

[REDACTED]

INTELLIGENCE BOARD MEETING
Room 1521, Barton Hall
21 July 1959

MEMBERS PRESENT

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

SUBJECT: PD 3-68, "Soviet Research and Development in Very-Low-Frequency (VLF) Radio Communications"

[REDACTED]

- I suggest we change the title to read: "A Review of Soviet use of VLF ~~and~~ Radio Communications."

[REDACTED]

- The title on Page 1 is more applicable.

- The title on Page 1 is o.k. Restate the Problem.

- Is the first sentence of the 1st Conclusion completely true?

[REDACTED]

- The paper has to support such a statement.

[REDACTED]

- Question the last sentence in the Summary.

- Put the reason why right up close. This could be cleared up quite easily.

[REDACTED]

- Page 2, paragraph 5, 1st sentence. This statement has not been well supported in the paper.

[REDACTED]

- Just drop the "guided missiles" at this point. Put a period after "thunderstorms."

Give the heads that these letters cover then there could be no confusion.

Preface - "3 and 30 kilocycles" is defined but Mr. Frederick doesn't stick to ~~it~~ this.

[REDACTED]

- I am not sure what you mean by Conclusion 3, 2nd sentence. Could we spell this out more.

Material at top of Page 3 really belongs in the Preface or Technical Foreword.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

- "13.6 to 1500 kilocycles per second." This is confusing. If you don't give frequencies you can use words a little more liberally.

[REDACTED]

- Would it help to make this a Codeword?

- No

[REDACTED]