FEB-14-2013 16:33 FROM:

NATIONAL SECURITY PROJECT





TO:703 613 3007

P.1/11

F-2013-00829

February 14, 2013

Information and Privacy Coordinator Central Intelligence Agency Washington, D.C. 20505 Fax: 703.613.3007

To the Information and Privacy Coordinator:

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION

MAT "NAL O'I CE 117 BRUAD SIRTET 1818 (E NEW YORK NY 10604-2400 "212 547 2506 WWW AULD ORG

OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS EUSAN N. HERMAN ERCS.DEW?

ANTHONY D. ROMERO. FRE- JOYR DIRECTOR The accompanying FOIA Request was submitted in hard-copy format as an overnight parcel via USPS on February 13, 2013. At 11:07 this morning, I received an electronic notice from the USPS that a delivery had been attempted but failed at the above mailing address. A representative at the CIA's FOIA hotline informed me that a member of your team will soon pick up the parcel from the post office holding it. In the meantime, please accept this Fax version of the Request as a substitute, and begin processing immediately.

Zachary Evan Levine
American Civil Liberties Union
Foundation
125 Broad Street 18th Floor
New York, NY 10004
Fax: 212.549.2654

> (b)(6) (b)(6)

in the second

Approved for Release: 2022/11/22 C05966589



NATIONAL SECURITY PROJECT



February 13, 2013

TD:703 613 3007

Information and Privacy Coordinator Central Intelligence Agency Washington, D.C. 20505

OSD/JS FOIA Requester Service Center Office of Freedom of Information 1155 Defense Pentagon Washington, DC 20301-1155

Office of Information Programs and Services, A/GIS/IPS/RL U.S. Department of State Washington, D.C. 20522-8100

Cannen L. Mallon, Chief of Staff Office of Information Policy U.S. Department of Justice 1425 New York Avenue, N.W., Suite 11050 Washington, D.C. 20530-0001

Re: <u>Request Under Freedom of Information Act /</u> Expedited Processing Requested

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter constitutes a request ("Request") pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 U.S.C. § 552 et seq., and various relevant implementing regulations, see 32 C.F.R. § 1900 (Central Intelligence Agency); 28 C.F.R. § 16.1 (Department of Justice); 32 C.F.R. § 286 (Department of Defense); and 22 C.F.R. § 171.10 et seq. (Department of State). The Request is submitted by the American Civil Liberties Union and the American Civil Liberties Union Foundation (together, the "ACLU" or the "Requesters").¹

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES

MA CONAL OFFICE 175 BROAD STREE LOTH F NEW YORK NY SUCUL 2460 72212 SEP 2045 WWW AFULCUNG

OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS 5. 54N N. HERMAN PSTONEN.

ART-UNY L. HOMERO FRF: DOME 2-820708

¹ The American Civil Liberties Union is a non-profit, 26 U.S.C. § 501(c)(4) membership organization that educates the public about the civil liberties implications of pending and proposed state and federal legislation, provides analysis of pending and proposed legislation, directly lobbies legislators, and mobilizes its members to lobby their legislators. The American Civil Liberties Union Foundation is a separate 26 U.S.C.

P.3/11

Requesters seek the disclosure of the recently adopted report of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence relating to the CIA's post-9/11 program of rendition, detention, and interrogation (the "Report").

举 帝 安

The Senate Select Committee on Intelligence ("SSCI") voted on Thursday, December 13, 2012, to approve a report detailing the findings of its three-year investigation of the CIA's rendition, detention, and interrogation program in the years after 9/11. According to the SSCI chairperson, the Report-which totals nearly 6,000 pages-is "the most definitive review" to be conducted of the CIA's program, including the Agency's use of so-called "enhanced interrogation techniques." See, e.g., Benjamin Wittes, Senate Intelligence Committee Interrogation Report Approved-But Not Released, Lawfarc, Dec. 14, 2012, http://bit.ly/Vwltwf; Natasha Lennard, Senate-Approved CIA Torture Report Kept Under Wraps, Salon, Dec. 14, 2012, http://bit.ly/SWHsgh; Scott Shane, Senate Panel Approves Findings Critical of Detainee Interrogations, N.Y. Times, Dec. 13, 2012, http://nyti.ms/VwdORk; Carrie Johnson, Report On CIA Interrogation Tactics Revives Torture Debate, NPR, Dec. 13, 2012, http://n.pr/VDKWm0; Mark Hosenball, Senators to Vote on Probe of CIA Interrogation Program, Reuters, Dec. 6, 2012, http://reut.rs/RbuL3T.

In the course of its investigation, which began in 2009, the SSCI reviewed millions of pages of records documenting the day-to-day operations of the CIA's interrogation program. The Commission's intent was to produce "a detailed, factual description of how interrogation techniques were used, the conditions under which detainces were held, and the intelligence that was—or wasn't—gained from the program." Joint Statement from Senator Dianne Feinstein, Chairman, Senate Intelligence Committee, Apr. 27, 2012, http://l.usa.gov/IKjkq0.

The Report is of clear and enormous public importance. The American public has a right to know the full truth, based on a comprehensive government investigation, about the torture and other abusive treatment of detainees authorized by officials at the highest levels of our government.

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES

[§] 501(c)(3) organization that provides legal representation free of charge to individuals and organizations in civil rights and civil liberties cases, educates the public about civil rights and civil liberties issues across the country, provides analyses of pending and proposed legislation, directly lobbies legislators, and mobilizes the American Civil Liberties Union's members to lobby their legislators.

According to SSCI members, the Report puts to rest claims that the use of torture led to the capture of Osama bin Laden, a topic that continues to generate public debate. The Committee chairperson, Senator Feinstein, has said—based on her familiarity with the Committee's investigation—that "none of [the evidence that led to bin Laden] came as a result of harsh interrogation practices." Scott Shane and Charlie Savage, *Bin Laden Raid Revives Debate on Value of Torture*, N.Y. Times, May 3, 2011, http://nyti.ms/jDg9Ob; Mark Hosenball, *Exclusive: Senate Probe Finds Little Evidence of Effective "Torture*," Reuters, Apr. 7, 2012, http://reut.rs/ItLmpH.

Release of the Report is therefore critical to ensure timely public access to a congressional investigative report of historic significance. Other official investigative reports have been made available to the public: for example, the Senate Armed Services Committee Report, which concerned the Department of Defense's involvement in detainee abuses, was released in full in April 2009. The SSCI's Report likewise ought to be released.

I. Record Requested

Requesters seek disclosure of the SSCI's recently adopted report on the CIA's rendition, detention, and interrogation program in the years following 9/11.

With respect to the form of production, see 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(3)(B), we request that the Report be provided electronically in a text-searchable, static-image format (PDF), in the best image quality in the agency's possession.

II. Application for Expedited Processing

We request expedited processing pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E) and 32 C.F.R. § 1900.34(c); 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(d); 32 C.F.R. § 286.4(d)(3); and 22 C.F.R. § 171.12(b). There is a "compelling need" for these records, as defined in the statute and regulations, because the information requested is urgently needed by an organization primarily engaged in disseminating information in order to inform the public about actual or alleged government activity. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(v); see *also* 32 C.F.R. § 1900.34(c)(2); 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(d)(1)(ii); 32 C.F.R. § 286.4(d)(3)(ii); 22 C.F.R. § 171.12(b)(2). In addition, the records sought relate to a "breaking news story of general public interest," 32 C.F.R. § 1900.34(c)(2) (providing for expedited processing when "the information is relevant to a subject of public urgency concerning an actual or alleged Federal government activity"); see also 32 C.F.R. § 286.4(d)(3)(ii)(A); 22 C.F.R. § 171.12(b)(2)(i).

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES

P.5/11

A. The ACLU is an organization primarily engaged in disseminating information in order to inform the public about actual or alleged government activity.

The ACLU is "primarily engaged in disseminating information" within the meaning of the statute and relevant regulations. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(v)(II); 32 C.F.R. § 1900.34(c)(2); 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(d)(1)(ii); 32 C.F.R. § 286.4(d)(3)(ii); 22 C.F.R. § 171.12(b)(2). See ACLU v. Dep't of Justice, 321 F. Supp. 2d 24, 30 n.5 (D.D.C. 2004) (finding that a non-profit, public-interest group that "gathers information of potential interest to a segment of the public, uses its editorial skills to turn the raw material into a distinct work, and distributes that work to an audience" is "primarily engaged in disseminating information" (internal citation omitted)); see also Leadership Conference on Civil Rights v. Gonzales, 404 F. Supp. 2d 246, 260 (D.D.C. 2005) (finding Leadership Conference—whose mission is "to serve as the site of record for relevant and up-to-the-minute civil rights news and information" and to "disseminate[] information regarding civil rights and voting rights to educate the public [and] promote effective civil rights laws"-to be "primarily engaged in the dissemination of information").

Dissemination of information about actual or alleged government activity is a critical and substantial component of the ACLU's mission and work. The ACLU disseminates this information to educate the public and promote the protection of civil liberties. The ACLU's regular means of disseminating and editorializing information obtained through FOIA requests include: a paper newsletter distributed to approximately 450,000 people; a bi-weekly electronic newsletter distributed to approximately 300,000 subscribers; published reports, books, pamphlets, and fact sheets; a widely read blog; heavily visited websites, including an accountability microsite, http://www.aclu.org/accountability; and a video series.

The ACLU also regularly issues press releases to call attention to documents obtained through FOIA requests, as well as other breaking news.² ACLU attorneys are interviewed frequently for news stories about

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES

² See, e.g., Relcase, American Civil Liberties Union, Documents Show FBI Monitored Bay Area Occupy Movement, Sept. 14, 2012, http://www.aclu.org/node/36742; Press Release, American Civil Liberties Union, FOIA Documents Show FBI Using "Mosque Outreach" for Intelligence Gathering, Mar. 27, 2012, http://www.aclu.org/nationalsecurity/foia-documents-show-fbi-using-mosque-outreach-intelligence-gathering; Press Release, American Civil Liberties Union, FOIA Documents Show FBI Illegally Collecting Intelligence Under Guise of "Community Outreach," Dec. 1, 2011, http://www.aclu.org/national-security/foia-documents-show-fbi-illegally-collectingintelligence-under-guise-community; Press Release, American Civil Liberties Union, FOIA Documents from FBI Show Unconstitutional Racial Profiling, Oct. 20, 2011, http://www.aclu.org/national-security/foia-documents-fbi-show-unconstitutional-racialprofiling; Press Release, American Civil Liberties Union, Documents Obtained by ACLU Show Sexual Abuse of Immigration Detainees is Widespread National Problem, Oct. 19,

P.6/11

documents released through ACLU FOIA requests.3

The ACLU website specifically includes features on information about actual or alleged government activity obtained through FOIA.⁴ For example, the ACLU maintains an online "Torture Database," a compilation of over 100,000 FOIA documents that allows researchers and the public to conduct sophisticated searches of FOIA documents relating to government policies on rendition, detention, and interrogation.⁵ The ACLU also maintains a "Torture FOIA" webpage containing commentary about the ACLU's FOIA request, press releases, and analysis of the FOIA documents.⁶ (That webpage also notes that the ACLU, in collaboration with Columbia University Press, has published a book about the documents obtained through FOIA. See Jameel Jaffer & Amrit Singh, Administration of Torture: A Documentary Record from Washington to Abu Ghraib and Beyond (Columbia Univ. Press 2007)). Similarly, the ACLU's webpage about the Office of Legal Counsel ("OLC") torture memos obtained through FOIA contains commentary and analysis of the memos; an original, comprehensive chart summarizing the memos; links to web features created by ProPublica (an independent, non-profit, investigative-journalism organization) based on the ACLU's information gathering, research, and analysis; and ACLU videos about the memos.⁷ In

2011, http://www.aclu.org/immigrants-rights-prisoners-rights-prisonersrights/documents-obtained-aclu-show-sexual-abuse; Press Release, American Civil Liberties Union, New Evidence of Abuse at Bagram Underscores Need for Full Disclosure About Prison, Says ACLU, June 24, 2009, http://www.aclu.org/nationalsecurity/new-cvidence-abuse-bagram-underscores-need-full-disclosure-about-prisonsays-aclu.

³ See, e.g., Carric Johnson, Delay in Releasing CIA Report Is Sought; Justice Dep't Wants More Time to Review IG's Findings on Detainee Treatment, Wash. Post, June 20, 2009 (quoting ACLU staff attorney Amrit Singh); Peter Finn & Julie Tate, CIA Mistaken on 'High-Value' Detainee, Document Shows, Wash. Post, June 16, 2009 (quoting ACLU staff attorney Ben Wizner); Scott Shane, Lawsuits Force Disclosures by C.I.A., N.Y. Times, June 10, 2009 (quoting ACLU National Security Project director Jameel Jaffer); Joby Warrick, Like FBI, CIA Has Used Secret 'Letters,' Wash. Post, Jan. 25, 2008 (quoting ACLU staff attorney Melissa Goodman).

⁴ See, e.g., http://www.aclu.org/national-security/predator-drone-foia; http://www.aclu.org/national-security/anwar-al-awlaki-foia-request; http://www.aclu.org/torturefoia; http://www.aclu.org/olememos; http://www.aclu.org/mappingthefbi; http://www.aclu.org/national-security/bagram-foia; http://www.aclu.org/safefree/torture/csrtfoia.html; http://www.aclu.org/natsec/foia/search.html; http://www.aclu.org/safefree/nsaspying/30022res20060207.html; http://www.aclu.org/patriotfoia; http://www.aclu.org/spyfiles; http://www.aclu.org/safefree/nationalsecurity/etters/32140res20071011.html; and http://www.aclu.org/exclusion.

- ⁵ http://www.torturedatabase.org.
- ⁶ http://www.aclu.org/torturefoia.
- ⁷ http://www.aclu.org/safcfree/general/olc_memos.html.

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES

addition to websites, the ACLU has produced an in-depth television series on civil liberties, which has included analysis and explanation of information the ACLU has obtained through FOIA.

The ACLU plans to analyze and disseminate to the public the information gathered through this Request. The record requested is not sought for commercial use, and the Requesters plan to disseminate the information disclosed as a result of this Request to the public at no cost.⁸

B. The record sought is urgently needed to inform the public about actual or alleged government activity.

The SSCI Report is urgently needed to inform the public about actual or alleged government activity; moreover, this document relates to a breaking news story of general public interest, specifically, the CIA's rendition, detention and interrogation program and its authorization of abusive techniques between 2002 and 2009. See 32 C.F.R. § 1900.34(c)(2); 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(d)(1)(ii); 32 C.F.R. § 286.4(d)(3)(ii)(A); 22 C.F.R. § 171.12(b)(2).

We make this Request to further the public's understanding of the CIA's program and the role of senior officials in conceiving of and authorizing the use of abusive interrogation techniques in the wake of September 11, 2001. The public has and continues to manifest an abiding interest in the conduct of the CIA and other executive agencies with respect to individuals seized, detained, and interrogated for counterterrorism purposes. While U.S. intelligence officials have acknowledged that the CIA used harsh and coercive interrogation techniques, Congress's investigation sets forth the most comprehensive account to date of what happened and why, and it is imperative that its findings be made public.

Over the past year, national news stories have highlighted the significance of the SSCI investigation for the public record. In the run-up to the committee vote last December, a host of articles and editorials were published emphasizing how important it is for the Report to be made public. See, e.g., Ed Pilkington, Senate Under Pressure to Release Mammoth Report on CIA Interrogation, The Guardian (U.K.), Dec. 13, 2012, http://bit.ly/VECh2J; US Senate Panel to Vote on CIA Interrogations Report, AFP, Dec. 11, 2012, http://bit.ly/Z0ah1A; Carolyn

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION

⁸ In addition to the national ACLU offices, there are 53 ACLU affiliate and national chapter offices located throughout the United States and Puerto Rico. These offices further disseminate ACLU material to local residents, schools, and organizations through a variety of means, including their own websites, publications, and newsletters. Further, the ACLU makes archived materials available at the American Civil Liberties Union Archives at Princeton University Library.

Lochhead, Dianne Feinstein Torture Report May Conflict with Bin Laden Movie, SFGate Blog, Dec. 11, 2012, http://bit.ly/USwxpl; Matt Bewig, Senate Report on CIA Torture Techniques May Remain Secret, AllGov, Dec. 10, 2012, http://bit.ly/VLaXWE; Jim Kouri, Senate Democrats Urge Probe of CIA Interrogations During Bush Years, Examiner, Dec. 7, 2012, http://exm.nr/TZTQuk; Mark Hosenball, Senators to Vote on Probe of CLA Interrogation Program, Reuters, Dec. 6, 2012, http://reut.rs/RbuL3T; Editorial, Our View: Snowe, Committee Should Release Torture Report, Portland Press Herald, Nov. 23, 2012, http://bit.ly/RYpVnf. For the past several weeks, nationwide media outlets have continued to call for the Report's public release, emphasizing its critical importance. See, e.g., Mark Hosenball, CIA Nominee Had Detailed Knowledge of "Enhanced Interrogation Techniques," Reuters, Jan. 30, 2013, http://reut.rs/XgF44v; Matt Sledge, John Brennan Nomination Seen As Opening to Push for CIA Toriure Report Release, Huffington Post, Jan. 8, 2013, http://huff.to/VD00SR; Conor Friedersdorf, Does it Matter if John Brennan was Complicit in Illegal Torture?, The Atlantic, Jan. 8, 2013, http://bit.ly/WqxuSu; Adam Serwer, Obama's CIA Pick to Face Questions on Torture, Mother Jones, Jan. 8, 2013, http://bit.ly/VNAfiw.

The contents of the Report will inform urgent and ongoing debate about the CIA interrogation program. The SSCI Report provides "the public with a comprehensive narrative of how torture insinuated itself into U.S. policy," a narrative that "is of more than historical interest" as the nation's lawmakers move forward. Editorial, *Free the Torture Report*, L.A. Times, Apr. 27, 2012, http://lat.ms/ImBMZ9. See also Scott Shane, *No Charges Filed on Harsh Tactics Used by the C.I.A.*, N.Y. Times, Aug. 30, 2012, http://nyti.ms/RuZNRX; Mark Hosenball, *Exclusive: Senate Probe Finds Little Evidence of Effective "Torture*," Reuters, Apr. 27, 2012, http://reut.rs/ItLmpH; Marcy Wheelet, *Right on Cue, the Counter-Argument to the Torture Apology Comes Out*, Empty Wheel, Apr. 27, 2012, http://bit.ly/Ihha6s.

Expedited processing should be granted.

III. Application for Waiver or Limitation of Fees

A. Release of the record is in the public interest.

We request a waiver of search, review, and reproduction fees on the grounds that disclosure of the requested record is in the public interest because it is likely to contribute significantly to the public understanding of the United States government's operations or activities and is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii); 32 C.F.R. § 1900.13(b)(2); 28 C.F.R. § 16.11(k); 32 C.F.R. § 286.28(d); and 22 C.F.R. § 171.17.

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION

P.9/11

The SSCI Report will significantly contribute to public understanding of the government's operations or activities. Moreover, disclosure is not in the ACLU's commercial interest. Any information obtained by the ACLU as a result of this FOIA request will be available to the public at no cost. See 32 C.F.R. § 1900.13(b)(2); 28 C.F.R. § 16.11(k); 32 C.F.R. § 286.28(d); 22 C.F.R. § 171.17.

Thus, a fee waiver would fulfill Congress's legislative intent in amending FOIA. See Judicial Watch Inc. v. Rossotti, 326 F.3d 1309, 1312 (D.C. Cir. 2003) ("Congress amended FOIA to ensure that it be liberally construed in favor of waivers for noncommercial requesters." (internal quotation marks and citation omitted)); OPEN Government Act of 2007, Pub. L. No. 110-175, § 2, 121 Stat. 2524 (finding that "disclosure, not secrecy, is the dominant objective of the Act," quoting Dep't of Air Force v. Rose, 425 U.S. 352, 361 (1992)).

The ACLU qualifies as a representative of the news media. В.

A waiver of search and review fees is warranted because the ACLU qualifies as a "representative of the news media" and the SSCI Report is not sought for commercial use. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii); see also 32 C.F.R. § 1900.02(h)(3); 28 C.F.R. § 16.11(k); 32 C.F.R. § 286.28(d); 22 C.F.R. § 171.17. Accordingly, fees associated with the processing of this request should be "limited to reasonable standard charges for document duplication."

The ACLU meets the statutory and regulatory definitions of a "representative of the news media" because it is an "entity that gathers information of potential interest to a segment of the public, uses its editorial skills to turn the raw materials into a distinct work, and distributes that work to an audience." 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II); see also Nat'l Sec. Archive v. Dep't of Def., 880 F.2d 1381, 1387 (D.C. Cir. 1989); cf. Am. Civil Liberties Union v. Dep't of Justice, 321 F. Supp. 2d 24, 30 n.5 (D.D.C. 2004) (finding non-profit public interest group to be "primarily engaged in disseminating information"). The ACLU is a "representative of the news media" for the same reasons that it is "primarily engaged in the dissemination of information." See Elec. Privacy Info. Cir. v. Dep't of Def., 241 F. Supp. 2d 5, 10-15 (D.D.C. 2003) (finding non-profit public interest group that disseminated an electronic newsletter and published books was a "representative of the news media" for FOIA purposes)." Indeed, the ACLU recently was held

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION

⁹ On account of these factors, fees associated with responding to FOIA requests are regularly waived for the ACLU. In June 2011, the National Security Division of the Department of Justice granted a fee waiver to the ACLU with respect to a request for documents relating to the interpretation and implementation of a section of the PATRIOT

P.10/11

to be a "representative of the news media." Serv. Women's Action Network v. Dep't of Defense, No. 3:11CV1534 (MRK), 2012 WL 3683399, at *3 (D. Conn. May 14, 2012). See also Am. Civil Liberties Union of Wash. v. Dep't of Justice, No. C09-0642RSL, 2011 WL 887731, at *10 (W.D. Wash. Mar. 10, 2011) (finding ACLU of Washington to be a "representative of the news media"), reconsidered in part on other grounds, 2011 WL 1900140 (W.D. Wash. May 19, 2011).

* * *

Pursuant to applicable statute and regulations, we expect a determination regarding expedited processing within ten (10) calendar days. See 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(ii)(1); 32 C.F.R. § 1900.21(d); 28 C.F.R. § 16.5(d)(4); 32 C.F.R. § 286.4(d)(3); 22 C.F.R. § 171.12(b).

If the request is denied in whole or in part, we ask that you justify all withholdings by reference to specific exemptions to the FOIA. We also ask that you release all segregable portions of otherwise exempt material.

We reserve the right to appeal a decision to withhold any information or to deny a waiver of fees.

Please furnish the applicable records to:

Mitra Ebadolahi American Civil Liberties Union 125 Broad Street

Act. In October 2010, the Department of the Navy granted a fee waiver to the ACLU with respect to a request for documents regarding the deaths of detainees in U.S. custody. In January 2009, the CIA granted a fec waiver with respect to the same request. In March 2009, the State Department granted a fee waiver to the ACLU with regard to a FOIA request submitted in December 2008. The Department of Justice granted a fee waiver to the ACLU with regard to the same FOIA request. In November 2006, the Department of Health and Human Services granted a fee waiver to the ACLU with regard to a FOIA request submitted in November of 2006. In May 2005, the U.S. Department of Commerce granted a fee waiver to the ACLU with respect to its request for information regarding the radio-frequency identification chips in United States passports. In March 2005, the Department of State granted a fee waiver to the ACLU with regard to a request regarding the use of immigration laws to exclude prominent non-citizen scholars and intellectuals from the country because of their political views, statements, or associations. In addition, the Department of Defense did not charge the ACLU fees associated with FOIA requests submitted by the ACLU in April 2007, June 2006, February 2006, and October 2003. The Department of Justice did not charge the ACLU fees associated with FOIA requests submitted by the ACLU in November 2007, December 2005, and December 2004. Finally, three separate agencies-the Federal Burcau of Investigation, the Office of Intelligence Policy and Review, and the Office of Information and Privacy in the Department of Justice-did not charge the ACLU fees associated with a FOIA request submitted by the ACLU in August 2002.

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES

9

a dan ku sa ku

P.11/11

18th Floor New York, NY 10004

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. See 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(E)(vi).

(b)(6)

Mitra Ebadolahi American Civil Liberties Union Foundation 125 Broad Street 18th Floor New York, NY 10004

Fax: 212,549,2654

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION