

~~SECRET~~

INSCOM

GRILL FLAME

PROGRAM

SESSION REPORT

## GRILL FLAME

CLASSIFIED BY:MSG,DAMI-ISH

DATED: 051630ZJUL78

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS REVIEW ON: May 2001

ORCON

~~SECRET~~

GF-042-81  
Pg 1 of 2 up

~~SECRET~~

S U M M A R Y   A N A L Y S I S

R E M O T E   V I E W I N G   ( R V )   S E S S I O N   D C C - 5 1

1. (S/NOFORN) This report documents a remote viewing session conducted in compliance with a request for information.
2. (S/NOFORN) The remote viewer's impressions of the target are provided as raw intelligence data, and, as such, have not been subjected to any intermediate analysis, evaluation, or collation. Interpretation and use of the information provided is the responsibility of the requestor.
3. (S/NOFORN) The protocol used for this session is detailed in the document Grill Flame Protocol, AMSAA Applied Remote Viewing Protocol (S), undated.
4. (S/NOFORN) Following is a transcript summary of the viewer's impressions during the remote viewing session. At TAB A are drawings made by the remote viewer reference his impressions of the target site. At TAB B is target cuing information provided the remote viewer. At TAB C are Control Analyst comments.
5. (S/NOFORN) This session was conducted concurrently with session DCC-52.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

TRANSCRIPT SUMMARY

REMOTE VIEWING (RV) SESSION DCC-51

1. (S/NOFORN) Source 27.5 reported the following information regarding the target of intelligence interest at UTM coordinate [REDACTED] at 1500<sup>1200</sup> hours May 1981 at the target.

SG1A

a. Source described a rounded blunt front end (cone) of a rocket or projectile which was moving very slowly.

b. Source described a valley area having rocky ground with a small town or village with a road around it. The activity in and around this target area was all military, and was described as being of great agitation, people hurrying at many jobs at one time. This activity was one at "full strength", sort of an "electrifying experience." Trucks and armor were observed in tightly deployed defensive positions and in a static/stationary condition.

c. Source observed two back-to-back sensations of expanding white light similar to explosions of some kind of incoming (ordnance). Source perceived that after the two white light explosions, military maneuver activity commenced and continued for some days in the area.

2. (S/NOFORN) On 23 May 1981, Source observed military convoy type activity in the area described as follows:


a. Vehicles were observed coming together in a convoy and moving in a NW direction along high ground (ridgeland) to a distance of 40 to 50 miles from the target area.


b. The vehicle convoy commander was described as being approximately 5'6" in height, 165 lbs in weight, greying at the temples with some starting baldness and a "heavy" nose. This individual was wearing a camouflage green uniform, and was described as not being a native of the country in which the target was located.

3. (S/NOFORN) On 29 May 1981 at 1200 hours at the target, Source described "no more activity", and perceived "nobody there", except one or two vehicles. Source stated that everything had moved on someplace else, and all was quiet (at the target).

~~SECRET~~

**TAB**







TAB



~~SECRET~~

TARGET CUIING INFORMATION

REMOTE VIEWING (RV) SESSION DCC-51

1. (S/NOFORN) Prior to this session the remote viewer was given a sealed envelope. He was told that this envelope contained the UTM coordinate that identified the target area. Further information is included in the pre-session briefing included in the tape recording of the session. The sealed target envelope remained sealed throughout the entire remote viewing session.
2. (S/NOFORN) During the session the remote viewer was asked to describe the target area at 1200 hours, on 15 May 1981 and ascertain the level of military activity. He was then asked to describe any perceived change in activity at 1200 hours, on 29 May 1981.

~~SECRET~~

**TAB**

~~SECRET~~  
CONTROL ANALYST COMMENTS

REMOTE VIEWING (RV) SESSION DCC-51

1. (S/NOFORN) Prior to the session, interviewer #14 was provided a sealed manila envelope with the following UTM coordinates transcribed on a small sheet of paper contained inside the envelope: [REDACTED] #14 was told to provide the sealed envelope to #27.5, but to not allow #27.5 to open the envelope.

SG1A

a. #14 was also provided a sheet of paper containing the following written instructions for use during the session.

1) Targeting briefing (for use by #14 and #27.5)

a. Located in this sealed envelope is a piece of paper with a UTM coordinate on it.

b. The UTM coordinate correlates to your target for today, one which you have not worked before.

c. Access the target at 151200 May 1981 at the target.

2) EEI: (for use by #14 only)

a. Describe the general area of the target.

b. Ascertain level of military activity at the target.

c. Move to 29 May 1981 and ascertain any perceived changes in activity


2. (S/NOFORN) In-Session Guidance: During the session, the Control Analyst was assisted by #27, who monitored this session while the Control Analyst personally monitored a second simultaneous session against the same target (Session DCC-52). During this session, #27, acting in the role of Control Analyst, provided the following in-session guidance to #14 at the approximate times indicated.

a. (time unknown): "Go to the vehicle convoy commander."

b. (time unknown): "Is this his home country?"

c. (time unknown): "Is this his home country?"

d. (time unknown): (What is..)size of unit?"

  
KENNETH V. BELL, JR.  
CPT, MI