

P422

3861 fice Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

то Assistant Director, ORR THRU .

DATE:

FROM

SUBJECT: . Transmittal of Project Soviet Bloc"

"Implications of Trade with the

فدمط سبب أدلال والاراد والم

1099

1. This paper was prepared in response to a "study of the permanent dislocation of a nation's economy when it is forced by circumstances to orient its trade temporarily toward the Soviet Orbit". It was felt that Finland represented the best example of a country whose economy is at least currently dislocated as the result of trade with the USSR.

2. The paper is a study of Finland's postwar trade pattern and the implications and consequences of its reliance upon trade with the Soviet Bloc. It is recognized that these questions could have been the subject matter of a major report. It is believed that the responsible analyst prepared a very competent report for the short period allowed by the requestor.

3. While the report was prepared for a particular consumer, publication as an Intelligence Memorandum is also recommended.



27

3861

(Case Study I FINLED) OBL Project \$2,000

So December 1975

1F

#22

CIA HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM Release as sanitized 1999

TABLE	OF COMPENTS
,	

PAGE

١

 \sim

	•		
	Summary and Conclusions		r
			+
I	. Historical Background sectors		2
TT	1 STAD Domession &		5
	. The Reparations Burden		5
III	Trade and Gredit Arrangements		
		attern	9
			9
		·····	70
			11
	W. LULIQUEL ATTENDEDING		12
IV.	Extent, Implications and fourse	Thursday of Winlawite Bollenne	· .
	on Trade with the Soviet Blog		14
	A. Extent of Finnish Dependent	We on fories Blog State sectors	24
		ose of Bich Ralinge	16
	n ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang an		19
			+7
	APPENDIX A Supporting Stat	Istical Data	21
		Cope in Intelligence	
	Territory and the second se		88
			89

GLADERY AND CONTINUETONS

Finland's connecte leases insured in the course of two conflicts with the URER and the stringent provisions imposed by the Force Freedy of 1947 left the country impoveriabed and vulnerable to Soviet economic encroschements. The Soviet Union's insistence that well over \$500 million worth of reparations be delivered largely in products of the actal and shipbuilding industries - complities not previously exported to any large extent by Finland - substantially compounded Finland's economic burden.

The forced expansion of Finnish industry, essentially genred to reparetions deliveries, left the Finns dependent on Soviet markets oven after such payments had been fulfilled. By the end of 1953, the Soviet Bloc, largely by means of this pro-explain of Fizzish trade through the reparetions device, accounted for elevel one-third of Fizzish total trade, and by the end of 1953 the USER displaced the United Eingdon as Finland's chief total trade, shore

The serious decline in world depend for wool and wool products and Finiand's insbility to find suitable methods in the West for its reparationsborn industrial production in which Finland does not anjoy a comparative advantage in trade have made it imperative, in Finnish syns, to retain their meters in the Easter

This dependence upon the Soviet Heim as a prince particle for its industrial cutout has in turn commissed a substantial reliance upon the Bloo as a source of supply. Freed with the prospect of increasing its. already sizable accumulation of inconvertible Bloc currency, Finland has been obliged to import large quantities of Soviet Bloc goods, much of which she has been forced to re-export, often at considerable loss. Finland's total import requirements of petrolaum, coal, bread grains, sugar, fodder, fertilizers and, to a lesser extent, of iron and steel, ferro-alloys and cotton are now virtually filled by Soviet Bloc shipments.

That such close commercial ties with the Soviet Bloe constitute a latent danger to Finnish independence and make the country vulnarable to Soviet pressure is evident both to western observers and to the Finns themselves. While the situation remains potentially dengerous, however, there appears little evidence that it portends any immediate threat to Finnish economic or political integrity. Finland's inability to find suitable imports from the Soviet Bloc and a reluctance to accumulate larger ruble balances, militate against any substantial increase in Finno-Soviet trade in the foreseeable future. Finland is making over increasing efforts to lower production costs and to increase the ability of its reparations-born industries to compete in both the domestic and foreign market. With a concomitant expansion of Finland's traditional exports to western markets there is sufficient indication that if the Soviet Union were to curtail suddenly its imports of Finnish products, Finland could, after a period of initial adjustment, adapt itself to new patterns of production, consumption and trade with the non-Bloc areas. This, 1. of course, is dependent upon Western villingness and ability to absorb the bulk of Finland's traditional export items.

2

 E^{ij}

I. Historical Background

Prior to the first World War Finland's position as an autonomous Grand Duchy attached to the Russian Empire, lad: inevitably to atrong commercial ties with the East. Occupying first place among Finland's trading partners, Russia accounted for some 30 percent of total Finnish trade. In fact, so closely had Finnish industry been grared to Russian import requirements that in 1913 Russia absorbed 62 percent of Finnish pulp and paper exports, 98 percent of its leather goods, 84 percent of its metal menufactures, 98 percent of its stone and mineral production and 97 percent of the products of the spinning and weaving mills.

The Russian revolution and subsequent Finnish independence substantially altered Finland's traditional foreign trade pattern, and after 1920 the share of the Soviet Union averaged Little more than 2 to 3 percent of the total foreign trade of the new Finnish Republic. This repid disappearance of what historically had been Finland's chief export market was due primarily to implementation of the Soviet policy of limiting its imports to vitally needed capital goods in an effort to baster Socialist construction and economic selfsufficiency. Not until 1940 was any concerted effort make to expand the exchange of goods between the two mations but a trade agreement concluded in June of that year was abrogated by the coming of way.

The loss of the Russian export market, however, had been quickly replaced by that of Great Britain who was to remain Finland's chief trading partner until 1953. In 1938, the last full year of normal trade relations, Finland

3

. .;

NI

sent 42.7 percent of its total appart value to the United Kingdom compared with 14.8 percent to Gammany, 9.2 percent to the United States, 2.8 percent to Sweden and .5 percent to the Usar. 2/

Finnish involvement in two major conflicts (1940-1945), coupled with the particularly stringant provisions of the peace treaty imposed by the USSE, left the small republic impoveriated and with its foreign trade practically at a standatill. Finland was forded to cade approximately 13 percent of its territory to the USSE and with it want 11 percent of the cultivated land, 432 plants which had contributed more than ten percent of the total industrial production, 600 miles or some 17 percent of the total realized trackage, plants which had produced 32 percent of the prevar hydroelectric power and many valuable ports which had handled almost 20 percent of Finnish prevar exports. 3/2/

Repectally hard hit were the modunrking plants whose products dominated the country's preser exports. Among the plants lost to the USER were 65 saw and planing mills which had produced 12 percent of the total output in 1938 and a host of other featories and plants which had accounted for 26 percent of the production of chemical pulp, 15 percent of the plysood, 12 percent of the mechanical pulp and five percent of the paper production. 5/ It has been officially estimated that the economic loss in the ceded territories represented almost 13 percent of Finland's prever natural percent. 55/ The difficulties which beset Finland's already strained economy were added to by the reparations imposed by the armistice treaty of September 1944 and later confirmed by the peace treaty of 1947. Under their provisions, Finland was obliged to pay in kind to the USSE war reparations emounting to 300 million 1938 dollars payable within a siz-year period. Although subsequent agreements reduced the sum to 226 million 1938 dollars and extended the term of payment to eight years, the Soviet demand that reparations deliveries include many commodities not previously exported by Finland, necessitated a forced expansion of Finnish industrial production. $5^{/}$ Aided, however, by U.S. and Swedish loams totaling \$373 million, chiefly for investment in metal working and shipbuilding industries, Finland was able to fulfill her reparations obligations and by September 1952, she had delivered over 500 million current dollars worth of commodities to the Soviet Union, $1^{/}$

Table I

Finland's War Reparations to the USSR 8/9/

Year	Cost of Hillions of currendollars		Reparations as a percent of net Mational Product at factor cost	Reparations as a percent of total national badget-	Reparations as a percent of total	
1944	.2	.27		ary expenditures	exports	
				•7	4.3	
1945	91.2	8.17	8.2	19.3	61.0	
1946	64.5	8.78	5.6	14.4	27.6	
1947	76.5	10.40	4.6	14.2	18.7	
1948	84.9	11.59		11.1	17.0	
1949	74.2	12.23	3.8	10.9	5	
1050		-	4.36年2		15.7	
1950	34.1	7.85	1.6	6.1	8.7	
1951	53.9	12.40	1.8	6.8	6.2	
1952	35.7	8.25	1.4	6411	5.0	
Total	515.2	79.90			2.0	
	CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	*****	and the second	and all and an or		

Excludes former German essets

With more than one-half of all deliveries to the USSR to consist of commodities not previously exported by Finland, it was imperative that new lines of manufacture be quickly created and current production reorganized to meet reparations demands. Table II compares the average percentage composition, by value, of Finland's exports for the years 1929-1938 with that of reparations for the years 1944-1952, and gives some indication of the magnitude of the modifications in Finland's industrial structure, required by her deliveries to the HESSE.

Table II

(in percent of total value)

	World Exports 1935-1937	Reportions 1944-1952	Norlà Exporta
Wood and Paper Products	63.9	27.8	
Matal vorking products	8.8	72.2	77.6 10.7
includes: Machinery and equipmen shipbuilding electric cable	*} =	31.2 35-3 5-7	
Agricultural products	8.7	0.0	3.7
Other goods	4.6	0.0	8.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0

The major burden, of course, fell on Finland's metal-working and shipbuilding industries with 35.3 percent of the total value of reparations to include shipping of various types, 21.2 percent to include machinery and equipment and 5.7 percent to include electric cable. Deliveries during the first years of industrial reorganization ware, of necessity, composed largely of

used ships and wood products but the value of the products of the metal and shipbuilding industries steadily increased from 17 percent of all payments in 1954 to almost 99 percent during the last four years of reparetions delieveries, $\frac{12}{}$

The war itself had provided some impetus to the Finnish metal industry but reparations obligations called forth an even more rapid expansion, as shown by the following indices of industrial development. $\frac{13}{2}$

Year	Total Industry	Wood and Paper Industries	Netal Industries	Other Industries
1938	100	300	100	100
1965	87	64	117	89
1952	166	109	835	179
1954	-189	141	231	203

State!	

Indices of Finnish Industrial Production

From largely a home market employing little more than 44,800 persons in 1938, the metal working industries have grown to Finland's second largest industry employing over 60,000 workers or some 30 percent of the country's total industrial labor force. Production, as we have seen, has increased to almost two and one-shalf times that of 1938 and in 1951, the metal industries peak production year, it accounted for 27 percent of the net value of total industrial output.

Roughly paralleling the growth of the metal industries as a whole was the Finnish shipbuilding industry abose share of the reparations burden totaled 571 vessels of various types. Between 1944 and 1949 personnel employed in the steel shipbuilding industry increased from 4,560 to 7,404, or by 64 percent, while employment in the wooden and composite shipbuilding industry increased from 590 to 4,556 or by 670 percent. 15/

> 20 20

8

Nii

III. Trade and Credit Arrangements

A. Finland's Postwar Trade Pattern

Once committed to the maintanence of close political relations with the UEEE under the terms of the peace treaty of 1947 and the Finnish-Soviet Matual Assistance Fact of 1948, even aloser economic ties with the Soviet State proved to be inevitable. The expansion of Finnish commercial exchanges with the USEE, due in part to pressing postwar reconstruction meeds, the inaccessibility of vestern sources of supply and the heavy burden of reparations, brought about important modifications in the direction and composition of Finland's foreign trade.

Most striking, of course, has been the emerging prominence of Eastern Europe and the USSR in Finland's total trade picture. From the relatively insignificant .5 percent of total Finnish exports that want to the USSR in 1938, the proportion has mushroomed to a peak of 25.4 percent in 1953.* This increase deprived Great Britain of her role as Finland's chief trading partner for the first time since 1917. At the same time, the rest of the Eloc registered similar gains. Trilateral agreements with Eloc nations designed to compensate for Finnish-Soviet trade imbalances served to increase Finland's imports from the Eloc from 14.3 percent of total imports in 1947 to 34.4 percent in the peak year of 1953.*

It is important to note, however, that the elarming proportion of Finnish trade with the Soviet Bloc in 1953 was due less to any sizable increase in purchases from the East than the steady dealine in Finnish exports to the Free World after the Korenn Mar. See Table 2, Appendix A.

B. Trade Agreements

Prior to 1947 the exchange of goods, other than reparations, between Finland and the Soviet Union, proceeded on an ordinary clearing basis with payments made through dollar associaties opened by the Central Banks of both countries. However, under the terms of a trade agreement concluded in December 1947, Finland's commercial relations with the USSE were more firmly established and provisions for the most favored nation principle and the right of mutual free transit were agreed upon. The cosmodity composition of USSE-Finnish trade generally adhered to traditional patterns with Finland importing wheat, rye, sugar, cil products and fertilizers and paying for these imports with prefebricated humaing, sam timber, wood pulp and paper. 17/

By 1952, however, with reparations deliveries to the USER virtually fulfilled, a considerable change was evident in the structure of Finnish exports. For the first time in Finnish history, products of the greatly expended ship and metal industries accounted for a significant share of Finnish free exports to the USER This change in the cosmodity composition of Finnish exports was confirmed first in a 1952 supplement (to a Five year Finnish-Soviet trade agreement concluded in 1950) and then in a trade protocol signed in Hovember 1953, which established the level of trade between the two countries for 1954. Pursuant to the provisions of the latter agreement, mechany and equipment was to constitute 50 percent of Finland's exports to the USER in 1954, 34 percent of which was to



consist of ships. Wood and wood products accounted for 43 percent of the remainder. Finnish import commitments satisfied most of her requirements for grain, fodder, petroleum products, sugar and cotton. 18/

In July 1954, a second 5-year program for trade with the UESE was concluded covering the years 1956-1960. In general, the cosmodity component of Soviet-Finnish trade remained minilar to that of previous agreements. Exports will include ships smounting to 38.0 percent of the value of total exports to the UESE, products of the metal industries excunting to 22.0 percent and wood and paper products totaling 33.4 percent. 19/ Both Finnish exports to and imports from the USEE are scheduled to show a steady increase during the years 1956-1960. An example Finnish export surplus of \$40 million is to be compensated partly by trillsteral deliveries from the European Estellites and partly by convertible currency supplied by the UESE. A ceiling of 60 million rubles is established for the deficit which may be accumulated by either party and, if exceeded, the sum must be settled in gold, dollars or some other mitvally egreed upon surrency. 250/

C. <u>Credit and Loan Provisions</u>

In February 1954, Moscow granted Finland a \$10 million credit to be dream upon either in gold or foreign combange at the discretion of the Finns. The amount borrowed within the stated three year period, is to bear interest at 2.5 percent and is to be repaid in the same medium over a period of ten years. This, the first instance of a Soviet gold or convertible currency

11 *

(In-

loan granted to a non-Bloc nation, was followed a year later by a similar credit extended to Finland in January 1955. Thus far Finland has drawn a total of \$7.5 million to support supremey reserves of the Bank of Finland and for specially selected productive purposes.

D. Trilateral Arrengements

The use of trilateral agreements with Satellite countries to compensate for a surplus of Finnish exports to the USSR has been provided in the 1950 long term agreement and in all subsequent trade agrangements with the USSR. Trade imbalances with the USSR since 1951 have been all or partially covered at one time or another by trilateral arrangements with every Blos member except Bulgarie and Albanis. At this and of 1954 the Finnish credit in its clearing account with the USSR had been reduced to 21.5 million rubles as compared with nearly 30 million rubles a year earlier and shout 60 million at the peak during 1954. In an effort to reduce its indebtedness, the USSR not only encouraged trilateral trade arrangements with other Soviet Bloc countries but also made direct settlements with Finland in gold and foreign currencies totaling 40 million rubles chring 1954. 29/

The ruble clearing errangements, however, have substantially multified any edvantages which might have accrued from Finland's excess exports to the USSR. Unable to find suitable imports from the Soviet Eloc and in need of free world raw materials, Finland has been obliged to offer ruble

clearing to the West at substantial discounts. The Finnish government similarly has been required to subsidize, with considerable loss, the re-export of large quantities of unisable Soviet Bloc goods.

Neither the credit agreements nor the gold-convertible currency provisions in the 1956-60 trade agreement wholly solve Finland's problem of accumulated ruble clearing or restore to it the freedom of choice it would enjoy if Soviet payment for Finnish exports were made in hard

a a Ar 1 State

13

currency,

IV. Extent, Implications and Consequences of Finlandts Reliance on Trade with the Soviet Blog

A. Extent of Finnish Dependence on Bloc Trade

Finland's reliance upon the Soviet Bloc as the primary market for its industrial products, has in turn engendered what appears to be a substantial dependence upon the Bloc as a source of supply. Notwithstanding, Finnish re-exportation of a substantial portion of what she received from the East in an effort both to rid herself of unwanted imports and to purchase needed rew materials from the West, the fact remains that a significant share of Finland's foreign trade is firmly wedded to the markets and products of the USSR and her Satellites.

Despite a 4.5 percent decrease in Finnish-Soviet trade from 1953, trade data for 1954 indicate that Finland remained heavily dependent upon the East as a market for its industrial subput. Hinsty-six percent of the value of ship exports went to the East (while Finland itself purchased vessels abroad) as well as 90 percent of all exports of machinery, copper and copper products. While Finland's forest products are marketed largely in the West, 96 percent of all preferriested houses exports were sent to the USER in 1954. On the import side the USER supplied 72 percent by value of Finland's bread grain imports, 92 percent of its petroleum products (largely of Rumanian origin), 97 percent of its sugar, 43 percent of its cotton, 51 percent of its fodder and 52 percent of its fertilizers. Foland and the USER supplied 71 percent of Finland's coal and coke imports, and the Bloc supplied 58 percent of Finland's imported automobiles. 21/

If Soviet-Finnish commitments under the long-range trade agreement are net, Finnish dependence upon Soviet Bloc imports will measurehly increase. In the case of petroleus products, for example, it has been reported that of Finnish requirements for gas in 1955, 68 percent is to come from the USSR, 16 percent from Rumania, 16 percent from other countries; of Finnish requirements of light fuel oil, 70 percent is to come from the USSR, and 30 percent from Rumania; and of Finnish requirements of residual fuel oil, 51 percent is to come from Rumania. 22/

But perhaps of even more significance in linking Finland's foreign trade to that of the Blog, has been the forced expansion of Finnish industry, geared to Soviet import requirements, which took place under the compulsion of the reparations program. The serious decline in world demand for wood and wood products, Finland's inshility to find suitable markets in the West for goods primarily fashioned to Soviet meeds and the generally non-competitive character of Finnish exports (particularly in ship construction, which according to the FEED mission to Finland, is produced at a cost 30 to 40 percents above Western shippards 55/) make it all the more imperative that Finland setain her markets in the Fest.

15

2.5

в.

Implications and Consequences of Finnish Reliance upon Blog Trade

The economic and political implications of this progressive increase in Finland's trade with the Soviet Blos are viewed with missivings by most non-communist observers both in and out of Finland. On the export side, the Finnish shipbuilding and metal industries continue to rely almost exclusively on the Soviet market. Finish reliance for supplies on the Bloc, and the USER in particular, is now virtually complete for petrolaum, coal, bread grains, sugar, Sodder and fertilizers and significant in the case of iron and steel, ferro-alloys, cotton and a number of other industrial rest materials. Imports of machinery, tractors and sutomobiles hitherto drawn almost entirely from Western sources, have recently been received in increasing volume from the Soviet Union, Creeboslovakia and East Germany.

That such a dependence upon the Soviet Blos constitutes a latent danger to the country's independence and makes Finland politically and economically vulnerable to Soviet pressure, has been made clear to the Finns on a number of occasions. Perhaps the must recent demonstration of the pitfells of over dependence on Soviet markets has been the serious declins in the Soviet market for Finnish exports of profabricated houses. Soviet imports have decreased from the approximately \$12.4 million in 1952 and the \$28.1 million in 1953 to less than \$24.1 million in 1954. Finnish anticipation of a

Finland's dependence upon the Howist market is evidenced by the fact that of a total 1954 export of 787,000 square meters, the USEB took 754,000 square meters.

750 thousand square mater annual export to the USSR as provided for in 1956, was frustrated with the Soviet announcement that it would take only 250 thousand square maters in 1955. 24/ The prospect of finding compensatory markets for this high cost industry are indeed alim and at this point it is difficult to gauge the economic dislocations which the curtailment of production in one of Finland's most important branches of industry, will occasion.

On the other hand, while the mituation remains potentially dangerous for the Finns, there sprears little indication that it portonis any inmediate threat to Finnish economic or political integrity. If the Soviet Union were to cut her trade ties with Finland auddenly, and if western markets could egain absorb the bulk of Pinland's traditional export items, Finland could adjust to new patterns of production, consumption and foreign trade with non-Bloc areas. The period of adjustment would be uncomfortable, but not fatal. Finland's metal working industries already sell 70 to 80 percent 25/of their output to the home market. If costs could be measurably decreased, or subsidies erranged, modernization of Finlendis over age merchant fleet, repair of rolling stock, stor could provide ample employment for its shipyards and notal industries for years to come. The failure of Russian supplies of grain, oil and coal could presumably be made good from the Free World. On the import side in terms of Western resources, Finnish ennual requirements of 200,000 tons of when and 2,000,000 tons of soal, do not bulk very large.

The degree of Finnish economic dependence on the Seriet Union appears howhere near the prependerant degree of economic penderation of the Bollam states by preser Genneny where 60 to 70 percent of their trade use with Genneny. Even in Finlandie yeak year of trade with the deviat Bloc (1953) over two-thirds of its trade was still with the Free World; and prospects do not seen very good for Finno-Seriet trade to encode substantially present properties. Trade with the MSB to a large degree, will be governed by the chility of Finland to find matteble inports from the USSE and to decrease its already sizable semialistics of incentrovertible Bloc currencies, and Seriet willingsons to increase her purchases of Finland's forest products since it fixelf is an important producer of tigher.

Of course there is little ressen to believe that the Seriets would besitute to strengt to scattle Finnish industry by a culden containent of trade, if Finnish behavior warnended such a drestiis measure. But bearing such a containgency - and there is little to indicate that Finland is soon to reputients its attitude of "intendly neutrality" towards the USER any further Seriet commic presence upon the Finns which they deem inimical to Finnish national interests is likely to result in a net political loss for the USER.

It might be added, however, that Finnish relience upon the Soviet Bloc is not only of potentially surface consequence to Finland Stable, but to all of the Free World. For Finland's trade with the East poses not only a

latent danger to its oan independence but a convenient mechanism by which the USER is able to skirt western export restrictions. Finlend has received from western sources yes materials and components for use in the production of strategic goods for the Soviet Bloc. Not currently receiving comparis or financial essistance from the United States, Finland is not subject to the provisions of the Battle Ant and there is, at the present time, no legal constion which can be invoked to force Finnish agreement to the deletion of strategic items from its East-West trade. The advisability of inducing COOM agreement to impose aport controls on Finland's vestern suppliars, is open to question. Such pressure would unloabtedly be filergely resented in Finland and would parhaps serve to force her further into the arms of her huge neighbor to the East.

C. The Finnish View

Although it is difficult to generalize the many divergent views of increased economic ties with the USER held by the Finns themselves, the climate of official opinion has become sufficiently solidified to warrant some impressions. Influential Finns are apparently well essure of the potent political weapon which their sconomic dependence has given the USER. However, faced with the immediate alternative of either deactivating the costly metal and shiphutiding establishments or relying on the Soviet bloc to buy enough of their products to sustain these industries, the Finns have apparently, to judge from the details of the 1956-60 agreement, chosen the latter course. To a large extent Finnish opinion is crystallized in the views of former Prime Minister Makkomon who has velcomed the excessive development of Finland's shipbuilding and iron and steel industries and hopes that Finland may win a high level of prosperity through increased connercial exchanges with the USSE:

At the conclusion of Finnish reparations payments to the USSR in September 1953, Kekkonen declared:

"But on the day upon which war reparations conclude we must also and by preference, look forward. We must guarantee full activity in our modern industry which has sprung up on the basis of the war reparations. We can best do this by maintaining close and trusting trade relations with the Soviet Union, which knows our products and through experience relies upon our capacity to deliver. If we can manage to take of our trade with the Soviet Union in proper fashion them sight years of plenty will follow the eight Lean years." 26/

Others are not hesitant to blaze the vestern powers for Finland's present predicement in having permitted Russia to impose such heavy burdens on Finland at the end of the war. But almost all are satisfied with their postwar economic achievement and feel confident that they can hold their can against possible Russian encroschments.

20 SEGRE

*J

APPENDIX A

Supporting Statistical Data

100.0	46,970.5	100.0	G,820.5	100-0	Coloata	
- XX	\$-22046	1.6	1442	te	Second Second	
81	1-102-1	0.0	0			Of Change
4-3	£007.6	0.0	to	te	7 944	Tel fant. Stantan
-	é conte	10.2			100	
7-9		S	24			
	2,202.3	0~3	16.7	÷.	3/0.4	
-	Tr.M	1.6	105.8	20.0	4722.7	Bathandana.
	3,017,1	よん	1.015-8	4.6	2.165	
	2,252.3	513	3,500,6	6-31	Contraction	Transfer of
فيو ،	5,305-8	0.61	1,22.9			Saradan
1947 Ferrom	Valme 1	945 Percent	Value	Patroaut	Nature 1	USISIR A CARACTERISTIC

•

.

:

5,322.5 7,070.4 Value Con B 100.0 R Percent 6.0 2 2 2 6.9 4.4 5-2 5 6-1 11,886.6 8,746.1 8,883.4 14,694.4 14,694.414,694.4 14,694.4 14,694.414,694.4 14,694.414,694.4 14,694.414,694.4 14,694.414,694.4 14,694.414,694.414,694.4 14,69 Value 1000 2 3 Percen 8 6.8 16 **8** 8 2-7 9.6 7.6 laports in million mk and as percent of total imports. 6,787.5 22,026.4 10,237.4 5,023.0 9,663.3 18,011.8 18,011.8 18,011.8 ம,வெ.ப 22,040.3 Value S B 50 S.S TOT Percent 7-7 **U N** 12.4 12.1 3.7 5.8 5,2005 5, 26,135.1 Value 55 P. 100.0 5.7 28.1 С. О 12 ŝ 7.7 3.0 ercent **h**-**h** 45,169.0 27,013.0 6,933.0 3,584.0 10,149.0 9,826.0 9,826.0 1,550.0 9,215.0 7,040.0 Value 15 3.0 50 100.0 29.6 Percent 5 6•5 17.8 *****5 6.7 2.4 5

Interdes

Benk of Finland Monthly Bulletin, March 1955.

Sources: Statistical Yearbook of Finland, Helsinkt, 1954.

H

56,504.8	100.0	free's	100.0	5,221.8	100.0	8,398.0	Tract
10,324.		8,8301	1.	215.0	12.5	1,048.2	
5,363.2	11.5	5,193.00	3-9	94,66	9.2		Otheres
3,165							United States
16,000.3		D.STRA	2 ki	Toot		9113 	
2,155.2	ND.	2250	1.6	6.40			dted Elmonicon
1-1104	9.5	- Marces			ې به د	241 2	Balgium - Luxanbourg
رو	ares Maria Maria		Э л	26.7	A.5	6-426	Hetherlands
7 HA	0,1		ř	ł	14.8	1,243.7	Actinents
3,593.2	72	3.238.3	6*0T	STUC	3.2	6*012	
3,082.5	2	5555	15.9	6-15.	10		Benmerk
6-102'8		2.0011	N.	Cocher	0	bolk of	Sæden
	100 A				?		UISSIR
	Pennet	Value	Percent	Value	Purcent	Value	

Finland',

156.610	100.0	1314555-2	100-0	156,829.2	100.0	106,883-3	100.0	81,478.7	0.0
Safe of	29.0	26,259.8	22-0	5-154 K	34	47,532-6	13	20,425.2	2012
S.B.	7.2	61194 ¹⁶	345	8,601.2	5.8	12,758.7	4.6	7,639.0	R
		5,0026	7.0	12861	6.4	naut		4,436.5	
3,169	22.0	28,974.6	8.EX	TUSE	30.8	N-316-8	3	E-ED-SE	20
1,000	2.8	3,601	2.7	1,176.8	3.0	5,545.6	1	3,331.2	6
£3041	4.5	5,987.6	6-4	1.73-3	S SE	9,435-2	8.0	6,552.0	MO
11,500	7.0	1-5816	9-2	14,489.8	7-1	13,327.9	5.5	4,459.8	3.0
5,305	3-5	4,583-3	3.4	5,304.8	5	8,362.0	1	5,990-7	64
1,205	3.2	4,244-3	1.0	6,323.6	3.3	5,849.2	42	3,396.0	E
23,720	25. Å	23,468.9	17-5	27,496.3	8.3	15,548.9	7.6	6,238,6	15-3
Value	Percent	Value	Ferreent	Value	Percent	Value	Parcent	Value	Percent
	كتر	195		S.	R	51	50	61	

reliminary figures.

N.



TABLE 2

FINLANDS TOTAL TRADE WITH VARIOUS TRADING AREAS

1938 and 1950 - 1954 (Billions of Finnmarks) 1938 1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 Dollar Area 1 1.6 14.6 25.7 23.1 16.4 16.9 Sterling Area 2 5.9 46.5 102.8 76.9 50.9 68.2 EPU Except for UK and Ireland 3 7.9 64.9 140.9 140.6 83.9 103.6 Sino-Soviet Bloc 4 .7 26.0 46.0 69.1 83.3 87.5 Other Countries .8 18.3 26.7 29.0 19.0 32.5 Total 16.9 170.3 342.1 338.7 253.5 308.7

2

1 Dollar Area: To 1952, US and Canada. After 1952, US, Canada, Marico, Cuba and Venezuela

- Sterling Area: To 1952, UK, Ireland, Karrt, South Africa, Southarn Bhodesia, India, Pakistan, Geylon, British Malaya, Australia and New Zealand. After 1952, the UK and Commonwealth plus India.
- EPU Area: Sweden, Norway, Denmark, Iceland, Netherlands, Belgium, Luxembourg, West Garmany, Austria, France, Italy, Greece, Turkey, Portugal and Switzerland 3
- Sino-Sov Bloc: USSR, Poland, Czechoalovakia, East Garmany, Hungary, Rumania, Bulgaria, 4
- Sources: Figures for 1938, 1950, 1951, 1952: State, Helsinki, Desp. No. 496, 16 Feb 53, enc. no. 3, p. 1, U, 1952 and 1953, State, Helsinki Desp, 386, 23 Feb 55, enc. no. 6, p. 1, U.



SEGILI

Year	Percent	ST Imports	Percent of	f Exports
	USSR	BLOC	USSR	BLOC
1913	28.1		27.9	
1938	1.2		•5	
1946	21.4		20.1	
.947	11.3	14.3	12.4	31.1
.948	12.5	19.	14.7	31.8
.949	11.4	19.5	15.3	32.8
.950	7.9	18.	7.7	20.7
.951	7.6	16.	8.3	17.1
.952	12.1	19.4	17.5	25.6
953	21.4	34.4	25.4	31.4
954	17.8	28.7	21.5	27.9

TABLE 3

* Based on current value and including reparations and transfers of former German Assets.

Sources: Bank of Finland Monthly Bulletin, No 1-2, Jan-Feb 55, U. Value Series data prepared by International Economic Analysis Division, Bureau of Foreign Commerce, U.

_		Exports	Imports
Soviet Union	. N. 1	33,718.4	20, 327.7
Poland		3,354.3	6,452.3
East Germany		2,702.0	4,522.0
Czechoslovakie		1,043.9	4,171.9
Hungary		793.9	1,152.5
Rumania		520.6	6,105.3
Bulgaria		113.8	274.2
Dhina		1.514.9	655.1
Total		43,761.9	43,711.0

<u></u>25

UTIC 8

(Millions of Finnmarks)

Sources:

TABLE 4

Finland's Belance of Trade with Soviet Bloc. 1954 by Country of Origin/Destination

Commodity Trade of Finland with the USSR 1948-53

		(Thousands of U.S. dollars)				
	1948	1949	1950	1951	1952	1953
Food, Beverages and Tobacco	2,893	3,091	405		1,596	14
Wood and Wood Manufactureal	2 57,315	44,293	16,113	46,136	69,117	56,100
Chemicals	84	60	9		1,748	901
Manufactured Goods	21,780	11,361	2,705	4,599	14,209	19,231
Machinery and Transporta- tion equipment	64,387	77,287	45,447	70,044	68,274	68,994
Miscellaneous Manufactured Articles and Others	455	164	123	292	56	282
Total	146,914	136.256	64,802	121.071	155,000	145,522
			Importa	from the U	58R	
Foodstuffs	37,913	19,525	16,469	30,750	64,611	60,169
Beverages and Tobacco	703	132	426	68 5	616	394
Inedible Crude materials except Fuel	1,492	2,160	1,220	2,439	3,517	9,519
Minerel fuels	2,351	4,732	2,676	2,682	1,200	7,101
Chemicals	2,335	2,057	1,156	176	967	2,740
Manufactured Goods	5,401	4,831	413	3,280	9,734	7,614
Miscellaneous and Others	1,012	1,443	1,316	1,378	936	2,110
Total	51,207	34.880	23.676	41.390	81.581	89.647

NE WE Ve NO

(a, b)

a. Including war reparations.

b. Including synthetic fibers and wood pulp.

Source: CIA, RR

24 August 54, 8,

		Б л.
TABLE	6	1

	Projected Tra- Trade	ie of Finl Agreement	and with the US 1956-60	SR
••••••				Thousand US \$
Year	Importa		Exporta	Balance
1956	107,500		147,500	‡40,000
1957	108,700		148,700	440,000
1958	117,500		157,500	\$40,000
1959	120,000		160,000	440,000
1960	123,700		163,700	440,000
	ر می می می می می بید این			

÷. Source: CIA, CIA, 24 Aug 54, Finnish Soviet Five Year Trade Agreement 1955-60, p. 10, S, h.

APPENDIX B

METHODOLOGY AND GAPS IN INPELLINGACE

There were no special methodological problems encountered in the writing of this paper.

There were no cutatending gaps in intelligence, Adequate coverege of Finlend's trade with the USSE substantially leasened the problem posed by the absence of Soviet statistical date.

A constanting of the

APPENDICE C

OURO ES

- Kaila, Toivo T. "Russia's Place in the Foreign Trade of Finland", <u>Bank of</u> <u>Finland Monthly Bulletin</u>, Noi9, September 1928, p. 27-29 in Flatt, Raye.
 <u>Finland and its Geography</u>, New York, 1955, p. 284. U.
- 2. U.S. Department of Commerce. Foreign Commerce Yearbook, 1999, Washington, 1942, U.

i. Lina

- Wright, J. H. Finland (oversees Economic Surveys), London, 1953, p. 1. U
 State, IR 6402, 23 Nov 53, Finland's Current Economic Problems, p. 21, C
 Platt, op. cit., p. 167
- 5a. State, IR 6402, 23 Nov 53, Finlands Surrent Economic Problems, p. 21, G.

 State, IR 6301.3, 24 Aug 54, <u>Soviet External Trade and Finance - A Desig</u> Study, p. 65-78, 8

7. CIA, 24 Aug 54, Finnish-Saviet Five Year Trade Agreement, 1955-1960, 8, .2

8. Cost of reparations in millions of surrent dollars extracted from <u>Ibid</u>., Table 1, p. 8

9. Finland's war reparations to the UESR except cost of reparations in millions of current dollars, State, IR 6402, 23 Nov 53, Finlands Current Economic Problems, p. 23, S

10. World Exports, 1935-1937 from Statistical Yearbook of Finland, Helsinki 1955, F.

11. Reparations, 1944-1952 from States IH 6585, 23 June 54, Recent Trends in

Finnish Shipbuilding, p. 3, 8

- 12. Nevy, ONI 818-52, 11 Nov 52, 0.
- Luther, Georg. "The Structure of Industrial Activity in Finland", Bank of Finland Monthly Bulletin, March 55, vol. 29, No. 3, p. 20, U
 State, IR 6402, 23 Nov 53, Finland's Current Economic Problems, p. 28, 0.
- 15. X
- 16. Sources for table based on Bank of Finland Monthly Bullatin, No. 1-2, Jan-Feb 155, and value series data prepared by the International Economic Analysis Division, Bureau of Foreign Commerce, U
- 17. Palmiroth, Gunnar. "Trade Between Finland and the USSR", Bank of Finland Monthly Bulletin, No. 9, Sept 154, p. 21, U
- 18. CIA, 24 Aug 54, Finnish-Soviet Five Year Trade Agreement, 1955-1960, p. 3, 8,

19, "New Trade Agreement with the USBR", Hank of Finland Monthly Bulletin, July, 1954, U

19a. State, Helsinki, Desp. No. 40, 30 July 54, S. P. 2

20,

21. State, Helsinki, Desp. 386, 23 Fab 55, p. 4, Off Use Only,

22, CIA, 00. , 22 Aug \$5, 0;

23. State, IR 6585, 23 June 54, Recent Trends in Finnish Shipbuilding, p. 16, S

30 _{2.3}

 c_{eff}

24. State, Helsinki, Desp.242, 31 Jan 55, Off Use Only,

25, State, Selsinki, Desp,413, 12 Jan 53, 8,

26. State, Helsinki, Desp. 197, 24 Sept 52, 0,