

# BEST AVAILABLE COPY

<div style="font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold;">DISPATCH</div>		<div style="font-size: 0.8em;">CLASSIFICATION</div> <div style="font-size: 1.5em; font-weight: bold;">Secret</div>	<div style="font-size: 0.8em;">DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NO.</div> <div style="font-weight: bold;">OSSA-8586</div> <div style="font-weight: bold;">OSSA-8583</div>
<div style="font-size: 0.8em;">TO</div> <div style="font-weight: bold;">Chief, WE</div>	<div style="font-size: 0.8em;">HEADQUARTERS FILE NO.</div> <div style="font-weight: bold;">201-</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px; margin: 5px auto;"></div>		<div style="font-size: 0.8em;">DATE</div> <div style="font-weight: bold;">12 May 1959</div>
<div style="font-size: 0.8em;">INFO</div> <div style="font-weight: bold;">Chief, SR</div>			
<div style="font-size: 0.8em;">FROM</div> <div style="font-weight: bold;">Chief of Station, <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 0 5px;"> </span> <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 0 5px;"> </span></div>	<div style="font-size: 0.8em;">RE: "43-3" - (CHECK "X" ONE)</div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 10%;"> <div style="font-size: 0.7em;">I</div> <div style="font-size: 0.7em;">NO INDEXING REQUIRED</div> </div> <div style="width: 80%;"> <div style="font-size: 0.7em;">MARKED FOR INDEXING</div> <div style="font-size: 0.7em;">NO INDEXING REQUIRED</div> </div> </div>		
<div style="font-size: 0.8em;">SUBJECT</div> <div style="font-weight: bold;">REDWOOD/AEMARSH/Operations</div> <div style="font-weight: bold;">Potential Agent Resident in Latvia</div>			
<div style="font-size: 0.8em;">ACTION REQUIRED</div> <div style="font-weight: bold;">a. OA, traces and 201 number on Ident B</div> <div style="font-weight: bold;">b. Rqs comments &amp; suggestions</div>		<div style="font-size: 0.8em;">INDEXING CAN BE JUDGED BY QUALIFIED HQ. DESK ONLY</div>	
<div style="font-size: 0.8em;">REFERENCE(S)</div> <div style="font-weight: bold;">OSSA-8468, 17 April 1959</div>			

  

1. Four operational contacts between     and AEMARSH/15 have taken place since the turnover reported in reference. A/15 has shown from the beginning that he is an "eager beaver", and     is optimistic that he will prove to be a real asset to the Station in AEMARSH operations.
  
2. An interesting lead has been turned up by A/15 through the wife of a Latvian priest in Uppsala. Learning that she recently had gotten a letter this spring from Latvia, A/15 visited with her. Conversation and examination of the letter showed that the priest's wife is in sporadic mail correspondence with Identity A, who is the aunt of a former military colleague of A/15, Identity B. Casual reference was made in the letter to the fact that Identity B recently returned to Latvia from ten years imprisonment in Siberia and is currently residing at an unspecified address in Rurand.
  
3. A/15 states that he never has personally met Identity B, but that he knows him by reputation as a military colleague and that they were in mail correspondence for a short time in late 1945 - early 1946, at A/15's initiative when Identity B was in a Swedish internment camp and A/15 was confined to the Stockholm area by the Swedish authorities. Circa February 1946 Identity B was among a group of ex-Latvian Legion members turned back to the USSR by the Swedes under Soviet pressure. Within a few months of Identity B's return to Latvia he was shipped off to Siberia where he remained until late last year, presumably around October 1958.
  
4. Confidence on the part of A/15 in Identity B as a potential resident agent apparently stems from the fact that Identity B was a professional Latvian army officer, a wartime comrade of good reputation, and a loyal Latvian patriot who has suffered persecution under the Soviet system of government. No knowledge is available as to his present occupation and specific location inside Latvia. Not knowing the personal situation and the emotional frame of mind of Identity B leaves his potential value as an unknown quantity. He does represent a tangible lead, though, and we feel he should be investigated.
  
5. The usual problem exists of how to establish contact with Identity B if it is decided that this should be done. A/15 is of the opinion that Identity B would recognize A/15's first name in conjunction with some reference to Sweden. He thinks further that Identity A could pass on a message to Identity B (she is allegedly an old woman in her sixties, living in a different community). Possibly a letter prepared by A/15 for Identity B could be mailed internally inside the USSR to Identity A, containing A/15's accommodation address in Stockholm. This communication matter is a special problem, however, and will have to be dealt with separately in detail from the initial presentation and processing of Identity B's name.
  
6. All BI data known about Identity B by A/15 is enclosed with the separate attachment giving his name. He is not listed in the Station card file index nor is Identity A.

  

Attachment: Memo U.S.C.    

11 May 1959

Distribution:

- 3 - WE w/att U.S.C.
- 1 - SR w/att U.S.C.

NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT

FORM 10-57 53 (40)

USE PREVIOUS EDITION. REPLACES FORMS 51-28, 51-28A AND 51-29 WHICH ARE OBSOLETE.

EXEMPTIONS Section 3(b)

(2)(A) Privacy ☐

(2)(B) Methods/Sources ☒

(2)(C) Foreign Relations ☐

DECLASSIFIED AND APPROVED FOR RELEASE BY THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

Date: 2005

PAGE NO.

☐ CONTINUED