

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

DATE : 3 APR 54

SECRET

ROUTING	
1	FI 6
2	FI 6
3	FI 6
4	FI 2
5	CLC
6	FI 1

TO : DIRECTOR

FROM : BERLIN

ACTION: EE 6

INFO : COP, FI, FI/OPS, FI/STC, FI/RI 2

logged

APR 5 1954 FI 2

[Handwritten signature]

BRLN 297 (IN 3388)

195Z 3 APR 54

ROUTINE
PRECEDENCE

TO: (PRIORITY) SPIII

INFO: SFRAN, DIR

CITE: SBRLN

CALL/CART

RE: A. PULL 7692*

B. BRLN 2917 (IN 24084)

C. EGLA 7243

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3B2B
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2008

1. DEEPLY REGRET EMBARRASSMENT CAUSED POB BY ALLEGATION
 PARA 1 REF A. HAVE CAREFULLY CHECKED THIS MATTER AND CAN ASSURE
 YOU ALL DEALINGS WITH [] WERE STRICTLY IN CONFORMANCE
 BOTH LETTER AND SPIRIT ORAL DISCUSSION [] AND []
 9 MARCH. [] WAS SPECIFICALLY ADVISED COULD TAKE WHATEVER
 ACTION HE FELT NECESSARY IN HIS OVERT OFFICIAL CAPACITY SO LONG
 AS DID NOT USE OR BASE ACTION ON PARA 4 REF C. LAST CONTACT
 WITH [] 16 MARCH HE SPECIFICALLY INSTRUCTED ANY OFFICIAL
 ACTION CAPACITY CHIEF GCIS ON STRICT CONDITION SUCH ACTION NOT
 APPEAR BASED ON FRAU HOEHER'S ALLEGATION.

2. [] HAS ADVISED THAT ON 12 MARCH 1954 HE ENTERED
 INTO FRANK DISCUSSION PAPPROTTA RE ANY CONNECTIONS ZIPPER ON BASIS

SECRET

IT IS FORBIDDEN TO MAKE A COPY OF THIS MESSAGE

Copy to

COPY

SECRET

3 APR 54

BRLN 3297 (IN 33884)

PAGE 2

ALLEGATIONS NON-SPECIFIC COMPLAINTS HE HAD RECEIVED THIS EFFECT FROM OTHER SOURCES. PAPPROTTA CATEGORICALLY DENIED. ASSERTS THAT HEINDKE UNAWARE PAPPROTTA DISCUSSION, INDICATING PAPPROTTA HAS REPORTED DISCUSSION TO HEINDKE. PAPPROTTA HAS BROUGHT FACT OF NON-SPECIFIC ALLEGATIONS ZIPPER CONNECTIONS TO ATTENTION CHIEF AMERICAN SECTOR WEST BERLIN POLICE WHO REQUIRED TAKE POSITION THEREON BY 5 APRIL.

3. PENDING FURTHER COMMENTS AND ADVICE FROM FULL. WE HAVE NOT DISCUSSED FACTS REF A WITH IN ORDER NOT FURTHER COMPLICATE SITUATION. WOULD APPRECIATE YOUR ADVICE RE TACK TO FOLLOW. NEED SPECIFIC ADVICE WHETHER OR NOT PAPPROTTA WITTING ZIPPER AGENT OR UNWITTING SOURCE OF HEINDKE AND WHETHER YOU DESIRE US DISCREETLY ATTEMPT ELICIT FROM EXACT DETAILS HIS ALLEGED DISCUSSION HEINDKE 10 MARCH.

4. BELIEVE STRONG LIKELIHOOD THAT ^{offhand} HEINDKE ASSERTION. CITED AMERICANS AS SOURCE HIS INFORMATION IS HIS ASSUMPTION OR POSSIBLY DELIBERATE MISREPRESENTATION OF HEINDKE OR FISHING MANEUVER ON PART OF ZIPPER. FOLLOWING FACTS PERTINENT THIS MATTER:

A. AND HEINDKE WOULD BE SUMMARILY DISMISSED IF BECAME KNOWN TO U.S. PUBLIC SAFETY THAT EITHER MAINTAINED ANY UNOFFICIAL LIAISON WITH ZIPPER OR BOB.

B. UNDER DIRECT PUBLIC SAFETY CONTROL AND WELL-KNOWN AS PDBRINE EMPLOYEE.

C. BY HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY [] ALREADY AWARE
MANY DETAILS HOEHER CASE AND HEINDKE-PAPPROTTA INVOLVEMENT
THEREIN AS WELL AS SUSPICIONS POSSIBLE EASTERN CONTACTS IN VIEW
PARAS 4 AND 5 REF B PLUS UNEXPLAINED BEHAVIOR PAPPROTTA.

D. THROUGH INDEPENDENT MEANS AND NOT FROM BOB, []
[] KNEW FRAU HOEHER IN CONTACT WITH HUSBAND, HAD TRAVELED IN
EAST FOLLOWING HOEHER ARREST AND NOW IN TOUCH WITH ZIPPER FEDREP.

E. WHEN BOB INFORMED [] [] FOR HIS INFO ONLY FRAU
HOEHER INTERROGATED AND THAT FRAU HOEHER WAS SOURCE OF CRITICAL
ALLEGATION, [] [] DESIRED KNOW WHO CONDUCTED INTERROGATION.
WAS GIVEN FLAT DON'T KNOW ANSWER TO CONCEAL DIRECT CHANNEL ZIPPER.
[] [] MADE EXPRESS PROMISE NOT TAKE ACTION ON BASIS CRITICAL
ALLEGATION WHICH ALLEGATION SOMEWHAT DETRIMENTAL TO HIM.

F. CLEAR EXISTENCE OF AMPLE GROUNDS DEMANDING SHOW-DOWN
HEINDKE-PAPPROTTA CASE AFFECTS [] [] PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY
FOR GOIS. AGREE IT POSSIBLE [] [] DID NOT PLAY STRAIGHT
WITH US BUT BELIEVE ODDS STRONGLY TO CONTRARY.

5. IN VIEW DELICACY THIS SITUATION WOULD APPRECIATE YOUR
ADVICE SOONEST PARTICULARLY RE POSSIBILITY BOB CONFRONTING
[] [] WITH HEINDKE STATEMENT.

END OF MESSAGE

*CABLE SECRETARIAT COMMENT:

NOT RECEIVED.