

AGENDA

INTRODUCTION :

INFORMAL BRIEFING COVERING INSCOM'S  
INVOLVEMENT IN PROJECT GRILL FLAME

- ROLES DIA / INSCOM
- HISTORY (OF INSCOM INVOLVEMENT)
- EVALUATED PROJECTS  
  & (HOW SESSION IS CONDUCTED)
- COMPLETED · NOT YET EVALUATED PROJECTS

*Incl 1*

**SECRET**

ROLES INSCOM & DIA

APPEARS TO HAVE BEEN SOME BLURRING OF THE DIFFERENT ROLES OF INSCOM AND DIA.

• DIA

- ASSESS THE THREAT
- DETERMINE COUNTERMEASURES

• INSCOM (ARMY)

APPLY REMOTE VIEWING TO ASSIST IN EVALUATING THE POTENTIAL<sup>OF RV</sup> AS A COLLECTION METHOD

- COLLECT INTELLIGENCE USING PROFESSIONAL INTELLIGENCE PEOPLE
- CONTRACT ONLY FOR THOSE ITEMS WHICH ENABLE US TO DO A BETTER JOB OF COLLECTING

(FOR EXAMPLE)

• TARGETING (FY 81)

WHAT TYPES OF TARGETS HAVE THE HIGHEST PROBABILITY OF SUCCESS  
HOW MUCH INFORMATION SHOULD BE GIVEN TO A VIEWER

COORD  
BEYOND  
BLIND

• AUDIO ANALYSIS (FY 81)

CAN<sup>THE</sup> ACCURACY OF A REMOTE VIEWER BE DETERMINED FROM AN ANALYSIS OF THE SESSION TAPE

• TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER (FY 82)

• NOT DOING RESEARCH ON THE PHENOMENA

• BASIC DIFFERENCES

DIA - USES PRIMARILY CONTRACTORS

INSCOM - COLLECT INTELLIGENCE

- USE PROFESSIONAL INTELLIGENCE PERSONNEL

Incl 2

**SECRET**

SECRET

HISTORY

IN THE FALL OF 1978 ... ACSI TASKED INSCOM TO DETERMINE IF PARAPSYCHOLOGY COULD BE USED TO COLLECT INTELLIGENCE

PARAPSYCHOLOGY -<sup>IS</sup> GENERALLY DIVIDED INTO 2 MAJOR DIVISIONS

1. PHYSICAL ACTIONS PERFORMED BY MENTAL POWERS THAT CANNOT BE EXPLAINED BY KNOWN PHYSICAL MEANS (PSYCHOKINESIS, TELEKINESIS)

2. PERCEPTIONS WHICH CANNOT BE EXPLAINED THROUGH KNOWN SENSORY MEANS (ESP, TELEPATHY, REMOTE VIEWING)

SPECIFICALLY INSCOM WAS TO DETERMINE IF REMOTE VIEWING COULD BE USED AS A COLLECTION METHOD.

REMOTE VIEWING BEING THE ABILITY TO ACCESS INFORMATION PREVIOUSLY UNKNOWN ... WITHOUT REGARD TO TIME ... ITS LOCATION IN THE WORLD ... OR THE ATTEMPTS TO HIDE IT FROM COLLECTION

SECRET

Incl 3

SECRET

INSCOM APPROACH

TO MEET ACSI TASKING... INSCOM DECIDED ON A 3 STEP APPROACH ...

1. COULD REMOTE VIEWING BE LEARNED - SRI  
HAD RELIED FOR KNOW PSYCHICS FOR THEIR WORK

• USING PERSONALITY TRAITS & CHARACTERISTICS RECOMMENDED BY SRI (AND USED BY CIA IN EARLY RESEARCH) - INSCOM PERSONNEL IN BALTIMORE/WASHINGTON AREA WERE SCREENED

SELF CONFIDENT, ADVENTUROUS, ARTISTIC, OPEN MINDED (WILLING TO TRY SOMETHING NEW), EMOTIONALLY STABLE, HAVE COURAGE OF CONVICTIONS, THINKS WELL OF SELF ALSO  
COMMANDER/SUPERVISOR COMMENTS AND AVAILABILITY

STARTING WITH 251 THRU A SERIES OF SCREENINGS & INTERVIEWS 9 WERE SELECTED ... OF THESE 6 WENT TO SRI FOR TRAINING

THEN

• A TRAINING PLAN WAS THEN ESTABLISHED (TRAINING A PHYSIC HAD NEVER BEEN TRYED) ... BEST ESTIMATES WERE AN 18 MONTH TO 2 YEAR TRAINING CYCLE

<u>MILESTONES:</u>	DURING THE FIRST STEP	
FALL 1978 - FEB 1979	LOCATE	PEOPLE
FEB 1979 - DEC 1979	INITIAL TRAINING	

1ST TNG SESSION : 28 FEB 78

SECRET

Incl 4

SECRET

[THE 2D AND 3D STEPS WERE]

2. CAN REMOTE VIEWING BE APPLIED AS A COLLECTION METHOD ... MEETING CRITERIA ...

- REASONABLE ACCURACY
- INFORMATION ACCEPTED BY ANALYSTS

IN SEP 79, ACSI TASKED THE FIRST OPERATIONAL PROJECT ... BASED UPON THE RESULTS ... ADDITIONAL OPERATIONAL TASKING FOLLOWED ... AND THE PROJECT WAS COMMITTED TO OPERATIONS

3. CAN REMOTE VIEWING RESPOND TO COLLECTION REQUIREMENTS

MILESTONES :

DEC 79 - SPRING/SUMMER 1981 OPERATIONAL

1ST OPERATIONAL SESSION : 4 SEP 79

1979 - 84

1980 - 384

1981 - 139 (JUNE)

SG1A

JAN 81 - ACSI TURNED OVER OPERATIONAL CONTROL

SECRET

**SECRET**

INSTITUTIONALIZE THE PROGRAM

OBJECTIVES (SUMMER 1981 - 1983)

1. ESTABLISH A PERMANENT ORGANIZATION

- DEVELOP A METHOD FOR RECURRING RECRUITMENT  
(REVALIDATE INITIAL SCREEN)  
(BROADEN SCOPE - PLACES TO RECRUIT)

2. DETERMINE MOST PROFITABLE TYPE TARGET

- TARGET ANALYSIS (CONTRACT)
- REVIEW OUR WORK

3. DETERMINE HOW TO GET HIGHER RELIABILITY

- AUDIO ANALYSIS
- BIO-MONITORING
- BETTER INDIVIDUAL TRAINING
- TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER (NEW SRI TECHNIQUE) (TRAINING)
- OTHER CONTRACTORS

**SECRET**

SECRET

SUMMARY OF THE RV ENHANCEMENT TECHNIQUE

-TRAINING-

THE RV ENHANCEMENT TECHNIQUES MAY BE SUMMARIZED AS FOLLOWS:

- (1) THE CODIFIED MULTISTAGE APPROACH TO DATA ACQUISITION INHERENT IN THE RV ENHANCEMENT PROCEDURE APPEARS TO "SLOW DOWN" THE INCOMING DATA SUCCESSFULLY, THEREBY PROVIDING SOME SAFEGUARD AGAINST THE NATURAL TENDENCIES OF THE REMOTE VIEWER TO INTERPRET AND ANALYZE PREMATURELY.
- (2) THE DATA BEING GENERATED WITHIN THE STRUCTURE BEING INVESTIGATED X APPEAR TO RESULT IN BRIEFER TRANSCRIPTS X WITH HIGHER SIGNAL-TO-NOISE RATIOS COMPARED TO PREVIOUS RESULTS. THE GAIN APPEARS TO BE BOTH IN THE QUALITY, OF INDIVIDUAL TRIALS AND IN THE RELIABILITY FROM TRIAL TO TRIAL.
- (3) KNOWLEDGE OF THE HYPOTHESIZED MULTISTAGE PROCESS OF SITE ACQUISITION APPEARS TO PROVIDE SOME PREDICTIVE VALUE ABOUT THE QUALITY OF THE RV PRODUCT. THE DATA THAT DO NOT EMERGE MORE OR LESS IN THE STAGED ORDER TEND TO HAVE A HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF OVERLAY.

SECRET


**SECRET**

COMPLETED, UNEVALUATED PROJECTS

1. SEARCH FOR BG DOZIER:

- We reported the city name Padua eight days prior to his rescue.
- Two days prior to the rescue, and without the name of the city, an analyst was able to use our information combined with other sources to brief CDR, SETAF to concentrate efforts in Padua.
- Reverse role of locating, not reporting on known locations.

SG1A



**SECRET**

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS



**SECRET**

COMPLETED PROJECTS

INTRODUCTION:

- This form of collection can not stand alone.
- This collection of projects puts our "best foot" forward.
- Current value to analyst is about 40%.
- Number of sessions per project varies as low as 1 to over 200 in the case of Iran.
- Projects are based upon intelligence value only.
- Projects are judged by tasker or in some cases independent of tasker, e.g., ITAC for ACSI.

CHARACTERISTICS OF GRILL FLAME COLLECTION:

- Passive.
- No known defense.
- Inexpensive (compared to)  
Exotic SIGINT systems  
PHOTINT  
(Amount of) Manpower committed.

**SECRET**

**NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS**

Smiley Questions

1. What kind of statistical basis do you ~~to~~ have that will prove your worth? Where does the 40% come from?  
I don't know the answer.

2.

Rob.

Incl 7

~~SECRET~~  
~~SECRET~~

10M

MS SMILEY QUESTIONS

1. WHAT IS GF (ARMY) DOING TO PROVE R.V. WORKS?  
WHAT R&D IS ARMY DOING?
2. HOW CAN YOU BE SURE THE INTERVIEWER ISN'T LEADING THE R.V.ER  
BY HIS LINE OF QUESTIONING.  
(WE NEED TO BE SURE TO SAY THAT USUALLY (IDEALY) THE INTERVIEWER  
IS WORKING IN THE BLIND ALSO.)
3. WHY DOES ACSI HAVE SUCH A HIGH SUCCESS RATE?
4. WHY HAVEN'T WE RUN SESSIONS AGAINST SO-N-SO?  
(WE DON'T TASK OURSELVES, WE ACCEPT TASKING)
5. WHY DON'T WE DO MORE COORDINATION WITH OTHER AGENCIES, I.E.

SG1A  
SG1A

--	--

(WE ONLY ANSWER THE TASKING WE  
RECEIVE, WE DON'T TAKE THE PROJECT OFF THE ANALYSTS HANDS AND  
DO HIS WORK.)

QUESTIONS BY MS SMILEY - ADD YOURS

- WHAT IS STATUS OF FY 82 REPROGRAMMING (LIZ JORDAN ANSWERED) - DIA TAKING ACTION)

- ~~WHAT~~ HOW DOES OUR ~~PROTOCOL~~ PROTOCOL COMPARE WITH SRI? (same)

- NO KNOWN DEFENSE AGAINST COLLECTION?

SG1A

(SRI EVALUATING -

SG1A

RECEIVED DATA INSUFFICIENT TO REPLICATE, PROTOCOLS NOT FULLY KNOWN

- An intelligence value of 40% seems to be low or at least lower than normal. Why?

  - 40% is the percentage of total information provided by Remote Viewing, and represents that information considered by the reviewing analyst to be of at least "good intelligence value" or higher.
  - In comparison to total information collected in any other system (e.g., SIGINT, PHOTINT, ELINT) 40% is an extremely high return of valuable information from the total collected.
- Why are you able to satisfy one project with a single session, but need multiple sessions on others?

  - First; Its dependent on the tasking. One task may require a one shot answer, i.e., describe the inside of a building at coordinates ....; but another task may require multiple answers, i.e., address the probability of a Soviet Invasion of Poland between 01 May 1982 and 01 May 1983.
  - Secondly; The analyst in the course of receiving information on the first task may decide he could use amplification data on additional facts collected, i.e., View answers question of "How many tanks?" with statement, "5 tanks are parked beside radar installation. Analyst will then want the Radar installation described.
  - Third; Personnel are directed to address target in multiple stages to prevent inadvertent disclosure of the actual information desired.

10/17

- Why can't Hill Flame information stand alone - others do when that's all you've got. Is it because it's not as good?

- Hill Flame Information is of extreme value, even when it's alone; but decisions should not be made or estimates relied on, strictly from G.F. Information. No other information system produces information which can be trusted any more than G.F. when that information stands alone.

- G Hill Flame information, as any other information, when it is the only product available, does provide very valuable aid in targeting for additional information through other collection systems.

- The quality and/or extent of evaluation concerning Hill Flame information appears to be rather weak or does not provide the amount of detail or lend itself to statistical support of such collection methods. Why can't this be improved?

- In the past the Army project did not pursue more spacting evaluations for the following reasons:


- 1) The goals were different; the project was establishing "ability to collect" vs. "accuracy"
- 2) We did not wish to appear as judging our own required levels for support and thereby pursuing or facing statements of accuracy from the outside analyst.
- 3) We wished to also evaluate the outside analysts ability to utilize and evaluate our information.
- 4) Manpower restraints.

- If the Army Hill Slame Collection program is as valuable as I am hearing:

- Why have I not heard about it before now?

- Why aren't the flag officers (generals) in attendance at Senate (House) committee meetings defending it? Knowledge of it?

- Why aren't there more people committed to it; or why is there a question concerning Army support to the required response efforts?

(NOTE: I DON'T KNOW ANSWERS TO THESE )

- If DIA tasks SRI for RV Collection Support in conjunction with a National Product requirement, why is the Army also collecting through RV? I don't understand the differences, aren't they both collecting?
- INFORMATION ITEMS WHICH MUST BE COVERED ARE AS FOLLOWS:
  - 1.) The Army effort is not experimental, nor is it R & D based. The Army is using RV to collect information of Intelligence Value to meet assigned tasking.
  - 2.) Actual methods of targeting & Collection must be explained in detail, otherwise misinterpretations arise on how the information is obtained.
  - 3.) View, interview, and analyst (both inside & out) relationships must be explained in detail.
  - 4.) Differences in evaluation of information for "Intelligence value" versus "RV accuracy" must be explained, and our reason for such.