CIA HISTORICAI PEVIEW PROGRAM RELEASE IN FULL 1998

SOVIET FOREIGN AID TO THE LESS DEVELOPED COUNTRIES: RETROSPECT AND PROSPECT

ORR Project No. 41.4399

9 February 1966

CONTENTS

ı.	Introduction	1
	The Politics of Economic Aid	3
ıı.	The Economic Aid Program	5
	A. Magnitude and Distribution of Soviet Economic Aid	5
	B. Drawings on Soviet Credits and Grants	9
	C. Sectoral Distribution	11
	D. Assistance for the State Sector	14
	E. Repayment of Soviet Credits	16
	F. Contribution to Economic Development	19
	G. Technical Assistance and Academic Training	21
	1. Soviet Technicians Abroad	22
	2. Technical Training	වර
	a. In the USSR	26
	b. In Less Developed Countries	27
	3. Academic Training	29
III.	The Military Aid Program	30
	A. Political Impact	31
	B. Economic Impact	3/3
	C. Military Technical Assistance	<i>3</i> 5
IV.	The Balance Sheet Successes and Failures	38
v.	Outlook for the Soviet Aid Program	45
	•	

SOVIET FOREIGN AID TO THE LESS DEVELOPED COUNTRIES:

I. Introduction

The energence of a large number of new nations in the postwar world brought with it a "revolution of rising expectations," the ramifications of which are playing an ever increasing role in the East-West conflict. While the key element of this "revolution" is economic in nature, political instability, nurtured by factional struggles for poser, frustrations over the lack of economic progress, and external political pressures, has become a prevailing feature in almost every area in which these countries are located. Real and inaginary grievances are vented against former colonial powers and complete severance of all, aspecially economic, ties often is advocated by redical nationalist elements as the only means for achieving true independence from "neocolonialism." Traditional tribal conflicts, once localized in their impact, are converted into border disputes between newly independent neighbors. Perhaps most disruptive of all factors is the drive of various leaders who have seized the leadership of regional political movements and who employ extremist tactics in their efforts to achieve the objectives of these movements.

In many countries the demand for rapid achievement of the fruits of economic development as a corollary of the struggle for independence has often resulted in overembitious and poorly designed economic development plans which frequently place the greatest emphasis on industrialization

and infrastructural development. Such programs, however, require substantial amounts of capital and technical know-how, resources largely absent in these countries. The consequence usually is a willingness to accept economic assistance from any foreign source to support the program. Such aid also is sought to ease the economic pressures which result from the influsion of large-scale economic activity into an institutional structure not capable of absorbing such activity.

After years of denouncing Western foreign aid as an ill-disguised instrument of importalism, the USER, in the mid-Fifties, injected itself into this milieu of economic and political instability with an aid program of its own. During the past dozen years economic and military aid to the less developed countries of the non-Communist world has become a key instrument in Soviet efforts to project its presence into all areas of the developing world. From an inauspicious beginning in mid-1954 the program has grown dramatically, has widened in scope and content, and has attained a relatively high degree of sophistication. In 1954 about \$5 million in foreign aid was extended by the Soviet Union. By the end of 1955 the cumulative total of Soviet economic essistance had grown to about \$5 billion and its military aid program to about \$4 billion. During these years more than 13,000 academic students and nearly 25,000 military and technical traineds have trained in the USSR. In addition, an estimated 65,000 Soviet economic and military technicisms have been employed in aid activities in recipient countries.

and infrastructural development. Such programs, however, require substantial amounts of capital and technical know-how, resources largely absent in these countries. The consequence usually is a willingness to accept economic assistance from any foreign source to support the program. Such aid also is sought to ease the economic pressures which result from the influsion of large-scale economic activity into an institutional structure not capable of absorbing such activity.

After years of denouncing Western foreign aid as an ill-disguised instrument of importalism, the USER, in the mid-Fifties, injected itself into this milieu of economic and political instability with an aid pro-Gram of its own. During the past dozen years economic and military aid to the less developed countries of the non-Communist world has become a key instrument in Soviet efforts to project its presence into all areas of the developing world. From an inauspicious beginning in mid-1954 the program has grown dramatically, has widened in scope and content, and has attained a relatively high degree of sophistication. In 1954 about \$5 million in foreign aid was extended by the Soviet Union. By the end of 1955 the cumulative total of Soviet economic essistance had grown to about \$5 billion and its military aid program to about \$4 billion. During these years more than 13,000 academic students and nearly 25,000 military and technical trainess have trained in the USSR. In addition, an estimated 65,000 Soviet economic and military technicisms have been employed in aid activities in recipient countries.

The Politics of Economic Aid

The "national Liberation" of colonial peoples and their ultimate analgumntion with world Communism have always been accorded high priority in Communist strategic thinking. Current Soviet policy gives tactical priority to gaining entry to these countries, to establishing a position which could be used to influence their policies, and to reduce or eliminate Western influence. The nationalist governments of these countries have licen accepted and acknowledged and the Communist countries have establighed diplometic and economic relations with most of them. Simultancousty, local Communist parties subservient to Moscow's directives have been restrained from overt revolutionary activity that would offend nationalist governments. This course has been pursued to such an extent that in many countries the interests of indigenous Communist groups have been largely ignored. India and the UAR, countries in which Communist parties have either been banned or sharply attacked by the ruling political party, have received more economic assistance then any other beneficiary of Goviet aid.

The Soviet Union, however, remains willing to overlook such attacks in the hope that "revolutionaries" like Masser or the deposed Ben Hella, with Soviet assistance, will do more damage to Western influence in the Mear Bast and Africa in the name of anticolomislism and Arab nationalism than the USSR could ever hope to achieve alone. Similarly, Indian leaders will continue to be the beneficiaries of Soviet largesse because of India's influence among the Afro-Asian nations and its strategic position in

Asia, Moreover, the leaders of the Soviet Union apparently believe that well-conceived and effectively implemented Soviet aid programs will serve to solster economically the newly achieved political independence of new states. Such aid also is expected to sever or disrupt the economic ties of less developed countries with the West and to encourage existing socialist predispositions toward more Soviet-oriented forms of economic and social organization.

Soviet willingness to establish official relations with the developing countries, however, could not of itself have proven successful unless such overtures were reciprocated. The leaders of many of the less developed countries, pushed by political aspirations or desires for rapid economic development, were receptive to the post-Stalin changes in Soviet policy and generally were prepared to accept assistance from any quarter. In addition to the general demand for developmental capital, receptivity to Soviet blandishments was enhanced by the unwillingness of many less developed countries to associate their new-found independence with the foreign policy objectives of the West. The availability of Soviet aid enabled these countries to follow what they considered to be neutralist policies in pursuit of their own objectives. Thus the political character of Soviet aid proved to be a "two-headed" phenomenon with political considerations also playing an important -- sometimes primary -- role in . the decisions of many countries to accept Soviet aid. The USSR needed only to present itself as an additional source of political and economic support to find a number of willing recipients. Afghanistan, Egypt,

India, and Indonesia, either seeking support for their sconomic development programs or for particular political goals, readily accepted Soviet assistance.

II. The Economic Aid Program

A. Magnitude and Distribution of Soviet Economic Aid

Since 1954 the Soviet Union has extended more than \$5 billion in economic credits and grants to non-Communist less developed countries.

Approximately 42 percent of the total of such economic assistance has been extended to countries in Asia and about 41 percent to Middle Eastern countries (see Table 1). Afghanistan, India, Indonesia, Iran, and the United Arab Republic (UAR) received nearly two-thirds of total Soviet extensions; India and the UAR alone account for 40 percent.

Soviet Economic Aid Extended to Less Developed Countries, by Area 1955-1965

		Plantage and American States and		M111	ion US 3
	Total	<u> Africa</u>	Asta	Latin America	Middle East
TOTAL	5.030	722	2,125	115	2,061
1955 1956 1957 1950 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1963	126 243 210 415 255 594 547 53 236 653	0 0 0 137 69 193 24 100 206	126 223 147 37 577 255 354 27 53 862 64	0 0 100 0 0 0 0 0	0 20 163 278 141 270 0 2 83 530 574

While the Soviet economic aid program has grown to sizable magnitude over the years, this growth has not been constant and the annual level of new commitments has experienced periodic fluctuations. The program itself can be divided roughly into four important phases. The first period, 1955-1957, was largely one of initial penetration, during which time the Soviet leadership probed for opportunities, traveled to much of Asia, and appeared to offer aid indiscriminately. It was a period in which the USER sought to manipulate the "neutralist opinit" which had energed from the Bandung Conference of 1955. Both economic and military aid were used as a means of entree into Asia and the Middle Fast.

Appreciate annual economic aid extensions we a not very large, with Asia accounting for nearly three-fourths of total Soviet extensions.

During the years of the second stage, 1958-61, the magnitude and distribution of the Soviet aid program underwent its most rapid expansion. Nearly half of the total aid extended by the USER occurred during this period. Soviet extensions in 1959 totaling \$555 million represented a peak year in new Soviet aid commitments, a level not surpassed until 1964. About half of total extensions during these years were to Asian countries and nearly 30 percent to countries in the Middle East. Although the USER still continued to probe for opportunities to establish its presence in newly emergent countries, the character of the program changed. The Soviet Union became willing to counit resources for the development programs of aid recipionts and allocated more than \$1.8 billion for the development plans of Afghanistan, India, Indonesia, Iraq, and the UAR. India alone received pledges of nearly \$550 million for its Third Five Year Plan (1961-66). Soviet credits totaling \$500 million

were provided for the UAR's First Five Year Flan (1960-65)* and the construction of the Asuma High Dam.

These years also witnessed the rapid development of Africa's contact with the Communist countries. About 55 percent of all Soviet aid to African countries was extended during this period, more than one-quarter in 1951 alone. The initial Soviet aid agreements with Ethiopia, Ghans, Guinea, Mali, Sudan, the Somali Republic, and Tunisia were signed in these years.

experiencing a sharp docline which was not arrested until late in 1963.

New aid consistents declined sharply in 1962 and 1963. Soviet credit extensions dropped precipitously from \$507 million in 1961 to \$53 million in 1962, the lowest level since 1954. For the most part, the decline during these two years reflected the high level of carlier aid consistents. The three major recipients -- Afghanistan, India, and the UAR -- had only recently received sizable aid credits and were in the early stayes of their respective five-year plans. In addition, a spate of agreements with almost all receptive African countries had been concluded in 1961. In view of these new aid consistents, it is not surprising that the appreciate level of new extensions declined charply.

^{*} The USER extended a \$175 million imbustrial credit to Egypt in January 1958 for use in a five year industrialization program. This plan was subsequently incorporated into the UMR's First Five Year Flan which began in July 1950. Little of the Soviet credit was utilized prior to tent time.

extensions began to rise charply, reaching their highest annual total of nearly (1 billion in 1964. This resurgence in new extensions was largely a result of the Soviet response to apportunities for further participation in the development programs of India and the UAR and to the increasing Chinese Communist challenge to Soviet influence among the Afro-Aslan countries. About one-fifth of total Soviet credits in 1964 was extended to five African countries. Approximately two-thirds of new Soviet economic aid committed in 1964 was to the UAR and India for use during their next five-year plans to begin in 1965 and 1966, respectively. The magnitude of new aid extensions to these countries indicates the important role they continue to play in Soviet foreign policy.

Although new Soviet aid commitments in 1965 continued at a relatively high level, a post-Khruschevian approach to aid may have emerged. It had been Brushchev's style to extend large lines of crolit while deriving as much propagands benefit as possible from the extension. The new regime has taken a more cautious approach and appears unwilling to announce specific credits until cost surveys are completed. The aid finally constitted appears to be project oriented in contrast to the unbrabla-type lines of credit frequently extended in the peat. India, Iran, Pakistan, and Turkey are examples of countries in which Soviet technicians have undertaken extensive surveys during much of 1965. Prospective aid to these countries also reflects Soviet preference for such commitments to countries where the governments are relatively stable and

offer prospects for absorbing Soviet aid at a satisfactory rate.

During 1965 the USSR did not extend a single credit to an African country. Hearly 85 percent of Soviet aid extensions in 1965 went to Iran, Pakistan, and Turkey, all members of the Central Treaty Organisation.

B. Drawings on Soviet Credito and Grants

sharp fluctuations, drawings on these credits have risen almost consistently, reaching an estimated total of approximately \$2 billion by the end of 1955.* About \$5 percent of all Soviet credits extended prior to 1965 have been drawn. Three countries -- Afghanistan, India and the UAR -- account for three-fourths of expenditures under flowiet assistance. The fact that cutlays for all other recipients account for only 25 percent of total drawings (although these countries account for \$5 percent of total drawings (although these countries account for \$5 percent of total Soviet extensions prior to 1965) indicates the relatively poor progress of the Soviet and progress in these countries. A major cause for this lag in dradowns lies in the relatively primitive and chaotic political and economic conditions existent in most of these countries. Many recipients have not even been able to devise any workable development progress. Nearly all of these countries lack sufficient numbers of

^{*} Drawings on Soviet economic aid are based largely on trade data presented in the Soviet Foreign Trade Handbook. Figures presented under Category 16 (Complete Plants and Installations), are a minimum estimate since it is believed that practically all such deliveries move under economic aid. A substantial amount of aid moves under other categories but it is not possible to precisely identify these exports.

** Soviet extensions during 1965 are not included in the discussion on drawings as no large outlays on project-type undertakings could be expected in so short a period of time.

professional, runnyerial, and technical personnel required to carry out aid projects. Most countries are unable to provide adequate arounts of local currency to finance their shares of Soviet-aided projects. Since credits from the Soviet Union have covered only the goods and services not available locally, the rate of drawdowns on these credits is largely determined by the ability of recipient countries to meet the companion domestic costs. The Soviet Union generally has not shown my willingness to undertake any sizable commodity aid program to overcome this difficulty. Only about 5 percent of total Soviet aid has been for this purpose.

The relative success achieved by the Soviet Union in pushing forward its aid programs in India and the UAR, on the other hand, reflects the greater absorptive capacity of these two countries. They have been able to supply such of the technical skills required to implement aid projects. In Argumistan, the relatively rapid rate of project construction can only be explained by a Soviet willingness to send in large numbers of professional, administrative, and technical personnel in consumption with a commodity aid program to raise local currency for Soviet projects.

The acceleration of Soviet aid deliveries in recent years has influence both the aggregate level of Soviet exports to the leas developed countries and the commodity pattern of those exports. As the rate of aid expenditures has increased, the level of Soviet exports has grown (see Table 2). Detween 1955 and the end of 1964 Soviet deliveries of machinery and equipment (the category in which all of the aid goods are

included) race from 16 percent of total exports to the less developed exemplates in 1956 to a peak of 59 percent in 1966. Exports of exemplate pleate and installations (almost all aid goods) rose from 4 percent to 28 percent during the same period.

Table 2
Doviet Exports to the Less Developed Countries
1955-1964

المراجع في المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع في المراجع في المراجع في المراجع في المراجع في المراجع في	n tradestal despuis de grandigues de la company de la c		والمنافر بالأود و مانول المانو و المانو	Mil	lion VI A	
	Total Ligorta		ch: Machinery Fautment Of Wildi: Category 16	Percent of Tot Rechinery and Equipment	Category	
1955 1957 1950 1959 1950 1961 1962 1963	1.80 203 309 343 346 507 563 762 773	24 37 160 113 126 236 205 360 460	8 117 112 69 69 139 133 221 295	16 31 41 33 36 46 50 47 59	17 29 20 20 27 22 29 33	
1956-1954	4,156	1,851	1,144	$i \mathcal{G}_k$	8 3	

C. Sectoral Distribution

purposes and for important sectors of the economics of different lens developed countries. The bulk of this aid has been channeled into the construction of basic industrial facilities and overhead investments. By the end of 1965, about 55 percent of total Soviet extensions had been allocated for industrial development, 20 percent for agricultural and major multipurpose projects, and nearly 15 percent for transporation and communications facilities. This pattern of Soviet obligations does not appear to have varied much over time. Detween 1959 and 1964 the industrial

scattor, for example, accounted for 50-55 percent of total Soviet and examination. Those projects considered to have little economic priority, e.g., a sports stadium in Indonesia or a hotel in Guinea, account for only a small share of Soviet aid obligations. India is perhaps the only country where it can be said that Soviet aid truly concentrates on industrial development. India alone accounts for about 10 percent of Soviet costaltments for industrial development and 15 percent of the total albeated to heavy industry.

From the Coviet vioupoint, the development of imbatry is on important capact of its aid program. Through this device it needs to precent or replace private capital in industry by performing the sence function. Communist doctrine holds that a less developed country is not thinly independent unless it develops and owns its industrial sector. But while espicializing the development of industry, Soviet spokesian have been careful to consider the resources of aid recipients and their perticular stages of development. Speaking before the delegates to the Afro-Asian Conference in Cairo in December 1957, the chief Soviet delegate stated "... the development of various branches of the namufacturing industry cannot be effected otherwise than by expending agriculture and the extracting industry... it should be noted that agriculture and the extracting industry may become the basis for developing many other branches of the economy ... "

The criteria employed by the Soviet Union for selecting the sectors for concentration in any particular country are not easily

determined. Generally, the country scaling aid will come forward with a list of projects included in its development plan, from which the Soviet Union will select the projects for which it will provide assistance. This pattern of selection has not changed over time. The USER experently does not recommend the projects to be included in the country's development program. In a few instances, however, Soviet advisers assisted in the drawing up of development plans and may have influenced the selection of projects included.

There does appear to be a rough correspondence between the stage of development of a country receiving aid from the USER and the nature of that application. The Soviet Union does not ordinarily agree to undertake projects which it believes to be such beyond the technical competence of a less developed country. In India, where the human and physical substructure is sufficiently advanced to begin widening the industrial base, Soviet aid is concentrated on the expansion of heavy industrial and electric power especitly.

Argumisten, at the opposite and of the development scale from India, is receiving aid of a different nature, with the greatest emphasis on infrastructural development. About half of Soviet aid to Afghanistan has been allocated for the construction of transportation facilities, much of it in areas which have been inaccessible to modern vehicles.

Rearly 20 percent of total Soviet aid to Afghanistan has been obligated for the development of mineral resources and about 15 percent for the construction of militipurpose projects. Minufacturing units being aided

ty the Soviet Union are shall and require simple chills for their operation. Approximately \$15 million have been allocated for constructing and equipping centers for training shalled and send-shalled technicisms. Soviet aid to African countries also is characterized by a great number and variety of shall scale projects. Such aid generally is in accordant to the requirements of the recipient and is concentrated in multipurpose, transportation, equicultural, mineral, and light industrial projects.

D. Assistance for the State Sector

predically all Soviet economic assistance to the less developed countries is being channeled into the public sectors of these countries. Such aid has been made available for investment in undertakings in which the private sector traditionally had the dominant role. In countries where the large share of investment in economic development is accounted for by the public sector, Soviet assistance, by virtue of its concentration in this sector, exerts a strong influence on the character and direction of economic development, particularly in the development of industry.

Perhaps more important, the Communists view such aid as enabling these countries to achieve economic independence from Vectorn capitalism, maintaining that "Soviet credits above all proact a the development of the State sector of the national economy which represents the strongest basis for the genuine independence of less developed countries." Furthermore, by supporting the development of the state sector — as well as

encouraging the nationalization of foreign and demostic private investment — the Communists seek to destroy the economic ties these countries
have with the industrial West and hasten the "inevitable crisis of
capitalism" as markets and sources of resemble are cut off. The
Soviet Union has attessed that Communist economic relations with the
less developed countries "noticeably constricts the sphere of the world
capitalist market . . . and expands the sphere of activities of the
world socialist market."

countries in schieving a high rate of economic growth. Soviet leaders in fact do not relieve that these countries can attain a satisfactory rate of growth without adopting the Communist road to development. But while waiting for the "inevitable" failures the USER is encouraging the development of institutional forms which can readily assist in the "transition to socialism." Industrialization and expansion of the state sector are viewed as a step toward the gradual adoption of the Communist model.

Moreover, emphasis on industrialization appeals strongly to the newly emerging elite and thus provides an opportunity for propagandizing the Soviet economic rodel. Industrialization also is expected to transform the institutional structure of these countries and destroy the traditional pattern of their economic ties with the industrial feat.

In the UAR and India, Soviet aid has enabled the public sectors, especially in industry, to expand to an extent unlikely in the absence of Communist essistance. Soviet economic aid to the UAR not only has

contributed substantially to the public sector's expansion but also has made it easier for Nasser to pursue specific domestic policies designed to eliminate Western influence. Nationalization of foreign and domestic private investments in Egypt and agrarian reform did much to sever the close ties setween foreign ampital and the small groups of wealthy land-owners, industrialists, and financiars who controlled the Egyptian economy. In these moves the USSR cooperated willingly. Soviet capital, or example, was substituted for Vestern private investment, further severing the ties of domestic business elements with Vestern firms.

(1955-51) accounted for about 20 percent of public investment in the industrial sector and represents almost one-fourth of total public investment in the ment in industry planned for the Third Flan. A sizable part of Communist aid to India has been allocated for such traditional preserves of private investment as petroleum and pharmaccuticals. The USER, with Kumanian perticipation, has dominated petroleum development in the public sector and has constructed the only state-owned petroleum refinerics in India. Soviet assistance is being employed to establish a pharmaccutical industry in India, one which will be competitive with private, perticularly foreign-owned, interests.

E. Repayment of Soviet Credits

At the end of 1965 an estimated \$400 million in principal and

1 therest was scheduled to have been repaid on the \$4.9 billion in obligations incurred by the less developed countries under Soviet economic

assistance programs. Most of these repayments have taken place during the past four years and are expected to become larger during the next few years. Such payments to the USER rose from an estimated \$30 million in 1961 to \$125 million in 1964 and are expected to continue rising for the rest of the decade.

even if Soviet economic assistance to less developed countries rises in the next few years, the barden on the USER of such outlays will be partially offset by this growing level of repayments. In recent years the ratio of ensual repayments to annual drawdowns on Soviet credits has shown an upword trend, rising from 15 percent in 1960 to 40 percent in 1965. This trend is likely to continue during the next few years as debt servicing payments accelerate at a faster rate than Soviet economic aid expenditures.

As repayments of Soviet economic aid credits have fallen due, some aid recipients have been hard put to meet their obligations. For some developing countries, sizable repayments in the form of commodities often precipt a large share of their chief sources of foreign exchange.

Such diversions of primary commodity exports -- as well as payments required in foreign exchange -- are particularly burdensons where recipient countries must simultaneously make payments for military debt obligations and for economic ansistance from other donors.

In the long run the problem of repayment is likely to be compounded by two factors tending to retard the rapid development of export capabilities of cid recipients. The villingness of the Soviet Union to accept traditional corredities as payment for its aid itself inhibits the expension of new export capabilities. Many of the goods which will be produced by newly established industries are not likely to find outlets in Western numbers. If the USSR does not accept such goods in payment, default on debts owed to them will become widespread since the production of traditional commodities is not likely to expend rapidly enough to image pace with the growth in debt servicing requirements.

More important, perhaps, is the fact that the development plans of most less developed countries undertaking industrialization programs complexine import substitution rather than export expansion. As such facilities are expanded, imports are expected to decline while exports continue to rise. During the planning for its First Five Year Plan, for excepte, the WAR expected its exports to rise from about \$485 rdl-110m to \$655 million in 1965 while imports were expected to decline from \$655 million to \$615 million over the same period. In 1964 WAR exports totaled about \$500 million while imports rocketed to \$780 million.

Must generally is overlooked is that the development of import substitution facilities in itself tends to cause a rise in import requirements relative to export capabilities and subsequently to a chronic behaves of payments problem. Not only does the establishment of new industries require investment with a high import content, but any industrial activity, particularly in less developed countries, requires the use of non-indigenous materials, e.g., fuel, replacement parts, etc.

Horeover, the new activity also generates an increase in increase, a portion of which is spent on imported goods and services. These presumes have become perticularly serious in such countries as India and the UAR. As the Soviet Union becomes more involved in the development programs of many recipient countries and assumed the role of a major creditor, the question of what form repayment shall take will become increasingly serious. One common solution will have to be the stretching out of repayment over much longer periods than the current 12 years.

F. Contribution to Economic Development

ment of recipient countries still is difficult to assess because the implementation of the program did not really gain momentum until a few years ago. In most recipient countries, Soviet expenditures have not been particularly large, even where developmental credits have been available for as long as 10 years. In many of these countries (ranging from Guines to Caylon to Indonesia) no foreign denors have been successful in generating forces sufficient to initiate the growth process.

In only three countries -- Afgheniatan, India, and the UVR -do Soviet aid expenditures appear to have contributed to a sizable
increase in productive empacity. The extent of this contribution, here
ever, is difficult to determine, primarily because of the lack of
reliable investment and production statistics. Soviet investment through
its aid program is most significant in the case of Afghanisten. During
the latter's First Five Year Plan (1956-61), about one-third of total

Cross investment in Afgivenistan was represented by Soviet expenditures.

Our continuous are expected to cover half of total Afgiven investment during the Second Plan (1962-67). Soviet outleys represented more than to percent of a total of \$490 million invested in Afghanistan during the period 1966-1963.

The argunt of Soviet aid actually distursed in the UAR by the card of 1965 is estimated to have been more than \$300 stillion. Muring the UAR's First Five Year Plan drawings on Soviet economic credits were equal to about 10 percent of gress investment and accounted for about one-fourth of aggregate UAR investment in industry, electric power, and the Assen Righ Dam. UAR officials claim that during this period, national income generated by the industrial sector increased about 55 percent and the sector's share of national income rose from 20 percent to

Although Soviet aid has represented only atout 10 percent of the foreign aid allocated for industrial development in Endia, it has accounted for nearly 40 percent of the assistance provided to the public sector. Soviet expenditures during India's Socond Five Year Plan totaled about \$150 million, almost entirely for the construction of the Endlai steel mill. These outlays represented about 10 percent of total external assistance used, 15 percent of aid expenditures in the industrial sector, and 5 percent of total industrial investment during the Plan.

during the Third Plan is extensive. Such assistance is particularly important for the construction of facilities to produce heavy electrical and suchine building equipment, development of the petroleum industry, and expansion of steel and eval production and thermal electric power expansion of steel and eval production and thermal electric power expansion of a steel and expansion of steel and coal production and thermal electric power expansion of the exclude building plant the Soviet Union to constructing at Reacht with an annual expansity of 60,000 tens is expected to provide the build of the equipment required for the expansion of India's steel industry. By March 1965 the Soviet contribution to the total increase in the capacity created during build's three plans will be about 25 percent in the steel industry; machine tools, 20 percent; petroleum refining, 30 percent; electric power, 15 percent; and heavy machine building and coal mining machinery, 100 percent.

G. Technical Assistance and Academic Training

The desire of the less developed countries for rapid reconcide development has resulted in progress that require substantial influsions of professional and technical skills. The range of skills required for such undertakings include not only skilled workers to operate industrial machinery and equipment but also individuals who possess the ability to determine the activities that shall be pursued, the techniques to be exployed, and the most efficient use of available resources. In view of the panetty of these skills in less developed countries, an important

^{*} The contribution will jump to about 65 percent by the end of 1966.

part of all entered economic assistance to a parallel program of technical assistance to provide a minimum arount of these requisite skills.

Some of technical skills can be acquired quickly through short-range treatming programs. Others require many years of training and experience and must be imported until professional and technical personnel in the mid-receiving country can be trained abroad or at home to satisfy this need.

The people who guide the Soviet foreign ald programs fully realize that technical assistance and academic training must be important components of their aid activities in less developed countries. Between 1955 and the end of 1965, nearly 49,000 Soviet economic technicisms have been employed in less developed countries. During the same period more than 20,000 students and technical trainees have undertaken training at facilities in the Soviet Union.

1. Soviet Technicians Abroad

As the pace of the Soviet economic aid program has quickened, the numbers of technicians sent to the less developed countries have grown rapidly. In 1956 there were about 300 Soviet technicians engaged in economic aid programs; in 1960, there were nearly 4,000; and during 1965, more than 9,500. Nearly 80 percent of the total number of Soviet technicians who have been employed in recipient countries have been sent since 1960.

Approximately 45 percent of all Soviet economic technicisms have been employed in Anien countries; and more than one-third in the

Middle East. In recent years, the number of Soviet technical personnel ongoing in economic aid activities in Africa has rison sharply, reflecting the general increase in Soviet aid activities in that continent.

dispensed within the framework of the rajor lines of credit extended for economic development projects and, consequently, rescaled the technical services rade available by Western private enterprise. Intial project surveys and studies, supervision of construction projects, on-the-job training and, in some cases, management of the completed installation generally comprise the assistance provided by Soviet technical personnel. The total foreign exchange outlays for the services of these technicisms between 1955 and the end of 1955 is estimated at about \$300 million, about 15 persont of total drawings on Soviet economic aid credits.

The Foreign exchange costs of the services of Soviet technicism usually include their salaries; round-trip plane fare, often first class, between the USER and the host country; leave accumulated at a rate of about three days per month; round-trip fare of the technicism to spend his leave in the USER; and life insurance presdume. If the period of employment is more than a year, the technicism may be accompanied by his family. In that event, the recipient country must pay their round-trip fares and a family transfer allowance. In addition to the foreign exchange costs, the host country also is responsible for much of the maintenance costs of the technicism. These local currency costs include free medical care and hospitalization, office and

Leboratory accommodations, transportation for official business in the host country, and furnished quarters for the technician and his family.

initial survey stages but decline as the projects move into the construction phases. This generally has been the pattern with expenditures on sowlet projects. With the acceleration of drawings after 1960, total mid outlays per technician are estimated to have risen from about \$25,000 to \$45,000 in 1965, indicating a more rapid rise in expenditures on capital goods relative to technical services.

Although in the aggregate the ratio of technical services conts to capital goods outlays has followed the anticipated downward trend, for some countries the level of such expenditures has continued at a high level. This is particularly true of those countries in which the number of indigenous personnel with the requisite professional and technical skills is the smallest. In many countries outlays for technical skills is the smallest. In many countries outlays for technical excites have accounted for 25 to 30 percent of total drawings on Soviet credits to these countries. The inability of such aid recipients to provide sufficient managerial and technical personnel for Soviet and projects has necessitated employing large numbers of costly Communist technicians. This problem is exacerbated by the narrowness of specialization of Soviet technicians (an outgrowth of the highly opecialized Communist educational dystem) which necessitates the employment of larger numbers of technicians for each project.

The administrative inexperience of officials in recipient countries has posed additional obstacles to the rapid construction of projects and has tended to increase the employment period of Soviet technicians. The reductance of the Soviet Union to assume complete administrative responsibility for an aid project has resulted in frequent -- and costly -- work stoppages and delays. Soviet aid organizations are, as a rule, responsible only for coordinating the work of all technicians employed on a project, directing basic construction activities, supervising the project, directing basic construction activities, supervising the project into operation according to established schedules. The authorities of the recipient countries and their agencies, on the other hand, are responsible for all work involving the use of domestic goods and services. They are responsible for the presentation of basic data for the preparation of a given project, for site preparation, the hiring of labor, the purchase of local materials, and the direct organization of construction work.

In recent years there has been an increase in the number of Soviet technical personnel not connected with specific economic aid projects. These technicians are engaged in managerial, educational, medical, economic planning, agricultural, and other activities. Although Soviet personnel have undertaken such nonproject activities in recipient countries since 1955, their numbers were quite small until 1961. In recent years, however, Soviet technicians engaged in nonproject activities have grown rapidly. Assistance of this type apparently is not provided on credit but is handled as a current account transaction.

tries with the greatest need for technical personnel, particularly in Africa. To a large extent, this trend reflects the Soviet concern over the large backlog of undrawn credits in these countries and the recognition that a greater general training effort is required merely to provide sufficient skilled workers to participate in Soviet aid projects.

This form of assistance also emphies the Soviet Union to rapidly expand its presence in these countries, particularly in areas of a country in which there are no Soviet construction projects.

2. Technical Training

a. In the USSR

prome is to transmit to the local population those technical skills needed to insure that its aid projects are successfully completed. One important form of assistance consists of technical training programs in the UNSM for persons who will be employed as supervisors, managers, foremen, and skilled technicians on Soviet-aided projects being constructed in the recipient country. This type of training generally consists of 6 to 12 month programs, except for highly specialized training which may run for an much as 3 years.

The number of technical trainers sent to the USER has risen with the expansion of the Soviet aid program. Beginning with approximately 125 trainers from India and the UAR in 1956, the USER by the end of 1965 had provided some technical training for nearly 7,000

trainees from less developed countries; about 75 percent of the total have received their training since 1960. Most of these trainees were trained for employment on Soviet industrial projects. About 35 percent of all trainees have been from countries in Asia and the Middle East.

b. In Less Developed Countries

Among other technical training techniques employed by
the Soviet Union is the construction of technical institutes and vocational
training centers in the less developed countries. These institutes and
centers are staffed largely with Soviet instructors and use Soviet training equipment. The curriculum of the institutes generally includes
courses in engineering, agriculture, mining, and industrial production
and is designed to produce highly skilled professional and technical personnel. The capacity of these institutes ranges from 250 in Cambodia
to 1,100 in Burna.

The establishment of vocational training centers is part of most of the major aid programs undertaken by the Soviet Union. These centers offer training in most industrial and agricultural skills required below the supervisory levels. For example, four centers under construction in Ghans will accormodate 5-6,000 trainees annually and will include training in the repair of agricultural machinery and mining equipment.

In the UNR 20 training centers which can train about 4,000 workers during one training session have been constructed under the \$175 million credit extended by the USSR in 1958.

skills to large numbers of workers is through on-the-job training programs. In addition to group training, the usual practice is to have a worker assigned to each Soviet technician employed on a construction project. By the time the project is completed the trained presumably is able to perform the same function satisfactorily. The USSR, for example, provided such training to about 5,000 Indian engineers, technicians, and skilled workers during the construction of the Entlai steel mill.

Indian officials claim that as a result of this type of training Indian technical personnel subsequently were able to participate in all stages of construction of the second blast furnace and to take over completely the work on the third blast furnace.

where such on-the-job training techniques are feasible the impact tends to be favorable for the USER. Indian officials, for example, have stated that this aid technique has the advantage of leaving the Indians with the feeling of having participated in the construction of a project. One official claims that, in contrast to the steel plants built by Western firms, at the Soviet-built Ehilai steel mill " . . . the morale of the Indian participants was extraordinarily high. They were enormously proud of the relatively good record that the work at Ehilai had made . . they had gained so much in-service training end experience during the project's planning and construction phases that they were thoroughly confident of their ability to move to an entirely Indian operation of the plant in a very short order." Furthermore, "Aid given

for specific industrial or other projects should, as far as possible, not take the 'turn-key' form as this . . . deprives the recipient country of the experience acquired in actual construction and initial operation . . . " Such situations, however, are not universal and are more likely to occur in such countries as India and the UAR, where the labor force already has a sizable component of skilled technicians. In most countries receiving Soviet aid the participant approach is apt to founder on the low level of technical competence of the domestic labor force.

3. Academic Training

An important program for establishing and maintaining contact with the emerging elite in many less developed countries is the provision of scholarships for academic study in the Soviet Union. Since 1955 an estimated 13,500 students from Asia, Africa, and Latin America have undertaken such programs in Soviet academic institutions. About half of all students have come from African countries, largely since 1951. At least one special university -- the Peoples' Friendship University (Fatrice Lumanda) in Moscow has been established as an academic center solely for foreign students.

The costs of this training generally are borne by the UNSR in the form of scholarship aid. Among the costs generally covered by these scholarships are transportation to and from the student's horseland, tuition, medical care, clothing, and housing. In addition, each student is provided with a personal allowance, which at Paoples' Friendship University is about 90 rubles of \$100 monthly.

Since the peak year of 1962, when more than 3,400 students initiated academic programs, the number of new enrolless has declined each year with an estimated 1,300 students entering the Soviet Union in 1965. To some extent this decline ray be attributed to a more stringent selection process, not only as a means of raising the academic standards of the program but also to counter the growing volume of reported dissertisfaction by Soviet nationals with the presence of these students.

Another factor may be the increasing student dissertisfaction with the conditions under which academic programs must be pursued, e.g., inadequate facilities and financial assistance, racial discrimination, and point where continued large increments can no longer be accommended with existing school and housing facilities.

III. Soviet Economic Aid to Cuba

Cuba is the only less developed country to have "joined" the socialist comp since the inception of the Soviet aid program. But the Cuban decision did not represent a Soviet policy success; Cuba fell into the Soviet Union's lap. Soviet aid, however, has prevented Cuba from aliding into an economic collapse.

Since early 1950 the Soviet Union has extended nearly \$1.1 billion in economic aid to Cuba. About 70 percent of Soviet aid has consisted of balance of payments assistance to cover the annual deficits in the bilinteral clearing account of the two countries (see Table 3). Since 1961 such

credits have totaled an estimated \$735 million.* Quan's economic aid debt to the USER, exclusive of any military aid obligations, now totals about \$900 million.

Table 3
Cuben Trade with the USSR
1961-1965

		~~		Million US \$		
	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	
Cuban exports	300	220	165	275	310	
Cuban imports	290	410	160	410	6440	
Trado Balance	+ 10	-190	-295	-135	-130	
Clearing balance <u>a</u> /	- 10	-210	-315	-155	-150	

a. Trade balance plus hard currency payments for sugar totaling about \$20 million annually.

In addition to balance of payments ussistance, the Soviet Union also has extended about \$345 million in economic development credits to Cube.

\$150
Approximately \$200 million has been drawn on these credits, largely for industrial development. A minimum of 15,000 Soviet technicians are estimated to have been employed in Cuba on economic aid activities. About 2,500 such technical personnel were present at the end of 1965, largely engaged in agricultural, industrial, and seological exploration activities. In addition, approximately 4,500 Cuben students currently are enrolled in Soviet academic institutions.

IV. The Militery Aid Program

Perhaps the most dynamic aspect of the Soviet aid effort in the less developed countries has been its military assistance program. The

^{*} The total deficit arounted to about \$50 million. Cuben exports, however, included about \$45 million to cover payments for the services of Boviet technicisms. Cuben imports also included about \$150 million in goods under long term Soviet economic development credits. After allowing for these adjustments, the net imbalance totaled \$735 million.

USER, apparently reductant to be openly identified with these arms agreements, initially employed other East European countries an intermediaries.

Thus Czechoslovakia concluded the first arms agreement with Egypt in

1955 and with Syris and Yemen in 1956, and Czechoslovakia and Poland
signed the early agreements with Indonesia in 1958.

Since the inception of the military aid program in 1955, the USER has provided about \$4 billion worth of military assistance to 15 levs developed countries. The major recipients have been Indonesia and the UAR, each having obtained more than \$1 billion worth of military equipment. Other important recipients have been India, Iraq, and Syria. Within the framework of military assistance agreements the recipient countries have purchased a wide range of land arraments and air force and naval equipment. Included in these inventories are such weapons systems as MIG jet fighters, jet light and madium bombers, a light cruiser, submarines, destroyers, surface-to-surface antitank missiles, and surface-to-sir missiles.

A. Political Impact

been the primary vehicle: for schieving a position of influence in some regions vital to Western interests and has had an immediate impact on regional balances of power. In the guise of an advocate of national aspirations, penarally anti-Western in character, the UNSER has exploited regional or local conflicts for the broad political objective of diminishing Western influence in strategic Free World areas. Arab-Laraeli

tensions, Yemen's conflict with the United Kingdom over Aden, Afghanistan's border dispute with Palistan, and Indonesia's territorial conflict with the Hetherlands and, more recently, Malaysia, are all examples of prima opportunities exploited by the Soviet Union.

Assistance of this kind, coming as it does during periods in which the recipient is caught up in political turmoil, establishes a demon-donce rapport which provides a basis for expanding other political and economic ties with Communist countries. Thus, in some cases, Soviet credits for economic development have followed soon after the signing of arms agreements. As the recipient becomes further entangled in the web of Soviet accommodation and as its inventory of Soviet arms increases, a large degree of dependence on the USER is created for spare parts, examition, and the like. A recipient government's political survival

Perhaps the most dramatic enumple of the inrediate political impact of large scale arms purchases from the USER is represented by the Middle East. Such agreements provided the primary entree for the USER into that area and preceded economic aid agreements with Egypt, Iraq, Syria, and Yasen. The first arms agreement signed with a less developed country was concluded between Czechoslovakia and Egypt in September 1955. This agreement, totaling \$250 million, opened the door to other Soviet activities in the area, decreased the strategic value of the newly created Raghded Pact, and set off a chain of events which included Western refusal to construct the Aswan High Dam and Egyptian

nationalization of the Sucz Canal. Rayptian willingness to conclude such an agreement sterred largely from Egypt's obvious weakness vis-a-vis

Israel and Iraq -- a recent adherent to the Bachdad Past -- which

brought into question the layelty of the Egyptian officer corps and

threatened the survival of the Masser regime.

Pocause the Soviet military aid program is motivated primarily by political considerations and responds to available opportunities, it is difficult to determine any eignificant trends in the flow of such assistance. During the years of 1955 to 1953 military aid consistents were simple because of the initial segor agreements with Middle Ecstern countries and Indonesia. New large-scale agreements presumably were concluded in 1950 and 1961 as Indonesia's dispute with the Netherlands over West Irian intensified. A high level of new Soviet consistents also occurred during the two years covering mid-1962 to mid-1958, influenced largely by Sino-Indian tensions, civil wer in Years and the UAR's commitment to the republican government in that country, and the confrontation between Indonesian and Malaysia.

One other factor influences the level of new military aid extensions in certain years — replacement of obsolete and depreciated equipment.

The most obvious examples are the periodic replacement of the various generations of fighter aircraft (MIG-15's and 17's with 10's and 21's), greater emphasis on procurement of the Tu-16 redium jet bomber after initial purchases of the IL-29 light jet bomber, and more videopread use of the T-54 tanks as compared with less advanced and lighter T-34 tanks.

Such cycles will continue as an important feature in the Soviet program, not only because recipient countries continually clasor for more advanced earns but as each generation of weapons become obsolete for Soviet requirements the USSE discontinues its manufacture. In time, replacement parts become source and a country that has received a large and varied inventory of Soviet arms must accept the more advanced equipment coults; off Soviet production lines.

B. Economic Impact

The desire of many less developed countries to develop modern military establishments has diverted a large share of scarce resources from their economic development programs and frequently has caused internal economic dislocation and financial distress. These problems are perticularly severe in those countries receiving Soviet arms since these purchases sust be paid for through commodity shipments and hard currency payments.

An immediate result of a large arms purchase agreement that permits repayment in commodities is a major shift of the recipient's trade toward the Communist countries. This was particularly true, for example, of the initial Czech and Soviet agreements with Egypt during 1955-57. Owing to the immediate repayments required, Egypt's emports to the Soviet Electrose from about 10 percent of its total exports in 1954 to nearly 30 percent in 1955 and 40 percent in 1958. Exports of cotton to Czechoslowskia alone jumped from 247,000 camtars* during the 1954-55

^{# 1} cantur = 99.05 lbs.

cotton marketing year (September-August) to 1.2 million centers in the 1955-56 correcting year. Shipments to the USER rose from 265,000 centers in 1955-56 to 1.1 million centers in 1956-57. By 1957 core than helf of Egyptian cotton exports were being shipped to the Soviet Bloc and by 1959 these countries purchased more than 60 percent of Egyptian exports of cotton.

probably would have occurred even 12 Soviet arms were not purchased. A sharp decline in Western purchases of Expetian cotton during the 1988-95 seconds, the general world-wide increase in cotton production, and frequent fluctuations in cotton prices had already convinced the Expetians that saliditional markets would have to be developed in order to stabilize cotton export cermings. As early as March 1955 President Messer already had inclinated that sales in Soviet Mice markets offered the less prospects for such stabilization. Although increased Czech call Soviet purchases in 1955 and 1956 reflected the implementation of this decision, the severity and rapidity of the prographic shift of Expetian cotton exports can only be accounted for by the payments for Soviet arms. At no time since the 1955-95 season have cotton exports to this market dropped below 50 percent of the total and it appears that the UNI has accepted this share as the minimum to be exported to the Commist countries.

The decline in exports to the West and repayments of Soviet military credits in foreign exchange have made it much harder for reciptent countries to carry out economic development programs. Foreign

exchange earnings that could have been used to import suchinery and equipment have been dissipated in long-term repayment obligations for additional hardware. UAR payments currently run at least \$20 million annually. Moreover, arms dobt repayments have because a financial burden which some recipients find difficult to carry. In Syria, for example, large outlays for defense expanditures in 1957 resulted in a financial crisis which necessitated curtailment of many development projects and caused Syria to default on its first payment to Crechoslovakia. The latter finally agreed to ease the period of repayment. In 1964 Indonesia requested a morestorium on payments due to the USER.

C. Military Technical Assistance

The rapid influx into the less developed countries of large quantities of modern complex military equipment has demanded military shills that either are in short supply or nonexistent in these countries. This lack of skilled military managers has posed more serious problems than a similar human resources cap in the economic sector because of the rapid rate at which military equipment has been delivered. The managerer has in these countries has been unable to supply in a short period of time enough near capable of being trained to command, operate, and maintain the modernized military establishments. The acceptance of military personnel for training at Soviet military installations and the dispatch of large numbers of Soviet military technicians to less developed countries subsequently have proved to be important elements of the Soviet military assistance program.

The largest part of the Soviet military technical assistance progress consists of training military personnel from less developed countries at military installations in the USSA. By the end of 1965, as many as 18,000 trainees may have received such training. In addition to training provided on specific vespons systems, many trainees curoll at such Soviet higher military schools as the France Military Academy of the General Staff. Indonesia and the UAR undoubtedly have accounted for the bulk of all military trainees sent to the USEA.

cenerally are engaged in delivering, assembling, and maintaining military equipment; training military personnel in tactics and in the operation and maintenance of equipment; and serving as advisers to staff and line military officers. It is estimated that a minimum of 15,000 military technicians may have been employed in the countries receiving Soviet arms, largely in Indonesia and the UAR. During the period of initial arms deliveries to recipient countries the immediate need is for technicians to assemble the equipment received and to train local personnel in its use and extutemence. Although most of these technicians do not remain longer than is necessary to fulfill their initial functions, some are required to remain for extended periods to service the more intricate equipment.

The major functions of the military technicisms, however, have been to train local personnel and to serve as military advisors. For the larger aid recipients, courses benevally are established in the use of

the entire range of armoments, from rifles to direraft to havel creft.

Soviet officers also serve as instructors in the major military academies of these countries. In their advisory especities, Soviet military officers have played key roles in modernizing and reorganizing the military establishments of the countries receiving sizable amounts of Soviet accistance. Often they are assigned to line units to assist in planning and conducting training exercises in the tactical use of the new military equipment.

V. The Balance Sheet -- Successes and Failures

The continuing high level of economic aid extensions suggests that the results of the Soviet politico-economic offensive are satisfactory to the Soviet leadership which succeeded Abrushchev, particularly when viewed against the relatively small amount of resources involved. With its economic and military assistance the USER has established a strong presence across the belt of less developed countries ranging from Cuba to Indonesia, changing the previously prevailing Soviet image from a machacing scoul to an almost benevolent smalle. It also has proadened what used to be an exceedingly narrow base of communications between the USER and the developing countries whose emergence is now regarded by the Soviet Union as being of decisive significence to the future course of world history.

Through the aid mechanism, the USSR has insimusted men, materials, and ideas into less developed countries and impinged on the hitherto almost exclusive Western political and economic predominance in these

areas. By its willingness to undertake such rajor projects as the Aswam High Dam in the UAR and the Emilai steel mill in India, the UER has done much to enhance its prestige. It has sought, and not without some success, to convince the new states that it would be safe and advantageous for them to invest their economic future with the USER, both as a source of industrial equipment and as a "model" for economic development.

Purthermore, it has created the basis for lasting rapport and contact which, the Soviet Union hopes, will open a wide spectrum of expertunities: opportunities to participate in the economic life of an aid recipient; opportunities to influence the direction of economic development;

Soviet support of nationalist movements in many less developed countries has been an important factor in veakening, or eliminating, western political and economic influence in such diverse areas as Indonesia, the Middle East, and Cuta. In pursuing this objective, the USER has capitalized on the already strong anti-Western proclivities of many of the new governments and has encouraged many antionalist leaders to adopt measures houtile to Western economic interests in their countries. Without the alternative source of political, military, and economic support which the Communists represent, the new leaders would have been refluctant to initiate actions which could provoke Western retaliation or lead to a loss of Western assistance. Hasser's science of the Sucz Canal and Sukarno's belligerent and adment policy toward Dutch May.

In other areas, Soviet political backing, and to a legger extent real and promised economic support to the state sector, have encouraged the nationalization of foreign-camed enterprises. The Soviet regims has found in many of the energing countries a leadership already sympathetic to the idea that rapid economic development can occur only through a high degree of governmental participation and control and has attempted to channel this predilection for centralized economic control into specific Communist institutional forms. Under advice from Soviet technicians a number of countries have established Soviet-type state farms, planning commissions, foreign trade monopolies, and industrial organizations.

But along with the obvious short run successes of the offensive, there have been some notable failures. From the practical standpoint of carrying out economic aid programs the Communists have encountered frequent difficulties that have done little to enhance their objectives.

For many aid recipients the phase of actual project implementation has proved ruch less glarourous than the initial amountements of large-scale lines of credit. Many officials in less developed countries have chafed because the rapid conclusion of an aid agreement is not followed by rapid implementation of that agreement, primarily because time-consuming surveys and fensibility studies must be undertaken before construction work can begin. For have the Communists come up with a magic formula for over-coming the institutional, human, and economic obstacles in these countries that hamper any aid donor.

The Soviet Union has compounded its difficulties in finishing projects by refusing to assume complete responsibility for the projects, insisting that they furnish only the materials, equipment, and technical guidance. Because of this unvillingness to assume administrative responsibilities, the Soviet Union exercises inadequate control over projects with which they are identified. The resultant inefficient implementation and subsequent delays tend to discredit Soviet performance. In Guinea, for example, the general inability of Guinean officials to maintain a steady flow of local labor and materials to job sites caused numerous delays and extended periods of inactivity, for which the Soviet Union was blamed. In order to overcome this difficulty, the USER agreed in June 1963 to assume this responsibility and set up a special organization in Guinea to handle these functions.

As for long range objectives, the decline in Western influence in the energing nations has not necessarily led to a corresponding rise in Soviet influence. The new governments generally have converted their enticolonialist energies into strongly assertive nationalist policies rather than one distinctly pro-Soviet. The surge of nationalism has also led to various regional movements, such as pen-Arabism and pon-Africanism, which the Soviet Union has viewed as incompatible with its long range interests in the area. During his visit to the UAR in May 1934, an irritated Mikita Khrushchev criticized Arab nationalism and stated that "It would be wrong to set the unity of all the revolutionary forces of the world against any grouping of forces by color of skin or

religious conviction . . . The Arab worker, Arab pegsants, and Arab intellectuals should unite against exploitation. You will find a place in this union for the Russians, a place, too, for other people, not for the sake of nationalism."

Particularly distressing to the Soviet leadership has been the inability of regimes which they have viewed with favor to remain in power, in spite of relatively sizable amounts of Soviet essistance. Not only have such leaders with pro-Soviet tendencies on Kassen, Bon Bells, and Maruman fallen, but the Soviet Union has had to bear the onus of its close association with them as well as to accept the reverse swing of the foreign policy pendulum initiated by the elements which engineered the coups. Perhaps even more galling to the Boylet leadership has been the inability to prevent some of the largest beneficiaries of its occanonic and military assistance from periodically attacking the Soviet Union (Nasser) or pursuing a foreign policy course favorable to Communist Coina (Sukarno).

The offensive also has failed to gain any appreciable number of adherents to Communist ideology, either among the new national leadership or among the messee. Most of the present leaders in the Afro-Anien states are committed to carrying out a "socialist" political and economic transformation of their countries, but each declares his own intention to develop a national brand of socialism, and each states his determination not to merge his nation's identity in the larger cause of Communism.

Whrushchev voiced Soviet disappointment over this trend in his bitter

remarks about those national leaders who "call themselves socialists,"
but who have no understanding of what "scientific" socialism really means.
The negligible Communist ideological impact on the masses of the less
developed world is due in part to the strongly traditionalist character
of the less developed societies and in part to the necessary restrictions
on the range of Communist activities.

It is in this context that the programs of technical and accedence assumes a key role in Soviet long-range strategy. The political elite in the developing nations are searching for their own ideology and institutions and the Communists seek to influence this development. In this connection, the Communists believe that the growth of a state-controlled sector in some of the young countries, assisted by the absence of a strong bourgeoisie, the disillusionment with capitalist efforts to promote economic development, and the assumed adventages of socialist methods for carrying out development programs at a rapid pane, could encourage a gradual transition to a "non-capitalistic" form of development. As a result of their propaganda, the Communist countries have seconed an important semantic victory in cetting many people in developing areas to associate "colonialism" and "capitalism" with only their bed features or their failures.

Important returns unquestionably have accounted to the Seviet Union from its technical and academic assistance programs. The Western monopoly in these fields has been broken and many individuals in the less developed countries exposed to ideas, techniques, and material formerly known only

to a few. Through military, technical, and academic training programs and the use of its technical personnel, the Soviet Union has established important relationships with individuals who in the future may hold key positions in their countries. Despite the larger number of students from less developed countries studying in the West, the new elite in many countries is becoming increasingly Soviet trained with little or no contact with Western society.

There are, of course, short run practical considerations inherent in the dispensation of Soviet technical assistance. The Soviet Union has the desire to see its aid program implemented as expeditiously as possible. Difficulties, delays, and inefficient use of equipment in the field, regardless of fault, often turnish the image and prestige of the aid donor. The USER has sought to avoid these situations by providing the bulk of professional and technical skills required for their own developmental projects and military training programs and by teaching similar skills to local personnel.

The Soviet technical assistance program, however, has not been without its difficulties. The contact of aid recipients with Soviet technicisms and Soviet society has generated mixed feelings toward Communist institutions and techniques. Although Soviet technicisms frequently have been praised for accepting difficult working conditions, performing creditably, and steering clear of proselytizing activities, an increasing number of countries are concerned over the financial burden represented by these technical personnel. Where indigenous personnel have had contact with

Western methods and technicisms, the Soviet performance often is daprecated for the large numbers of Soviet technicisms required to undertake a project. And even though large numbers of people have been trained at high cost, the lack of skilled personnel continues to be a major problem.

The number of students who have succumbed to Communist indoctrination efforts cannot be ascertained but the growth of an ever increasing pool of Communist assets ready to assume official positions at apportune measures cannot be doubted. Even though blatant indoctrination courses have been discontinued after official protests, more exposure to the Communist environment for extended periods often leads to a subtle form of indoctrination. During their long stay in the USER many students undoubtedly become adherents of Marxism-Leninism and many establish leating professional and personal ties with Soviet students and officials, often expressing gratitude for being trained for career apportunities that probably would not be possible without Soviet assistance.

But there are also those individuals who have become distillusioned as a result of their contacts with Soviet society or with the educational programs offered at Soviet scadenic institutions. Individuals who have had training in the Soviet Union have registered numerous complaints about language difficulties, poor living conditions, severe security restrictions, indoctrination efforts, lack of contact with the populace, and racial problems. When voiced in their own countries, such criticism tends to affect adversely the image of Communist cociety which the Coviet Union seeks to create.

VI. Outlook for the Soviet Aid Program

The aid program undoubtedly will remain a major element in Soviet policy toward the less developed countries and can be expected to follow its present pattern for some time. New extensions of economic aid will rise or fall in response to changing Soviet estimates of its own short-rum economic capabilities, on the capacity of prospective recipients to absorb additional Soviet aid, and on the Soviet assessment of the likely impact of such aid. The USSR will take care to preserve political prestige and advantages already won and is unlikely to curtail significantly a program which has proven to be one of Moscow's most effective weapons in its struggle for influence in Asia and Africa, both in competition with the West and with Communist China.

From the point of view of Soviet aid recipients, such assistance has served many of their political and economic objectives. For some countries economic assistance from the Soviet Union has become an important source of investment for their long-term development plans; and they have not been slow to appreciate the bargaining leverage that the existence of another aid donor has given them in playing off one source of funds against enother. Also, the Soviet Union is prepared to make long term ald commitments and to deal "painlessly" with the transfer problem, i.e., to accept repayment in the form of the commodities of the recipient countries and, on occasion, to relieve them of burdensome surpluses.

Even countries closely allied with the West, e.g., Iran and Turkey,

have begun to look to the USSR for capital and to purchase that part of their crops which cannot be sold in the West.

The USER will continue to place heavy emphasis on military assistance because of the immediate political effects of such aid and the greater degree of dependence it generates. Military assistance, in particular, has at various times since 1955 encouraged some recipients, e.g., Afghenistan, Indonesia, fand the UAR, and Yemen, to assume more aggressive postures toward neighboring countries. The leaders of such countries have been more willing to pursue policies hostile to the West, knowing that any resulting sanctions can be at least partially offset by aid from the Soviet Union.

The domands from the less developed countries for military aid from all external sources is likely to increase and the USSR will continue to be willing to assist. Although some countries have received Soviet rilitary assistance for 10 years, they remain plagued by a chronic need for skilled personnel. The problem will be compounded as increasingly complex military equipment is supplied by the USSR and as new recipients come into the picture.

Over the next few years, the Soviet Union probably will continue to pursue its current recruitment of large numbers of neademic students.

For their part the less developed countries apparently intend to continue sending large numbers of students to the USBR and other Communist countries.

The willingness of the Soviet Union to extend academic training on a

scholarship besin is a benefit that these countries cannot afford to turn down. For the individual, the offer of an "all expenses paid" education may represent his only chance for an advanced education.

Mechanic melaise, political instability, and the rising radical influences in many less developed countries should widen the opportunities for the Soviet eid program. In many countries the Western-criented political elite has been compelled by newly emergent political forces to permit the Communist countries to play a role in the development process or in building national security forces. These leaders will come under even greater pressure as a host of worrisome problems persist and expectations of social and economic progress dwindle. Even though political and social conditions may make it difficult for the Communists to make ideological headway, the inevitably slow pace of evolutionary change will enable the Communists to associate themselves with pressures for redical change. And more and more of these radical forces are setting the tone of their countries' foreign policies. Attacks on foreign and domestic private investment and continuing balance of payments difficulties will tend to limit sources of assistance for economic development programs and increase the attractiveness of Soviet economic mid.

Ext the ability of the Soviet Union to seize these opportunities to expand its influence through an economic aid program will be circumscribed by the total demand on the USSR's limited economic resources. The Soviet Union cannot Lamach a major effort in an area such as Latin America without sharply curtailing aid activities elsewhere. Consequently, the USSR

will continue to be highly selective in deciding which countries will receive sizable amounts of its economic assistance. Moreover, the efforts of the Soviet Union to project itself as a model for economic growth will be impeded by its own internal economic problems, particularly the impeded by its demostic agricultural problems.

Table 4
Soviet Economic Aid Extended to Less Developed Countries
1954-1965

	Million US \$
TOTAL	<u>5,030</u>
India United Arab Republic Afghanistan Indonesia Iran Algeria Turkey Iraq Syria Argentina Ethiopia Pakistan Yemen Ghann Greece Guinea Somali Republic Mali Kenya	1,022 1,011 552 372 330 230 210 184 150 115 102 94 92 69 84
Ceylon Tunisia Sudan Cambodia Nepal Uganda Rurna Congo (Brazzaville) Senegal	55 44 30 28 22 21 20 16 14 9