

14 Apr 80

MFR: Collection STRATEGY

(S/NOFORN)

ANALYSIS: After 11 sessions thus far, only one "successful" and one "possible" session has occurred. Guidance and targeting has been the greatest single problem thus far, and is probably the responsibility of the analyst. Although ambient noise has interrupted several sessions, only two have cancelled:

(S/NOFORN)

a. #7: EARLY in the operation, four sessions were run using this RVer.

Non-mensurated coordinates were used, but subsequent mensuration revealed only a $15 \pm$ mile CEP from the desired target structure. ~~estimated~~. Unfortunately, it is the best estimate that #7 worked inward from a CEP of $15-20 \pm$ NM; a very laborious situation which is very taxing on RVer. At the time, however, it was the only method which could be employed. Although #7's imagery was of good quality (generally) and his locations could be estimated from the data he provided, the continued in-process adjustments to try to "zero" the RVer proved demoralizing ~~to the RVer~~ and ~~gradual~~ may have gradually inhibited the PSI-functioning. #7 has now had a five week

"COOLING OFF" period re. 8003. It is this Analyst's intention to target

him against a totally separate PORTION of 8003 when he returns "on-line"

(S/NOFORN)

b. #1: Using mensurated coordinates, it became evident this RVer reported data pertinent to the target installation on the first attempt. During his second session, an attempt to steer the RVer to the target structure failed due to an apparent self-generated "in-flight" adjustment made by the RVer himself after start of session. As a result, relevant imagery was obtained of both the preceding session's start point and a new locale within the installation but, when ^{pre-planned} guidance directions were activated by the interviewer, the RVer was inadvertently moved off target. A new targeting method was instituted for the third session, which consisted of a masked aerial photo with NE end of target structure circled. #1 apparently hit the nail on the head. Extremely valuable imagery was obtained. It is intended that #1 will be tasked several more times against this structure, with the possibility of moving him elsewhere to other structures/areas as targeting "leads" are developed.

(S/NOFORN)

c. #14: Two of this RVer's four attempts have been cancelled due to noise interference. His first (aborted) session provided some very vague and cluttered imagery bearing slight resemblance to the

nearby athletic field complex. #14's second session provided some very diverse imagery, one aspect of which was definitely target related, but unsupported by other imagery. Other imagery did bear ~~some~~ resemblance to the athletic field, reinforcing the earlier estimate of his location. In a decision to alter the targeting methodology from mensurated geo coordinates, an alpha-numeric grid matrix was superimposed over an aerial photo of the target installation. #14 was informed of the targeting system and location of the photo (SSO) for his third session. #14 was not actually shown the photo. During the third session, #14 provided imagery relevant to a nearby motor pool (CEP .3⁺ km). #14's fourth session was cancelled due to noise after he had been shown a facsimile of the target grid annotating both target grid and his preceding session location grid. It is recommended #14 be targeted once again, using the motor pool as a start point and ranging in a N direction to the vicinity of the final landing area. It is not recommended that #14 be cluttered and befuddled with attempts to get him into the target structure.