Papers

be considered as the distribution of ratings associated with that verted into standard normal scores by computing its distance from in this distribution of average ratings. distribution to be typical for the condition and express all ratings ing it by the standard deviation observed for these average ratings the mean of average ratings for the controls of the trials and divid-The distribution of the sum of ratings for the controls can Reduced to the level of individual trials we assume this Thus, all ratings are con-

samples we might compare individual achievement over conditions by can be considered narmal too. which means scores Since the SAR scores are based on true standard normal scores, and the average standard notmal score for target and controls. for controls and targets is zero. Therefore, in the case of related calculating a product-moment correlation between the SAR scores of the two conditions. difference between this standard normal score for the target Then for each trial a SAR score for the target is defined as obtained from a normal distribution, SAR scores For each trial the sum of SAR scores

ables involved were subjects' rating behavior and amount of ESP. For rating behavior we maripulated the probability of selecting ratnumbers between rating values 0 and 30 per trial and was simulated by randomly generating 20 rows of 5 variables. Each experiment consisted puter simulation of 100 "experimenta" for each combination of two ing its sensitivity to detect ESP. tistically sound we further studied its properties, especially regarding values of zero. The amount of ESP was operationalized as the in addition to what could be expected by channumber of subjects assigning the highest rating value to the target Although the randomization n test described above seems sta-To this end we conducted a comof 20 trials and 5 pictures inclusive. The two vari-

ditions the sensitivity of the SSR scores is rather in the case of 5 ESP hits when in total 5 + 15/5 = 8 hits can be exscores become more sensitive than the binomial test. in extreme cases of rating behavior and amount of ESP do the SSR that when, for instance, a simple binomial test was applied. Only ated one-tailed probability of .045. whereas the SSR score yields on average a Z of 1.7 with an associpected, the binomial yields an exact one-tailed probability of p = .01 From the data obtained it can be concluded that in most conφw and less than For instance,

normal but leaving this aside we found that in most cases the sensitivity of t-test evaluations based on Stanford Z-scores is comparable to that of evaluations based on SSR scores. However, SSR In the same simulation studies Stanford Z-scores were com-We know that the distributions for these Z-scores are non-

Statistical Issues and Methods

scores appear more sensitive than Stanford Z-scores in cases of strong ESP and extreme rating behavior.

From these findings some practical conclusions can be drawn.

In general we must assume but the ESP influence on the data is relatively little. Hence, unless that the the relative counts of the state of the sex influence on the data is relatively little. Hence, unless there is reason to expect a strong ESP influence on the data is the relatively little. Hence, the response on the data is the more sensitive than any evaluation based on the rating values. The same applies for experiments in which no extreme rating behavior can be expected, for instance, an experiment in which an atomistic approach to the judging is belowed. In that case we expect in general phasero ratings assigned to all pictures, and our findings show that in that case the SSR scores, as well as Stanford's Z-scores, are rather insensitive.

A METHODOLOGY FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF A KNOWLEDGE-BASED JUDGING SYSTEM FOR FREE-RESPONSE MATERIALS

Dick J. Bierman (Dept. of Psychology, University of Amsterdam)

It has been found that certain judges perform consistently better than others when matching targets to a target set. It seems unlikely that this is purely because of the judge's psi, since psi unlikely that this is purely because of the judge's psi, since psi unlikely that this is purely because of the judge's psi, since psi unlikely that this is purely because of the judge's psi, since psi generally does not display consistent behavior. Therefore, it might be hypothesized that it is the (intuitive) knowledge of the specific judge that accounts for his better performance on this task. It has been proposed (Morris, ELP, 1886, 137-149) that the use of expect systems might help psi researchers in tasks where they lack of expect systems might help psi researchers in tasks where they lack of expect systems might help psi researchers in tasks where they lack of expect systems might help psi researchers. Similarly, the experse of the best judges of free response material could become available to each individual researcher. Similarly, the experse judge of the pset of t only visual features are present. Actually, the type of visual that in Maren's proposed representation of complex target material matching that Maren proposes to be done by a machine can be bet ter performed by any sighted human.

121

Statistical Issues and Methods

system but the elicitation of the knowledge that has to be entered stance, it is doubtful that one can find experts who are willing to ment of expert systems nowadays is not the implementation of the expect cooperation from the expert judges. Although the material tation of this visual knowledge might also be a major problem in this domain of expertise. In the case of free-response judging one can ery is largely driven by visual information. The proper representransfer their knowledge. Apart from that, the detection of trickinto the system. In the case of knowledge about trickery, for incan be drawn from the analytical judging procedures developed by are able to represent these pictures satisfactorily. This conclusion is also visual there are strong indications that simple key words Jahn et al. (Jahn et al., <u>JP</u>, 1980, 207-231). It should be remarked that the crucial element in the develop

comparable to or better than human experts in the domain of psybeen found that simple (linear) regression formulas make predictions pathological cases. It appears that this is because of the failure to though its average performance is adequate, this approach fails in ing procedure very similar to an approach by linear regression also chodiagnostics. Thus, it is not surprising that the analytical judgyields satisfactory results. However, it should be noted that alsenting and using this type of knowledge. separately, this meaning is missed in the analytical judging prohave a symbolic meaning that is not contained in either element the cases when they occur alone. Thus, if two elements together occurrence of two elements is counted as the sum of the scores for In the analytical judging procedure, for instance, the simultaneous take into account any interaction between the predictor variables. Analytical judging versus knowledge-based judging. It has A knowledge-based judging system is capable of repre-

match" in psi research are determined by chance, these categories do not have objective attributes. Thus, the task cannot be modeled can be seen as classification tasks. In the case of matching freeresponse material from psi experiments, however, there is a special each of the members of the target set. matching process as a double classification process. The judge is as a direct classification task. Therefore, we propose to model the clear-cut match can be made a secondary evaluation is done which these classifications are evaluated using overlap measures. internalized categories. thought to begin with a classification of the protocol in one of his takes into account (subtle) interactions among attributes. Matching as classification task. Most problem-solving tasks Since the categories "correct match" and "incorrect Secondly, this procedure is repeated for Finally, the results of

was one of the reasons to simulate the research in machine-learning needed to drive expert systems is a "bottleneck problem." This methods as a means of explicating knowledge. Very often the rather Knowledge-elicitation methods. The elicitation of knowledge

> of human expertise it seems unwise to use an unstructured elicitation procedure for the expertise of free-response judging. In more of structured approaches emphasis is given to the necessity of a well-specified framework for interpretation of the verbal material, be it interviews with, or thinking aloud protocols produced by, the expert In the present paper it is proposed to combine the structured knowledge-elicitation procedure with the use of learning systems.
>
> Proposed procedure. The proposed methodology differs from accepted methodologies by using information already present in the data base of classified cases. The elicitation procedure consists of the primary set of classes is formulated. After that, a training set profold cases is selected to be presented to a learning system. Each of old cases is selected to be presented to a learning the systems are able to classify other cases from the old data base and to compare classifications of the target set with the classification of the protomight result in poor final systems, like most rule-based systems to prototyping. This means that the system is implemented while the knowledge base is essentially of low quality and incomplete. This unstructured interview approach is accompanied by so-called rapid

classifications of the target set with the classification of the protocol. The trained system has become a (first-order) model of the

expert judge.

In the second phase the remainder of the old data base is presented to the "trained" system for judging. If the judging by the system differs from that made in the past by the human expert, we call this a "pathological case."

In the third phase the human expert is confronted with the set of pathologies. The knowledge engineer might directly ask the expert why he or she deviated from the model or give him or her thinking-aloud protocol should occur in terms of deviations from the cases. model and thus produce additions to the knowledge base.

apply learning systems to the process of knowledge acquisition used systems like Automated Concept Learning System (ACLS), which construct a decision tree from examples. However it was found that although the resulting decision trees were able to classify new cases properly, these trees, which represent the knowledge of the human perspert, very often were hardly recognized by the same expert. expert, very often were hardly recognized by the same expert. framework for the knowledge engineer to base his or her further This decision-tree representation offered therefore not a fruitful The automated concept learner. Previous work that tried to

124

interviews. This situation is not very different from a representation by linear regression models which have shown to have considerable predictive power. However, the linear regression formula does not make a lot of sense to the human expert. Therefore, we have proposed elsewhere not only to use an ACLS type of learning system but also to use a learning system that is supposed to create a psychologically valid representation of the human expert's knowledge.

The prototype learner. The "prototype" model has been developed by Rosch. In contrast with linear regression models, the "prototype" model allows for nonmonotonic relations between the values of the attributes and the class determination. So, apart from an implementation of a decision-tree building system à la ACLS, a system has been implemented that is capable of learning categories as proposed in the Rosch model. During the learn phase a training set of old cases, consisting of the values of the attributes and the resulting classification, are offered to the system. The system learns which attributes contribute to which degree to the final classification decision. After the learning phase new cases can be offered to the system which will calculate an overlap score of the new instance with the "prototype" of a class.

Concluding remarks. Current work by the present author using a similar knowledge-elicitation approach in the domain of psychodiagnostics is promising. It appears that "intuitive" knowledge can be elicited with the proposed approach and implemented as a moderator of a primarily pattern-matching-based classification.

NEW INTERPRETATIONS OF ESP LITERATURE

A CRITICAL REVIEW OF THE DISPLACEMENT EFFECT

Julie Milton (Dept. of Psychology, University of Edinburgh 7 George Square, Edinburgh EH8 9JZ, Scotland)**

displacement literature has a ment is a "deliberate" error or a genui gested that in the context of finding tive) interest; partly because recentl aspect of the displacement terial. Despite the fact target for a particular tion in which the perdipient, instead of describing the intended as a phenomenon of interest in itself for a review would be to examine the characteristics of displacement percipient may have some theoretical importance. Another reason under which displacement ϕ ccurs and t ers reacted with a more positive (an displacement is generally one attitude of researchers these view would be timely for a num interest of parapsychologisk The "displacement effect" in/ESP research refers to a situatrial, describes some other experimental mathat over 100 papers have dealt with some effect since the effect caught the general day in 1940, no exhaustive review of the of irr eared. her of reasons, partly because the to the apparent occurrence of tation, whereas earlier researchhence possibly more produc-It was felt that such a remits for psi, the circumstances some researchers have sughe extent to which displacee error on the part of the

In the past, researchers have explored two main lines of research with respect to displacement; the first has involved the possibility of a relationship between scoring on targets of different displacements, and the second, the possibility of a relationship between displaced scoring and psychological and situational variables.

Concerning the possibility of a relationship between scoring on targets of different displacements, there are a couple of potentially important statistical artifacts that apply to forced-choice studies which can give rise to the appearance of displacement

^{*}Chaired by Erlendur Haraldsson.

^{**}I am grateful to the Perrott-Warrick Studentship in Psychical Research for financial support during the writing of this paper