SECRET

42,638

CIA HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM RELEASE AS SANITIZED 1999

9 December 1954

MEMORANDUM FOR: Assistant Director for Research and Reports

SUBJECT

: Soviet Trading Delinquencies

REFERENCE

L. As discussed in detail by your office and ... it is requested that your office undertake an analysis of delinquencies of the Soviet Bloc in its trade with Western countries, covering two specific subjects:

a. The difficulties which Western countries and businessmen have had and are having in trading relations and commercial dealings with Soviet Bloc countries. We especially want to know of any instances of these difficulties, such as the bank-ruptcy of some Swedish shippards, which was attributed to sharp practices by the USSR. We are not concerned with the techniques, qua techniques used by the Russians, but in specific applications or instances of those techniques which have evolved to the detriment of Western countries and businessmen.

b. The non-fulfillment of trade agreements by the Soviet Bloc countries. We are concerned with the trade agreements themselves only to the extent that the Soviets have failed to live up to the promises and commitments contained therein. Attached is an excerpt from Weekly Economic Developments Abroad of 3 September 1954, concerning the non-fulfillment of USSR trade commitments to Argentina, and is the type of thing with which we are concerned. We are also interested in analyses of instances in which Soviet Bloc countries have used the Spigot Doctrine or other techniques in trading relations.

SECRET

SECRET

- 2. A large body of published material already exists on the subject of Soviet trade offensive but a detailed examination of this material indicates that the content is only tangentially related to our specific interest. There is a considerable discussion of the techniques and tactics of the Soviets in general terms, and of their intentions, and conclusions are made indicating that the outcome of the offensive will depend on Soviet success in fulfilling its promise. We are concerned with the actual lack of success achieved in fulfilling these promises and the difficulties of trading with the Soviet Bloc.
- 3. It would be preferable if your initial research efforts could be directed towards the Soviet trade offensive in Latin America and Soviet activities and techniques there.

34.

Acting Chief,

Attachment
As stated above.

SEGRET

(Official Use Only)

USSR Trade Committments Largely unfulfilled The Commercial agreement with USSR was automatically renewed on August 15 for another year since neither party denounced it. Tentative figures show that the USSR fell far short of meeting its commitments in the first year's operation of the agreement: crude oil fell short 460,000 tons out of an annual quota of 500,000 tons; coal 263,000 tons from a quota 323,000 tons; sheet iron 17,000 tons from 28,000 tons; pipe 38,000 tons from a quota of 40,000 tons; dyes 34 tons from a quota of 236 tons. The Russians apparently have shipped the full quota of rails and about double their quota of refined petroleum products. However, several other items such as special steels, asbestos, drugs, industrial and agricultural equipment have either failed to arrive at all or have come in negligible quantities.

Source - WEEKLY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENTS ABROAD
Sept 3, 1954
Office of Econ. Affairs
Bureau of Foreign Commerce

SECRET

Soviet Freding Dalinquenoiss

1P-393 Inel 1956

Summary and Conclusions

Free World countries have experienced difficulties in their trading relations with the Soviet Bloc. Some examples of these difficulties are; high prices of Soviet commodities; low quality goods delays in trade transactions; lack of credit facilities; and inability if unallingness to offer commodities desired by the Free World countries. Trade negotiations have been delayed for a long period or even broken off as a result of one or more of these problems. In many instances, Western countries have realized that planned levels of trade were unrealistic and trade could not be attained at the planned levels. A more realistic approach has resulted in a postruction of the planned values of trade. However, in spite of these difficulties, Free World countries apparently have been sufficiently satisfied with this trade to continue trade relations with the Soviet Bloc.

An attempt to analyze the non-fulfillment of trade agreements by the Soviet Bloo countries is subject to a number of limitations. These trade agreements do not represent firm contracts or commitments. They marely authorize rather than guarantee the annuange of goods. It is difficult to assess the responsibility for the failure to implement an agreement. For instance, an apparent Soviet failure sight have been caused by the unwillingness of Eastern businessmen to purchase Soviet commodities offered to them, rather than USSE failure to emport them. Also, trade in nonmodities can fair place under barter agreements or contracts matches outside of the trade greement.

Introduction

In 1954 the countries of the Soviet Bloc continued efforts to strengthen trade ties with the countries of the Free World. This effort was conducted largely through the negotiations of trade agreements. Particular interest was shown in the expansion of trade-field with latin American countries. A number of trade agreements were signed between the countries of the Soviet Bloc and Latin America, several of these segreements the first such agreements between these countries. The following countries signed agreements with Soviet Bloc countries representing new relationships in 1955 or 1854: Brasil signed compensation agreements with Emgary and East Germany; Paraguay signed payments agreements with Emgary and Ckenhoslovakia; and Uruguay signed agreements with the USSR and Bast Germany. These trade negotiations have probably yielded some propagands gains to the Soviet Bloc, particularly in the underdeveloped countries.

The Free World countries have employed trading difficulties, in large part, because of Soviet Bloc reluctance to offer many export commodities in Western markets on terms which meet price and quality competition of Western suppliers. Nevertheless, the Bloc countries continue to emphasize the possibilities of large increases in Fire-West trade. It is the aim of this report to present the delinquencies of the Soviet Bloc in its trade with Free World countries. The presentation consists of two parts: 1) the difficulties of trading with the Ecric Slos and 2) the non-folialment of trade agreements by the Soviet Bloc goalstries.



Difficulties of Trading with the Soviet Bloc

Greens

Greece has experienced some trouble in her trading relations with the USSR, Oreck traders have complained of the high prices charged by the USSR, the lack of credit facilities and forget delays in fulfilling trade transactions. The Greek-USSR trade agreement of July 1973 continuously showed a gredit balance in favor of Greece. This balance was not covered by comparable foriet experts because of the high prices of councilies affered by the Soviet Union. The price of Soviet timber, for example, was 17% higher than the most expensive timber offered by other European countries. However, even in cases in which lower prices were offered, the failure of the Soviets to grant credit facilities has involved additional charges rendering the net prices higher

Japan

Japanese trading firms have complained about the inferior quality of Sakhalin coal, one of the principal experts to dayso by the USSR. The firms demanded compensation for the coal because the steel firms to which the goal had been supplied requested cancellation of contrasts due to the inferior quality of the coal. Further negotiations for coal experts were held up panding settlement of this problem. The samplaint was partially settled when the Soviet Union promised to make compensation at the rate of \$5.50 per ton. In spite of this compensation, the deliveries of the limits coal bave gained depensed doubts as to whether they can importance quality coal bave gained depensed

Lumber trade negotiations mayor beam difficult because of the great gap between the price demanded by the USSE and the price demanded by the Japanece. The Soviets are asking \$15.50 per cubic meter f.o.b. for lumber, while the Japanese lumber industry is prepared to pay only \$5.00 . Although the USSE has lowered the prices of some commodities, notably ocal and cotton, the relatively higher prices of Soviet mayorandise continues to hamper Soviet.

Japanese trade talks.

Eco

There has been some dissatisfaction expressed over the quality of Soviet/ Rumanian petroleum products imported of Expt under a barter agreement of March 1954. The first two shipments received more not acceptable for distribution on the market, and consequently were displayed into the Government refinery for further processing . The opinion has been expressed that the Egyptians have entered into an agreement which will be very costly and that they will end up paying well above world prices for the petroleum products, rather than the price publicised as being 10-16% being the world market price . Another factor has been that the rate of arrival of Soviet/Rumanian products has been 30% less than originally enticipated main the agreement. The long delays in Soviet shipments and the fact that the resoline being produced by local refineries is sufficient to meet the requirements of the countries has influenced the Egyptian government to cancel the remilitier of the gasoline under the contract. However, the quantity of kerosene to the imported from the USSR and Bumania will be increased by an amount equal to the esposited gasoline

Western nations have found that although the USSR has quoted petroleus

prices f.o.b. Constangs which are lower than US Gulf port prices, the prices are no bargain because of high demarage and other transportation costs. Tanker delays in Constangs have been largely responsible for the increased costs.

Recently a Norwegian ship was held up for a week in Constangs and then had to sail only half-full. Several Firmish tenters have been held up in Constangs waiting to load petroleum products.

Todia:

Several firms in India have encountered trading difficulties with the USSR.

Iopahani, Ltd., has refused an order for the purchase of shellap by the USSR

because the USSR representative offered a price below the market price. Bharat

Industries, Ltd., importer of tractors and spare parts, has decided not to

trade with the USSR again because the goods could not be passed by the Indian

Oustoms as a result of mistakes made in the shipping documents issued by USSR

agannies

Ideland

A significant factor in Free Warld trading relations with the Soviet

Bloo is the danger of dependence on the USSR as either an import or export

market for essential commodities. Under the USSR-Icelandic trade agreement

of August 1954 Iceland is to receive almost all her patroleum requirements

from the Soviet Union. However, few patroleum deliveries had been made by

Normalier 1954. It was feared that the failure of the USSR to meet patroleum

delivery schedules would cause patroleum shortages. Such a situation would

force Iceland to take emergency manual to alleviate the shortage and

illustrates the dangers of dependence as the USSR.

becomer

In the period July 1953 - July 1954 agricultural exports comprised 86% of total Danish exports to the USSR . Seeed on January-May 1954 data the USSR energed as Demmark's second most important customer for meat and butter.

After the break-off of trade negotiations in July 1954 for the remember of the trade agreement, however, the USSR sheet no interest in the productment of meats and butter from Demmark. The break-off resulted from Soviet indictance that Demmark deliver to the USSR two ships, the types of which are scharged under COCOM regulations. The USSR expressed the willingness to sign an agreement for a 12-month period which would more than South the exphange of goods planned under the previous agreement, but delivery of the two tankers was clearly set down as a condition for signing the agreement.

Official trade statistics show that Denish trade with the USSE has dropped considerably since the expiration of the agreement on 50 June 1854. Danish exports to the USSE came to only 2.0 million knower in July and 0.8 million in August against a monthly average of about 19 million during the January-June period.

Reports have been received which have initiated that the officials of the Panish Ministry of Agriculture were quite consensed over the possible loss of the USSE as an export market for Danish neat and putter. It appears that the political pressure brought to bear on Danmark with respect to the two tankers has been extended, to a certain extent, to current trade. Reports recently appearing in the Danish press indicate that a slight series of Danish exports to the USSE may be expected soon, mithingly there are no indications that the sale of meat and Dutter will be of the inspiritual of Danish exports to the usage

SERRET

Australia

In April 1954 the USSR broke off diplomatic relations with Australia over the "Petrov Affair". Before the break-sif the Soviet Union was a fairly regular buyer at the Australian wool sales. In ries of the Soviet's position as an important buyer, consideration was given to the fact that the USSE's withdrawal might have a serious effect on the sarket. Immediate effects in the Australian market tended to be eliminated by the fact that the date given as the cessation of USSR purchases of wool estimated with the close of the wool season. The USSE has resumed buying wool on the Australian market and trade observers believe that Russian demand will determine whether prices will hold at our ent levels during the first quarter of 1955. In the middle of December 1954 at several Australian markets prices tended to be firm when the USSE was buying and appeared to fall off when the USSE was inactive. A fairly sustained demand by the United Kingdom and Wastern Europe was not sufficient to compensate for this price fluctuation

Finland

After the war the Firmish metal and shipbuilding industries were greatly expanded to meet Soviet reparations. Sits the end of reparations, trade with Russia has continued at an increasing sate because Russia has provided the only market for the products of these higheoust industries. Although the recently signed five-year trade part has provided some relief to the high-cost metal industries by continuing to provide a street for these products, there is no reason to believe that the Soviets would be street to play off the Finnish metal industry against that of another country should the opportunity occur.

Wr Write

In fact, in the negotiations for the 1866 trade agreement, the Finns had it impressed upon them that they would have to face increased competition for metal products in the Soviet market from Western countries.

A comparable situation has arisen in the Finnish shipbuilding industry. The five-year trade agreement provides for shippard products to comprise 37.2% of total Findish exports in contrast to 32.8% of total sports under the 1854 agreement.

Bosever, in 1953 Finland sold feets slipe to the Soviet Union than it had in 1952, partly because the USSR could by them more cheeply in Density.

There is no assurance that this element all not occur in future Finnish-USSR trade relations.

Non-fulfillment of Trade Agreements by Roylet Bloc Country

It is important to proface this section with several remarks. Soviet Bloc trade agreements with Free World countries do not represent firm commitments or contracts. They marely constitute a framework within which further negotiations for contracts can take place between Mastern businessman and Sowiet Bloc trading organizations. The commodity explanes lists in these agreements only represent targets within which trade may be attained and not the volume of trade which will unterialize.

Latin America

The first year of the USSR-Argentina trade agreement expired 51 August 1986. The Soviet Union failed to ship mine commodities and fell considerably short in the shipments of five other commodities, particularly crude oil and coal. Russian shipments of patroleum products exceeded the quota. Total USSR shipments in the category "tool steels and other metal products" are estimated to have amounted to less than 8-10% of the amount set in the agreement. However, although shipments of pork, preserved meat, mutton and lard expected the quotas, Argentina did not export any quantities of five commodities and shipments of two suppositions and shipments of

There has been no indication of the purchase by Argentine of any sizeable amount of Soviet capital goods under the much-publicized \$50 million credit.

An Argentine technical mission visited spaces to inspect various types of machinery, and although favorably imprecised with some of the equipment, returned without concluding any contracts

Although the terms of the trade agreement were not fully implemented, it appears that actual trade for the year 1954 will

greatly exceed actual trade for the year 1955. The agreement was extended for enother year in August 1954, indicating Argentina's continuing interest in trade with the USSR.

Implementation of trade agreements between countries of the Soviet Bloc and Latin America have been poors. Fulfillment of Argentina's trade paots with the Bloc doclined from 45% in 1950 to 35% in 1952, while the decrease for Brasil was from 55% in 1951 to should 10% in 1953. Even their Argentine and Brasilain trade paots with the Borist Bloc have not been fully implemented, the increase in the number of trade agreements between these countries appears to have been a contributing factor in the increase in actual trade. Argentina's trade with the Bloc in the first half of 1954 amounted to \$93,222,000 as compared to only \$50,625,000 for the year 1953. Argentina's trade with the USSR in the first half of 1954 alone amounted to 122,003,000 as compared to \$11,461,000 for all 1953. Brasil's trade with the Ricc in the first half of 1954 alone amounted to 122,003,000 as compared to \$15,461,000

-

The Greece-USSR trade agreement which expired 28 July 1955 guntinuously showed a credit balance in favor of Greece. At the expiration of the agreement Greech was unwilling to remay the agreement unless a more realistic approach was taken. The agreement was extended to 28 July 1955 through an exchange of letters, but the revised commodity lists only covisage trade transactions totalling \$10.0 million compared to a total of \$20.0 million under the previous agreement . In 1955 Oresce conclided agreements with the Soviet Bloc for the exchange of goods totalling \$28,000,000. But during 1955 Oresce imported

SEORET .

only \$1.5 million worth of goods from the Soviet Blow and exported only \$4.0 million worth of goods . Although fireson has expressed dissatisfaction with the manner in which the Soviet Bloo fulfills their trade agreements, these trade pacts have been renewed on schedule. These difficulties have not caused a commution of trade relations with the Soviet Bloo.

Sweden

Under the 1954 USSR-Sweden trade agreement the USSR had to make payments in surrancy to believe the clearing especial. Limited range of Soviet exports acceptable on the Swedish market and increased Swedish exports to the USSR resulted in a credit balance in favor of Sweden. A payment in sterling and dollars of Kr. 40 million by the USSR in May 1954 almost belanced the clearing account. Sweden's credit balance with the USSR as of 30 June 1954 was Kr. 10 million. In the negotiations for the 1955 agreement the Soviets have appeared to be unwilling to make dollar and sterling payments. Owing to payment difficulties and inability or unwillingness of the Seviets to provide the goods desired by Sweden it is believed that the new protocol will result in a contraction of trade to about enemisif to three-fourths of 1954 trade

Finland

Finland consistently has had a graff surplus in her trading relations with the USSR. The Soviet Union in Fabruary 1854 agreed to pay for part of Pinnich trade balance in 1954 in galiantiforal a currency. This errengement, under which a part of the anticipated Finnish rade balance will be settled in gold and foreign currency, was made a part of the 1958-1950 agreement signed in July 1954. In recent years similar surplusar have lain idle in the form of Finnish

- SECRET

HAIFILIAN MAI

ruble credits or have been worked off in third-country arrangements. Such an arrangement was used in the transactions with Brazil for the re-export of Soviet wheat . There is little doubt that these transactions represented a substantial loss for Finland and were undertaken solely for the purpose of utilizing Finland's credit balance with the USSR.

Italy

The USSR effered substantial quantities of petroleum products, platinum, forrowninganese, asbestos, paraffin and manganese and chrome ore at low prices in an effort to reduce the clearing definit with Italy which amounted to \$30,000,000 as of 8 June 1954. These products were offered because of the USER's recent fulture, due to high prices, to sall a consignment of hard wheat to Italy. The USSR has offered these commodities, particularly petroleum products, to a number of Western somewise in an attempt to offset the decrease in traditional grain experts and to facilitate increased imports from the Free World countries.

SOURCES:

1.	Navy, NA, Athens, 120-54, 9 September 1954, U.
2.	FBIS, FE, #169, 31 August 1954, Official Use Only.
3.	
4.	
5•	
6.	
7,	
8	•
9•	
10.	State, Copenhagen, Desp. 1436, 25 June 1954, U.
11.	
12.	State, Copenhagen, Desp. 90, 30 July 1954, U.
13.	State, Copenhagen, Desp. 370, 15 October 1954, Official Use Only.
14.	State, Copenhagen, Desp. 382, 19 October 1954, U.
15.	New York Times, 16 April 1954, U.
16.	Journal of Commerce, 20 December 1954, U.
17.	
18.	
19.	Journal of Commerce, 13 August 1954, U.
30 	State Proper Admos Dom 222 1/1 Sentember 105/1 II

SOURCES: (continued)

21.

22.

23. Commerce, Bureau of Foreign Commerce, Official Trade Statistics, U.

24. State, Athens, Desp. 284, 4 October 1954, U.

25.

26.

27,

28.

29. FOA, Rome, TOECO A 178, TOUSFO A 499, 17 June 1954, Limited Official Use Only.

SECTION -

das .