104-10189-10220

.

		FK 40
UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT Mcmorandum	(iar)	N0-189-68
TO : Director, Domestic Contact Service Attin : Operational Support Staff (Musulin)	DATE:	14 June 1968

FROM : Chief, New Orleans Office

i

SUBJECT: Case 49364 - Garrison Investigation

APPROVED FOR RELEASE 1994 CIA HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM

Attached are clippings from The Times-Picayune dated 11, 12, 13 and 14 June 1968 and from the New Orleans States-Item dated 11, 12 and 13 June 1968.

E.G. Kay

LARay/ga

Attachments

SECRET

TH OF OHUT SUIT IS JUNE 1 Will Be Fleard by Federal Tribunal

Trial of the Federal Court suit in which Clay L. Shaw is seeking to block his prosecution in Criminal District Court on a charge of conspiring to murder President John F. Kenmoy has been set for June 17) at 10 a.m.

The case is to be heard by a special incojudge federal; court composed of Judge Robert A. Ainsworth Jr., of the United States Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals and District Judges Freuerick J. R. Heebe IN

and James A. Comiskey. In his suit Shaw seeks a J permanent injunction against. District Attorney Jim Garri-son's prosecution as well as F. a ruing that the Warren Commission report on the Kennedy assassination which held that Lee Harvey Oswald F acted alone in the killing is "valid and binding." loce . cha The special three-judge court lem was convened because Shaw's soc. attorneys are also attacking car the constitutionality of a num-250 ber of state statutes which have been used in Shaw's

prosecution. Judge Heebe has called a pre-trial conference in the case .0 for Thursday at 10:30 a.m. His pre-trial conference or-der directed that all attorth neys file any and all motions an prior to that time. The cona I ference is to be attended by ter the attorneys who will try the case and they were told in Sch an the order to be prepared "to compromise settlement possikn C. blitties."

The order added "no continu-ance will be granted in this the matter except on a showing of of good cause. Should good cause of be shown, continuance will be Ar Ŀ he

A granted only upon the filing of urign a motion and order for same." All pending motions, includ-ing one filed Monday by Shaw's tr. few deattorneys asking that the court Ta Jen. order four Garrison aids to fit answer questions they refused tr to answer at depositions I a st out Vietweek, will be considered at the ta conference ay. Earlier Monday Judge at it Heebe had ordered that 5.0p 1th ribed James L. Alcock, Garrison's R. itary executive assistant, Anthony ito Sciambra, an assistant disi of trict attorney, and investiga-8.88 tors Lynn Loisel and Louis sted: 519 Ivoa, make themselves available to Shaw's attorneys for hesil of the taking of depositions in connection with the federal ving court suit. ided Attached to the motion askises ing the federal court to compel)esc ents the four aids to answer the lace. questions are copies of the depoaftsitions which were taken in the office of one of Shaw's attor-ocys on June 5 and 6. 1 F. ±re The motion asks that the Gar- de rison aids be required to re- a cd

turn and answer the questions u

they refused to answer original-

Cont. in Sec. 1. Page 23, Col. 3

___7

٧e

it

B C. ly and any softwall eves which may be asked.

It also asks that Garrison, Alcock and first assistant district attorney Charles K. Ward be made to pay Shaw SIGN as reasonable expenses and attorneys' fees incurred in obtaining the order.

An affidavit submitted by Ednani F. Wegmann, one of Shaw's attorneys, claums that Garrison's assistants refused to answer questions asked them at the depositions solely because they had been instructed by Garrison in a letter to refuse to recognize "in any way this illegal and unauthorized invasion of the operation of our office by the federal govern-ment."

in the letter the DA mstructed them to give only their names, office rank, and Social Security numbers.

In his affidavit Wegmann charges that the letter is "contemptuous and despising of the authority, justice and dignity of the court."

He added "it is conduct which serves to bring the authority: and the administration of law into disrespect."

The affidavit claims that all of those who appeared for the depositions were represented by counsel and all counsel made it clear that they would follow Garrison's instructions and instructed the witnesses to follow the instructions to the effect that the orders of the federal court were not to be recognized and accepted.

Transcripts of the proceed-ings taken by the defense attorneys reveal that Alcock, Sciambra, Loisel and Iven gave their names and addresses, of-fice rank and Social Security numbers, but little other information.

Some of the questions which Alcock refused to answer were these:

"Have you ever instructed these investigators to secure incriminating evidence for use in the Shaw case and to pay for it or to obtain it by means of threats of violence?

"As a result of whatever part or portions of the Warren Report that you have read and your work with Jim Garrison in his Kennedy assassination probe, do you believe that Oswald killed Kennedy?

"Do you believe that President Johnson is an accessory after the fact in the assassina-tion of Kennedy? "Mr. Alcock, if the United

States District Court orders you to answer any or all of questions which have the been previously propounded to you. Is it your intention to continue to refuse to answer said questions?"

"Are you willing to ignore the orders of the court simply because Mr. Garrison tells you to to so?

Wegmann questioned Alcock about his attendance at a press conference called by Garrison on May 29 and asked "during the press conference Garrison indicated that someone in Washington, perhaps in the Justice Department, ordered Judge Heebe to sign the temperary restraining order which he signed on May 23. Do you have any evidence of that fact that Judge Heebe acted under oirders from someone higher in authority than him?"

TATES-ITEM

States-IUNE 11, 1944

Arrest of Ray Deals Blow to Cabal Rumor:

tsc ermann eu A TWO-MONTH SEARCH, worldwide, for James Earl Ray, was brought to a close over the weekeed with his arrest at

London Airport where he was seeking to secure passage to Brussels. Ray, long-sought suspect in the murder of Martin Luther King, was taken into custab as the climax of a cooperative drive by the Canadian Nethwest Mounted Police, the FBI, Scotland Yard, and Interpol, all working together to trace itay, who seemed to have been dematerialized so that he vanished into thin air.

Major credit must go to the Canadian Mounties, who set about the Herculean task of going over thousands of "mug-shot" pictures which included every felon arrested in Canada over the last 10 years, to see if one of them might be identified as Ray. About midway through the long quest it was rewarded by success.

Hundreds-perhaps thousandsof copies of the thus discovered picture of Ray were made and distri-

buted to every major municipal, state and national police organization throughout the free world, and a Scotland Yard detective (who arrested him on charges of traveling on two fraudulent Canadian passports and baying an unregistered hand gun in his possession) made a routine check of recent "wanted" photographs and immediately spotted him as the subject of the Ray photo.

An assistant U.S. attorney-general is now in London, checking over the routine legal steps that must be taken to bring about Ray's extradition from Britain to this country. The FBI has its agents in London too, to return him in custody once he has been duly extradited. He will then be tried before either a federal or a state court in Memphis.

THIS SUMS UP THE basic developments of the case to date. What has not been brought out is that this punctures a toy balloon of moth and rumor to the effect that some sort of cabal, possibly organized by the LBJ establishment, wanted Ray to escape lest be reveal who his backers were: either the U.S. federal apparatus, or some sort of Communist cell, whose exposure would so irk the Russians that the newly evident but still tenuous lessening of the strain on relations between USA and USSR might be threatened.

I have heard rumor mongers cite in proof of this flimsy

theory the assertion that not one of the three actual assassing involved in the two Kennedy and the King killings has either been brought to book or permitted to testify about the gire cumstances of his involvement in a grisly plot.

Lee Harvey Oswald, they point out, was actually held by the Dallas police, who had advertised that the suspect would be transferred from the police station to a county prison at a given hour. Jack Ruby, a night club operator, walked up and shot him so that he died within the hour. without ever testifying to anything. Even Ruby died before he was put on trial, in spite of the fact that some 21 million viewers saw him as evewitnesses, presential or via television, from beginning to end of his commission of a premeditated murder.

Sirhan Sirhan, who is charged with shooting Robert Kennedy on California primary election night, was interrogated amid a chorus of warnings which might just as well have been threats, that he need not answer any question without his attorney present, or any he did not want to answer, and that every word he uttered might be used against him at the trial. He would have been a Mongoloid idiot to have disregarded such good advice. James Earl Ray had apparently dissolved back into the original ecteplasm after assassinating Martin Luther King in Memphis during this year's early April.

THE ARREST OF RAY in London over the weekend certainly puts the quietus on the theory that he had been killed to assure his permanent silence, for he was found and his physical detention in a London pokey directly behind Scotland Yard's GliQ is proof of the fact that he is-at least for

the moment-not dead. Setting Sirhan free on a technicality either before or after he has been tried, convicted and sentenced will precont something of a problem, however embarrassing any evidentiary revelations brought out before a jury might be to whomever they might involve. Football giant Roosevelt Grier, the mainmoth defensive back of the Los Angeles Rams, and Rafer Johnson, the one time decathlon champ obthe Olympic games, are very hale and even more hears'. Since they were the statwarts who overpowered him and kept him from flight, and later set the seal of good sense on their courage and brawn by holding him safe from a throng which surged forward as if to tear him to shreds, there should be no problem about identifying Sirhan as being or not being the Robert Kennedy killer, in any case,

By and large, it was a weekend blight for rumors old and rumors new.



NINETEEN

Hearing Set For Shaw Suit To Block Trial

A suit by Clay L. Shaw to block his trial on charges of conspiring to kill the late Pressdent John F. Kennedy will be heard by a three-judge federal court June 17, beginning at 16

a. m. The date for trial of the sut was set yesterday at Federal for use in the Shaw case District Court. and to pay for it or to ob

IT WILL BE heard by Federal District Judges Frederick J. R. Heebe and James A. Comiskey and Judge Robert A. Ainsworth Jr. of the U.S. Fifth Cir and your work with Jim Garcuit Court of Appeals.

Shaw seeks a permanent in nation probe, do you believe Junction preventing District Als that Lee Harvey Oswald Junction preventing District At- that Lee Harvey USWAN lorney Jim Garrison and his killed Kennedy? stall from prosecuting him is Wegmann and the other Shaw the state's Criminal District attorneys asked Alcreek if the Court here and further asks resignation of assistant DA that the findings of the Warren Richard Burnes from the effect Commission on the Kennedy as was because of the Shaw case, assination be ruled valid and Alcock refused to answer. admissable as evidence to any

The suit will be heard by Alcock about his attendance at court.

The suit will be heard by Alcock about his attendance at three judges because it attacks a press conference called by the constitutionality of various Garrison May 29, at which be Louisiana laws which have been said Garrison said somewe in used in the prosecution of Shaw Washington ordered Judge Hee-be to sign a temporary restrain-be to sign a temporary restrain-ter for both sides to file any form someone higher in author and all motions prior to Thurs- from someone higher in author and all motions prior to Thurs' from someone higher in authority than him?" Alcock did rav

The order said, "No continluance will be granted in this matter except on a showing it

Among the motions to be considered at the conference Thursday will be one filed by Shaw's attorneys yesterday, asking that: the court order Garrison's aids. to answer questions they refused. to answer at depositions last week.

EARLIER, Judge Heche or dered that James L. Alcock, the DA's executive assistant, assistant DA Andrew Sciambra and investigators Louis Ivon and Lynn Loisel make themselves available for Shaw's attorneys to take depositions. They refused to answer anything at all pertaining to the case, saving the defense was not, under state criminal law, entitled to the mformation.

Judge Heebe has ordered a hearing on this motion for 18 a.m. June 19.

THE MOTION also asks that Garrison, Alcock and First As-sistant DA Charles R. Ward be made to pay Shaw \$3,00 as reasonable expenses and attiv-neys' fees incurred in obtain-

ing the order. With the motion, a 300-page document, were copies of energy tions asked of the DA's askes It also included an afficiarit a signed by Edward F. West mann, ene of Shaw's attorneys. stating that Garrison ordered his assistants not to ansatr questions and saying that Garrison was "contemptuous and despising of the authority. Jus-

were the following the eve that Pres-Johnson is an aversery after the last in the assassration of President heart

If the U.S. District Court enders you to answer any axualy have been programs of is it your intention to outunue relasing to answer? -Are you willing to ignore the orders of the court simbecause Mr. Garrison \mathbf{p} tells you to do so?

-Have you ever instructed anyone to investigate. So soure incriminating evidence and to pay for it or to ob-tau it by means of threats or violence?

-As a result of whatever part of portions of the War-ren Report that you had read, rison in his Kennedy assassi-

WEGMANN ALSO questioned

12 been wet THE TIMES PH

~ ONLY MOTIONS WILL BE HEARD

Trial on Merits of Shaw! Suit to Be Delaved

Federal District Judge Frederick J. R. Heebe said Tuesday that only technical motions will be heard Monday in connection with Clay L. Shaw's suit to black his prosecution in Criminal District Court on a charge of conspiring to murder Presi-io dent John F. Kennedy.

The judge had previously set Monday as the time of the start! of the trial on the merits but revised the schedule after meeting Tuesday with Judge Robert A. Ainsworth Jr., of the United States Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, and District Judgeic James A. Comiskey who will sit with him on the case.

Judge Heebe said it is hoped that all motions will be heard Monday but that additional time will be needed for other technical matters. The first week in July will be about the earliest the court will be able to get into; the evidentiary hearing, he sold, Shaw seeks a permanent injunction against District Attorney Jim Garrison's prosecution] as well as a declaratory judg-1 meat holding that the Warren (Commission's report on the Ken-C nedy assassination is valid and binding.

The special three - judge court has set a pretrial conference for i Thursday at 10:30 a.m.

CONSTRUCTION COM SUBPENA ACTION 'URNED DO

12 June 1968 New Order Aim for JFK

Autopsy Photos An assistant district attorney said Tuesday that Washington, D. C., officials have declined to serve a subpena for autopsy photographs of President John F. Sennedy.

James L. Alcock, who has handled much of the legal work In District Attorney Jim Garrison's investigation of the Kennedy assassination, said that U. S. Marshal Luke C. Moore wrote to him, declining to serve the subpena on U. S. archivist Dr. James B. Rhoads. in whose custody the autopsy photographs are.

Moore said a new court order would be required to comply with the District of Columbia code on out-of-state witnesses, Alceck said.

The subpena in question was originally directed to the former archivist, who, unbeknownst to the district attorney's office, was retiring. It was amended to apply to Dr. Rhoads.

Alcock said he will apply for a new court order requiring the new archivist, Dr. Rhoads, to produce the photographs, The photographs were sought

in connection with the case of Clav I, Shaw, retired business-

Panel to Hear Shaw Suit Technical Data on Monday

Only technical motions will be heard Monday in Clay Le-Shaw's suit to they has trial on a charge of conspiring to mur-subpena for autopsy photo. The original subpena went to der President Juhr F. Kennedy,

Federal District Judge Frederick J. R. Hecke explained the terror is that it is bound attemption to it it is bound attemption.

yesterday atternort that it is hoped all motions can be beard. ALCOCK SMIP U.S. Marshal apply to -Dr. Rhoads, Moore Monday, but that additional Monday, but that additional the court will be able to set declining to serve the subprise to the and to the merits of the case. JUDGE HEERE is one of cock said that Washington officials have declined to serve a the photo.' Alcock said he will apply for three federal pages who will cials have declined to serve a the serve at the new order.

a permanent injunction preventing District Attorney Jim Garrison from presecuting him and which also asks for a declaratory judgment belding that the Warren Commission's report on the assassination is valid and admissable as evidence

STATESLEEN

of in any court. Joining him in bearing the suit will be Federal District

15 Judge James A. Comiskey and U. S. Fifth Circuit Court of 20 Appeals Judge Robert A. Ainsworth Jr.

Judge Heebe said it will be the first week m July before

> Defamation Suit Shifted To U.S. Court

Carlos Bringuier's \$1 million o sut for alleged defamation in t articles based on the Warren'd Report concerning the death of t Fresident John F. Kennedy has t been removed from Civil Dis-IC trict Court to Federal District a Court.

Bringuier, 532 Delmar, Greted. is a former resident of Ouba. He is suing Harold Weisand who wrote a book entitled whitewash-The Report of the Warren Report" and an article, d "Kennedy's Murder - Burled I Proof of a Conspiracy," which

speeared in Saga Magazine. Colefendants are Gambi Publications Inc., Long Island, N. Y., publisher of Saga, and the Dell Publishing Co. Inc., New York City, publisher of Weisberg's book.

The two publishing firms filed a removal petition, claiming that the suit meets the juris-dictional rules of Federal Disthat the amount wight is more than \$10,000 and

F ORLEANS, LA., THURSDAY MORN...O. JUNE 13, 1968

U.S. COURT GETS **BRINGUIER SUIT**

Damages Asked for Book. Magazine Article

A \$1 million defamation suit brought by Carlos Bringuier, 532 Delmar, Gretna, in civil district court claiming that his reputation was damaged by the Warren Commission's report on the death of President John F. Kennedy, has been removed to federal district court.

Bringuier, a former resident of Cuba, has sued Harold Weisberg, author of the book, "Whitewash-The Report of The Warren Report," and the artic-le "Kennedy's Murder-Buried Proof of a Conspiracy," which appeared in Saga magazine. Other defendants are Gambi Publications Inc., Long Island City, N.Y., publisher of Saga, and Dell Publishing Company Inc., New York City, publish-ter of Weisberg's book.

A removal petition was filed by the two publishing firms, claiming that the suit meets jurisdictional rules of federal district court in that the amount sought is more than \$10,000 and there is diversity of citizenship. between the parties.

Bringuier claims that he was I defamed by statements that he was an officer of the Castro government until he defected in 1950, that he disguised this fact-in testimony before the Warren ¿ Commission, that he was a delif egate to the Cuban Revolui- tionary Council, and that he is if an enemy of the United States. +! A similar suit brought more than a year ago by Bringuier was dismissed.

TUNE 12, 1964



Vanswer questions asked when e e they appeared for pre-trial a depositions

All technical pleadings were il filed shortly before attorneys d for Shaw and members of the g district attorney's staff went k into a two-hour conference with e the special three-judge court which is scheduled to hear e Shaw's suit for an injunction against his prosecution

HEARING MONDAY

The court is scheduled to hear 'arguments on all motions Mont day at 10 a.m. The exact is composed of Judge Robert A. Answorth Jr. of the United States Fifth Circuit Own of Appeals and District Jisdges Frederick J. R. Heebe and James A. Comiskey. A trial date for the case is

expected about the first of July. Judge Heebe has issued a temporary restraining order halt-ing the state prosection until the federal suit is decided.

United States Attorney Louis C. LaCour and his first assistfied the conference in Judge Heche's office for a short time; and when they left, they said s only that the attorney general has been served with Shaw's motion and that they are

.

Mar is es

CLARK IS CALLED IN SHAW CASE

Continued from Page 1

Rune 1968

Washington,

tion, Shaw's sult asks for a was based on hallucinatory, indument declaring that the drug-induced and hypnotically-Warren Commission report induced testimony, on the Kennedy assassina-tion be held valid and bind-This is a refere ing on all courts. :

In their motion to join the Russo, one of Garrison's chief attorney general as a party defendant, Shaw's attorneys claim that they requested Clark to join them as a plaintiff but he trict attorney's investigators refused.

Shaw's attorneys charged that Garrison has engaged in a premeditated and well calculated. scheme to use the court to conuseless probe of the president's Shaw has been made a "patsy" assassination.

They claim that the primary investigation." nurpose and ultimate objective They further charged that is to discredit the warren Re-port and to convince the public Garrison's office is "not moti-that Kennedy was not killed by valed by an expectation of a I ce Harvey Oswald or by any valid conviction but the actions other lone assassin, but as a are part of a plan to employ

dnory uals and organizations.

ACCUSATIONS ALLEGED Allached to the antenances is out point of the motion alleges that Gar- a long list of proceedings in OUDS rison has accused the President Criminal District Court through pour of the United States as "an account which it is alleged Shaw's rights autoff cessory after the fact" in the autoff cessory after the fact. The first motion filed by Gar-

intemperate and baseless claims that Garrison has the aut charges and accusations." sole and exclusive authority

and control of the inaction of over prosecution of all cases with all who have been the subjects and is therefore the only indis-put of these attacks, it is charged, pensable party. As have has been placed in the in the answer to Shaw's mo-standing to defend the tion seeking to compel Garri-me Warren Report, whereas the sub's sides to preven the sub-

Warren Report, whereas the son's aides to answer the ques-stiproper party is the Atterney tions they refused to answer in "General, the depositions, it is claimed "The case is of tremendous that they refused upon advice

Warren Commission, the motion titled to the \$7,600 for reasonsiclaims,

In Shaw's amended complaint, it is argued that the state statute dealing with jurors in criminal cases is unconstituional in that it denies Shaw the right to a trial by a jury of 12 of his peers who a jury of 12 of his peers who atterney, including methods would decide the outcome used in the investigation and unanimously, It claims that under the statute he is denied (preparation of the case. due process, equal protection | Much information sought has of the laws and trial by jury, already been denied by Judea

a waiting instructions from that Garrison's prosecution was not brought lawfully or in good In addition to the injunc- faith, in that the indictment

> This is a reference to testimony of Perry Raymond witnesses who testified that he was hypnotized by the disas a means of helping him remember events which allegedly linked Shaw to a conspiracy,

Shaw's attorneys charged that or "pawa" in the "fraudulent

result of a well planned com illegal searches and seizures to 10° spiracy involving many individ-harass

ACCUSATIONS ALLEGED : Attached to the amendment is

133 assassing after the fact in the starrey. The first motion filed by Gar-134 General and the Chief Justice rison's office seeks dismissal 136 the United States have like of Alcock and Sciambra as de-137 wise been "subjects of vitriohe, fendants in Shaw's suit. It

General. the depositions they refused to answer in the depositions, it is claimed the depositions, it is claimed the they refused upon advice in public importance, not only to of counsel and that Shaw's at-Shaw, but also to all citizens of torneys are not entitled to in-the United States, the integrity formation they seek. It is also built the United States, and the chimed that Shaw is not en-Warren Commission the matter titled to the \$3,600 for remon able expenses connected with his motion seeking to compet

the testimony. A memorandum attached to the answer claims that information sought by Shaw's attorneys is privileged and concerns the investigative file of the district

It is pointed out that the crime [Edward A. Haggerty Jr. in with which Shaw is charged is [Criminal District Court, it is puntshable by imprisonment at contended; and Shaw attorneys hard labor for not less than one and not more than 20 years. Iterat civil rules of discovery and Article 782 stipulates that such cases must be tried before a 12-member jury and nine jurors must concur in the ver-dict. In the motion asking dismis-dict.

tict. The amendment claims that son's office claims that it should

RULINGS CITED Shaw's attorneys told the court that the United States Supreme Court has interpreted the Sixth Amendment as guaranteeing this right and has held the Fourteenth Amendment guarantees a right to a jury trial in all criminal cases which, were they to be tried in a federal court, would come under the Sixth Amendment's guarantee.

They claim that Article 782 provides that cases in which the punishment may be impris-onment at hard labor shall be tried before a jury of five jurfors, all of whom must concur in a verdict; and to require a verdict of only nine of 12 in the case of "a more serious offense" and a unanimous ver-dict in the case of the "less serious offense" is discriminatory.

Shaw's attorneys also charged

The amendment claims that son's office claims that it should the crime Shaw is charged with out be considered because is a "serious offense" and one Shaw's prosecution is still pend-that should be tried by 12 per-ions who must unanimously agree on the verdict. To deny this is to deny due process and trial by jury, it is contended.

ł