DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3 B 2 B NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT DATE 2006

The Venus

ATR

onign Verpiere Xoref para 4 in C ce co chomo 27 July 1956

Chief, WE

Infor Chief, EE Cos, Germany

Operational/PARSIMONY/MIMAY/VERUS
Oabor FUEREDI's Comments regarding VIPERE

- 1. As Operation VENUS reports reach this station from the Book after weeks of delay, this dispatch is being written to record certain comments by Cabor FURREDI to "Peter" at their most recent meeting, which was probably on 13 July 1956. The information was provided by BB who read the draft of the case officer's report. As this version is based on Based on the official report.
- 2. "Peter", during a discussion with FUEREDI, said that he had heard that VIPERE had returned to Hungary. When "Peter" made a rather uncomplimentary remark about VIPERE, FUEREDI opposed the statement, saying that VIPERE was really worth much more than "Peter" could guess. It appears that FUEREDI then either stated or implied that VIPERE had worked for FUEREDI but, the latter added, he had a rather strange character and he did so as well for "the Belgians and the the Americans". When "Peter" exclaimed that in that case VIPERE's life in Hungary would not be particularly pleasant, FUEREDI disagreed, pointing out that VIPERE has a good position in the cultural field in Eudapest.
- 3. If FURNAUI actually knew that VIPERS was working for "the Belgians...", it seems possible that VIPERS revealed to FURRAUI that he had been detained and had agreed to work against the AVE. As VIFERS was certainly intelligent enough to outwit his \( \B \) case officer, it is quite agreeivable that he had, in effect, willingly tripled. If, as \( \Delta \) and tend to suspect, \( \Delta \) tipped off VIPERS to impending \( \B \) action against him, FUREAUI could have felt quite justified in having his agent flee the country, in spite of having "worked for the Belgians.."
- 4. In this connection, it is worth noting that when \( \subseteq \subseteq \text{recently sought,}\) at \( \subseteq \subseteq \text{direction, to provoke a comment on VIPERE by FUEREDI on the basis

SECRET

W 8-6-9/3

OBDA-5961 27 July 1956 Page 2

of evert press reports concerning his return to Hungary, FUEREDI simply failed to react, saying nothing. This point, if soccepted at face value, could indicate either that FUEREDI does not trust as much as he does "Peter" or that all too, is playing games with the above the question or did so am was told by FUEREDI to report no reaction.

- 5: The CB care in contact with VIPER's disillusioned mistress. She has agreed to cooperate with the CB case officer an effort to make VIPER think that his Ccase officer (actually Ccase has been asking about him and is interested in eventually hearing from him again. Just what this little exercise will bring is very much open to question but, according to Ccase will bring is very much open to question but, according to Ccase of "Monsieur Vingent"; Ccase of "Friendly inquiry".
- 6. Although the point is no doubt perfectly apparent to Headquarters, this case stands as a good example of \( \begin{align\*} \beta \end{align\*} \) bungling. The \( \beta \end{align\*} \) had been successfully penetrated at the top level by an agent over whom they ultimately, with substantial SMNTH and \( \beta \end{align\*} \) help, succeeded in establishing the possibility of control, only to dissipate that control by sloppy work by the case officer and general operational impotence at higher levels.

Distribution: