frail Making Test (Part A)	Requires connecting numbered circles in order from 1 to 25. Paper and pencil task. Score: Total times (sec)	40	16	18	19	30	27
Irail Making Test (Part B)	Requires connecting alphabetic and numbered circles by alternating $1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow B$, etc. Score: Total time (sec)	56	50	55	50	54	53
Knox Cube Test	Measure of attention span and immediate visual memory. Score: Number correct.	13	14	13	16	17	17
Raven Progressive Matrices	Nonverbal intelligence test involving spatial matrices. Score: Number correct.	39	53	49	55	60	54
Verbal Concept Attainment Test	Requires abstraction of verbal conceptual relationships. Score: Number correct.	22	24	27	23	21	24
Buschke Memory Test	Requires learning a 20-word list in a maximum of 12 trials with repetition of words omitted after each trial. Score: Max. no.	Total: 14/20 List:	17/20	18/20	19/20	20/20	20/20
	words correctly remembered; List: no. words consistently remembered	8/20	14/20	11/20	16/20	15/20 (8 tria	16/20 Ls)(7 tria
Grooved Pegboard Test	Requires insertion of 25 pegs in their holes in a pegboard. Both RT and LT hand trials. Score: Total time (sec).	RT/LT 76/74	RT/LT 69/70	RT/LT 58/67	RT/LT 59/67	RT/LT 7 0/48 7 2/70	RT/LT 48/50
Spatial Relations Subtest of the PMA	Requires mental rotation and identification of figures rotated in 2 dimensions. Score: no. correct - no. errors.	-	-	•		60	52
Gottschaldt Hidden Figures Test	Requires tracing outline of simple figure hidden within lines of more complexAppgayed Fac Release 2003/04/18: ClareDP.96-00787R	000200150	011- <u>4</u>	· _	v.good	outst.	outst.