

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~  
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~  
UNCLASSIFIED

18 December 1970

SUBJECT: Photo Comparison of Capt. Horace H. FLEMING,  
III, Case No. 12,423

Although it is possible that POW's head contour and temporal recession are similar to Captain FLEMING's the poor quality and angle of the POW photograph makes a meaningful comparison impossible.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~  
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~  
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~  
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~  
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Content UNCLASSIFIED

SANITIZED COPY

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

18 December 1970

SUBJECT: Photo Comparison of Capt. Horace H. FLEMING,  
III, Case No. 12,423

Although it is possible that POW's head contour and temporal recession are similar to Captain FLEMING's the poor quality and angle of the POW photograph makes a meaningful comparison impossible.

~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Content UNCLASSIFIED

per 018375

date 10 Aug. 77

SANITIZED COPY

~~SECRET~~  
~~SECRET~~

/TRANSMITTAL MEMORANDUM/

~~SECRET~~

DATE: 10/11/70

MEMORANDUM FOR: /Military Service/

SUBJECT : Results of Photo Comparison,  
Case No. 2423

REFERENCE : Request from NOK of Capt. Harold H. Jennings III

1. Transmitted herewith are results of photo comparison analysis between the Christmas 1969 film of American PWs in North Vietnam and photographs submitted with reference.

2. The evidence cited in the attached report does not constitute definitive proof of the status or identity of individuals portrayed in the questioned photographs.

3. |

4. All materials received from your office in connection with subject request are returned herewith.

FOR THE CHIEF:

Attachments:

- (1) Christmas 1969 comparison No. 545-6903
- (2) Materials submitted with request
  - (a) Overlay
  - (b) 2 precapture photos
  - (c) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

SOURCE DOCUMENTS  
FILE W/ CLASS FILE  
# 53

~~SECRET~~

DATE: 12/11/70

MEMORANDUM FOR: /Military Service/

SUBJECT : Results of Photo Comparison,  
Case No. 2.423

REFERENCE : Request from NOK of Capt. Horace H. Manning III

1. Transmitted herewith are results of photo comparison analysis between the Christmas 1969 film of American PWs in North Vietnam and photographs submitted with reference.

2. The evidence cited in the attached report does not constitute definitive proof of the status or identity of individuals portrayed in the questioned photographs.

3. |

4. All materials received from your office in connection with subject request are returned herewith.

FOR THE CHIEF:

Attachments:

- (1) Christmas 1969 comparison No. 543-6903
- (2) Materials submitted with request
  - (a) Overlay
  - (b) 2 precapture photos
  - (c) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

~~SECRET~~

SOURCE DOCUMENTS  
FILE W/ CLASS FILE  
# 53

Content UNCLASSIFIED  
per 058375  
date 10 Aug. 1977

SANITIZED COPY

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~  
Combined Form for Service and

Use

Date of Report: \_\_\_\_\_

PHOTO COMPARISON ANALYSIS RESULTS: Christmas 1969 No. 2545 through 6

Military Service

1. (U) Summary of request: (Date received: \_\_\_\_\_)
  - a. Please compare the attached \_\_\_\_\_ pre-capture photographs of \_\_\_\_\_ with the Christmas 1969 film obtained by Representative Zion, especially prints numbered DIA \_\_\_\_\_ USN \_\_\_\_\_ USAF \_\_\_\_\_.
  - b. See attached overlay for exact location of image to be compared.

TSD

2. (U) Summary of comparison performed:
  - a. The following frames were chosen for comparison with the photographs submitted: 373-272
  - b. 2 technicians working independently of each other analyzed the identifiable features listed below.

3. (CONFIDENTIAL) Results of analysis:
  - a. (U) Quality of pre-capture photographs submitted: Adequate/inadequate for analysis of recognizable features.
  - b. (U) Quality of frames in Christmas film: Adequate/inadequate for analysis of recognizable features.
  - c. (C) The following features were considered similar:
    - (1) \_\_\_\_\_
    - (2) \_\_\_\_\_
    - (3) \_\_\_\_\_
    - (4) \_\_\_\_\_

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~  
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

\_\_\_\_\_

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

- (5) \_\_\_\_\_
- (6) \_\_\_\_\_
- (7) \_\_\_\_\_
- (8) \_\_\_\_\_
- (9) \_\_\_\_\_

d. (C) The following features were considered dissimilar:

- (1) \_\_\_\_\_
- (2) \_\_\_\_\_
- (3) \_\_\_\_\_
- (4) \_\_\_\_\_
- (5) \_\_\_\_\_

e. (OUO) Conclusion:

- (1) In view of the similarity in general appearance and significant number of similar features, \_\_\_\_\_ could be the subject of the questioned photographs.
- (2) In view of the significant number of differences in distinguishable features, \_\_\_\_\_ the subject of the questioned photographs probably is not \_\_\_\_\_.
- (3) In view of the quality of photography and the small number of distinguishable features which could be compared, no conclusion can be reached.

f. (U) The same image has been compared with pre-capture photographs of \_\_\_\_\_ Air Force, \_\_\_\_\_ Navy, \_\_\_\_\_ Marine, \_\_\_\_\_ Army, and \_\_\_\_\_ civilian personnel.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

4. (OUO) WARNING: This photo comparison analysis was performed utilizing the best available techniques, however, the quality of the photographs in question precluded positive identification. There may be other overriding factors concerning the individual's case which could confirm or invalidate the photo comparison analysis.

Attachments:

- (a) Overlay or questioned photo
- (b) Precapture photo

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~