

I

Date of Report: 26 January 1971

PHOTO COMPARISON ANALYSIS RESULTS: Christmas 1969 NO. _____

1. (U) Summary of request: (Date received: _____)
 - a. Please compare the attached 1 pre-capture photographs of Sgt. William D. Johnson with the Christmas 1969 film obtained by Representative Zion, especially prints numbered DIA (20) USN _____ USAF 119.
 - b. See attached overlay for exact location of image to be compared.

 2. (U) Summary of comparison performed:
 - a. The following frames were chosen for comparison with the photographs submitted: Christmas Film frames
Navy 204; 8818, 9321-9322
 - b. 2 technicians working independently of each other analyzed the identifiable features listed below.

 3. [REDACTED] Results of analysis:
 - a. (U) Quality of pre-capture photographs submitted: Adequate/inadequate for analysis of recognizable features.
 - b. (U) Quality of frames in Christmas film: Adequate/inadequate for analysis of recognizable features.
 - c. [REDACTED] The following features were considered similar:
 - (1) Lower Lip Structure
 - (2) Tip of Nose
 - (3) Lower ear
 - (4) _____
- I


1. (U) Summary of request: (Date received: _____)

- a. Please compare the attached 1 pre-capture photographs of Sgt. William D. Johnson with the Christmas 1969 film obtained by Representative Zion, especially prints numbered DIA (20) USN 119 USAF _____.
- b. See attached overlay for exact location of image to be compared.

2. (U) Summary of comparison performed:

- a. The following frames were chosen for comparison with the photographs submitted: Christmas Film frames
Navy #04; 8318, 9821-9822
- b. 2 technicians working independently of each other analyzed the identifiable features listed below.

3.  Results of analysis:

- a. (U) Quality of pre-capture photographs submitted: Adequate/inadequate for analysis of recognizable features.
- b. (U) Quality of frames in Christmas film: Adequate/inadequate for analysis of recognizable features.
- c.  The following features were considered similar:
- (1) Lower Lip Structure
 - (2) Tip of Nose
 - (3) Lower ear
 - (4) _____

 I

- [REDACTED]
- (5) _____
(6) _____
(7) _____
(8) _____
(9) _____

d. [REDACTED] The following features were considered dissimilar:

- (1) Facial planes
(2) Wing flare of Nostrils
(3) Eyebrow - development - proportion to eyelid
(4) Orbicularis lines
(5) Absence of mole on right ear
(6) Basic shape of upper ear

e. [REDACTED] Conclusion:

- (1) In view of the similarity in general appearance and significant number of similar features, _____ could be the subject of the questioned photographs.
- (2) In view of the significant number of differences in distinguishable features, Sgt. Johnson probably is not the subject of the questioned photographs.
- (3) In view of the quality of photography and the small number of distinguishable features which could be compared, no conclusion can be reached.

f. (U) The same image has been compared with ~~XXXXX~~ photographs of 04, 5, 6 Air Force, 04, 05 Navy, _____ Marine, _____ Army, and _____ civilian personnel.

[REDACTED] I

4.

WARNING: This photo comparison analysis was performed utilizing the best available techniques, however, the quality of the photographs in question precluded positive identification. There may be other overriding factors concerning the individual's case which could confirm or invalidate the photo comparison analysis.

Attachments:

- (a) Overlay or questioned photo
- (b) Precapture photo

[REDACTED]

I

- (5) _____
- (6) _____
- (7) _____
- (8) _____
- (9) _____

d. (C) The following features were considered dissimilar:

- (1) FACIAL PLANES
- (2) WING FACE of Nostrils
- (3) EYEBROW - development - proportion to eye lid
- (4) ORBICULARIS LINES
- (5) ABSENCE of Mole on Rt. EAR
- (6) BASIC SHAPE of Upper EAR.

e. [REDACTED]


I

- (1) In view of the similarity in general appearance and significant number of similar features, _____ could be the subject of the questioned photographs.
- (2) In view of the significant number of differences in distinguishable features, Johnson probably is not the subject of the questioned photographs.
- (3) In view of the quality of photography and the small number of distinguishable features which could be compared, no conclusion can be reached.


f. (U) The same image has been compared with ^{POW} ~~pro~~ photographs of 009,003,006 Air Force, 09,05 Navy Marine, _____ Army, and _____ civilian personnel.

[REDACTED]

I



SGT. WILLIAM D.
JOHNSON



DIA 129, 119