

27 February 1962

Approved for Release:

Date: 29 March 1978

00693

The Honorable John A. McCone Director of Central Intelligence Washington, D. C.

Sir:

The Board of Inquiry constituted by you February 19, 1962, in the case of Francis Gary Powers, composed of the three undersigned members, submits its report.

The duties assigned to the Board by you were: "to determine whether in the light of all the ascertainable circumstances Powers, having been dispatched on a mission which ended with him in the custody of the Soviet authorities, acted in accordance with the terms of his employment, and instructions and briefings pursuant thereto, from the time he started the mission until his release, The Board will also consider whether Powers complied with his

Immediately upon designation, the Board met, beginning the morning of February 19, and was in session throughout the day each day until the evening of February 24. The Board has had before it:

obligations as an American citizen during this same period."

(1) a booklet entitled "The Trial of the U-2," published

by Translation World Publishers, Chicago, 1960, containing an introduction by Harold J. Berman, the full text of the indictment of Francis Gary Power

in the Soviet Union, and a purported transcript of

his trial, conviction, and sentence;

(2) a transcription from a taped recording of the debriefing
 of Mr. Powers upon his return to the United States
 February 11, representing the contents of 16 tapes
 made from February 13 through February 20. This
 debriefing was by a team composed of representatives

of this Agency and of other Government experts officially interested in the incident;

- (3) the oral testimony of the witnesses listed in Attachment
 A hereto attached;
- (4) Mr. Powers in person, who appeared and testified at some length;
- (5) a sound motion picture in color of the

(6) a sound motion picture released by official Russian

authorities depicting the trial of Powers in Moscow;) numerous exhibits listed in Attachment B hereto

attached.

Counsel.

A stenographic report of the proceedings of the Board was made. An index of the material submitted to the Board has been made by topics. The report of the proceedings, all supporting material, and the index have been deposited with the Office of the General Counsel of the Agency and are available for use. (The index will make it possible to examine fully and without undue difficulty or delay all the material submitted to the Board concerning any particular item or event.)

The Board has not attempted to make detailed findings of fact in respect to the many events which comprised the incident under study. It has formulated conclusions and will state the general bases upon which these conclusions rest. The evidence can be ascertained by reference to the documents deposited with the General

Insofar as the incident concerns events which transpired

prior to the time Powers took off on May 1, the

testimony of many persons and much documentary material are available. The nature of the mission and Powers' qualification, training, and briefing for it are established without contradiction. Evidence as to what occurred at the trial of Powers in Moscow is readily available since the trial was public and representatives of the world press and identifiable American observers were present.

Proof of events which occurred between the time Powers took off and the time when he appeared for trial in Moscow depends upon the statements of Powers himself plus some ancillary information. If his account is correct, the Board is of the opinion that in the light of the circumstances, he acted in accordance with the terms of his employment and his instructions and briefings pursuant thereto, and complied with his obligations as an American citizen during this same period.

Thus, a conclusion must be reached as to whether Powers did in fact give a true account of these events. This phase of the matter has been intensively and repeatedly tested since Powers returned to this country. He has repeatedly related his account and there is no substantial deviation at any point in his several recitations. Several considerations are pertinent and material

to a conclusion as to his truthfulness.

In the first place, Powers was interrogated, as the r will show, for many days consecutively by a debriefing team of experienced interrogators. The objective of this team was in part to ascertain from Powers his account of these events, but at the same time, and intensively, to ascertain whether or not he was being truthful in what he was telling the interrogators. All the members of this team are experienced experts in this latter phase of interrogation, i.e., to evaluate credibility. They express the unanimous view that Powers was truthful in his account. In the second place, an intensive inquiry was made by Govern officials into the background, life history, education, condu and character of Powers in order to formulate a judgment a whether he was inherently truthful. This team included doctors, specialists in psychiatry, a psychologist, personnel officers, colleagues in the Air Force and on this Project, including his All these persons were of the Commanding Officer view that Powers was inherently and by practice a truthful man, In the third place, Mr. Powers appeared before this Board and

testified both directly and under cross-examination In excess of two hours. The Board is agreed in its view that in this appearance he appeared to be truthful, frank, straight-forward, and without any indicated attempt to evade questions or to color what he was saying. He seemed to become relaxed and, in our judgment, reflected an attitude of complete candor. In the fourth place, when, during his examination before the Board, a question was raised as to the accuracy of one of his statements, he volunteered with some vehemence that although he disliked the process of the he would like to undergo He was examined on all of the factual phases which the Board considered critical in this Inquiry. The report is that he displayed no indications of deviation from the truth in the course of that examinat fifth place, a study of the photographs of the debris of the plane, to which we have referred, 'revealed, in the opinion of the expert making that study, no condition which suggested a variance from Powers' account of what had transpired. In his report this expert said, in part, "I was able to correlate all of Powers' statements with our studies of the photographs, our knowledge of the

aerodynamic characteristics of the aircraft, and experience in

flight test with all the miscellaneous gear involved in attempting an escape from the cockpit as he described."

The Board noted the testimony of Russian witnesses at

the trial in Moscow, which dealt with the descent and capture of Powers and with technical features of the plane and the incident. This testimony was consistent with the account given by Powers.

The only evidence received by the Board which directly

conflicted with Powers' account was part of a report based of

Some of these

indicated that the Soviets thought the flight of this U-2 had continued at the same altitude beyond the point where; Powers claimed it fell,

that it then descended to a lower altitude and then changed its

course by turning in a broad circle back to the neighborhood of

Sverdlovsk and disappeared from the observation of the tracke

some 35 minutes later. The activities which culminate in a

In the course of the presentation of the evidence to the Board the obvious possibility of confusion and error was pointed out; indeed at least one dramatic incident of error due to confusion was

102 6

explained to the Board in detail.

Of course this operation of the American intelligence system is invaluable. But the Board is of the opinion that it cannot make a flat assumption of accuracy in these

Invalidate all other evidence concerning the occurrence of the incident.

It is the conclusion of the Board that the evidence establishes overwhelmingly that Powers' account was a fruthful account.

The nature of Powers' obligation to conceal or reveal information in the event of his capture is part of the consideration of his obligation under the contract and also as an American citizen. These obligations are clearly and indisputably established by the record particularly by the written document known as Operations Policy Letter No. 6. This was a set of instructions issued by higher authority to detachment commanders to be used

as a guide in briefing pilots engaged in the U-2 venture. The testimony established that Powers' detachment commander followed closely this letter in briefing him preparatory to this flight. A

copy of this letter is attached as Attachment C. It contains, among

other provisions, the following:

"Conduct and Procedures in Event of Capture:

"If evasion is not feasible and capture appears imminent, pilots should surrender without resistance and adopt a cooperative attitude toward their captors.

"At all times while in the custody of their captors, pilots will conduct themselves with dignity and maintain

a respectful attitude toward their superlors.

"Pilots will be instructed that they are perfectly

free to tell the full truth about their mission with the exception of certain specifications of the aircraft.

They will be advised to represent themselves as civilians,

to admit previous Air Force affiliation, to admit current CIA employment, and to make no attempt to deny the nature of their mission. They will be instructed,





Such brisfing should safeguard pilots from extreme treatment by permitting them the greatest possible latitude in responding to interrogations."

The Board is of the opinion that Powers' conduct as described by him and as shown at the trial, was in accordance with the fors-

going statement of policy.

however

The nature of Powers' obligation in respect to the polson needle which was in his possession throughout this flight is part

of the consideration of his obligation under his contract and as an American citizen. Powers was not instructed to use this needle.

On the contrary, he was offered it as an optional item of equipment. He accepted it in contemplation of the possibility that he would be tortured if he were captured and that he might then prefer the use

of this needle to the destruction of his own power to resist. He was given to understand, quite clearly, that any use by him of the

needle was entirely optional with him.

The nature of Powers' obligation in respect to his conduct and statements during the course of his trial in Moscow is part of the consideration of his obligation under his contract and as an American citizen. The only phases of this conduct which merit comment are his plea of guilty and his final statement to the court which indicated the following: "I plead to the court to judge me not as an enemy but as a human being who is not a personal enemy of the Russian people, who has never had any charges brought against him in any court, and who is deeply repentant and profoundly sorry for what he has done." The Board regards the plea of guilty with no significance since this is generally a matter of trial tactics. Nor does the Board consider of special significance Powers' stat ment that he was "deeply repentant and profoundly sorry" for what This was a personal statement by him and should be he had done. judged in the light of the situation in which he then found himself. The nature of Powers' obligation in respect to destroying the plane or its equipment is part of the consideration of his

obligation under his contract and as an American citizen. Powers described in minute detail each step that he took between the time the plane began to fall and the time that he left the airplane with

his parachute, including his efforts to operate the destruct mochanism. All of these events transpired after the plane had gone into an inverted spin and was falling. Several experienced . Air Force officers testified before the Board and they ware unanimous in their views that Powers' efforts to operate the destructor mechanism were reasonable under the circumstances at the moment, that his conduct was not to be censured or deemed to be in violation of his obligation, The contract between Powers and the Agency, which was in effect at the time of this incident, was a formal written document. Besides other matters it contained an oscalator provision as to pay. . It provided the term of the contract and included this provision: "Except that it may be terminated by the Agency at any time prior thereto for cause based on medical or incapacitating reasons, including lack or loss of personal proficiency, misconduct or willful failure to follow instructions, abandonment of obligations under this agreement, or discontinuance of the activities on a date more than 90 days prior to the termina-tion of this agreement." The question of whether Powers "acted . in accordance with the terms of his employment instructions and

TO COM

briefings thereto" is to be answered in the light of the foregoing

by the Agency.

specification of bases upon which the contract might be terminated

The Board explored the possibility that Powers' account

of what happened to the U-2 might have been induced by hypnosis, drugs, or other similar treatment. An outstanding expert in this area of interest was called and testified. The testimony of this witness eliminated this possibility.

Throughout its study the Board has had the utmost cooperation on the part of all components of the Agency and representatives of other agencies of the Government which have been concerned in the matter. All witnesses which the Board desired to hear were

made available. The Secretary of the Board arranged for ample-

facilities and equipment for the use of the Board. It is the conclusion of the Board, upon the basis of the

evidence presented to it, which we have briefly described and

which is on file with the General Counsel of the Agoncy, that

throughout this incident Powers acted in accordance with the

thereto, and that he complied with his obligations as an Ameri

terms of his employment and instructions and briefings pursuant

citizen during this same period.

and the second secon

•

•1

k k esere sine si na sere si s In 1

Land & Car dala



ATTACHMENT A

WITNESSES

gentanie 757

Project Security Officer,

Security Officer, CIA

Porsonnel Officer, CIA

Project Chief, CIA Project Operations Officer

Navigation, 1 May flight

Director of Security, CIA

Analyst, NSA

Air Force observer to Board

Psychologist, CIA

Project flight surgeon,

Medical Officer, CIA

Psychologist, CIA

Counter-Intelligence Officer, CIA

U-2 pilot

Project Intelligence Officer, CIA

Former Detachment Commander

and all a straight and the second second

1 May U-2 flight back-up pllot



ATTACHMENT &

EXHIBITS

Exhibit 71

Exhibit § 2

Exhibit § 3

Exhibit # 4

Exhibit $\oint 5$

Exhibit 96

Exhibit # 7

Exhibit # 8

Exhibit # 9

Exhibit § 10

Exhibit # 11

Exhibit § 12 Exhibit § 13

Exhibit # 14

Exhibit # 15

Exhibit # 16 - a, b, c

Exhibit 9 17

Exhibit # 18

Memorandum for the Record (Re: Powers' Initial Interview)

Operations Policy Latter No. 6

Report of Interview with Powers

Momorandum for the Record (Understanding of Conditions - Powers)

Memorandum of Understanding (With Powers)

Memorandum for the Record (Re: Exam at

Powers' Contract

Powers' Second Contract

Powers' Third Contract

Powers' Personal History Statement Memorandum for Staging Personnel (Re: Security Instructions)

.....

Evaluation of

Agency E&E Training for Francis Gary Powers

Summary of Operational Activities

Dispatch of Summary

JN Jet Navigational Charts (3)

Cable from to the Director

Photograph of U-2 Wreckage - Gorki Park

This superseder OFL fo dated 8 Key 1956

9 December 1957

CPERATICES FOLLOY LETTER NO. 6

SUBJECT: Intelligence Ericfings, Including Policy for Pilots Forced Down in Hostile Ferritory

(METHONY

1. Purpose: The purpose of this policy letter is to furnish guidance to dotechnest convenient on the classified information which may be furnished to Project pilots concerning their mission, and the heisfings which should be given to Project pilots on procedure and conduct to be adhered to in the event they are forced form in bestike territory. The policies set forth herein are general in pathre. Specific information, as applicable, vill be included in separate intelligence instructions.

2. Diserviel Information:

e. Grievelly, the classified intelligence information imperied to primary mission pilots should be limited to what information which is considered essential to the successful accomplishment of their rinsion. Non-essential information concerning equipment febrication and expetilistics, utilization of photography information acquired, Project organization and personnel, cos., should be divulged only then the withholding of such information right enversely effect gilot murale and/or jecographies the mission itself.

b. It should be stressed to the phote dailog brieflugs that the less intelligence information they possered, could but with mission requirements, the better it will be for there in the count of capture. For this reason it is impossive that they be highlad to only such intelligence as is necessary to carry out their desires.

c. Comprehensive testical intelligence interings should be given to all printry mission pilots on those defensive combilities which could directly affect their respective missions or which might enhance the possibilities of safe return to friendly territory in the event of an energency. Suese briefings should include at least the following:

- (1) Air order of tettle
- (?) Rulu: order or battle
- (3) Anti-circlest civies of bitule
- (k) Guided missile wirr of bibile
- (5) Alr esceps route
- (6) Detection, tradiciss and inverses the anabilities

Coper

(7) Asternet parsonnence and tublics

ren eresk

At all tires wille in the custody of their captors, pllots will conduct themselves with dignity subminitein a respectful attitude toward their america.

c. Pilots will be instructed that they are perfectly free to tell the full truth eccut their mission with the exception of certain specifications of the alrowaft. They will be advised to represent themselves as civilians, to addiv previous Air Force affiliation, to einit current CTA coployment, and to make no attempt t: deny the nature of their musica. They will be instructed, henever,.

Such briefing abould sufegeord pilots from entreme treatment by pornishing than the greatest possible latitude in responding to . interrogaticas.

d. Pilots should also every effort to avoid discussing or divuicing information given then during the testical intelligence brieflogs ca defensive capabilities; i.e., MS, RCB, etc

With regard to signed confessions, read interviews, and e. similar activities which could be emploived for their propogenda velue, ell efforts must be made to resist.

Escare: Escare fred conduity may be etimpted at the discretion 5. of the individual.

6. Policy and procedures for the conduct of pilots who are forced down in friendly or neutral territories will be as prescribed in Annex B of the Operations Order.

TEIS IS A CEIFIFUED INTS CCFI.