PROJECT EVALUATION FORM

1. SPONSOR'S OFFICE:

2. INFORMATION REQUEST DATE:

3. TARGET SITE IDENTIFICATION:

4. PROJECT NUMBER:

5. SOURCE NUMBER:

6. NUMBER OF REPORTS SUBMITTED FOR PROJECT:

7. REPORT IDENTIFICATION NUMBERS AND DATES:

8. REFERENCES:

   / / a. Requirements (cite) _____
              (ICR/CIR/DIRM 3/DIRM 9)

   / / b. Initiative Report

9. REASON FOR EVALUATION (select one):

   / / a. ICR Response

   / / b. Collectors Request

   / / c. Selected By Analyst

10. VALUE OF INFORMATION (select one):

   / / a. Major Significant Value (Narrative comment required;
          include report numbers, specific information in the report
          which was valuable, and why it was of value.)

DRAFT

/ / b. Valuable (Narrative comment required; include report numbers, specific information in the report which was valuable, and why it was of value.)

/ / c. No Value (See Item 12, below.)

11. TYPES OF PRODUCTS TO BENEFIT FROM USE OF REPORTED INFORMATION (select one or more and describe how the products will benefit):

/ / a. Basic Intelligence

/ / b. Current Intelligence

/ / c. Estimative Intelligence

/ / d. S&T Intelligence

12. REASON INFORMATION IS OF NO VALUE (select one only):

/ / a. Too Fragmentary

/ / b. Duplicative

/ / c. Untimely

/ / d. Not Responsive to Tasking Cited

2   DRAFT

13. DEGREE OF REQUIREMENT SATISFACTION (select one only):

　　/ / 　a. 　Completely Satisfied

　　/ / 　b. 　Partially Satisfied

　　/ / 　c. 　Not Satisfied At All

4. REMARKS (comments should include, but not be limited to recommenda-
tions for application of this unique intelligence methodology):

15. DISCLOSURE DATA (select one only):

　　/ / 　a. 　Releasable Evaluation Not Requested

　　/ / 　b. 　Evaluation Is Not Releasable

　　/ / 　c. 　Evaluation Is Releasable On a Need-To-Know Basis

16. EVALUATOR'S NAME:

17. EVALUATOR'S OFFICE SYMBOL:

18. DATE EVALUATED:

19. ORIGINATOR OF REQUEST FOR INFORMATION:

20. (Signature of evaluator) _____.

3　　　　DRAFT