	FINAL PROJECT EVALUATION FORM

1. CUSTOMER OFFICE
SPEC RESEARCH DIV, ITAC
FANK III, RM A5E32
FT GGM

2. INFORMATION REQUESTED DATE

YEAR	MONTH	DAY
82	JUL	1

SG1B

4. PROJECT NUMBER
TGT 8205

5. SOURCE NUMBER
SESSION 931

6. NUMBER REPORTS SUBMITTED FOR PROJECT
INITIAL

7. REPORT IDENTIFICATION NUMBERS
TAPE T-931

8. REFERENCES

A. REQUIREMENTS (CITE) _____
   (ICR/CIR/DIRM 3/DIRM 9)
☐ B. INITIATIVE REPORT

9. REASON FOR EVALUATION (select one)

☐ A. ICR RESPONSE
☒ B. COLLECTORS REQUEST
☐ C. SELECTED BY ANALYST

10. VALUE OF INFORMATION (select one)

☐ A. OF MAJOR SIGNIFICANCE
☐ B. OF VALUE
☒ C. OF NO VALUE

11. TYPES OF PRODUCTS TO BENEFIT FROM USE OF REPORTED INFORMATION

☐ A. BASIC INTELLIGENCE
☐ B. CURRENT INTELLIGENCE
☐ C. ESTIMATIVE INTELLIGENCE
☐ D. S&T INTELLIGENCE

12. REASON INFORMATION IS OF NO VALUE (select one only)

☐ A. TOO FRAGMENTARY
☐ B. DUPLICATIVE
☐ C. UNTIMELY
☒ D. NOT RESPONSIVE TO TASKING CITED

(IF MY ASSUMP-
TIONS ARE
CORRECT AS THEY
LIKELY ARE)

13. DEGREE OF REQUIREMENT SATISFACTION (select one only)

☐ A. COMPLETELY SATISFIED
☐ B. PARTIALLY SATISFIED
☒ C. NOT SATISFIED AT ALL

14. NAME OF PRODUCT(S)

SG1B

16. REMARKS (Optional)
FURTHER TASKING ON TGT IS UNLIKELY BUT POSSIBLE

(remarks continued)

17. FOREIGN DISCLOSURE DATA

A. EVALUATORS RECOMMENDATION
(select one only)

☐ RELEASABLE EVALUATION NOT REQUESTED
☒ EVALUATION IS NOT RELEASABLE
☐ PARA(S) _____, ABOVE, IS/ARE
RELEASABLE TO THE GOVT(S) OF _____
_____

B. FOREIGN DISCLOSURE AUTHORITY DECISION
(select one only)

☒ EVALUATION IS NOT RELEASABLE
☐ NON-CAVEATED PORTIONS OF THIS EVAL, AS
INDICATED, MAY BE REL TO THE AUTH REPS
OF THE GOVT(S) OF _____
AT THE DISCRETION OF THE ORIGINATOR.

18. EVALUATOR'S NAME	19. EVALUATOR'S OFFICE SYMBOL	20. SECURITY INSTRUCTIONS (DOWNGRADING, DECLASSIFICATION, AND SPECIAL MARKINGS)
JOHN M. BRYANT, JR MAJ, FA	IAK-D-SR	

21. DATE EVALUATED

YEAR	MONTH	DAY
82	OCT	22

22. ORIGINATOR OF REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

SAME AS 18

23. (Signature of evaluator) _John M Bryant_