Approved For Release 2002/11/18 : CIA-RDP96-00789R001900250002-3

CHENTS/NOFORN - SKEET CHANNELS ONLY

PROJECT SUN STREAK (U)

ERV SESSION PROCEDURE REPORT		
WARNING NOTICE: INTELLIGENCE SOURCES AND METHODS INVOLVED		
CONTROL NUMBER:	NICKNAME: Other	"A"
DATE OF SESSION: 2-6 Jun 86	TARGET COUNTRY:	Unknown
REFERENCES: None	SESSION NUMBER:	25 1/2 (25 mm) 01, 02, 03
DATE OF REPORT: 31 Jul 86	MISSION STATUS:	Initial/complete
TECHNIQUE UTILIZED: ERV	SOURCE IDENTIFIE	R: 101
on which the following tasking was typed: "Identify the main shape features and purpose of the structures at (coordinate) In the two gubsequent sessions the interviewer was provided further verbal tasking and directions, i.e., " site is accurate but focus attention on tunnel and rocket device," additional emphasis on purpose of rocket device and relation to site." Throughout sessions the interviewer was never told the exact nature of the site, its key facilities nor its mission. In the initial session, the Source was simply given the coordinates of the site, (encrypted to preclude inadvertent geographic cueing as per Extended Remote Viewing(ERV) protocols). In subsequent sessions, Source was again provided the coordinates and a general synopsis of previously reported data. No additional specific tasking emphasis or intentional cueing was provided to Source. 2. () SESSION: During the initial session, Source took a "joyride" to an unspecified site whose descriptive data did not coincide with the known		
data on the site. Source was retargetred, (coordinates repeated) and successfully acquired the site. In subsequent sessions Source was encourage to initially take a "joy ride" to a site of his choosing, (later to be designated "Sanctuary") and from that site, at the direction of the interviewer, he was to move to the target. This technique appeared to eliminate inadvertent reporting on non-related targets and was enjoyable to the Source, therefore, the use of "Sanctuary" targetting will continue on a trial basis to dtermine its affect on the Source's success rate.		
3. () SUMMARY: The following is complation of the raw and unevaluated data provided by Source during the total of three sessions conducted on this		

of military involvement. There are numerous scientists and technicians working at the site. Some areas of the site are above ground, (buildings and related facilities), while other areas are underagound or at least partially underground. Mnay of the buildings appear to be abandoned completely including one building (next to a railroad track) which may have once been a depot. This building Approved To Preventil 2002/14/148 PROJECTATION TO THE STREET OF

) A fenced complex of buildings and facilities with a feeling

target.

SG1A